



Leaf

Stalk

Ear enclosed bu husks

Tassel .

Silk

### Near-Infrared Spectroscopy as a Genetic Screening Tool for Corn Stover Cell Wall Chemistry

May 7, 2003 S.Thomas, T.K. Hayward, A.D. Sluiter, D.W. Templeton, K.W. Evans, B.R. Hames

Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Midwest Research Institute • Battelle • Bechtel

# **Project Goals**

- Develop a reliable, high throughput method for screening corn plants for differences in cell wall composition.
- Acquire positive control lines and incorporate into screen.
- Identify candidate mutant lines for further investigation.
- Future: Isolate and characterize cell wall-related genes.



# **Advantages of NIR Spectroscopy**

	Wet Chemistry	Near-infrared spectroscopy
Analysis time/sample	2 weeks	minutes
Throughput	6/week	500 -1000/day
Cost/sample	\$1000 - 2000	\$10 - 20
Technician	highly trained	novice



Reflectance . Deleoidi -sterning fait Readout Visible 15<mark>0 шт</mark> Cellulose 1.2 Lignin

#### NIR is typically used for measurement of organic functional groups, especially C-H, O-H, N-H, and C=O



# **Corn Leaf Anatomy**







http://www.lima.ohio-state.edu/biology/leaves.html

# **Principal Component Analysis**

- Computerized data reduction technique that facilitates identification of unusual samples in complex data sets.
- Analysis assumes a normal distribution for all PCs.
- Ocrrelates each data point with every other in data set.
- Spectral correlations are grouped into orthogonal (independent) principal components (PC).
- Each PC can be inspected separately for features of interest (PC loading).
- •PC1 explains highest proportion of variance among samples (PC1 > PC2 > PC3...).

•PC score is expressed as variance from a mean.



### **Resources and Tools**

- Corn seed from segregating F2 mutant families from a Mu transposon insertion library generously provided by Erik Vollbrecht and Rob Martienssen (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY).
- NIR Spectrometer: ASD FieldSpec Pro FR (Applied Spectral Devices, Boulder, CO).
- Multivariate statistics software: The Unscrambler (CAMO).



# **Sample Collection**



Abaxial vs. adaxial

Harvest 2-inch segment from central third of 5<sup>th</sup> mature phase leaf blade.





# Planting at La Junta, CO May 4-8, 2000

Planted 20 seed each from 1168 mutant families and 4 inbred varieties (~24,000 seed).

~19,000 leaf segments harvested in August (after flowering). Sample preparation: dry samples at 50°C.

### **Spectral Differences Among Samples**



### **Principal Component Map of Zea mays Mutants**



Samples: 15,712 2000 individuals shown Variables: 400-2500 nm Weights: 1.0





### **Principal Component Map of Zea mays Mutants**





#### Model 1

Samples: 15,712 2000 individuals shown Variables: 400-2500 nm Weights: 1.0





### **Principal Component Map of Zea mays Mutants**



#### Model 1

Samples: 15,712 2000 individuals shown Variables: 400-2500 nm Weights: 1.0





5

# **Summary of La Junta Leaf Results**

- ~19,000 leaf specimens were collected from ~1168 mutant families and inbreds.
- 15,712 spectra were collected from 776 mutant families and analyzed by PCA (~12,100 individuals).
- Five PCs explain >99% of the variance in the system.
- Loadings for PCs 2 & 5 contain information about cell wall polymers.
- 484 individuals lie outside the 99.5% confidence interval for at least one PC.
- 26 families contain 3 or more individuals scoring very high/low on PC2 &/or PC5 (3.5% of families screened).

• These families became the focus of subsequent work.



# La Junta Stover Screen

 1879 whole plants were collected at the end of the growing season (no cobs or grain included).

• 119 mutant families + 4 inbred lines.

• Plants dried and milled individually.

- NIR spectra collected and chemical composition determined using NREL's calibrated NIR method.
- Two standard deviation range about the mean was calculated for each constituent (95% confidence interval).

Families containing approximately 25% of siblings outside the 95% confidence limits for the same constituent(s) are candidates for further investigation.
25 families selected.



### LJ Stover: Low Xylan Family

< M-2stdev	0.2	38.1	15.3	11.3	1.6	1.8	2.6	
> M+2stdev	2.6	45.5	22.0	18.2	6.5	9.5	4.3	
Sample	GH	glucan	xylan	lignin	protein	st_inorg	acetyl	
3165-1	3.3	40.0	15.2	14.8	4.5	9.0	3.3	
3165-2	1.4	42.9	16.2	16.9	2.8	6.8	3.0	
3165-3	1.4	41.8	16.1	15.6	3.4	7.8	3.1	
3165-4	2.0	44.0	17.3	16.9	3.0	5.3	3.5	
3165-5	1.1	42.4	16.4	15.6	2.7	8.0	2.8	
3165-6	2.1	43.7	15.7	16.9	2.4	7.6	2.4	
3165-7	0.7	42.6	18.5	16.7	3.0	5.6	3.6	
3165-8	1.6	44.5	14.6	15.8	2.3	7.1	3.1	
3165-9	1.4	43.6	15.8	16.3	2.9	5.6	3.3	
3165-10	2.8	43.6	15.0	15.3	3.5	7.7	3.2	
3165-11	2.0	44.4	14.1	13.4	3.8	8.1	3.2	
3165-12	2.3	43.9	15.6	17.1	2.6	7.0	2.9	
3165-13	2.0	44.1	15.9	14.2	3.2	7.2	3.4	
3165-14	1.2	41.2	17.3	16.1	4.7	6.1	3.3	
3165-15	2.3	43.1	16.0	16.2	2.9	7.7	2.7	
3165-16	1.4	41.6	18.0	17.6	3.6	5.7	3.0	
3165-17	1.6	43.2	18.1	13.5	4.4	6.6	3.0	
3165-18	2.1	43.8	15.9	15.9	2.3	6.9	3.0	
3165-19	1.9	40.4	15.5	14.3	5.1	9.0	3.2	

# Unusual Stover Composition Patterns Observed

	Pattern #	Glucan	Xylan	Lignin	Acetyl	Protein	Ash
	1						
	2						
Low content	3						
High content	4						
@95% confiden	ce 5						
	6						
	7						
	8						
	9						
	10						

# 2002 Re-Screen



# **Corn Lines Planted in 2002**

### CSHL MTM lines

- 26 from La Junta leaf screen
- 25 from La Junta stover screen
- 24 lines related to stover lines
- •7 positive controls
  - Purdue bm1, bm2, bm3, bm4 (in A619 background)
  - Mycogen F407 (bm3)
  - MGSC 515D (*bm1*)
  - MGSC 916C (bk2; brittle stalk)
- •6 inbred lines (negative controls)
  - A619, ND101, B73, Mo17, W22, Purdue A619
- •8 backcrossed MTM lines (from 2001)



# PCA Model - 2002 All Controls



Model 7 Samples: All controls Variables: 1000-2400 nm Weights: 1.0





Purdue bm1 Purdue bm2 Purdue bm3 Purdue bm4 Mycogen F407 MGSC 515D MGSC 916C

# **Classify MTMs into PCA Model**



Model 7 Samples: All controls Variables: 1000-2400 nm Weights: 1.0





### **Segregating MTM Families in Model 7**

#### Model 7 PC2 vs. PC3



# **Results of Secondary NIR Screen**

<u>PC2</u>	<u>PC3</u>	PC2 & PC3
•3163	•3319	<b>6959</b>
<b>O</b> 3168	•3347	<b>•</b> 8133
•3170	<b>•</b> 4976	<b>•</b> 8136
•3985	●5998	
•3992	•7249\$1-2	
•7249\$6-5	•7249\$12-7	
<b>•</b> 8137		
<b>9229</b>		



•9235

# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Reliable and repeatable NIR spectra can be obtained from the surfaces of dried corn leaf segments.
- PCA identified 26 candidate mutant families that segregate (3:1) for differences in leaf cell wall chemistry.
- •A significant fraction of unusual families from the initial leaf screen were confirmed in a secondary screen.
- •Available positive controls were of limited usefulness.
- •25 candidate families were identified in a NIR-based compositional screen of whole stover.
- A calibrated PLS1 model that determines the chemistry of samples makes identification of unusual individuals (and why they are unusual) much more straightforward.



# Acknowledgments

Regulars Kent Evans Bonnie Hames Tammy Hayward Cheryl Jurich Bob Meglen Amie Sluiter David Templeton

#### <u>DDRD</u>

Carol Riordan Bobi Garrett



Recruits Mark Davis **Greg Flack Rick French Jenny Hamilton** Eric Jarvis Mike Looker **Rich Lozano Gary McMillen** Millie Newman Joe Patrick Chris Roth Chris Scarlata **Justin Sluiter** Liz Willson

Summer Interns Martha Gitt (2000) Nicole Buyck (2000) Evan Thomas (2001) Adam Zachary (2001) Charnell Clark (2002) Jonathan Meuser (2002)

<u>Collaborators</u> Erik Vollbrecht (CSHL) Rob Martienssen (CSHL) Pat Bedinger (CSU) Wilfred Vermerris (Purdue)

# end



