#### Gene Expression Patterns in Respiratory Hypersensitivity

J.F. Regal, A.L. Greene, M.S. Rutherford, R.R. Regal, C. Giulivi, G.H. Flickinger, J.A. Hendrickson, M.E. Mohrman

**Medical School Duluth** 

**College of Veterinary Medicine** 

**Department of Mathematics and Statistics** 

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA





#### Hypothetical Effector Pathways for Asthma



## Hypothesis

#### Different antigens evoke unique effector mechanisms leading to the asthma phenotype

#### Hypothetical Effector Pathways for Asthma



#### **Trimellitic Anhydride and Ovalbumin**





Mine & Rupa (2003)

Trimellitic Anhydride MW 192

Ovalbumin MW ~45,000

### Why Ovalbumin and TMA?

#### Ovalbumin (OVA)

- Extensive information regarding effector mechanisms in murine asthma models using ovalbumin
- Occupational allergen and reference protein allergen in immunology
- Trimellitic anhydride (TMA)
  - Known respiratory occupational allergen

### **Specific Aim**

Identify differentially expressed transcripts in the lungs of mice sensitized and challenged with either ovalbumin (OVA) or trimellitic anhydride (TMA)

Unique patterns of gene expression with different allergens suggest unique effector mechanisms or reflect heterogeneity of asthma symptoms.

### **Experimental Design**

- Genetically inbred mouse, BALB/c
- Sensitized and challenged by the same experimental protocol for both OVA and TMA
- Measurement of the Asthma phenotype
  - Eosinophil infiltration into the lung
- Affymetrix Microarrays of whole lung
  MG\_U74Av2 array (>12,000 probe sets) or MG\_430 2.0 array (>45,000 probe sets)

### Why Affymetrix Arrays?

- Commercially available
  - Affymetrix does GeneChip design, quality control and annotation
  - Murine Genome Chips
    - MG\_U74A v2 + MG\_U74Bv2 + MG\_U74Cv2
    - MG\_430 2.0
- University of Minnesota Core Facility supports Affymetrix products

### **Dose and Dosing Issues**

- Sensitization and challenge regimen
  >Based on previous experience
  - Identical routes of exposure
    - To insure that any differences in the biological response were due to allergen rather than sensitization/challenge regimen.
  - Goal: Similar change in lung eosinophils in the effector phase

#### **Experimental Design** Sensitization and Challenge Regimen



#### Lung Eosinophils



Comparison of OVA/OVAc vs TMA/TMAc: No difference

Lung Tissue (RNAlater or flash frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>)

RNeasy (Qiagen)



**Total RNA (Quantitate by Spectrophotometer)** 

Superscript Choice (Invitrogen Life Technologies)

ds cDNA (Analyze by Gel Electrophoresis)

*In vitro* transcription with ENZO kit (Affymetrix)

Clean up with RNeasy (Qiagen)

**Biotin-Labeled cRNA (Analyze by Gel Electrophoresis)** 

Fragmentation buffer (Affymetrix)

Fragmented cRNA (Analyze by Gel Electrophoresis)

Mouse Chip U74Av2  $\longrightarrow$  Data Analysis

N=6-8 chips/treatment group; 1 animal per chip

### How many chips?

- What is the optimal 'n'?
  - Power analysis in microarray experiments is complicated by the number of comparisons and the goal of detecting inter-related genes
  - Cui and Churchill (2003) recommend an 'n' of 6 or more to detect relevant biological changes
  - > \$\$\$\$
- To pool or not to pool
  - **> \$\$\$\$**
  - Quantity of tissue sample limits RNA yield
  - With pooling, other biological measures cannot be correlated with individual animal's genetic expression
  - Pooling may result in a larger SE than non-pooling i.e. you don't gain as much information as you might expect.
  - Still an area of active investigation

### **Getting good RNA**

#### RNase

- > Abundant in eosinophils and the allergic lung
- Inflamed lungs are more susceptible to RNA degradation than control lungs

#### Tissue processing

- Lung lobes must be removed quickly (<1min) and immediately flash frozen or immersed in RNA*later*
- Lung is minced immediately in RNA*later* 
  - Small pieces, tube rotation

### **Data Analysis**

- Determination of Intensities of Probe Sets
- Quality Control of GeneChip
- Normalization
- Determination of Differentially Expressed Genes

### **Data Analysis**

#### Determination of Intensities of Probe Sets

- Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0 software
- GCOS = Gene Chip Operating Software
  - To produce the .cel file

#### Quality Control of GeneChip

- > Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0 or GCOS
  - Inspect image for gross flaws and abnormalities
  - Compare 5'/3' ratios, % present calls, background level and presence of spike controls in ChipReport to Affx standards
- dChip software
  - Used to identify outliers
  - Newer statistical methods are superior
- Bioconductor
  - Box plots
  - Plots of residuals and weights from robust model fitting

# Example of gross flaw or abnormality in AFFX images



### **Data Analysis**

#### Determination of Intensities of Probe Sets

- Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0
- GCOS = Gene Chip Operating Software
  - To produce the .cel file

#### Quality Control of GeneChip

- > Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0 or GCOS
  - Inspect image for gross flaws and abnormalities
  - Compare 5'/3' ratios, % present calls, background level and presence of spike controls in ChipReport to Affx standards.

> dChip

- Used to identify outliers
- Newer statistical methods are superior

#### Bioconductor

- Box plots
- Plots of residuals and weights from robust model fitting

### Outliers Identified by Bioconductor but not by Affx



Weights

Residuals

### **Data Analysis**

- Determination of Intensities of Probe Sets
- Quality Control of GeneChip
- Normalization
- Determination of Differentially Expressed Genes

### **Data Analysis**

- Normalization by the RMA Method
  - Robust Multichip Analysis
  - Accomplishes background correction, normalization and calculation of expression levels from chip intensities.
  - Increases the power to detect effects for genes with low expression
  - Uses only Perfect Match values from Affymetrix chips, not Mismatches
  - Does not utilize present or absent calls from Affymetrix software
  - Programs
    - GeneTraffic
    - Bioconductor
    - Etc

### **RMA Normalization**

#### GeneTraffic

Commercially available (Stratagene)

- > MIAME annotation
- Central backup at University of Minnesota
- User friendly

#### Bioconductor

- ≻ Free
- Frequently updated and flexible
- > Only a statistician can love this program

### **Data Analysis**

- Determination of Intensities of Probe Sets
- Quality Control of GeneChip
- Normalization
- Determination of Differentially Expressed Genes

#### Lung Eosinophils



Comparison of OVA/OVAc vs TMA/TMAc: No difference

### **Statistical Analysis**

- Question 1: For each gene, is there any difference detected across the 4 treatment groups (OVAc, OVA, TMAc, TMA)?
  - >Assuming unequal variances
  - F test using ANOVA in SAS to generate a p value

### **Statistical Analysis**

- Question 2: How do we guard against false positives with the large number of comparisons?
  - R software to generate q values for each probe set
    - ANOVA p values used to compute q values by the method of Storey & Tibshirani, 2003
    - q value is a type of False Discovery Rate

### Selection of differentially expressed candidate genes

#### Criteria

➤False Discovery Rate: q value < 0.1</p>

- Accepting that 1 of 10 selected genes could be a false positive
- Result: 855 probe sets
- Magnitude of the change
  - Ratio of gene expression for OVA/OVAc or TMA/TMAc is either > 1.2 or < 1/1.2
- >391 probe sets satisfy both criteria





### Improved Statistical Analysis Using Empirical Bayes

- Question 1: For each gene, is there any difference detected across the 4 treatment groups (OVAc, OVA, TMAc, TMA)?
  - Moderated F statistic using a similar cutoff as in the previous analysis for purposes of comparison.
  - Moderated F statistic guards against small changes being significant because of misleadingly small variances





#### **Confirmation by qRT-PCR**

#### Genes increased with both allergens Gob5 and Chi3l3



### **Confirmation by qRT-PCR**

Genes increased more with OVA than TMA



#### **Confirmation by qRT PCR**





### **Specific Aim**

Identify differentially expressed transcripts in the lungs of mice sensitized and challenged with either ovalbumin (OVA) or trimellitic anhydride (TMA)

Unique patterns of gene expression with different allergens suggest unique effector mechanisms or reflect heterogeneity of asthma symptoms.

#### Lung Eosinophils



Comparison of OVA/OVAc vs TMA/TMAc: No difference





### **Arginase Activity in Lung**



#### **Arginine Metabolism**





#### Hypothetical Model of Mechanistic Differences TMA AVC L-arginine L-arginine CAT2-CAT2-**Broncho-**Broncho-Gatm Gatm NOS dilation dilation NOS NO NO L-arginine-L-arginine Reactive Citrulline Citrulline Arg1 Arg1 Reactive nitrogen Arg2 Arg2 nitrogen **ADMA** ADMA Ornithine Ornithine Х Ddah2 Ddah2 Airway Airway remodeling remodeling

## Summary of OVA/TMA differences

- Microarray analysis
  - Differences in Arginase 1, Gatm and Ddah2 gene expression
- qRT PCR analysis
  - Confirms relative changes seen on microarray analysis for Arginase and Gatm
- Measurement of Arginase enzyme activity
  - Greater increase in OVA than TMA induced asthma models, consistent with differences in message

### Conclusion

- Pathways of arginine metabolism and the importance of nitric oxide in asthmatic inflammation may differ in OVA and TMA induced asthma.
- Differences in gene expression may reflect
  - different pathways to the asthma symptoms
  - different profile or subset of asthma symptoms *i.e.*, asthma heterogeneity

#### Hypothetical Effector Pathways for Asthma



<u>Asthma</u> Airway obstruction Eosinophil infiltration Airway hyperresponsiveness Mucus secretion Airway remodeling

#### Allergic Airway Inflammation Signature Genes 62 genes up-regulated in OVA-, TMA- and *Aspergillus-*Induced Allergic Airway Inflammation



#### **Hypothetical Effector Pathways**



### Conclusion

- OVA and TMA evoke unique patterns of gene expression in the lung
- Signature genes for allergic airway inflammation may define the events common to multiple antigens in the effector phase of asthma

Is array technology useful for screening to predict respiratory hypersensitivity?

- Events common to the induction phase of asthma are more practical as screening techniques
- Techniques would need extensive validation with a variety of respiratory allergens and negative controls

### General implications for Immunotoxicologists

- Microarray techniques
  - Well suited for looking for novel differences in mechanisms of effector pathways
    - Implications for differential therapy of asthma depending on allergen
  - Attention to experimental design and statistical analyses are critical