## 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY DEVICE AND INSTRUMENT TEMPLATE

#### **A.** 510(k) Number:

k040099

#### B. Analyte:

Software for the BDXpert System resident on the EpiCenter System

#### C. Type of Test:

Software for AST reading and interpretation

#### D. Applicant:

Becton, Dickinson and Company

## E. Proprietary and Established Names:

BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Microbiology System-Modifications to Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> System Software and for the BDXpert System resident on the EpiCenter System

## F. Regulatory Information:

1. Regulation section:

866.1645

2. Classification:

Class II

3. Product Code:

LON

4. Panel:

83 Microbiology

#### G. Intended Use:

#### 1. Intended use(s):

The BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Microbiology System is intended for *in vitro* quantitative determination of antimicrobial susceptibility by minimal inhibitory concentration of gram-negative aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria belonging to the family *Enterobacteriaceae* and Non-*Enterobacteriaceae* and gram-positive bacteria belonging to the genera *Staphylococcus* and *Enterococcus*.

#### 2. Indication(s) for use:

This is for the Modifications to Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> System Software and for the BDXpert System resident on the EpiCenter System

3. Special condition for use statement(s):

Prescription Use

4. Special instrument Requirements: Not applicable

## **H.** Device Description

The BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Microbiology System (Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> System) is an automated system for the rapid identification (ID) and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of clinically relevant bacterial isolates. The system includes the following components: BD Phoenix <sup>TM</sup> instrument and software, BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Panels containing biochemicals for organism ID testing and antimicrobial agents of AST determinations, BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> ID broth used for performing organism ID testing and preparing AST Broth inoculum, BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> AST Broth used for performing AST tests only, and BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> AST indicator solution added to the AST Broth to aid in bacterial growth determination.

#### I. Substantial Equivalence Information:

- 1. <u>Predicate device name(s):</u>
  Previously submitted software Phoenix instrumentation such as with gatifloxacin
- 2. Predicate K number(s): K020321
- 3. Comparison with predicate:

	Similarities		
Item	Device	Predicate	
Intended use	The BD Phoenix <sup>TM</sup> Automated	same	
	Microbiology System is intended	d for	
	in vitro quantitative determinati	on of	
	antimicrobial susceptibility by		
	minimal inhibitory concentration		
	gram-negative aerobic and facultative		
	maerobic bacteria belonging to the		
	family Enterobacteriaceae and I		
	Enterobacteriaceae and gram-po		
	bacteria belonging to the genera		
	Staphylococcus and Enterococcu	us	
results	Ilts SIR and MIC reported		
	Differences		
Item	Device	Predicate	
Test analysis	BDXpert System resident	Performed by BD	
	on the EpiCenter does not	Phoenix <sup>TM</sup> automated	
	perform analysis	Microbiology System	
Use of additiona	1 31	Does not use this	
information	determining interpretation	information	

Resistant marker	Uses results from	All results are read at the
feature	completed antibiotics to	completed time for each
	infer interpretations on	antibiotic
	other antibiotics when	
	applicable (as	
	recommended by NCCLS	
	etc.)	

## J. Standard/Guidance Document Referenced (if applicable):

NCCLS M7 (M100-S14) "Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard" and the "Guidance for the Content of PreMarket Submissions for Software in Medical Devices".

## **K.** Test Principle:

The system employs conventional, colorimetric, fluorogenic and chromogenic substrates to identify the genus and species of the isolate. The AST portion of the Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> System is a broth based microdilution method that utilizes a redox indicator (colorimetric oxidation-reduction) to enhance detection of organism growth. The MIC is determined by comparing growth in wells containing serial two-fold dilutions of an antibiotic to the growth in "growth control wells" which contain no antibiotic.

#### L. Performance Characteristics (if/when applicable):

## 1. Analytical performance:

- a. Precision/Reproducibility:
  - Not applicable
- b. Linearity/assay reportable range:
  - Not applicable
- c. Traceability (controls, calibrators, or method):
  - Not applicable
- d. Detection limit:
  - Not applicable
- e. Analytical specificity:
  - Not applicable
- f. Assay cut-off:
  - Not applicable

## 2. Comparison studies:

- a. Method comparison with predicate device:
  - Not applicable
- b. Matrix comparison:
  - Not applicable

## 3. Clinical studies:

- a. Clinical sensitivity:
  - Not applicable
- b. Clinical specificity:

Not applicable

- c. Other clinical supportive data (when a and b are not applicable): Not applicable
- 4. Clinical cut-off:

Not applicable

5. Expected values/Reference range:

Not applicable

#### M. Instrument Name:

BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Microbiology System

## **N. System Descriptions:**

1. Modes of Operation:

Not Applicable

2. Software:

FDA h	nas reviewed	applicant's Hazard	Analysis and	software of	development j	processes
for this	s line of prod	duct types:				

Yes \_\_X\_\_\_\_ or No \_\_\_\_\_

3. <u>Sample Identification</u>:

Not applicable

4. Specimen Sampling and Handling:

Not applicable

5. Assay Types:

Not applicable

6. Reaction Types:

Not applicable

7. Calibration:

Not applicable

8. Quality Control:

Not applicable

# O. Other Supportive Instrument Performance Characteristics Data Not Covered In The "L. Performance Characteristics" Section Of the SE Determination Decision Summary.

Not applicable

#### P. Conclusion:

The information contained within this submission is sufficient to meet the software concerns as described in the Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices, and it is recommended that, from a software standpoint, this device be considered substantially equivalent.