## 110TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION H.R.4917

To formulate situation and decision analyses, and to select procedures and systems, for deflecting and mitigating potentially hazardous near-Earth objects.

### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DECEMBER 19, 2007

Mr. ROHRABACHER introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Science and Technology

# A BILL

- To formulate situation and decision analyses, and to select procedures and systems, for deflecting and mitigating potentially hazardous near-Earth objects.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### **3** SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "NEO Preparedness5 Act".

#### 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 7 The Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) Asteroid and comet collisions rank as one of
- 9 the most costly natural disasters that can occur.

1	(2) According to the October 2007 report to
2	Congress by the National Aeronautics and Space
3	Administration (in this Act referred to as "NASA"),
4	140-meter-in-diameter asteroid collision will generate
5	the equivalent power of a 100 megaton TNT explo-
6	sion.
7	(3) There are approximately 100,000 near-
8	Earth objects 140 meters wide or larger.
9	(4) The time needed to eliminate or mitigate
10	the threat of a collision of a potentially hazardous
11	near-Earth object with Earth is measured in dec-
12	ades.
13	(5) Unlike earthquakes and hurricanes, aster-
14	oids and comets can provide adequate collision infor-
15	mation, enabling the United States to include both
16	asteroid- and comet-collision disaster recovery and
17	disaster avoidance in its public-safety structure.
18	(6) Basic information is needed for technical
19	and policy decisionmaking for the United States to
20	create a comprehensive program in order to be ready
21	to eliminate and mitigate the serious and credible
22	threats to humankind posed by potentially hazardous
23	near-Earth asteroids and comets.
24	(7) As a first step to eliminate and to mitigate
25	the risk of such collisions, situation and decision-

analysis processes, as well as procedures and system
 resources, must be in place well before a collision
 threat becomes known.

4 (8) Without establishing such processes, proce5 dures, and resources, the full range of options to
6 eliminate and to mitigate the risk of such collisions
7 is restricted or even lost.

8 (9) The public safety of the United States and 9 the planet requires the competence and expertise 10 found in NASA to prepare and to validate the poten-11 tially hazardous near-Earth object deflection situa-12 tion and decisionmaking analysis, as well as to select 13 systems and procedures, to prepare the United 14 States for readiness to avoid or to mitigate collisions 15 with potentially hazardous near-Earth objects.

#### 16 SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

17 As used in this Act—

(1) "potentially hazardous near-Earth object"
means an asteroid or comet with a trajectory that
passes less than 0.05 Astronomical Units from
Earth's orbit;

(2) "Administrator" means the Administratorof NASA;

24 (3) "adequate-warning" refers to a time period
25 starting from the time that the near-Earth object is

3

1	considered potentially haradous to the predicted time
2	of possible collision, which allows the full range of
3	readiness options to be implemented;
4	(4) "short-warning" refers to a time period that
5	allows only limited options to be implemented; and
6	(5) "comet" means near-Earth or short-warn-
7	ing comets.
8	SEC. 4. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE OFFICE OF POTENTIALLY
9	HARADOUS NEAR-EARTH OBJECTS, IDENTI-
10	FICATION OF SITUATION- AND DECISION-
11	ANALYSIS FACTORS, AND SELECTION OF
12	PROCEDURES AND SYSTEMS.
13	(a) Establishment.—The Administrator shall es-
14	tablish the Office of Potentially Hazardous Near-Earth
15	Object Preparedness (in this Act referred to as "Office").

15 Object Preparedness (in this Act referred to as "Office").
16 The purpose of the Office shall be to prepare the United
17 States for readiness to avoid and to mitigate collisions
18 with potentially hazardous near-Earth objects in collabo19 ration with other Agencies through the identification of
20 situation- and decision-analysis factors and selection of
21 procedures and systems.

(b) IDENTIFICATION OF SITUATION- AND DECISIONANALYSIS FACTORS.—The Office shall identify situationand decision-analysis factors, in collaboration with other
Agencies, by determining—

4

1	(1) the needed objective technical and nontech-
2	nical criteria upon which to analyze potentially haz-
3	ardous near-Earth object collision information and
4	to base key threat elimination-decisions and options;
5	(2) the implications of such decisions and op-
6	tions;
7	(3) the human skills needed to make key threat
8	elimination-decisions and the preparation required
9	for individuals making such decisions;
10	(4) the factors needed to formulate key techical
11	and policy questions involving such decisions;
12	(5) methods for determining and sequencing the
13	minimum possible time periods needed to make such
14	decisions;
15	(6) a model deflection and mitigation decision
16	logic flow, including provisions for minimizing—
17	(A) human exposure,
18	(B) energy, cost, and time, and
19	(C) the risk of return of potentially haz-
20	ardous near-Earth objects; and
21	(7) additional critical information needs, tech-
22	nological developments, public confidence building
23	initiatives, and any other needs involving the threat
24	of collisons of potentially hazardous near-Earth ob-
25	jects with Earth.

(c) SELECTION OF PROCEDURES AND SYSTEMS.—
 The Office shall select procedures and systems by—

3 (1) surveying the existing deflection proposals
4 and examining each proposal for critical elements in5 cluding capability, suitability, feasibility, cost, cost
6 effectiveness, required human and capital resources,
7 and maturity of needed key technologies;

8 (2) with the results from subsection (a) and 9 input from other appropriate sources, performing an 10 architectural tradeoff assessment and selecting a set 11 of deflection proposals as primary procedures and 12 systems that will provide the best opportunities for 13 deflection-preparation, taking into account adequate-14 and short-warning collision timelines, as well as rel-15 evant asteroid and comet characteristics;

16 (3) for each selected primary procedure and
17 system—

18 (A) identifying the best backup;
19 (B) defining the steps needed to realize im20 mature key technologies;
21 (C) developing preliminary models;
22 (D) performing a predicted results error-

analysis in order to confirm the characteristicsdescribed in subsection (a);

25 (E) projecting time to readiness;

1	(F) formulating an implementation phase
2	to achieve full deflection readiness;
3	(G) establishing implementation timelines
4	with measurable interim goals, and steps to
5	transfer the procedure and system resources to
6	the implementation phase; and
7	(H) identifying the crucial policy decisions
8	needed for implemention; and
9	(4) indicating possible coordination with other
10	Agencies to facilitate such activities.
11	SEC. 5. REPORTS.
12	The Administrator shall submit to the Congress the
13	following reports:
14	(1) Not later than 1 year after the date of en-
14 15	(1) Not later than 1 year after the date of en- actment of this Act, an interim report that summa-
15	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa-
15 16 17	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of-
15 16 17 18	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of- fice carried out under sections $4(b)$ and $4(c)(1)-(2)$ .
15 16	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of- fice carried out under sections 4(b) and 4(c)(1)-(2). (2) Not later than 2 years after the date of en-
15 16 17 18 19	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of- fice carried out under sections 4(b) and 4(c)(1)-(2). (2) Not later than 2 years after the date of en- actment of this Act, a concluding report that sum-
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of- fice carried out under sections 4(b) and 4(c)(1)-(2). (2) Not later than 2 years after the date of en- actment of this Act, a concluding report that sum- marizes all activities of the Office carried out under
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	actment of this Act, an interim report that summa- rizes a preliminary result of the activities of the Of- fice carried out under sections 4(b) and 4(c)(1)-(2). (2) Not later than 2 years after the date of en- actment of this Act, a concluding report that sum- marizes all activities of the Office carried out under section 4.

7

(1) not later than 90 days after submitting the
 interim report required by section 5(1), to provide
 the Administrator with advice for the concluding re port; and

5 (2) not later than 90 days after submitting con6 cluding report required by section 5(2), to provide
7 the Administrator with advice for subsequent activi8 ties under section 4.

 $\bigcirc$