Substance Group:	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction product with TEPA
Summary prepared by:	Petroleum Additives Panel Health & Environmental Research Task Group

1. Environmental Fate and Pathways

1.0 Biodegradation

Robust Summary 9-Biodeg-1

Test Substance	
CAS #	68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Test material purity - 95% active 5% HRLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline Followed	OECD 301B, Ready Biodegradability, Modified Sturm Test
Test Type (aerobic/anaerobic)	Aerobic
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (study performed)	1997
Contact time (units)	28 days
Test apparatus	Glass 4-liter Erlenmeyer flasks
Inoculum	Activated sewage sludge from a domestic wastewater treatment plant prepared with soil filtrate per test guideline. Three cultures/group were prepared. The final combined volume of test medium, test substance and inoculum in each test container was 3 liters. Solutions were continuously aerated with CO_2 free air. The test substance was incrementally added at concentrations of 4, 8 and 8 mg C/L on days 0, 7 and 11. On day 14 equal volumes of each culture were combined and the composite inoculum screened and homogenized. A standard plate count was performed on the inoculum. Plates were incubated at $20\pm3^{\circ}C$ for approximately 48 hours.
Cultures/replicates:	<u>Three replicate test cultures, three replicate blank control cultures and</u> three reference control cultures.
Temperature of incubation:	<u>20+3°C</u>
Dosing procedure:	Neat test chemical was gravimetrically added to glass cover slips, which were then added to culture medium in test vessels.
Study initiation:	Test flasks provided with CO_2 free air and mixed with a magnetic stirrer. The CO_2 produced from the degradation of organic carbon sources within each test chamber was trapped as K_2CO_3 in 0.5 N KOH and measured using a carbon analyzer.
Sampling:	Days 4, 8, 12, 15, 19, 22, 26, 28 and 29 (after acidification on day 28)
Concentration of test substance:	10 mg C/L weighed directly onto tared glass slides and placed into each test substance flask.
Controls:	Blank and positive controls used per guideline. Positive control was canola oil added to a control vessel at a loading of 10 mg C/L.

Analytical method:	The CO ₂ produced from the degradation of organic carbon sources
	within each test chamber was trapped as K ₂ CO ₃ in 0.5 N KOH and
	measured using a carbon analyzer.
Study termination:	On day 28 the pH of the content of each test flask was determined.
	The flasks were then acidified with 3 ml of concentrated hydrochloric
	acid to drive off inorganic carbonate. The chambers were aerated
	overnight and then the trapping solutions closest to the test chambers
	were analyzed for inorganic carbon.
Method of calculating	Percent biodegradation calculated as percent ratio of cumulative net
biodegradation values:	carbon dioxide to theoretical carbon dioxide as determined from
	elemental analysis of test material.
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid.
<u>Results</u> Degradation %	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid. Test substance: 5.0 ± 1.6 % in 29days (Average final pH 6.92)
<u>Results</u> Degradation %	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid. Test substance: 5.0 ± 1.6 % in 29days (Average final pH 6.92) Positive control substance: 88.3 ± 2.9 % in 29days
Results Degradation % Conclusions	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid. Test substance: 5.0 ± 1.6 % in 29days (Average final pH 6.92) Positive control substance: 88.3 ± 2.9 % in 29days The test substance was not readily biodegradable.
Results Degradation % Conclusions Data Quality	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid. Test substance: 5.0 ± 1.6 % in 29days (Average final pH 6.92) Positive control substance: 88.3 ± 2.9 % in 29days The test substance was not readily biodegradable. Reliable without restriction. (Klimisch Code)
Results Degradation % <u>Conclusions</u> <u>Data Quality</u> <u>References</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid. Test substance: 5.0 ± 1.6 % in 29days (Average final pH 6.92) Positive control substance: 88.3 ± 2.9 % in 29days The test substance was not readily biodegradable. Reliable without restriction. (Klimisch Code) Confidential business information

AQUATIC ORGANISMS

2.1 Acute Toxicity to Fish

Robust Summary 9-Fish -1

Test Substance	
CAS #	68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Test material purity - 95% active 5% HRLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline followed	Test protocol followed US EPA Toxic Substances Control Act Test Guideline #797.1400 (1985), OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals #203, Fish Acute Toxicity Test (1984).
Test Type	Acute Toxicity to Fish (Static renewal test)
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1993
Species/Strain	Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
Fish Number	30/concentration (10/replicate)
Fish Size	Average length 26-39 mm; Average weight 0.37 g
Analytical Monitoring	Total organic carbon (TOC) measurements of initial (0-h) test solutions and after one day on test (24-h) before renewal of fresh test solutions. Samples were assayed according to EPA Method 415.1
Nominal Test Substance	Control, 1, 10, 100 and 1,000 mg/L WAF loading rates. (Range find study)
Concentration Levels	Control and 1,000 mg/L WAF loading rates. (Main study)
Test Concentration Preparation	Individual water accommodated fractions (WAFs) were prepared for each test level and renewed daily. A measured weight of test material was added to a measured volume of dilution water (15-L) in a glass vessel (20-L) and stirred for 24 hours. Stirring accomplished using a magnetic stir bar. Mixing speed adjusted such that a vortex formed between 30 to 50% of the distance to the bottom. Following the mixing period, the test solutions were allowed to stand for 1 hour before the water phase was removed. The siphoned water phase (i.e., WAF) was used in the aquatic toxicity test.
Exposure Period	96 hours

Exposure Conditions	Static renewal test conditions.
Vehicle	None
Statistical Analysis	None required based on the results.
Dose Rangefinding Study	Yes
Test Chambers	20-liter glass aquaria containing 15 liters of test solution
Diluent Water	Dechlorinated well water adjusted to the appropriate hardness of 40 to 48 mg/L as $CaCO_3$. The water was passed through activated carbon, a particle filter, and then an ultraviolet sterilizer, and then it was stored in a polyethylene tank where it was aerated.
Diluent Water Chemistry	Conductivity 200 µohms/cm
	Dissolved Oxygen: 6.5-9.4 mg/L
	pH: 7.8
	Hardness: 40 mg/L CaCO ₃
	Alkalinity: 7 mg/L CaCO ₃
Photoperiod	16-h light per day using cool-white fluorescent lights with an intensity of 2 uEin ⁻¹ /m ⁻² .
Temperature Range	11.7-12.4° C ^o C
Positive Control	No
Remarks field for test conditions	Pretreatment: none, fish held for a minimum of 14 days before testing. No feeding 48 hours prior to and during the test. All organisms were observed for mortality and the number of individuals exhibiting clinical signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior at 3, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours after initiation of test material exposure.
Results	Range find study:
	All fish survived the completion of the 96-hour observation period. No undissolved test material was seen on the surface of the test vessels during the entire aquatic toxicity test.
	Main Study:
	The treated test chambers were slightly cloudy during the test. At 1000 mg/L one fish was dead at 72 hours. All of the remaining fish (29) survived the 96-hour exposure period. At 48 hours one fish exposed to the WAF was swimming erratically and gasping. No other effects were noted. Control fish were unremarkable. The 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour LC50s were >1000 mg/L WAF. The 96-hour NOEC was 1000 mg/L WAF.
	Analytical Monitoring: TOC levels were between none detected and 1 mg/L in the control and 2.0 mg/L at the 1,000 mg/L loading. TOC levels were not considered to be indicative of actual test material concentrations and results are therefore based on nominal loading rates.
	Statistical results: Statistical analysis of survival data was not warranted.
Conclusions	The 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour LC50s were >1000 mg/L WAF. The 96 hour NOEC

	was 1000 mg/L WAF
Data Quality	(1) Reliable without restriction (Klimish Code)
References	Unpublished confidential business information
Other	Updated: 4/07/2003

2.2 Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (e.g. Daphnia)

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Purity – 95% active 5%HRLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline followed	Test protocol followed US EPA Toxic Substances Control Act Test Guideline #797.1300 (1985, 1987), OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals #202 <i>Daphnia</i> sp. Acute Immobilization Test and Reproduction Test (1984).
Test Type	Static renewal acute toxicity test
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1993
Species/Strain	Daphnia magna
Analytical Monitoring	Total organic carbon (TOC) measurements of initial (0-h) test solutions and at 24 hours post initiation of exposure.
Exposure Period (unit)	48 hours
Statistical methods	Statistical analysis of the survival data was performed using standard techniques. The binomial (24 hours) or probit (48 hours) method was used to calculate LC50 values. The NOEC, no observed effect concentration, was defined as the highest concentration tested at and below which there was no toxicant-related immobilization or physical and/or behavioral abnormalities.
Remarks field for test conditions (fill as applicable)	Juvenile daphnids less than 24-hours old were produced from laboratory in-house culture. Individual water accommodated fractions (WAFs) were prepared for each test level and renewed daily. A measured weight of test material was added to a measured volume of dilution water in a glass vessel and stirred for 24 hours. Stirring was accomplished using a Teflon coated magnetic stir bar. Following the mixing period, the test solutions were allowed to stand for 60 minutes before the water phase was gently siphoned from the mixing vessel into corresponding replicate test vessels (300 mL culture dishes containing 250 mL of test solution). Twenty daphnids, less than 24 hours old were distributed into each concentration (10 daphnids/replicate). At 24 hours the test solutions were replaced with newly prepared WAF and all surviving daphnids were carefully transferred into the corresponding test vessel. Daphnids were not fed during exposure. Control test chambers were handled in an identical fashion. Light cycles were maintained at 16-hour light per day with an intensity of 5 uEin ⁻¹ m ⁻² at the surface of the culture solutions. Test solutions

Robust Summary 9-Daphnia - 1

	were maintained at 20 ± 1 C.
	Dilution water was dechlorinated tap water adjusted to the
	approximate hardness of 160-180 mg/L as CaCO ₃ .
Test Concentrations	100, 170, 280, 460 and 770 mg/L WAF
RangeFinding Study	1, 10, 100 and 1000 mg/L WAF
<u>Results</u>	
Remarks	Water chemistry: Dissolved oxygen: 7.6 – 9.0 mg/L; pH: 8.1 - 8.9; conductivity: 540 – 610 µohms/cm.
	Total Organic Carbon measurements were 2 mg/L in the 100 and 770 mg/L test concentration solutions prestudy. Analysis of 24-hour test solutions resulted in measurements of 3 mg/L and 4 mg/L in the 100 and 770 mg/L test concentration solution. TOC analysis of the control solutions at 0 and 24 hours resulted in measurements of 1 mg/L and 3 mg/L respectively.
	Throughout the exposure period small suspended particles were present in each WAF treated test vessel. At least 90% survival occurred in all control test vessels and no control sub lethal effects were noted during the test. Based on observed mortality in the WAF treated groups, the 24-hour daphnid LC50 was 160 mg/L WAF and the 24-hour EC50 was 150 mg/L. The 48-hour LC50 and EC50 values were both 150 mg/L WAF. The 48 hour NOEC was 100 mg/L.
<u>Conclusions</u>	24-hour daphnid LC50 was 160 mg/L WAF and the 24-hour EC50 was 150 mg/L. The 48-hour LC50 and EC50 values were both 150 mg/L WAF. The 48 hour NOEC was 100 mg/L.
<u>Data Quality</u>	Reliable without restriction (Klimisch Code)
References	Unpublished confidential business information
Other	Updated: 4/07/2003

2.3 Toxicity to Aquatic Plants (e.g. Algae)

Robust Summary 9-Algae-1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Test material purity not provided.
Method	
Method/Guideline	OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals #201 Alga, Growth Inhibition
followed	Test (1984).
Test Type	Static acute toxicity test (Water Accommodated Fraction- WAF)
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1993
Species/Strain	Freshwater algae, Selenastrum capricornutum
Number of cells/mL	10,000 cells/mL in three replicate cultures/test concentration.
Exposure period/duration	96 hours
Range find test	Yes
Analytical monitoring	Total organic carbon (TOC) measured at the beginning and end of the test according to EPA Method 415.1.
Statistical methods	Binominal/nonlinear interpolation method was used to calculate EC50 values.
	A parametric t test was used to calculate the no observed effect concentrations.
Remarks field for test conditions (fill as applicable)	Test Species: Selenastrum capricornutum cultures obtained from the university of Texas at Austin.
	Loading Concentrations:
	Range find Study: 1 10 100 and 1000 mg/L loading rate WAF
	Main Study: 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 mg/L loading rate WAF
	Wall Study. 1, 2, 4, 6 and 16 mg/L foading face with .
	Test System: The WAF was prepared only at the beginning of the test. A measured weight of test material was added to a measured volume of culture medium (10-L) in a glass vessel and stirred for 24 hours. Stirring accomplished using a magnetic stirrer. Mixing speed was adjusted such that the vortex extended from the surface to approximately 30% - 50% of the depth of the mixing vessel. Following the mixing period, the test solutions were allowed to stand for one hour. The WAF was removed from each concentration by siphoning. The siphoned water phase (i.e., WAF) was used for the aquatic toxicity test.
	Test Conditions: A static test was conducted; i.e., there was no daily renewal of test solution. Three 100-mL replicates per treatment, inoculum ~10,000 cells/mL. During the test all treatment and control flasks were randomly placed on an orbital shaker adjusted to approximately 100 cycles per minute under

	constant light (24 hours/day) for 96 hours. Cell densities were determined at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. pH was determined at 0 and 96 hours. The target test temperature was 24.0° C. The culture media was as specified in the guideline.
Results	During the study water quality data were as follows:
	nH· 7 6-10 9
	TOC: None detected
	No observations were given concerning visual appearance of WAF or
	presence/absence of undissolved material.
	The control algae population grew well during the test, resulting in an average of 1,467,000 cells/mL in the control.
	The 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour EC50 values for algae exposed to the WAF of the test material ranged from $1.0 - 1.3$ mg/L based on the number of cells/mL and
	from 1.0 to 1.4 mg/mL based on average specific cell growth. The 72 and 96 hour EC50s were as follows:
	72 hours 1.2 mg/L WAE (hosed on colls/ml)
	72 hours 1.2 mg/L WAF (based on cents/iii)
	72 nours 1.5 mg/L wAF (specific growth rate)
	96 nours 1.3 mg/L WAF (based on cells/ml)
	96 hours 1.4 mg/L WAF (specific growth rate)
	The 72 and 96 hour NOECs were as follows:
	72 hours 1.0 mg/L WAF (based on cells/ml)
	72 hours 1.0 mg/L WAR (susced on constraint)
	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10} $
	96 hours 1.0 mg/L WAF (based on cents/iii)
	96 nours 1.0 mg/L wAF (specific growth rate)
	The regrowth of inhibited cultures from the 2 mg/L WAF test level revealed that the effect at this concentration was algistatic.
	Control culture pH increased from 7.7 at 0 hour to 7.9 at 96 hours. This is
	test paried following a concentration dependent nettern. Greater increases were
	test period following a concentration dependent patient. Greater increases were
	observed at lower concentrations. Decreases in pH were observed at the three
	nignest concentrations. This was attributed to a greater number of viable cells at
	lower concentrations with greater utilization of carbonates and bicarbonates
	trom respiration.
<u>Conclusions</u>	The 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour EC50 values for algae exposed to the WAF of the
	test material ranged from $1.0 - 1.3$ mg/L based on the number of cells/mL and
	from 1.0 to 1.4 mg/mL based on average specific cell growth. The effect of the
	test material to the algae was algistatic.
<u>Data Quality</u>	(1) Reliable without restriction
<u>References</u>	Confidential business information.
Other	Updated: 4/07/2003

3.1 Acute Toxicity

3.1.1 Acute Oral Toxicity

Robust Summary 9-Acute Oral -1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	CAS# 68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Test material dosed as received, purity 95% active 5%HRLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline followed	EPA FIFRA 81-1 (November 1982)
Test Type	Acute oral toxicity
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1985
Species/Strain	Rats/Sprague-Dawley strain
Sex	Male and Female
No. of animals/dose	5/sex
Vehicle	None
Route of administration	Oral (intragastric)
Dose level	5.0 g/kg
Dose volume	Not provided
Control group included	Yes
Remarks field for test conditions	A single dose of the undiluted test material was administered intragastrically to five fasted (over night) male and female rats at each treatment level. A control group consisting of 5 animals/sex was included. The animals were observed for signs of toxicity or behavioral changes frequently on the day of dosing and twice daily thereafter (once daily on weekends). Individual weights were recorded on the day of dosing, on days 2 and 7 and at termination. All animals were euthanized at the conclusion of the observation period. Gross autopsies were performed on all animals after 14 days. Abnormal
	tissues were evaluated microscopically.
<u>Results</u>	LD50 > 5.0 g/kg (males and females)
Remarks	No mortality was observed. Reduced food consumption was observed in one treated male 24 hours after dosing. No other signs of toxicity were observed. There were no significant treatment related necropsy findings in any of the animals. Bilateral dilated renal pelvis was observed in a treated male and female. Upon microscopic examination, moderate hydronephrosis with mild tubular regeneration

	was observed in the male and trace hydronephrosis was observed in
	the female. This is a common congenital finding observed in
	laboratory rats and was not associated with treatment.
<u>Conclusions</u>	The test article, when administered as received to male and female
	Sprague-Dawley rats, had an acute oral LD50 of > 5.0 g/kg (males
	and females.).
<u>Data Quality</u>	Reliable without restriction (Klimisch Code)
<u>References</u>	Unpublished confidential business information
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 3/31/2003

3.1.3 Acute Dermal Toxicity

Robust Summary 9-Acute Dermal-1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	68784-17-8
Chemical Name	Isooctadecanoic acid reaction products with TEPA
Remarks	Test material purity – 95% active 5%HRLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline	
followed	OECD Guideline 402
Test Type	Acute dermal toxicity (Limit Test)
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1986
Species/Strain	Rabbits/New Zealand White
Sex	Male and female
No. of animals/sex/group	5
Vehicle	None
Route of administration	Dermal
Dose level	2 g/kg
Specific Gravity	Not provided
Control group included	Yes
Remarks field for test	Approximately 24 hours prior to topical application of the test
conditions	material, the hair of each animal was closely clipped. A single dose
	of 2 g/kg of the undiluted test material was administered dermally to
	five male and female animals. The test material was kept in contact
	with the skin for a period of 24 consecutive hours under a plastic
	sheet. Collars were used to prevent oral ingestion. After 24 hours, the
	remaining test material had hardened and could not be removed with
	mineral oil, acetone or ethanol. The test material remained on the
	animals until the skin to which the test material was adhered flaked
	away between days 7 and 14. The animals were observed for signs of
	toxicity or behavioral changes frequently on the day of dosing and
	twice daily thereafter (once daily on weekends). The skin at the
	application site was evaluated for irritation at 1, 7 and 14 days
	according to the Draize method. Individual weights were recorded on
	the day of dosing, on days 2 and 7 and at termination. All animals
	were euthanized at the conclusion of the observation period. Gross
	autopsies were performed on all animals after 14 days. Sections of
	skin from each animal and any abnormal tissues were evaluated
	microscopically.

<u>Results</u>	The test article, when administered dermally as received to 5 male and 5 female New Zealand white rabbits, had an acute dermal LD50 of greater than 2.0 g/kg. Moderate to severe dermal irritation was observed in the treated animals
Remarks	No mortality was observed. Reduced food consumption was observed in four treated animals of each sex from day 1 to day 7. Body weights were unremarkable. Reddened nictitating membranes with yellow ocular discharge were observed in two females in the treated group. All treated animals were normal by Day 8. Reddened nictitating membranes with brown or yellow ocular discharge were observed in one control male from day 2 to day 8. After 24 hours, the remaining test material had hardened and could not be removed with mineral oil, acetone or ethanol. Skin irritation ranged from well defined to moderate erythema and edema in the treated animals. By day 7 the skin in the application site had thickened and cracked with severe erythema and eschars between the cracks. Between days 7 and 14 the skin and test material flaked away leaving normal appearing skin at the application site. Skin irritation on day 14 in the treated animals consisted of a pinpoint eschar and slight erythema with no edema. The only findings observed in the controls were attributed to the tape and possible scratching.
	Microscopic compound related lesions in the skin consisted of trace to mild hyperkeratosis, epidermal crusting, dermal inflammation and acanthosis. Findings in the control group were much more focal or multifocal rather than diffuse and did not occur in every rabbit.
<u>Conclusions</u>	The test article, when administered dermally as received to 5 male and 5 female New Zealand white rabbits, had an acute dermal LD50 of greater than 2.0 g/kg. Moderate to severe dermal irritation was observed in the treated animals.
Data Quality	Reliable without restriction (Klimisch Code)
References	Unpublished confidential business information
Other	Updated: April 3, 2003

3.3 Genetic Toxicity:

Tast Substance	
<u>Test Substance</u>	60704 17 0
CAS #	U0/04-1/-0
Pomorka	Toot material purity 05% active 5% HDL PO
Kelliaiks	Test material purity – 95% active 5% HKLBO
Method	
Method/Guideline	Similar to OECD Guideline 471
followed	
Test Type	Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	1986
Test System	Salmonella typhimurium
Strains Tested	Salmonella typhimurium tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537
Exposure Method	Plate incorporation
Test Substance	0.1, 0.33, 1.0, 3.3, and 10 mg/plate with and without activation
Doses/concentration levels	
Metabolic Activation	With and without (S9 fraction mix of livers of Aroclor 1254 pretreated Sprague Dawley rats)
Vehicle	Acetone
Tester strain activation	TA98 +S9 2-aminoanthracene 2 ug/plate
status Positive Controls	TA98 -S9 2-nitrofluorene 10 ug/plate
and concentration level	TA100 +S9 2-aminoanthracene 2 ug/plate
	TA100 -S9 sodium azide 1 ug/plate
	TA1535 +S9 2-aminoanthracene 2 ug/plate
	TA1535 -S9 sodium azide 1 ug/plate
	TA1537 +S9 2-aminoanthracene 2 ug/plate
	TA1537 -S9 9-aminoacridine 50 ug/plate
Vehicle Control	Acetone
Statistical Analysis	Mean revertant colony count and standard deviation were determined
5	for each dose point.
Dose Rangefinding Study	Yes
S9 Optimization Study	Yes
Dose confirmation	Yes
Remarks field for test	This study was conducted prior to the development of OECD
conditions	Guideline No. 471. This study deviates from the guideline in that
	Tester Strain E. coli WP2 urvA Tester Strain called for in the guideline
	was not included.
	There were two treatment sets for each tester strain, with $(+S9)$ and
	without (-S9) metabolic activation. Each of the tester strains was

Robust Summary 9-Gentox-1

	dosed with five concentrations of test substance, vehicle control, and a positive control. Three plates/dose group/strain/treatment set were evaluated. 100 ul of test material, positive control or vehicle control were added to each plate along with 100 ul of tester strain, S9 mix (if needed) and 2.0-2.5 ml of top agar. This was overlaid onto the surface of minimal bottom agar in a petri dish. Due to unusual toxicity results observed in the first experiment, the amount of solvent/test material delivered to each plate was reduced to 50 ul in the second experiment. Plates were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. The numbers of revertant colonies were counted with an automated colony counter.
	The test material was considered positive if two consecutive dose levels (or the highest non-toxic dose level) produced responses at least twice (2.5 fold for TA1535 and 1537) that of the negative/solvent control and these dose levels exhibited a dose response. Study results were confirmed in a second independent assay.
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not mutagenic in this assay with or without metabolic activation.
Remarks	The test material was completely miscible with acetone but was not completely miscible with the top agar at ≥ 0.1 mg/plate. In the first experiment, in which the test material or solvent was delivered at 100 ul/plate, cytotoxicity was observed in TA 98, 100 and 1535 (1 and 3.3 mg/plate) and TA1537 (3.3 mg/plate) with metabolic activation. Test material precipitation occurred at all dose levels and interfered with cytotoxicity evaluations at the highest dose tested (10 mg/plate). Precipitation with little or no cytotoxicity was observed at all concentrations tested in the second experiment. No reproducible increases in mutation frequency were observed in any tester strain with or without metabolic activation.
	appropriate response (with or without S9) over the mean value of the vehicle control for a given strain, confirming the expected positive control response
Conclusions	Under the conditions of this study, the test material was not mutagenic.
Data Quality	Reliable without restriction (Klimisch Code).
References	Unpublished confidential business information
<u>Other</u>	Updated: April 3, 2003