Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R1SABU	Saltbush					
General Information						
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may be listed under "Model Evolutio	on and Comments")				
Modelers	Reviewers					
Jennifer Mata	jmata@blm.gov					
Louis Provencher	lprovencher@tnc.org					
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones				
Shrubland	Literature	California	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin	South Central			
ATRIP	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast			
ALLEN	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northeast	S. Appalachians			
HARA		Northern Plains	Southwest			
DISTI	3 6 4	N-Cent.Rockies				
	5					

Geographic Range

Typically found in central and southern central valleys of California, and near the Salton Sea. Found extensively in UT, NV, and portions of AZ.

Biophysical Site Description

Occurs on poorly to moderately drained saline and/or alkaline soils. Usually occurs in two phases a xerophytic phase in basins and valleys and a halophytic phase in playas and sinks. Elevations range from - 80 to 1200 meters.

Vegetation Description

Vegetation is dominated by several Atriplex spp. Throughout its range. Other genera include Haplopappus, Allenrolfea, Distichlis, and Sporobolus. Variations in vegetation occur throughout the region, based on soil drainage. This type correlates with Kuchler's (1964)Saltbush-Greasewood (type 40) and CES302.749 Sonora-Mojave Mixed Salt Desert Scrub (NatureServe 2004).

Disturbance Description

Fire regime group III, infrequent fire with mixed severity. Fire return interval is correlated to precipitation and the availability of fine fuels to carry fire. Fire would typically occur during moist years when fine fuels were persistent into late summer.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Likely adjacent to barren areas and California grassland types. This area is probably less than 3 percent of the landscape in California, but would be significant if combined with similar vegetation types in NV and UT.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

In California patches are small in size from 100's to 1000's of acres. There are several journal articles which

predict mapped areas of this vegetation, with larger patches occurring in NV and UT. Disturbance size could encompass entire patches under certain conditions.

Issues/Problems

Difficult to locate quantifiable information regarding fire regime. Not sure about the time steps associated with each class and percent cover breaks. Unsure of whether insects and/or weather would create disturbance impacts that need to be modeled. Suspect that there is disturbance associated with flood events, not sure how to incorporate that into model since that it would cause an increase in recruitment.

Model Evolution and Comments

Would like to have the Great Basin group review and refine model, to see if California type compares with that found in the Great Basin. Suggested reviewers: Dave Germano (dgermano@csu.bak.edu), Steve Laymon (slaymon@ca.blm.gov), Ellen Cypher (ecypher@esrp.org) and Bill Laudenslayer (blaudenslayer@fs.fed.us)

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 10%	Indicator Creation* and				
U_{10}	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep	ATRIP		Max		
Description	DISTI	Cover	5%	20 %	
	DISTI	Height	no data	no data	
Immediate post fire class, vegetation is dominated by forbs,		Tree Size Class no data			
resprouting grasses, and some shrubs. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform a			
Class B 45%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
			Min	Max	
Mid1 Open	ATRIP	Covor	Min	Max	
Mid1 Open Description	ATRIP DISTI	Cover	20%	40 %	
•		Cover Height Tree Size Cla	20 % no data		

Class C	45 %	Indicator Species* and				
		Canopy Position		Min	Max	
Mid1 Closed		ATRIP	Cover	40 %	70 %	
Description			Height	no data	no data	
This type occurs when there are consistently dry years with little persistent fine fuels to build a receptive fuelbed. It allows for dense stands of Atriplex to form. The vegetation is relatively stable in this state.			Tree Size	e Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
	• ,•	Canopy Position		Max		
Late1 Open			Cover	<i>Min</i>	%	
Description			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class no data	L	
		□Shrub □Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data				
Class E 0%		Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Closed	ł		-	Min	Max	
Description			Cover	0%	%	
-			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Drm Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifefo Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Fuel Model no data				
		Disturba	nces			
Non-Fire Disturbances ModeledFire Regime Group:4Insects/DiseaseI: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityWind/Weather/StressII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityNative GrazingIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityCompetitionV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severityOther:Other:						

<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	100	60	200	0.01	70	
✓ Literature	Mixed	235			0.00426	30	
✓ Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires	70			0.01427		
Poforonaca							

References

Barbour, M. & Major, J. 1988. Terrestrial Vegetation of California, New Expanded Edition. California Native Plant Society. Special Publication Number 9.

Branson, F. & Miller, R. Geographic Distribution and Factors Affecting the Distribution of Salt Desert Shrubs in the United States. Journal of Range Management, Vol 20, No. 5. (Sept., 1967), 287-296.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

NatureServe. 2004. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of October 12, 2004.

Sankary, M. & Barbour, M. Autoecology of Atriplex Polycarpa from California. Ecology, Vol 53, No. 6. (Nov., 1972), 1155-1162.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ (October 27,2004).