## No. 95-1517

Arthur Derby,

Appellant,

v.

Appeal from the United States

District Court for the

John Lambert; Horn, C/O; Mapes, C/O; Robert Washington; Southern District of Iowa.

John Emmett,

[UNPUBLISHED]

Appellee.

Submitted: February 13, 1996

Filed: February 23, 1996

Before BEAM, LOKEN, and MORRIS SHEPPARD ARNOLD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Arthur Derby, an Iowa inmate, appeals the district court's 1 adverse grant of summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 suit. He contended that while he was in disciplinary segregation, defendant prison officials violated his First Amendment rights when they confiscated his rosary because it had an attached plastic crucifix. The district court stated that Derby's rosary was "essentially the same" as the rosary found to be a security risk in Mark v. Nix, 983 F.2d 138 (8th Cir. 1993) (per curiam), and concluded that, as in Mark, defendants' confiscation of a hard plastic crucifix they reasonably believed to be a security risk did not violate Derby's rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Charles R. Wolle, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

We have examined the parties' submissions, including the rosary and crucifix submitted to the district court by Derby. We conclude that our decision in <u>Mark</u> controls the outcome of this case. Because an opinion would thus lack precedential value, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.