# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):							
R3OCWO	Madrean Oak Conifer Woodland						
General Information							
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may be listed under "Model Evolu	ution and Comments")					
Modelers	<u>Reviewers</u>						
Tyson Swetnam	tswetnam@u.arizona.edu						
Reese Lolley	rlolley@fs.fed.us						
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Woodland	<b>∠</b> Literature	California Pacific Northwest					
Dominant Species*	Local Data	Great Basin South Central					
JUDE2	<b>✓</b> Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast					
OUEM	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	☐ Northeast ☐ S. Appalachians					
PILE	14 24 28	Northern Plains ✓ Southwest					
MUMO	15 25	N-Cent.Rockies					
	23 27						

### **Geographic Range**

Southern Arizona, southern New Mexico, and western Texas.

### **Biophysical Site Description**

The oak and conifer woodlands of the interior Southwest is described by Brown (1994) as having open evergreen oaks, alligator bark junipers, and Mexican pines that range from 15 to 50 feet (6-15 meters) in height with an understory dominated by grasses.

Kuchler (1964) includes this type within type number 31, the oak-juniper woodland. For the coarse-scale PNVGs this type was included in type number 26, Chaparral. This PNV type is included in Bailey's (1995) and McNab and Avers (1994) Ecoregions within the Chihuahuan Semi-Desert province, Basin and range section (321A), and the Arizona-New Mexico Semi-desert Mountains province (M313) within the White Mountain-San Francisco Peaks Section (M313A) and Sacramento-Monzano Mountain Section (M313B).

### Vegetation Description

The natural vegetation structure was dominated by open late seral woodland on slopes and ridges transitioning to somewhat closed woodland in draws and on rocky slopes, with interspersed patches of early and mid seral structures resulting from stand replacement fire. Shrubs and forbs were low density scattered throughout. Species dominating the natural regime include alligator juniper (Juniperus deppeana), emory oak (Quercus emoryi), mountain muhly (Mulenbergia montana), sideoats gramma (Bouteloua curtipendula), and blue gramma (Bouteloua gracilis). Climax indicator species include alligator juniper (Juniperus deppeana) and one-seed juniper (Juniperus osteosperma) at lower elevations; Chihuahuan Pine (Pinus lieophylla), Apache Pine (Pinus engelmannii), and Pinyon pine (Pinus spp.) at higher elevations. Madrean oaks (Quercus spp.), Arizona Madrone (Arbutus arizonica), and various shrubs may be codominant.

### **Disturbance Description**

Regime I (frequent surface- and mixed severity fires) with fire intervals generally ranging from 5-20 years long (approx. 10-yr MFI; Brown and Smith 2000, USDA 2002). Large-diameter alligator junipers and evergreen oaks often survive 1 to 3 low intensity fires resulting in "cat face" scars with char at the base of the tree, whereas Mexican pines can survive multiple low intensity fires. Fire severity can be mixed in both space and time, for example, high-severity fires can occur on relatively productive sites, or during extreme fire weather and prolonged droughts. Alligator juniper and evergreen oaks that are top killed by fire resprout, indicating adaptation to frequent fire, but not to very frequent fire (less than 6 years), which would eliminate these species. Severity of fire is likely mixed in both space and time. In years when fire burned in very dry conditions, with considerable grassy fuel and wind, they would likely burn as surface fires on gentle terrain, but open up patches on steeper terrain and in wide draws where tree canopies tend to be more dense. In other years when fire burned in more moist conditions, without wind, or as backing fires, they likely would burn much of the area as surface fires. Greater than 120 day burning days with primary seasons of May-July and September-October. We estimate a range from 6 to 20 years with a mean fire interval of 10 years (Swetnam and Baisan 1996).

Drought was likely the most common natural disturbance in addition to fire. Fire years generally coincide with moist periods that produced considerable grassy fuel, followed by a dry period. Grazing by large ungulate herds may have caused some disturbance and interacted with fire and drought.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This PNV can be confused with the Great Basin Conifer Woodland type of Brown (1994), the juniper-pinyon or juniper steppe types of the coarse-scale type PNVGs (Schmidt et al. 2002) and Kuchler (1964) PNV. The presence of old, often large diameter, mushroom shaped alligator juniper, evergreen oaks, and long needle pines that are older than post-Euro-American settlement, with scattered old, large diameter logs are good indicators of this type. Site indicator species include alligator juniper, oaks, mountain muhly, blue gramma, and sideoats gramma.

# **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature  Local Data	Expert Estimate
a zone between the warr	ner and drver Plains Mesa	Grassland at lower

Typical landscapes in this PNV form a zone between the warmer and dryer Plains Mesa Grassland at lower elevations and the moister Woodland-Grassland Complex on slopes and mesas at higher elevations. Contiguous landscapes of this PNV can range from as small as a quarter section (160 acres) to as large as a township (36 sections, 23,000 acres).

# Issues/Problems

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model is based on the original FRCC model OCWI was renamed R3OCWO in the Albuquerque workshop.

This model did not receive any peer review.

## Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 9%		Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early 1 Doct	Dan	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Early1 PostRep		MUMO	Cover	10%	20 %		
<u>Description</u>		BOGR2	Height	no data	no data		
_	ass and fire-adapted	BOCU	Tree Size Class no data				
forbs: herbaceous life form with 10-30 % canopy and 20% average; mountain muly, blue gramma, sideoats gramma, asters, penstemons, sprouting shrubs		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B	10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for upper layer	r lifeform)		
		JUDE2		Min	Max		
Mid1 Closed	1	QUEM	Cover	15%	70 %		
<u>Description</u>	11 1	MUMO	Height	no data	no data		
	oodland, typically in ctive draws and	PILE	Tree Size	Class no data	=-		
northerly aspects: woodland life form with 15-70% canopy, average of 55%; alligator juniper, oaks, mahogany, mountain muly, blue gramma		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Canopy Position		Data (for upper layer Min	<u>lifeform)</u> Max				
Mid1 Open		MUMO	Cover	5 %	15 %		
<u>Description</u>		JUDE2	Height	no data	no data		
Mid-seral grasslands on southerly		QUEM	Tree Size	no data			
slopes & ridges: grass dominated herbaceous life form with species such as mountain muhly, blue gramma, and sideoats gramma; 25-65% herbaceous cover; 5-15% canopy of scattered trees and shrubs, such as alligator juniper, oaks;		PILE		Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class D	70%	Indicator Species Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open		MUMO		-		Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>		JUDE2		Cover		5%	35 %	
	en woodland on	QUEM		Height	l	io data	no data	
Late-seral open woodland on slopes & ridges: woodland life form with 5-35% canopy, 25% average; alligator juniper, oaks, mountain muly, blue gramma, sideoats gramma;		PILE		Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	s	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	1%	Indicator Species		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
I stal Classi		Canopy Position	ı			Min	Max	
Late1 Closed		JUDE2		Cover		35 %	70%	
<u>Description</u>		QUEM		Height	n	io data	no data	
	osed woodland raws or on steep rocky	PILE MUMO		Tree Size	e Class	no data		
canopy, avera	e form with 35-70% age of 55%; alligator , mahogany, scattered rasses	Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no						
Non-Fire Dist	urbances Modeled	Fire Regime G		1				
✓ Insects/Dis ✓ Wind/Wea  ☐ Native Gra ☐ Competitio ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	sease ather/Stress azing	I: 0-35 year II: 0-35 yea III: 35-200 y IV: 35-200 y V: 200+ yea	frequent r frequent rear frequent rear frequent	cy, low and ncy, replace uency, low uency, repl	ement sev and mixe lacement	verity ed severity severity		
Historical Fire Avg: Min: Max:	e Size (acres)	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
_			Avg FI	Min FI	Max F	FI Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fi	re Regime Data	Replacement	65			0.01538	16	
<b>✓</b> Literatu	ıre	Mixed	140			0.00714	8	
Local E	<b>D</b> ata	Surface	14	1	20	0.07143	76	

All Fires

11

**✓** Expert Estimate

0.09396

# References

Bailey, Robert G. 1995. Descriptions of the ecoregions of the United States. 2nd ed. Rev. and expanded (1st ed. 1980). Misc. Publ. No. 1391 (rev.), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service. 108 p. with separate map at 1:7,500,000.

Brown, David E. 1994. Biotic communities southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, UT. 342 p.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

Dick-Peddie, William A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation past present and future. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM. 244 p.

McNab, W. Henry; Avers, Peter E. 1994. Ecological subregions of the United States: section descriptions. USDA Forest Service, Ecosystem Management, Washington DC. WO-WSA-5. 250 p plus appendices and maps.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Swetnam, T. W. and C. H. Baisan 1996. Fire histories of montane forests in the Madrean Borderlands. In P. F. Ffolliott et al. tech. coords., Effects of fire on Madrean Province Ecosystems, A symposium proceedings, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-GTR-289:15-36.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.