# Remittances Constitute the Third Source of Foreign Exchange for Peru's Economy During 2005-2006. 

## Migration and Remittance Volume

Latin American migration to more developed countries for improved life conditions has shown exponential growth during the last three decades (1976-2006), where roughly 40 million people have sallied to the U.S., of which, $20 \%$ (i.e., eight million migrants) reside illegally.

In his book, Remesas en el Peru, Dr. Jorge Zorilla states that remittances exist due to the obligation felt by each Latin American migrant to provide money to their families. Bendixen \& Associates (BA) household survey shows that the large majority of receivers (28\%) obtained remittances from either their son or daughter, followed by $21 \%$ who received remittances from a non-immediate family member, while $18 \%$ received remittances from their mother or father.

According to the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), Latin American Countries (LAC) and the Caribbean received over US $\$ 53.6$ billion in remittances in 2005. In 2006, there was a $12 \%$ increase (US $\$ 60$ billion) of remittances to LAC and the Caribbean, where $75 \%$ originated from the U.S and nearly $15 \%$ from Western Europe.

It is estimated that Peru received approximately US\$1.4 billion in remittances in 2005 and US $\$ 1.7$ billion in 2006, $53 \%$ of which came from the U.S and $35 \%$ from Europe. The Banco Central de Reserva del Peru (BCRP, Peru's Central Bank) estimates that remittances will reach US $\$ 2.0$ billion in 2007 and US $\$ 2.2$ billion in 2008. Remittances to Peru have tripled from 1996-2006, obtaining a $1.9 \%$ of the Peruvian GDP. In total, world remittances have reached a total of US $\$ 270$ billion to date and is expected to continue growing in the foreseeable future (i.e., 2008-2009).

Remittance Frequency and Channels
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A 2006 report by the Multilateral Investment Fund (MIF) and BA, stated that the average individual remittance to the originating Latin American countries (LAC) ranges from US\$100 - US\$300.

The figure to the left shows that the majority (74\%) of Peruvian recipients receive remittances at least one time a month and $26 \%$ receive their remittances on a less frequent basis.

Individual spending habits differ. The latest remittance survey conducted by $B \& A$ showed that $60 \%$ of Peruvian receivers use their remittances for daily expenses (i.e., groceries, transportation, etc.), $21 \%$ for education, $8 \%$ for business expenses, while 6\% put it in their savings account and 2\% use it for housing.

We can analyze remittance recipients based on their age group. For example, the largest receivers of remittances in Peru are roughly $27 \%$ ( 2 million) of the $28 \%$ who fall between the ages of 18 and 25 , and the $27 \%$ ( 2 million) of the $28 \%$ who fall between the ages of 46 and up. $54 \%$ ( 7.0 million) of the $49 \%$ male population received remittances and $46 \%$ ( 6.2 million) of the $51 \%$ female population received remittances.

Ample opportunity exists for remittance companies and banks (i.e., Western Union) that respectively accounted for $48 \%$ and $33 \%$ of remittance transfers in 2006, while 19\% went through courier companies, cooperatives, or travel agents. The BCRP projects that the current trend of lower transfer costs will continue to yield larger remittance transfers through banks than through remittances companies.

Other remittance channels exist. Indirect remittance channels are created as $60 \%$ of Peruvian
 recipients divide their money amongst other Peruvians (i.e., $28 \%$ divide with one person while $17 \%$ divide with two people). Accordingly, Peruvian magazine ViceVersa states that Peru received close to US\$ 500 million worth of interregional remittances in 2005. Hence, both indirect and interregional channels are expected to concurrently develop with the anticipated remittance growth to LAC in the foreseeable future (2007-2008).

The future of the Peruvian remittance market will present an assortment of opportunities for U.S. companies as Peruvians continue to use remittances for basic expenses, savings, and/or long-term investments.

An article in the February edition of The Economist called "A Cash Call", discusses how smart cards and mobile phones have now enabled people to remit money back to their home country. Companies have already started to tap into the remittance market with this technology. During February, Mastercard began operating a system of 19 telephone operators with networks in 100 countries that allows people to remit money as text messages. And for those without bank accounts, Mastercard converts credit into pre-paid cards "which can then be used to buy things." Nonetheless, this technology has not reached the Peruvian market but offers a rewarding opportunity for U.S. companies willing to provide this service to those Peruvian migrants that send roughly US $\$ 2$ billion back to Peru.

## For More Information

The U.S. Commercial Service in Lima, Peru can be contacted via e-mail at: Rosario.Llontop@mail.doc.gov; Phone: (51 1) 434-3040; Fax: (51 1) 434-3041 or visit our website: www.buyusa.gov/pe/en.

## The U.S. Commercial Service - Your Global Business Partner

With its network of offices across the United States and in more than 80 countries, the U.S. Commercial Service of the U.S. Department of Commerce utilizes its global presence and international marketing expertise to help U.S. companies sell their products and services worldwide. Locate the U.S. Commercial Service trade specialist in the U.S. nearest you by visiting http://www.export.gov/.
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