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Table 1 -- Firmographics by Mail Class

|  | Total | Respondent Category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Send First-Class Mail | Send Standard A Mail | Send Periodicals Mail |
| Location Characteristics |  |  |  |  |
| Median Number of Company Locations | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| Median Number of Employees at Location | 95 | 189 | 89 | 130 |
| Median Number of Employees in Total | 228 | > 1000 | 206 | 209 |
| Overall Mail Volumes (millions pieces per year) |  |  |  |  |
| Mean First-Class Location Volume | 3.4 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| Mean Standard A Location Volume | 5.7 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 1.4 |
| Mean Periodicals Location Volume | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Eligible Mail Classes |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Locations with Eligible First-Class Volume | 50 | 100 | 39 | 27 |
| Percent of Locations with Eligible Standard A Volume | 64 | 55 | 100 | 33 |
| Percent of Locations with Eligible Periodicals Volume | 23 | 7 | 11 | 100 |
| Geographical Scope of Organization* |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Local | 58 | 39 | 58 | 62 |
| Percent Regional | 13 | 19 | 14 | 8 |
| Percent National | 29 | 42 | 29 | 30 |
| Types of Organizations* |  |  |  |  |
| Percent that are Printing/Publishing Organizations | 27 | 14 | 26 | 50 |
| Percent that are Other For-Profit Organizations | 53 | 67 | 51 | 29 |
| Percent that are Non-Profit Organizations | 20 | 19 | 23 | 21 |
| Foreign Operations |  |  |  |  |
| Percent with Foreign Locations | 18 | 21 | 17 | 22 |
| Percent with Foreign Headquarters | 0.4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| (Base) | (415) | (199) | (244) | (91) |
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Table 2 -- Firmographics by Likelihood to Send Remail ${ }^{*}$


[^1]Joint Study on Article 43

Table 2 -- Firmographics by Likelihood to Send Remail*

|  | Likely to Send Remail** |  |  |  | Not Likely to Send Remail |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (Any Mail Class) | FirstClass | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Periodicals | Total (No Mail Classes) | FirstClass | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \text { A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Periodicals |
| Geographical Scope of Organization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Local | 51 | 42 | 47 | 52 | 61 | 39 | 64 | 69 |
| Percent Regional | 12 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 21 | 12 | 12 |
| Percent National | 38 | 46 | 38 | 46 | 25 | 40 | 24 | 19 |
| Types of Organizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent that are Printing/ Publishing Organizations | 26 | 25 | 21 | 54 | 28 | 11 | 29 | 47 |
| Percent that are Other For-Profit Organizations | 55 | 63 | 61 | 36 | 52 | 68 | 46 | 23 |
| Percent that are Non-Profit Organizations | 19 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 30 |
| Foreign Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent with Foreign Locations | 32 | 36 | 35 | 42 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Percent with Foreign Headquarters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| (Base) | (138) | (62) | (78) | (25) | (277) | (137) | (166) | (66) |
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Table 3 -- Mail Characteristics
(Location-Specific Averages Among Mailers Sending Indicated Type of Mail)*

|  | Mail Type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals |
| Mail Shape (percent of total pieces)** |  |  |  |
| Flats/Packages | 15 | 36 | N/A |
| Cards/Letters | 85 | 64 | N/ A |
| Periodicals | N/ A | N/A | 100 |
| Entry Discounts (percent of total pieces)** |  |  |  |
| Volume Receiving Discount | N/ A | 55 | 52 |
| Payment Option (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |
| Organization's Own Permit | 37 | 90 | 92 |
| Third Party Permit | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Other Form of Payment | 55 | 3 | 2 |
| Mail Contents (percent of total pieces)** |  |  |  |
| Transactional Mail | 53 | N/A | N/A |
| Advertising Mail | 16 | 55 | N/A |
| Catalog Mail | 4 | 33 | N/A |
| Other Mail | 28 | 13 | N/A |

[^3]Table 3 -- Mailing Characteristics
(Location-Specific Averages Among Mailers Sending Indicated Type of Mail)*

|  | Mail Type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals |
| Worksharing Volume (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |
| Non-Worksharing | 16 | 11 | 9 |
| Presorted/Non-Automation | 10 | 14 | 20 |
| Presorted and Automation | 75 | 75 | 71 |
| Printing/Production Location (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |
| Internal to Company | 58 | 35 | 25 |
| External to Company | 42 | 65 | 75 |
| Preparation Location (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |
| Internal to Company | 79 | 61 | 47 |
| External to Company | 21 | 39 | 53 |
| Primary Mail Destination (percent of locations) |  |  |  |
| Within City Limits | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Outside City Limits but Within State | 37 | 27 | 28 |
| Outside of State | 51 | 60 | 59 |
| Delivery Time (days from completed production to delivery) |  |  |  |
| Average | 3.6 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| Variability (+/-) | 2.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| (Base) | (199) | (244) | (91) |
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Table 4 -- Mailing Characteristics (Among Mailers Sending Indicated Type of Mail)*

|  | Likely to Send Remail** |  |  | Not Likely to Send Remail |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals |
| Mail Shape (percent of total pieces)*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flats/Packages | 10 | 28 | N/A | 17 | 46 | N/A |
| Cards/Letters | 90 | 72 | N/A | 83 | 54 | N/A |
| Periodicals | N/A | N/A | 100 | N/A | N/A | 100 |
| Entry Discounts (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Receiving Discount | N/A | 58 | 63 | N/A | 54 | 44 |
| Payment Option (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organization's Own Permit | 59 | 92 | 89 | 32 | 87 | 95 |
| Third Party Permit | 3 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 3 |
| Other Form of Payment | 38 | 5 | 2 | 60 | 1 | 2 |
| Mail Contents (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transactional Mail | 33 | N/A | N/A | 58 | N/A | N/A |
| Advertising Mail | 54 | 68 | N/A | 6 | 40 | N/A |
| Catalog Mail | 9 | 24 | N/A | 2 | 43 | N/A |
| Other Mail | 4 | 9 | N/A | 34 | 17 | N/A |

(Continued)

[^5]Table 4 -- Mailing Characteristics (Among Mailers Sending Indicated Type of Mail)*

|  | Likely to Send Remail** |  |  | Not Likely to Send Remail |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals | First-Class | Standard A | Periodicals |
| Worksharing (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Worksharing | 24 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 23 | 11 |
| Presorted/Non-Automation | 27 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 26 |
| Presorted and Automation | 49 | 84 | 83 | 81 | 63 | 62 |
| Printing/Production Location (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal to Company | 38 | 32 | 19 | 64 | 37 | 29 |
| External to Company | 62 | 68 | 81 | 36 | 63 | 71 |
| Preparation Location (percent of total pieces) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal to Company | 69 | 59 | 59 | 81 | 61 | 38 |
| External to Company | 31 | 41 | 41 | 19 | 39 | 62 |
| Primary Mail Destination (percent of locations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within City Limits | 10 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 17 |
| Outside City Limits but Within State | 34 | 15 | 5 | 37 | 33 | 44 |
| Outside of State | 56 | 79 | 88 | 49 | 50 | 38 |
| Delivery Time (days from completed production to delivery) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 3.6 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 5.3 |
| Variability (+/-) | 2.4 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| (Base) | (62) | (78) | (25) | (137) | (166) | (66) |
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Table 5
Mailing Costs by Mail Type \& Cost Component/Workstep

|  | First-Class Mail Flats/ Packages | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { First-Class } \\ \text { Mail Cards/ } \\ \text { Letters } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Standard A Mail Flats/ Packages | Standard A Mail Cards/ Letters | Periodicals Mail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Mailing Cost Per Piece(\$) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost | 2.75 | 1.74 | 0.96 | 0.42 | 1.60 |
| Postage Cost | 1.59 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.42 |
| Production/Preparation Cost | 1.16 | 1.44 | 0.66 | 0.27 | 1.18 |
| Production/Preparation Cost Breakdown (Percent for Each Component) |  |  |  |  |  |
| External Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Printing | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) | 55 ( $\mathrm{n}=43$ ) | 32 (n=76) | 27 (n=67) | 42 (n=39) |
| Other Contracting | 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | 8 ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | 14 ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | 8 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) |
| In-House Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation or Lease Payments | 29 ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) | 3 (n=5) |
| Maintenance | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | 5 (n=34) | 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) | 10 ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) |
| Personnel | 22 (n=24) | 12 (n=36) | 23 ( $\mathrm{n}=42$ ) | 15 ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 20 ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) |
| Space | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=19)$ | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |
| Consumables | 35 ( $\mathrm{n}=19)$ | 7 ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) | 19 (n=31) | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 11 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) |
| Transportation to Mail Facility | 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | 14 ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) |
| Administration and Overhead | 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Production/Preparation Cost Breakdown (Percent for Each Workstep)* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Printing/Production/Collating | 23 ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) | 39 (n=52) | 55 (n=74) | 33 (n=63) | 49 (n=39) |
| Inserting | 19 ( $\mathrm{n}=39$ ) | 33 ( $\mathrm{n}=55$ ) | 14 ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ) | 33 ( $\mathrm{n}=66$ ) | 19 ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) |
| Presorting | 10 ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ ) | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=57$ ) | 17 ( $\mathrm{n}=63$ ) | 2 (n=61) | 15 ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) |
| Barcoding | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ ) | 17 ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ ) | 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=58$ ) | 10 ( $\mathrm{n}=53$ ) | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) |
| List Maintenance | 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) | 4 (n=36) | 2 (n=51) | 12 ( $\mathrm{n}=53$ ) | 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) |
| Transportation | 13 (n=33) | 2 (n=44) | 2 (n=51) | 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=48$ ) | 8 ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) |
| Other | 26 (n=19) | 0 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | 7 ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) | 6 ( $\mathrm{n}=34$ ) | 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^7]Table 6 -- Remail Propensities (Among All Mailers Sending Indicated Type of Mail)

|  | Mail Class |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class <br> $(\%)$ | Standard A <br> $(\%)$ | Periodicals <br> $(\%)$ |
| Likely to Use Remail (percent of locations)* |  |  |  |
| Within 12 months | 9 | 14 | 13 |
| Within 5 years |  |  |  |
| (Base) | 23 | 35 | 42 |
| Likely to Use Remail in Next 3-5 Years (If Restrictions Are Enforced |  |  |  |
| (percent of locations)* |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 | $(199)$ | $(244)$ | $(91)$ |
| Restriction 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Restriction 3 | 10 | 9 | 17 |
| Restriction 4 | 10 | 14 | 12 |
| Restrictions 2-4 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| (Base)** | 7 | 5 | 5 |

[^8]** Bases differ due to incomplete respondent data.
Source: Q.3-1a, 3-1b, 4-1a, 4-1b, 4-1c, 4-1d, 4-2

Table 7 -- Remail Propensities Among Likely Remailers (Among Mailers Likely to Send Remail that Send Indicated Type of Mail)

|  | Likely to Send Remail* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First-Class } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Standard A (\%) | Periodicals (\%) |
| Likely to Use Remail (percent of locations)* |  |  |  |
| Within 12 months | 41 | 41 | 31 |
| Within 5 years | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| (Base) | (62) | (78) | (25) |
| Likely to Use Remail in Next 3-5 Years (If Restrictions Are Enforced (percent of locations)* |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 | 21 | 12 | 7 |
| Restriction 2 | 47 | 27 | 41 |
| Restriction 3 | 45 | 39 | 28 |
| Restriction 4 | 33 | 15 | 12 |
| Restrictions 2-4 | 34 | 14 | 11 |
| (Base)** | (60) | (77) | (25) |

[^9]Source: Q.3-1a, 3-1b, 4-1a, 4-1b, 4-1c, 4-1d, 4-2

Table 8 - Likely Worksharing Practices in Conjunction with Remail (Among Mailers Likely to Send Remail that Send Indicated Type of Mail)

|  | Likely to Send Remail* |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First-Class <br> (\%) | Standard A <br> (\%) | Periodicals <br> (\%) |
| Likely Worksharing Practices in Conjunction with Remail (percent of locations) |  |  |  |
| No-Worksharing | 31 | 13 | 32 |
| Presorting Only | 17 | 15 | 7 |
| Presorting and Barcoding | 52 | 72 | 61 |
| (Base)** $^{2}$ | $(61)$ | $(77)$ | $(25)$ |

[^10]Source: Q. 3-3c1

Table 9 -- Projected Remail Behaviors Among Likely Remailers

|  | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Propensity to Use Remail by Mail Content Category (percent of locations)* |  |
| Transactional Mail | 16 |
| Advertising Mail | 63 |
| Catalog Mail | 45 |
| Other Types of Mail | 22 |
| (Base) | (50) |
| Time-Frame in Which Remail Might be Implemented (percent of locations)** |  |
| Within 6 months | 14 |
| Within 6 months to 1 year | 48 |
| Within 1 to 2 years | 31 |
| Over 2 years | 8 |
| (Base)*** | (136) |

[^11]Table 10 - Awareness and Perceptions of Restrictions by Mail Class*

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total }^{* *} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Respondent Category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Send First-Class Mail (\%) | Send Standard A Mail (\%) | Send Periodicals Mail (\%) |
| Percent Aware of Current Remail Restrictions |  |  |  |  |
| Aware of Current Restrictions | 8 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| Perceived Effectiveness of Restrictions |  |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 is a Strong Deterrent | 72 | 74 | 74 | 72 |
| Restriction 1 is a Moderate Deterrent | 22 | 21 | 21 | 26 |
| Restriction 1 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Restriction 2 is a Strong Deterrent | 42 | 38 | 48 | 42 |
| Restriction 2 is a Moderate Deterrent | 50 | 53 | 43 | 54 |
| Restriction 2 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 8 | 9 | 9 | 4 |
| Restriction 3 is a Strong Deterrent | 38 | 38 | 41 | 34 |
| Restriction 3 is a Moderate Deterrent | 48 | 44 | 46 | 49 |
| Restriction 3 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 14 | 18 | 13 | 18 |
| Restriction 4 is a Strong Deterrent | 47 | 42 | 54 | 47 |
| Restriction 4 is a Moderate Deterrent | 36 | 38 | 32 | 31 |
| Restriction 4 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 17 | 20 | 14 | 22 |
| (Base)*** | (409) | (196) | (242) | (88) |

[^12]Table 11 -- Awareness and Perceptions of Restrictions by Likelihood to Send Remail Type*

|  | Likely to Send Remail*** |  |  |  | Not Likely to Send Remail |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (Any Mail Class)** $(\%)$ | First- <br> Class <br> (\%) | Standard A (\%) | Periodicals (\%) | Total (No Mail Classes) (\%) | First- <br> Class <br> (\%) | Standard A (\%) | Periodicals (\%) |
| Percent Aware of Current Remail Restrictio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aware of Current Restrictions | 10 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 2 |
| Perceived Effectiveness of Restrictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 is a Strong Deterrent | 69 | 72 | 71 | 83 | 74 | 82 | 75 | 61 |
| Restriction 1 is a Moderate Deterrent | 24 | 21 | 23 | 15 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 36 |
| Restriction 1 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 7 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Restriction 2 is a Strong Deterrent | 30 | 25 | 39 | 35 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| Restriction 2 is a Moderate Deterrent | 60 | 68 | 53 | 61 | 44 | 44 | 39 | 42 |
| Restriction 2 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 10 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 5 |
| Restriction 3 is a Strong Deterrent | 26 | 32 | 30 | 13 | 44 | 43 | 46 | 45 |
| Restriction 3 is a Moderate Deterrent | 56 | 57 | 57 | 48 | 43 | 44 | 41 | 46 |
| Restriction 3 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 18 | 12 | 13 | 40 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 8 |
| Restriction 4 is a Strong Deterrent | 39 | 31 | 49 | 43 | 52 | 42 | 56 | 49 |
| Restriction 4 is a Moderate Deterrent | 39 | 48 | 37 | 19 | 34 | 44 | 31 | 31 |
| Restriction 4 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 22 | 22 | 14 | 37 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 20 |
| (Base) + | (135) | (60) | (77) | (25) | (274) | (136) | (165) | (63) |
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Table 12 -- Awareness and Perceptions of Restrictions by Business Location Employee Size*

|  | Total (\%) | Business Location Employee Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-99 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100-499 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500+ \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Perceived Effectiveness of Restrictions is 50\% or Greater |  |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 is a Strong Deterrent | 72 | 71 | 71 | 77 |
| Restriction 1 is a Moderate Deterrent | 22 | 22 | 24 | 22 |
| Restriction 1 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 5 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Restriction 2 is a Strong Deterrent | 42 | 40 | 43 | 44 |
| Restriction 2 is a Moderate Deterrent | 50 | 51 | 51 | 47 |
| Restriction 2 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 8 | 9 | 6 | 9 |
| Restriction 3 is a Strong Deterrent | 38 | 38 | 41 | 35 |
| Restriction 3 is a Moderate Deterrent | 48 | 50 | 48 | 42 |
| Restriction 3 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 14 | 12 | 11 | 22 |
| Restriction 4 is a Strong Deterrent | 47 | 48 | 42 | 51 |
| Restriction 4 is a Moderate Deterrent | 36 | 34 | 41 | 33 |
| Restriction 4 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 17 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
| Percent Aware of Current Remail Restrictions |  |  |  |  |
| Aware of Current Restrictions | 8 | 5 | 5 | 16 |
| (Base)** | (409) | (228) | (110) | (71) |

[^14]Joint Study on Article 43

Table 13 -- Awareness and Perceptions of Restrictions by Business Type*

|  | Total <br> (\%) | Business Type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Publisher/ Printer (\%) | Other ForProfit (\%) | Non-Profit (\%) |
| Perceived Effectiveness of Restrictions is 50\% or Greater |  |  |  |  |
| Restriction 1 is a Strong Deterrent | 72 | 73 | 75 | 63 |
| Restriction 1 is a Moderate Deterrent | 22 | 21 | 19 | 31 |
| Restriction 1 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Restriction 2 is a Strong Deterrent | 42 | 48 | 42 | 32 |
| Restriction 2 is a Moderate Deterrent | 50 | 48 | 50 | 52 |
| Restriction 2 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 8 | 4 | 8 | 15 |
| Restriction 3 is a Strong Deterrent | 38 | 42 | 39 | 31 |
| Restriction 3 is a Moderate Deterrent | 48 | 41 | 49 | 52 |
| Restriction 3 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 14 | 17 | 12 | 17 |
| Restriction 4 is a Strong Deterrent | 47 | 50 | 46 | 45 |
| Restriction 4 is a Moderate Deterrent | 36 | 29 | 38 | 37 |
| Restriction 4 is an Ineffective Deterrent | 17 | 21 | 15 | 18 |
| Percent Aware of Current Remail Restrictions |  |  |  |  |
| Aware of Current Restrictions | 8 | 8 | 3 | 19 |
| (Base)** | (409) | (109) | (212) | (88) |

[^15]Joint Study on Article 43

Table 14 -- Average Expected Delivery Times for Remail

|  | Average Number of Days | Variability in Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Time from Completed Production to Delivery (with Air Point of Entry into U.S.) |  |  |
| Air Entry at Any Major U.S. City Airport | 5.7 | 2.7 |
| Air Entry Only at New York, Miami, San Francisco, Chicago, Dallas, or Los Angeles | 5.7 | 2.9 |
| Air Entry Only at New York, Miami, or San Francisco | 6.0 | 3.0 |
| Expected Time from Completed Production to Delivery (with Surface Point of Entry into U.S.) |  |  |
| Surface Entry (Truck) to Seattle, Detroit, Buffalo, or Minneapolis (From Canada Only) | 7.7 | 3.6 |
| Surface Entry (Truck) to Houston, Phoenix, or Los Angeles (From Mexico Only) | 8.0 | 3.7 |
| Surface Entry (Ship) into New York or Oakland | 10.2 | 4.4 |
| Expected Time to Ship Remail from U.S. to Foreign Country |  |  |
| Incremental Days | 7.2 | 3.9 |
| (Base) | (415) | (415) |


[^0]:    * Numbers do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding

    Source: Q.1-1, 1-2a, 1-2b, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 2-1a

[^1]:    * Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding or due to the inclusion of locations that send multiple mail types.
    ** Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    *** Percent of total eligible locations that are/are not likely to send remail in the indicated mail class.
    Source: Q.1-1, 1-2a, 1-2b, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 2-1a

[^2]:    * Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding or due to the inclusion of locations that send multiple mail types.
    ** Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    Source: Q.1-1, 1-2a, 1-2b, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 2-1a

[^3]:    * Numbers do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    ** N/A means "Not Applicable" or "Not Asked."
    Source: Q.2-1e, 2-2a, 2-2b, 2-2c, 2-2e, 2-2f, 2-2j, 2-2k, 2-3a, 2-3b, 2-3c, 2-3d, 2-3g, 2-3k, 2-3k1, 2-4a, 2-4b, 2-4e, 2-4f, 2-4h, 2-4i
    Joint Study on Article 43

[^4]:    * Numbers do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

    Source: Q.2-1e, 2-2a, 2-2b, 2-2c, 2-2e, 2-2f, 2-2j, 2-2k, 2-3a, 2-3b, 2-3c, 2-3d, 2-3g, 2-3k, 2-3k1, 2-4a, 2-4b, 2-4e, 2-4f, 2-4h, 2-4i

[^5]:    * Numbers do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    ** Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    *** N/A means "Not Applicable" or Not Asked."
    Source: Q.2-1e, 2-2a, 2-2b, 2-2c, 2-2e, 2-2f, 2-2j, 2-2k, 2-3a, 2-3b, 2-3c, 2-3d, 2-3g, 2-3k, 2-3k1, 2-4a, 2-4b, 2-4e, 2-4f, 2-4h, 2-4i
    Joint Study on Article 43

[^6]:    * Numbers do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    ** Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    Source: Q.2-1e, 2-2a, 2-2b, 2-2c, 2-2e, 2-2f, 2-2j, 2-2k, 2-3a, 2-3b, 2-3c, 2-3d, 2-3g, 2-3k, 2-3k1, 2-4a, 2-4b, 2-4e, 2-4f, 2-4h, 2-4i

[^7]:    * Among those providing cost information for the indicated cost component or task; number of respondents answering is indicated in parenthesis. Note that the number of respondents providing cost breakouts is very limited, so numbers should be treated with caution. Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. Class weight and volume weight applied.
    Source: Q.2-2d, 2-2g, 2-2i, 2-3f, 2-3i, 2-3j, 2-4c, 2-4g, 5-1a, 5-1b, 5-2a, 5-2b, 5-3a, 5-3b, 5-4a, 5-4b, 5-5a, 5-5b

[^8]:    * Among locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.

[^9]:    * Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    ** Bases differ due to incomplete respondent data.

[^10]:    * Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    ** Bases lower than those shown in Table 7 due to incomplete respondent data.

[^11]:    * Among those who send eligible First-Class and/or Standard A mail and who indicated they were at least $50 \%$ likely to send remail in the future. Multiple responses allowed.
    ** Sums to more than $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *** Base lower than that shown in Table 2 due to incomplete respondent data.
    Source: Q.3-1c, 3-3d
    Joint Study on Article 43

[^12]:    * Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    ** Each mailer is counted only once in the "Total" column, regardless of how many mail types are sent. Mailers who send more than one type of mail appear in the appropriate columns for each individual mail type they send.
    *** Bases lower than those shown in Table 1 due to incomplete respondent data.
    Source: Q.4-3, 4-4

[^13]:    * Numbers may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    ** Each mailer is counted only once in the "Total" columns, regardless of how many mail types are sent. Mailers who send more than one type of mail appear in the appropriate columns for each individual mail type they send.
    *** Locations indicating at least $50 \%$ likelihood of sending remail in the indicated mail type.
    + Bases lower than those shown in Table 2 due to incomplete respondent data.
    Source: Q.4-3, 4-4

[^14]:    * Rows may not sum or average due to the presence of multiple-class mailers and/or non-responses.
    ** Bases lower than those shown in Table 1 due to incomplete respondent data.
    Source: Q.4-3, 4-4

[^15]:    * Rows may not sum or average due to the presence of multiple-class mailers and/or non-responses.
    ** Bases lower than those shown in Table 1 due to incomplete respondent data.
    Source: Q.4-3, 4-4

