### 3.6 Southern New England yellowtail flounder

## Catch and Survey Indices

Exploitation of Southern New England yellowtail flounder began in the mid 1930s with catches peaking in the 1960s followed by a decline in the 1970s and 1980s and have remained low since 1993 (Figure 3.6.1, Lux 1969b). Both research survey abundance indices for Southern New England yellowtail flounder show a rapid decline in the early 1970s followed by low levels except for two peaks due to large year classes 1980 and 1987 (Figure 3.6.1). It is thought that the large catches of the 1960 s reduced the population abundance so much that the reduced catches in the 1980s were still associated with high fishing mortality rates. The stock appears to be increasing at a slow rate according to the most recent stock assessment.

## Stock Assessment

The most recent VPA assessment for Southern New England yellowtail flounder was reviewed as part of the 2000 assessment of 11 Northeast groundfish stocks conducted by Northern Demersal Working Group (NEFSC 2000). The stock was analyzed with virtual population analysis (VPA), with supporting analysis provided by surplus production modeling. The VPA assessment used data for years 1973 through 1998 and ages 1 through $7+$ and was felt to be representative of stock dynamics for the time period. Plots of stock and recruitment estimates from the VPA are provided in Figure 3.6.2. Recruitment has increased somewhat with increasing spawning stock size overall, however the recruitment series is dominated by two large events, the 1980 and 1987 year classes.

## Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit

The fishing mortality reference points $\mathrm{F}(0.1)$ and $\mathrm{F} 40 \% \mathrm{MSP}$ given in Figure 3.6 .2 were calculated for this exercise using ages 1 through $7+$ in order to be consistent with the projections described below, and thus may differ slightly from previously reported values (Table 3.6.2). From the yield per recruit analysis, $F(0.1)=0.242$ and $\mathrm{Fmax}=1.5$ (both are fully recruited Fs). From the spawning stock biomass per recruit analysis, $\mathrm{F} 40 \% \mathrm{MSP}=0.269$ (fully recruited F ) with an associated spawning stock biomass per recruit of 1.1095 kg .

## Empirical Nonparametric Approach

If F40\%MSP is assumed to be an adequate proxy for Fmsy, then the fishing mortality threshold is 0.269 . This fishing mortality rate produces 1.1095 kg of spawning stock biomass per recruit and 0.2215 kg of yield per recruit (including discards). The strong correlation between the VPA and hindcast stock and recruitment data led to use of hindcast recruitment from the period 1963-1972 in addition to the VPA recruitment data. With this combined dataset, there did not appear to be a relationship between spawning stock size and recruitment. Thus, the mean of the entire time series is assumed to be representative of recruitment levels expected at maximum sustainable yield; this recruitment level is 40.7 million fish. Multiplying this recruitment level by the per recruit biomasses associated with F40\%MSP results in a Bmsy proxy of $45,200 \mathrm{mt}$ and an MSY proxy of $9,000 \mathrm{mt}$ assuming that all fish caught are landed.

## Parametric Model Approach

Maximum likelihood fits of the 24 parametric stock-recruitment models to the Southern New England yellowtail flounder data from 1973-1999 are listed below (Table 3.6.1, see Table 2.1.2 for model acronyms). The six hierarchical criteria are applied to each of the models to determine the set of candidate models.

The priors for the Beverton and Holt steepness parameter and Ricker slope parameter from Myers et al (1999) were thought to be insufficient for the yellowtail stocks as the only data sets used to develop the prior were Georges Bank and Southern New England yellowtail stocks. Thus, models PBH, PABH, P2BH, P2ABH, P2HCBH, P2HCABH, PRK, PARK, P2RK, P2ARK, P2HCRK, and P2HCARK are not considered. Of the remaining models, the first criterion is not satisfied for models $A B H$ and $\operatorname{PRABH}$, due to steepness being estimated at its boundary condition of 1.0. The fifth criteria is not satisfied by any of the remaining autoregressive error models. Models RK and PRRK are also not considered due to estimated Smsy values below historical catches of $20,00 \mathrm{mt}$. Models BH and PRBH have maximum recruitment levels below the mean of the VPA recruitment data ( 26 million fish) and well below the mean of the hindcast 1963-1972 recruitment data ( 77 million fish; Figure 3.6.4), so are not considered.

Given the two candidate models (PRHCBH and PRHCRK), the AIC criterion assigns the greatest probability to the PRHCBH model. The odds ratio of PRHCBH being true to PRHCRK being true is over $4: 1$. Thus, there is a clear basis for choosing between these two parametric models for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.

The results of using the PRHCBH model as the best fit parametric model are shown below (Figures 3.6.53.6.8). The standardized residual plot of the fit of the PRHCBH model to the stock-recruitment data shows that the standardized residuals generally lie within $\pm$ two standard deviations of zero (Figure 3.6.4), with the exception of the 1987 year class.

In the equilibrium yield plot (Figure 3.6.6), the yield surface is relatively flat in the neighborhood of the point estimate of $\mathrm{Fmsy}=0.320$. This estimate of Fmsy is greater than the calculated values for $\mathrm{F}(0.1)(0.242)$ and F40\%MSP ( 0.269 ), which are traditional proxies for Fmsy. This difference is most likely due to the high growth rate, strong resiliency, and current partial recruitment pattern for this stock. For comparison, Fmsy generates approximately $36 \%$ of maximum spawning potential. The point estimates of Smsy ( $64,200 \mathrm{mt}$ ) and MSY $(14,800 \mathrm{mt})$ appear consistent with the nonparametric proxy estimate of Smsy, once the hindcast stock and recruitment data are considered, and previous estimates of MSY. The stock-recruitment plot (Figure 3.6.7) shows that expected recruitment values near Smsy are around 65 million fish, which is within the maximum observed range from the VPA data and below the average of the 1963-1972 hindcast recruitments.

Parameter uncertainty plots show histograms of 5000 MCMC sample estimates of MSY, Smsy, and Fmsy drawn from the posterior distribution of the MLE (Figure 3.6.8). For MSY, the 80 percent credibility interval was $(12,900,16,400)$ with a median of $14,700 \mathrm{mt}$. For Smsy, the 80 percent credibility level was $(55,900$, $71,000)$ with a median of $63,300 \mathrm{mt}$. For Fmsy, the 80 percent credibility level was $(0.260,0.400)$ with a median of 0.330 . Overall, the point estimates of MSY, Smsy and Fmsy were nearly identical to the medians of the MCMC samples.

## Reference Points

Based on the conformance of the recruitment-biomass per recruit analyses and the parametric stock-recruitment relationship, the following management parameters are considered most appropriate: Bmsy=45,200 mt, Fmsy $=0.269$ (fully recruited F), and MSY $=9,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (including discards). This level of yield is expected by
building the stock size through reduced fishing mortality, relative to historical levels that were above 1.0 , increased survivorship of young fish relative to the historical use of much smaller mesh size when peak catches were taken, and an expectation that on average recruitment will stay within the range predicted by the most recent stock assessment. The median recruitment, stock-recruitment scatterplot, and replacement lines under $\mathrm{F}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}=0.269$ are given in Figure 3.5.9.

## Projections

No projections were considered to truly represent the potential rebuilding rate of this stock due to the recent history of low recruitment during the past ten years. The largest recruitment in this period was 16.4 million fish, which under no fishing would only produce $45,500 \mathrm{mt}$ of spawning biomass in equilibrium. Thus, until recruitment increases from this recent history, rebuilding is not expected to occur.

Table 3.6.1. Summary of parametric fits for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.

## Southern New England Yellowtail Flounder

|  | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | BH | ABH | Pbh | PABH | PRBH | PRABH | P2BH | P2ABH | PRHCBH | PRHCABH | P2HCBH | P2 2 CABH |
| Posterior Probability | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Odds Ratio for Most |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Normalized Likelihood | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.803 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Model AIC Ratio | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.077565 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | BH | ABH | PBH | PABH | PRBH | PRABH | P2BH | P2ABH | PRHCBH | PRHCABH | Р2 2 CCBH | P2HCABH |
| Number_of_data_points | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Number_of_parameters | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Fit_negloglikelihood | 102.372 | 96.679 | 102.653 | 98.2818 | 102.605 | 96.8514 | 103.002 | 98.2964 | 104.158 | 100.641 | 104.158 | 100.737 |
| Penalty_steepness | 0 | 0 | -1.51557 | -1.3962 | 0 | 0 | -1.33299 | -1.39452 | 0 | 0 | -1.73985 | -1.70931 |
| Penalty_slope | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Penalty_unfished_R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.07324 | 2.04498 | 2.12351 | 2.02848 | 2.57088 | 2.57576 | 2.57064 | 2.57106 |
| Negative_loglikelihood | 102.372 | 96.679 | 101.137 | 96.8856 | 104.678 | 98.8964 | 103.793 | 98.9303 | 106.729 | 103.217 | 104.989 | 101.599 |
| Bias-corrected_AIC | 211.887 | 203.359 | 212.448 | 206.564 | 212.353 | 203.703 | 213.147 | 206.593 | 215.458 | 211.283 | 215.460 | 211.474 |
| Diagnostic Comments | predicted R at high $S$ below mean from VPA | steepness at boundry of 1 | insufficient information for steepness prior | insufficient information for steepness prior | predicted R at high $S$ below mean from VPA | steepness near boundry of 1 | insufficient information for steepness prior | insufficient information for steepness prior | model selected | auto-correlation implies long period forcing | insufficient information for steepness prior | insufficient information for steepness prior |
| Parameter Point Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSY | 5.116 | 2.975 | 5.778 | 3.089 | 4.002 | 4.079 | 3.849 | 3.530 | 14.767 | 15.838 | 14.742 | 14.987 |
| FMSY | 0.415 | 0.740 | 0.360 | 0.370 | 0.445 | 0.700 | 0.375 | 0.370 | 0.320 | 0.385 | 0.320 | 0.335 |
| SMSY | 18.21 | 7.11 | 22.91 | 11.99 | 13.53 | 10.10 | 14.79 | 13.70 | 64.20 | 59.64 | 64.09 | 62.84 |
| alpha | 24.9821 | 12.1636 | 30.4655 | 16.0301 | 18.9398 | 16.8273 | 19.8265 | 18.3126 | 83.1063 | 80.454 | 83.1844 | 82.5691 |
| expected_alpha | 46.685 | 25.5137 | 57.7461 | 31.5299 | 35.8123 | 35.5559 | 38.2677 | 35.1647 | 170.955 | 171.921 | 171.122 | 169.108 |
| beta | 2.99261 | 0.0016858 | 5.38337 | 2.64026 | 1.84024 | 0.0936947 | 3.15866 | 3.01346 | 18.8216 | 11.9751 | 19.0081 | 17.352 |
| steepness | 0.853 | 1.000 | 0.797 | 0.808 | 0.877 | 0.992 | 0.813 | 0.808 | 0.754 | 0.823 | 0.752 | 0.767 |
| R_at_input_SMAX | 23.87 | 12.16 | 28.12 | 15.40 | 18.41 | 16.80 | 18.90 | 17.49 | 64.31 | 67.84 | 64.23 | 65.04 |
| expected_R_at_input_SMAX | 44.61 | 25.51 | 53.29 | 30.29 | 34.82 | 35.50 | 36.48 | 33.59 | 132.29 | 144.97 | 132.12 | 133.22 |
| unfished_S | 66.30 | 33.74 | 79.12 | 41.82 | 50.70 | 46.58 | 51.84 | 47.78 | 211.70 | 211.19 | 211.73 | 211.68 |
| unfished_R | 23.90 | 12.16 | 28.52 | 15.08 | 18.28 | 16.79 | 18.69 | 17.23 | 76.32 | 76.14 | 76.33 | 76.31 |
| sigma | 1.11827 | 1.21718 | 1.13089 | 1.16316 | 1.12874 | 1.22319 | 1.14681 | 1.14232 | 1.20107 | 1.23235 | 1.20109 | 1.19742 |
| phi | N/A | 0.691706 | N/A | 0.587218 | N/A | 0.690169 | N/A | 0.564674 | N/A | 0.541224 | N/A | 0.49319 |
| sigmaw | N/A | 0.879023 | N/A | 0.941494 | N/A | 0.885163 | N/A | 0.942776 | N/A | 1.03626 | N/A | 1.04166 |
| last_residual_R | N/A | -4.51754 | N/A | 1.36882 | N/A | -8.3114 | N/A | 1.04412 | N/A | -2.33657 | N/A | 0.290101 |
| last_logresidual_R | N/A | -0.464844 | N/A | 0.197604 | N/A | -0.736558 | N/A | 0.147077 | N/A | -0.267026 | N/A | 0.0387421 |
| expected_lognormal_error_ | 1.86874 | 2.09754 | 1.89546 | 1.96692 | 1.89085 | 2.11299 | 1.93013 | 1.92024 | 2.05706 | 2.13688 | 2.05713 | 2.04808 |
| prior_mean_steepness | N/A | N/A | 0.75 | 0.75 | N/A | N/A | 0.75 | 0.75 | N/A | N/A | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| prior_se_steepness | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 0.07 | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 0.07 | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| prior_mean_slope | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| prior_se_slope | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| prior_mean_unfished_R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17.36 | 17.36 | 17.36 | 17.36 | 76.94 | 76.94 | 76.94 | 76.94 |
| prior se unfished R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 5.18 | 5.18 | 5.18 | 5.18 |

Table 3.6.1. (continued) Summary of parametric fits for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.

## Southern New England Yellowtail Flounder

|  | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior | Prior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Posterior Probability | $\begin{gathered} \text { RK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARK } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRK } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARK } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRRK } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRARK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P2RK } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { P2ARK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRHCRK } \\ 0.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRHCARK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { P2HCRK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { P2HCARK } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Odds Ratio for Most Likely Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.08 |  |  |  |
| Normalized Likelihood | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.197 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Model AIC Ratio | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | RK | ARK | PRK | PARK | PRRK | PRARK | P2RK | P2ARK | PRHCRK | PRHCARK | P2HCRK | P2HCARK |
| Number_of_data_points | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Number_of_parameters | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Fit_negloglikelihood | 101.207 | 97.191 | 102.737 | 98.8742 | 102.685 | 99.1561 | 103.539 | 100.24 | 105.563 | 102.301 | 105.713 | 102.452 |
| Penalty_steepness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Penalty_slope | 0 | 0 | 1.2304 | 0.190776 | 0 | - | 0.736879 | 0.248412 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0.0853812 | -0.072571 |
| Penalty_unfished_R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.24219 | 2.3225 | 2.05584 | 2.10177 | 2.56489 | 2.56491 | 2.56434 | 2.56443 |
| Negative_loglikelihood | 101.207 | 97.191 | 103.967 | 99.065 | 104.927 | 101.479 | 106.332 | 102.59 | 108.128 | 104.865 | 108.363 | 104.943 |
| Bias-corrected_AIC | 209.558 | 204.382 | 212.617 | 207.748 | 212.513 | 208.312 | 214.222 | 210.479 | 218.269 | 214.601 | 218.569 | 214.903 |
| Diagnostic Comments | Smsy less than historical catch | auto-correlation implies long period forcing | insufficient information for slope prior | insufficient information for slope prior | Smsy less than historical catch | auto-correlation implies long period forcing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { insufficient } \\ & \text { information for } \\ & \text { slope prior } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { insufficient } \\ & \text { information for } \\ & \text { slope prior } \end{aligned}$ |  | auto-correlation implies long period forcing | insufficient information for slope prior | insufficient information for slope prior |
| $\underset{* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~}{\text { Parameter Pr }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSY | 5.167 | 4.936 | 4.702 | 2.240 | 7.171 | 7.569 | 6.305 | 5.575 | 27.731 | 27.686 | 25.236 | 23.624 |
| FMSY | 1.390 | 1.590 | 0.595 | 0.440 | 0.785 | 0.935 | 0.525 | 0.450 | 0.485 | 0.485 | 0.420 | 0.380 |
| SMSY | 8.50 | 7.53 | 12.96 | 7.63 | 16.52 | 15.67 | 18.94 | 18.69 | 88.09 | 87.94 | 89.01 | 89.85 |
| alpha | 1.94408 | 2.01712 | 1.35695 | 1.07473 | 1.58107 | 1.70706 | 1.24533 | 1.09724 | 1.17225 | 1.16978 | 1.02812 | 0.932069 |
| expected_alpha | 3.4364 | 3.53262 | 2.58319 | 2.53485 | 3.00184 | 3.22082 | 2.47408 | 2.23624 | 2.6275 | 2.60716 | 2.327 | 2.12669 |
| beta |  | -0.135617 | -0.074083 | -0.114385 | -0.060997 | -0.065405 | -4.91E-02 | -0.047144 | -1.03E-02 | -0.010295 | -9.62E-03 | -0.009171 |
| steepness | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| R_at_input_SMAX | 0.19 | 0.08 | 2.12 | 0.12 | 6.16 | 5.26 | 9.48 | 9.27 | 107.09 | 106.90 | 96.90 | 90.61 |
| expected_R_at_input_SMAX | 0.34 | 0.14 | 4.03 | 0.28 | 11.69 | 9.92 | 18.83 | 18.88 | 240.02 | 238.26 | 219.32 | 206.75 |
| unfished_S | 24.57 | 22.40 | 32.09 | 18.32 | 42.65 | 41.70 | 46.15 | 44.91 | 212.73 | 212.73 | 212.92 | 212.89 |
| unfished_R | 8.86 | 8.07 | 11.57 | 6.60 | 15.37 | 15.03 | 16.64 | 16.19 | 76.69 | 76.69 | 76.76 | 76.75 |
| sigma | 1.06737 | 1.05865 | 1.13471 | 1.31001 | 1.13236 | 1.12682 | 1.17172 | 1.19332 | 1.27052 | 1.26606 | 1.27816 | 1.28446 |
| phi | N/A | 0.521656 | N/A | 0.680215 | N/A | 0.49713 | N/A | 0.518425 | N/A | 0.482133 | N/A | 0.495733 |
| sigmaw | N/A | 0.903193 | N/A | 0.960255 | N/A | 0.977718 | N/A | 1.02043 | N/A | 1.10919 | N/A | 1.11552 |
| last_residual_R | N/A | -2.48565 | N/A | 3.54602 | N/A | -0.725116 | N/A | 2.94852 | N/A | 2.27151 | N/A | 3.39797 |
| last_logresidual_R | N/A | -0.281867 | N/A | 0.62456 | N/A | -0.090741 | N/A | 0.488144 | N/A | 0.353184 | N/A | 0.588985 |
| expected_lognormal_error_ | 1.76762 | 1.75132 | 1.90368 | 2.35859 | 1.89861 | 1.88677 | 1.98668 | 2.03807 | 2.24142 | 2.22877 | 2.26334 | 2.28169 |
| prior_mean_steepness | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| prior_se_steepness | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| prior_mean_slope | N/A | N/A | 0.79 | 0.79 | N/A | N/A | 0.79 | 0.79 | N/A | N/A | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| prior_se_slope | N/A | N/A | 0.34 | 0.34 | N/A | N/A | 0.34 | 0.34 | N/A | N/A | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| prior_mean_unfished_R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17.36 | 17.36 | 17.36 | 17.36 | 76.94 | 76.94 | 76.94 | 76.94 |
| prior se unfished R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 5.18 | 5.18 | 5.18 | 5.18 |

Table 3.6.2. Yields and biomass per recruit of Southern New England yellowtail flounder

[^0]| Proportion of F before spawning: 0.4167 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of M before spawning: 0.4167 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Mortality is Constant at: 0.200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial age is: 1; Last age is: 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last age is a PLUS group; |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original age-specific PRs, Mats, and Mean Wts from file: ==> C:\groundfish $\backslash y p r \backslash s n y t ~ y p r . d a t ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-specific Input data for Yield per Recruit Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Fish Mort | Nat Mort | Proportion | Average | Weights |
|  | Pattern | Pattern | Mature | Catch | Stock |
| 1 | 0.0100 | 1.0000 | 0.1300 | 0.130 | 0.130 |
| 2 | 0.1200 | 1.0000 | 0.7400 | 0.318 | 0.318 |
| 3 | 0.5300 | 1.0000 | 0.9800 | 0.398 | 0.398 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.473 | 0.473 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.636 | 0.636 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.785 | 0.785 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.029 | 1.029 |

Summary of Yield per Recruit Analysis:

| Slope of the Yield/Recruit Curve at $\mathrm{F}=0.00$ : --> 2.4632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F level at slope=1/10 of the above slope (FO.1): -----> 0.242 <br> Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: -----> 0.2155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |
|  | Yield/ | cruit co | respond | ng to Fma |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| F level at 40 \% of Max Spawning Potential (F40) : -----> 0.269 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSB/Recruit corresponding to F40: --------> 1.1095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 <br> Listing of Yield per Recruit Results for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMORT |  | TOTCTHN | TOTCTHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKW | SPNSTKN | SPNSTKW | \% MSP |
| 0.00 |  | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 5.5167 | 3.2011 | 4.0669 | 2.7739 | $100.00$ |
| 0.10 |  | 0.21199 | 0.14794 | 4.4618 | 2.1891 | 3.0065 | 1.7792 | 64.14 |
| 0.20 |  | 0.31949 | 0.20335 | 3.9290 | 1.7041 | 2.4686 | 1.3074 | 47.13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F0. } 1 \\ & \text { F40\% } \end{aligned}$ | 0.24 | 0.35009 | 0.21547 | 3.7779 | 1.5721 | 2.3154 | 1.1799 | 42.54 |
|  | 0.27 | 0.36742 | 0.22148 | 3.6925 | 1.4990 | 2.2287 | 1.1095 | 40.00 |
| F40\% | 0.30 | 0.38515 | 0.22695 | 3.6052 | 1.4255 | 2.1400 | 1.0389 | 37.45 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.42984 | 0.23748 | 3.3860 | 1.2476 | 1.9163 | 0.8688 | 31.32 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.46250 | 0.24215 | 3.2265 | 1.1254 | 1.7529 | 0.7527 | 27.14 |
|  | 0.60 | 0.48763 | 0.24405 | 3.1046 | 1.0370 | 1.6273 | 0.6690 | 24.12 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.50770 | 0.24464 | 3.0077 | 0.9702 | 1.5270 | 0.6060 | 21.85 |
|  | 0.80 | 0.52421 | 0.24461 | 2.9284 | 0.9182 | 1.4445 | 0.5569 | 20.08 |
|  | 0.90 | 0.53811 | 0.24433 | 2.8619 | 0.8764 | 1.3752 | 0.5176 | 18.66 |
|  | 1.00 | 0.55004 | 0.24394 | 2.8051 | 0.8421 | 1.3158 | 0.4853 | 17.50 |
|  | 1.10 | 0.56045 | 0.24355 | 2.7558 | 0.8135 | 1.2641 | 0.4582 | 16.52 |
|  | 1.20 | 0.56964 | 0.24319 | 2.7124 | 0.7890 | 1.2185 | 0.4351 | 15.69 |
|  | 1.30 | 0.57784 | 0.24286 | 2.6738 | 0.7679 | 1.1779 | 0.4152 | 14.97 |
|  | 1.40 | 0.58524 | 0.24258 | 2.6391 | 0.7494 | 1.1414 | 0.3976 | 14.34 |
|  | 1.50 | 0.59197 | 0.24234 | 2.6076 | 0.7331 | 1.1082 | 0.3821 | 13.78 |
| Fmax | 1.50 | 0.59200 | 0.24234 | 2.6075 | 0.7330 | 1.1081 | 0.3821 | 13.77 |
|  | 1.60 | 0.59813 | 0.24214 | 2.5789 | 0.7184 | 1.0780 | 0.3683 | 13.28 |
|  | 1.70 | 0.60380 | 0.24197 | 2.5525 | 0.7052 | 1.0502 | 0.3557 | 12.82 |
|  | 1.80 | 0.60906 | 0.24182 | 2.5281 | 0.6933 | 1.0245 | 0.3444 | 12.41 |
|  | 1.90 | 0.61395 | 0.24170 | 2.5054 | 0.6823 | 1.0007 | 0.3340 | 12.04 |
|  | 2.00 | 0.61853 | 0.24159 | 2.4842 | 0.6722 | 0.9785 | 0.3244 | 11.69 |



Figure 3.6.1. Landings and research vessel survey abundance indices for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.
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|  |  | F0.1 | F40\% MSP |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| F reference point |  | 0.242 | 0.269 |
| ssb per recruit at F |  | 1.1799 | 1.1095 |
|  | Recruitment (millions) | SS Biomass at | F0.1 |
| S | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| mean | 25.01 | 29.51 | 27.75 |
| min | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.98 |
| max | 126.93 | 149.77 | 140.83 |
| 10th \%'tile | 1.89 | 2.23 | 2.10 |
| 25th \%'tile | 4.94 | 5.83 | 5.49 |
| 50th \%'tile | 13.46 | 15.89 | 14.94 |
| 75th \%'tile | 29.78 | 35.14 | 33.05 |
| 90th \%'tile | 52.78 | 62.28 | 58.56 |
| Std Dev | 33.41 | 39.42 | 37.07 |
| CV | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| For Top Quartile of SSB |  |  |  |
| Mean | 20.88 | 24.63 | 23.16 |
| Median | 14.61 | 17.24 | 16.21 |

Figure 3.6.2. Spawning stock (a), recruitment (age 1 millions, b), and scatterplot (c) for Southern New England yellowtail flounder. Data are the calculated spawning stock biomasses for various recruitment scenarios multiplied by the expected SSB per recruit for F 0.1 and $\mathrm{F} 40 \%$ MSP, assuming recent patterns of growth, maturity and partial recruitment at age (Table 3.6.2). Smoother in the stockrecruitment plot is lowess with tension $=0.5$.
(a)
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|  |  | F0.1 | F40\%MSP |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| F reference point |  | 0.242 | 0.269 |
| ssb per recruit at F | Recruitment (millions) | SS Biomass at F0.1 | SS Biomass at F40\% |
| n | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| mean | 40.72 | 48.05 | 45.18 |
| min | 0.91 | 1.07 | 1.01 |
| max | 178.05 | 210.08 | 197.55 |
| 10th \%'tile | 3.21 | 3.78 | 3.56 |
| 25th \%'tile | 8.36 | 9.86 | 9.28 |
| 50th \%'tile | 16.73 | 19.74 | 18.56 |
| 75th \%'tile | 60.53 | 71.42 | 67.16 |
| 90th \%'tile | 119.20 | 140.64 | 132.25 |
| Std Dev | 45.24 | 53.38 | 50.19 |
| CV | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.23 |
| For Top Quartile of SSB |  |  |  |
| Mean | 77.01 | 90.87 | 85.45 |
| Median | 74.66 | 88.09 | 82.84 |
| For Hindcast Recruitment |  |  |  |
| Mean | 76.94 | 90.78 | 85.37 |

Figure 3.6.3. Spawning stock (a), recruitment (age 1 millions, b), and scatterplot (c) for Southern New England yellowtail flounder using hindcasts data prior to 1973. Data are the calculated spawning stock biomasses for various recruitment scenarios multiplied by the expected SSB per recruit for F0.1 and F40\% MSP, assuming recent patterns of growth, maturity and partial recruitment at age (Table 3.6.2). Smoother in the stock- recruitment plot is lowess with tension $=0.5$. Smoother for the spawning stock biomass plot (a) is 0.3 .


Figure 3.6.4. Comparison of stock and recruitment data from virtual population analysis (VPA) and hindcast for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.


Figure 3.6.5. Standardized residuals from best fit parametric model for Southern New England yellowtail flounder


Figure 3.6.6. Equilibrium yield from best fit parametric model for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.


Figure 3.6.7. Stock recruitment relationship for best fit parametric model for Southern New England yellowtail flounder. Hindcast stock-recruitment data points are overplotted, along with the predicted S-R line and replacement lines for $\mathrm{F}=100 \% \mathrm{msp}=0.0$ and $\mathrm{F} 40 \% \mathrm{msp}=0.22$.
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Figure 3.6.8. Histograms of uncertainty in MSY, Bmsy, and Fmsy from 5000 MCMC evaluations of best fit parametric stock-recruitment model for Southern New England yellowtail flounder.
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Figure 3.6.9. Stock and recruitment data for Southern New England yellowtail. For the empirical non-parametric approach the mean recruitment for all spawning stock biomss is plotted, along with replacement lines for $\mathrm{F}=0.0$ and $\mathrm{F} 40 \% \mathrm{msp}=0.269$.
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