Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):											
R8OACOm Appalachian Dry Mesic Oak Forest											
General Information											
Contributor	s (additional	contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Con	nments")							
Modelers			Reviewers								
Gary Curcio		gary.curcio@ncmail.net	Keith Wooster	Keith.Woos gov	Keith.Wooster@ga.usda. gov						
David Amaral		david.amaral@ncmail.net	Ron Stephens	rstephens@	rstephens@fs.fed.us						
			Charles Lafon	clafon@geo	clafon@geog.tamu.edu						
Vegetation Type		General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones								
Forested		✓ Literature		California	Pacific Northwest						
Dominant Species*		Local Data		Great Basin	South Central						
OUAL	OUCO2	✓ Expert Estimate		Great Lakes	Southeast						
QURU	QUCUZ	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones		Northeast Northern Plains	✓ S. Appalachians Southwest						
QUPR2		57		N-Cent.Rockies							
QUVE		61									
		48									

Geographic Range

Ranges throughout the central and southern Appalachians, from approximately central Pennsylvania south to northern Georgia and northeast Alabama.

Biophysical Site Description

This system consists of predominately dry-mesic to dry forests occurring on open and exposed topography at lower to mid elevations in the Southern Blue Ridge, Southern Ridge and Valley, and Central Appalachians. This is the upland forest that characterizes much of the Appalachian highlands of the southeastern United States. It occupies a region of considerable size and environmental diversity with respect to landform, climate, soils, and geology. Various species of oak (Quercus spp.) are consistently present as major components of the tree stratum. Historically American chestnut (Castenea dentata) was a dominant or co-dominant in many of these communities until its virtual elimination by the chestnut blight fungus [Endothia (Cryphonectria) parasitica] during the early 1900's. Contiguous forests of tens to hundreds of thousands of acres once occurred.

Elevations of these forests range from less than 800 feet to over 4000 feet. Occurs on open slopes, ridgetops, lower elevation peaks, and higher parts of broad valley bottoms. Bedrock may be of any type. Soils are usually deep residual soils, but are often rocky. Some shallow soils, colluvium, and other soils may be present locally within the group, but shallow soils tend to produce environments that are more extreme and have a larger component of various pine species. Soils can range from acidic to circumneutral or basic, and the vegetation varies accordingly.

Vegetation Description

Typically, the vegetation seen today consists of forests dominated by oaks, especially white oak (Quercus alba) and red oak (Quercus rubra), and on drier sites chestnut oak (Quercus montana), black oak (Quercus

velutina), and scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea). Along with oaks are varying amounts of hickory (Carya spp.), red maple (Acer rubrum), and other species such as white pine (Pinus strobus) and white ash (Fraxinus americana). American chestnut (Castanea dentata) was once dominant or codominant in many of these forests. Currently (but likely to a lesser extent in pre-European settlement periods) subcanopies and shrub layers are usually well-developed. Some areas (usually on drier sites) now have dense evergreen Ericaceous shrub layers of mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia), fetterbush (Pieris floribunda), or on more mesic sites rhododendron (Rhododendron spp.). Others areas have more open shrub layers, sometimes consisting of blueberries (Vaccinium spp.) or huckleberries (Gaylussacia spp.). Herbs, forbs, and ferns are usually sparse to moderate in density.

Though often contiguous, patches are virtually always convoluted and interfingered with other systems, especially Mesophytic Cove Forests and Dry-Xeric Oak-Pine Forests. At the highest elevations it may grade into Northern Hardwood Forests. Small patches of other communities, such as rock outcrops and mountain wetlands, are sometimes embedded within this group. Fire disturbances have led to the small pocket inclusions of Pine (Shortleaf, Table Mountain Pitch, or Virginia Pine). Other important shade tolerant but fire intolerant understory species are dogwood, sourwood, holly, blackgum, as well as White Pine which can be an important understory component on South and West slopes. This Vegetation is similar to the TEC-CES202.886. Within this classification a shrub component is mentioned. However, TEC-CES202.886 needs to include mountain laurel as a shrub species.

Disturbance Description

This system is naturally dominated by stable, uneven-aged forests, with canopy dynamics dominated by gapphase regeneration. Most oaks are long-lived with typical age of mortality ranging from 200 to 400 years. Scarlet and black oaks are shorter lived with typical ages being approximately 50 to 100 years while white oaks can live as long as 600 years. Extreme wind or ice storms occasionally create larger canopy openings. Virtually all examples have been strongly affected by introduction of the chestnut blight, which killed all of the American chestnut trees, eliminating it as a canopy dominant. The introduction, and now widespread establishment, of gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar) that favors oaks as food has also affected these forests by causing widespread mortality of overstory trees depending on topographic position and precipitation amounts around defoliation events. Past logging, and now lack of fire, has affected most occurrences by changing canopies to an even-aged, or more even-aged, structure with an understory of shade tolerant but fire intolerant species such as white pine, red maple, and striped maple (Acer pennsylvanica). Hickories are thought to have benefited greatly from the removal of American chestnut from the overstory, and their persistence and continued recruitment in contemporary oak-hickory forests may reflect fire exclusion in recent decades. It is also possible that in pre-European settlement days that native grazing by bison and elk impacted these communities, possibly favoring oaks. The historic Fire Regime Group is probably one with common surface fires and some mixed fires, but rare replacement fires. Recently, however, fire suppression has allowed extensive ericaceous and other shrub covers to expand, making the current FRG a III in all likelihood.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Area of concerns are where the shrub component is a major part of the fuel complex. Ranging in elevation from 1000 to 4000 ft, the northern aspects can dominate with a rhododendron, while the southern aspects can dominate with mountain laurel. When addressing closed versus open structure, this is dealing specifically with the state of the understory. Open is more frequently impacted by disturbances - more fire presence, while closed has been impacted less by disturbance leading to better understory / shrub development.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate	
-----------------------	------------	------------	--------------------------	--

The landscape description provided for mapzone 57 provided by Croy and Frost adequately represents the vegetation extent in size. Their disturbance regime has been adjusted to be more frequent and additional disturbances have been added. Aspect and elevation play an integral role in the location of the shrub component. Uniformity of the vegetation is consistent across the area based on these topographic influences.

Issues/Problems

In this modified PNVG the disturbances are occurring more frequently and there are more types. These have been adjusted in the various classes. They have been placed on a shorter time periods. Also, other disturbances have been added. These include Wind/Weather, Mixed Fire, Ice/Storm Damage, and Insect/Disease.

Model Evolution and Comments

Additional reviewer was Rob Klein (Rob_Klein@nps.gov).

The FRCC model APOK produced by Steve Croy & Cecil Frost adequately represents mapzone 57. It has been slightly adjusted to reflect what North Carolina's perspective on what has transpired on the landscape as determined by disturbances and topographic influences. Additional suggested reviewers: Fred White - Silviculturalist, ret., NCDFR/Duke U.- contact Gary Curcio for follow-up on contact information for Fred White. / Steve Simon USFS Ecologist Asheville, NC.

Quality control process resulted in adding MZ 48 and 61 based upon Geographic Range. Alt Succession from C to B was removed and kept the C to E AltSuccession in that class because that combination generated results closer to what the original modeler reported. Peer review results: One reviewer suggested that fuel model for Class A should be 5, but other 3 reviewers did not suggest this so it was not changed. One reviewer suggested that the fuel model for Class B should be 8, but that was suggested by only one reviewer so it was not changed. Two reviewers suggested that fuel model for E should be 8 or 9, so it was changed to 8. One reviewer thought Chestnut Oak was more important than indicated. It is mentioned in the Vegetation Desc, but is not listed as a dominant species in any stage. Nothing was changed since I had no knowledge regarding where to add it. One reviewer commented that shrubs and ACRU may be overemphasized, and may be artifact of fire exclusion. However, accounting for the shrub understory was critical to these modelers, so no changes were made. One reviewer suggested that the FRI could be higher on drier sites, perhaps similar to TMPP. Since no other reviewer suggested this, I will leave as is but note the comment. Changed FRG to I based upon computed FRI values and description, and recommendation of one reviewer. The last two sentences of the Disturbance Description were added by the regional lead to more explicitly discuss the historic and current fire regime groups. One reviewer indicated that Shortleaf should be replaced by White Pine, which is possible. However, since only 1 of 4 reviewers and the modeler seemed to think Shortleaf was acceptable, no changes were made. Two reviewers commented on how the uniqueness of this BpS--how is it differentiated from more high elevation hardwood forests, and is it really different from Eastern Oak Xeric and Oak Dry Mesic. The modeler seemed to indicate that this is BpS is variable and intermingled (See Biophysical Site Description and Vegetation Description). At the scale of Rapid Assessment, this BpS seems to be separable and reasonable, but will need to be addressed during the LANDFIRE workshops. One reviewer indicated that there were two subcategories of this BpS--moister and drier. There are similarities in the FRG's, and some overlap between models, but the landscape percentages are quite different, so we kept the models as-is (no combining or additional separation).

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Indicator Species* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 5% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 All Structures Mid-Upper **ACRU** Cover 80% 95% **Description OUAL** Mid-Upper Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Short 5-9m Post Replacement: Treefall gaps **OUPR2** Mid-Upper Tree Size Class Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH and small to medium patches 0-19 CAAL27 Mid-Upper years in age with saplings and Upper laver lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** small trees up to 20 cm (8 in) dbh. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Potential Shrub canopy species (oaks) are typically **✓**Tree mixed with subcanopy tree and Fuel Model 9 shrub species and herbs. Most oaks are coppice grown from previously established and fire killed individuals with some as seedlings from animal-buried acorns Indicator Species* and Class B 10% Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Closed QUAL Upper 95 % Cover 70% QUPR2 Upper **Description** Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m CAAL27 Mid-Upper Mid-seral Closed:Old treefall gaps Tree Size Class | Medium 9-21"DBH ACRU Mid-Upper with closed canopy 20-64 years in age. Trees ranging from 20-60 cm **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. (8-24 in) dbh. Shade tolerant Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub species in $ightharpoons_{Tree}$ the understory. With developing shrubs, mountain laurel and Fuel Model 5 rhododendron on their respective aspects. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 20% **Canopy Position** Min Max QUAL Upper Mid1 Open Cover 60% 70% QUPR2 Upper Description Height Tree Tall 25-49m Tree Medium 10-24m CAAL27 Upper Mid-seral Open: Woodland with an Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH PIEC2 Mid-Upper open midstory and canopy closure <60%. Age of 20-69 years. Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Shrub/herbaceous cover patchy. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 9

Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 45% **Canopy Position** Min Max Late1 Open QUAL Upper Cover 60% 80% OUPR2 Upper **Description** Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m PIEC2 Upper Late-seral Open: Forest with an Tree Size Class | Large 21-33"DBH CAAL27 Mid-Upper open midstory and canopy closure 61-80%. Age is 70+ years. **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Shrub/herbaceous cover patchy. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 9 Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 20% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late1 Closed QUAL Upper Cover 70% 80% Description QUPR2 Upper Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m Late-seral Closed: Closed canopy CAAL27 Mid-Upper Tree Size Class | Large 21-33"DBH forest with cover >80%. Trees 65+ PIEC2 Upper years in age. Midstory and **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. understory closed with dense cover Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous and stocking of shrubs and **✓** Shrub saplings. With minimal natural or **✓**Tree native induced disturbance, dense Fuel Model 8 understory shrub thickets developed (Mountain laurel on the southern aspects and rhododendron on the northern aspects) **Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity ✓ Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition **✓** Other: Ice Damage Other: Fire Intervals (FI):

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: 100 Min: 10 Max: 10000 Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	220			0.00455	6
✓ Literature	Mixed	90			0.01111	15
☐Local Data	Surface	17			0.05882	79
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	13			0.07448	

References

Abrams, M.D. 1992. Fire and the Development of Oak Forests. Bioscience. 42: 346-353.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Delcourt, H.R., P.A. Delcourt. 1997. Pre-Columbian Native American Use of Fire on Southern Appalachian Landscapes. Conservation Biology. 11:1010-1014.

Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Appalachian Oak Forest, #104. In: Manual to accompany the map Potential Natural Vegetation of the United States. New York, NY: The American Geographical Society. 156 p.

D. Van Lear and T. Waldrop. History, Uses and effects of Fire in the Appalachians. GTR SE-54. Southern Forest Research Station, Asheville, NC.

Harmon, M.E., 1982. Fire history of the westernmost portion of Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 109, 74-79.

Shuler, T.M., McClain, W.R., 2003. Fire History of a Ridge and Valley Oak Forest, Research Paper NE-724. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station.

Shumway, D.L., Abrams, M.D., Ruffner, C.M., 2001. A 400-year history of fire and oak recruitment in an old-growth oak forest in western Maryland, USA. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31, 1437-1443.

Sutherland, E.K., Grissino-Mayer, H.D., Woodhouse, C.A., Covington, W.W., Horn, S., Huckaby, R., Kerr, J.K., Moore, M., Plumb, T., 1995. Two centuries of fire in a southwestern Virginia Pinus pungens community. Proceedings of the IUFRO Conference on Inventory and Management in the Context of Catastrophic Events. University Park, PA.