

#### **Presentation Outline**

Provide examples of when transport is the rate-limiting step in ADME ٠

Absorption

- Distribution
- Metabolism and Transporter Interplay
   Elimination (kidney and liver)

- Transporter biology investigations using preclinical models and GeMMs
- Variability in drug transport function
- Examples of when drug transport is a primary determinant of drug-induced toxicity. •



Xenoport.com 'transport by design'

## The rate determining process

"To understand the transporter-mediated drug-drug interaction, we have to know the rate determining process of a substrate in the overall clearance."

uptake, basolateral efflux, apical excretion, metabolism

Professor Sugiyama, Keynote address AAPS, November 2007









			CYPs
		Importance in Drug Disposition	High
		Substrate Specificity and Overlap	Very Good
		Enzyme Kinetics: Specific In Vitro Probes	Very Good
		Selective Clinical Probes	Good
		Species Differences and Similarities	Good
		Organ/Cellular Localization and regulation	Good
Speed/Quelity impact	$\overline{}$	Relative Abundance	Very Good
Discovery predictions	l	Clearance and DDI Predictions	Very Good
Development	$\leq$	Genetic Variability	Good
Program	J	Functional Polymorphisms	Good



	phase II enzymes
Importance in Drug Disposition	Moderate
Substrate Specificity and Overlap	Moderate-Good
Enzyme Kinetics: Specific In Vitro Probes	Good
Selective Clinical Probes	Moderate
Species Differences and Similarities	Poor
Organ/Cellular Localization and regulation	Moderate
Relative Abundance	Poor
Clearance and DDI Predictions	Poor
Genetic Variability	Moderate
Functional Polymorphisms	Moderate



		Transporters
Import Dispos	tance in Drug ition	Moderate?
S Spe	ubstrate cificity and Overlap	Poor
Enzy, Spec	me Kinetics: cific In Vitro Probes	Moderate
Selec	ctive Clinical Probes	Poor- Moderate
Specie and	es Differences Similarities	Poor
Org Loca re	an/Cellular lization and egulation	Moderate
Relativ	/e Abundance	Poor
Cleara Pr	ance and DDI redictions	Poor
Gener	tic Variability	Poor
Fi Poly	unctional morphisms	Poor







## **CYP** Summary

- CYP interactions were complex when first recognized
- Largest CYP-mediated DDIs

   Increase AUC 20X, C<sub>max</sub> 12X
- Mechanism of CYP inhibition
   Competitive or non-competitive
  - Potent inhibitors in sub-nanomolar range
- Many CYP liabilities are thought to be 'screened' out at an early stage of preclinical development, however, what liabilities are we selecting for?







# P-gp is distributed in the following organs: Intestine, kidney, liver, brain, adrenal gland, lymphocytes,

- Walker A and Walker B binding
- High basal activity present in P-gp





CF-1 mice were found to be spontaneously mutant in mdr1a by MSD Scientists. The degree of chemical exposure of fetuses within each litter was inversely related to expression of placental P-gp and cleft plate susceptability • - mdr1a -/- 100% cleft palate

- mdr1a +/- 50% cleft palate
- mdr1a +/+ 0%













-glycoprotein Sub	ostrates
Cancer Chemotherapy Doxorubicin Daunorubicin Vinblastine Vincristine Paclitaxel Teniposide Etoposide Munosuppressive Drugs	<ul> <li>HIV Protease Inhibitors         <ul> <li>Amprenavir</li> <li>Indinavir</li> <li>Ritonavir</li> <li>Saquinavir</li> </ul> </li> <li>Cardiac Drugs         <ul> <li>Digoxin</li> <li>Quinidine</li> <li>Posicor</li> <li>Most statins</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Cyclosporine A     FK506     Antihistamine     Terfenadine     Steroid-like     Aldosterone     Hydrocortisone et al.	Anti-thelminitics     Ivermectin     Abamectin     Miscellaneous     Loperamide     Colchicine     Ondansetron     Erythromycin



























- Knowledge of NME metabolic pathways, interactions, and influence of active transport on drug disposition with respect to DDI potential is key to benefil/risk assessment.
- Integrated approach (in vitro and in vivo) may reduce number of unnecessary studies and optimize clinical pharmacology studies.
- - \_ Inducer (40% control)



Slide adapted from Shiew-Mei Huang, Ph.D., FDA



## P-gp Mediated Digoxin DDIs

- ٠ <2-fold change in digoxin Cmax or exposure were observed in the majority of published cases
  - I/IC50 > 0.1 is predictive of positive clinical digoxin DDI related to P-gp
  - I2/IC50 < 10 is predictive of no clinical digoxin DDI
- For Digoxin or NMEs that have a narrow T.I. (similar to digoxin), P-gp may be an important determinant of PK and response.
- Additional work is needed to fully understand the mechanism of false (-)'s observed with I/IC50 or false (+)'s with I2/IC50

# P-gp Summary

- For some compounds, P-gp may hinder drug absorption, moderately change AUC/Cmax and be moderate to major determinant of CNS exposure.
- No Single in-vitro assay appears to be durable enough to perform within diverse chemical libraries and yield consistent 'predictable' in-vivo performance.
  - Multi-tiered Assay Cluster Approach used to define NCE/Drug- P-gp interaction.
- Use of mdr1a KO mouse appears to be the most sensitive method to define P-gp substrates, however, cross-species differences in P-gp remains an area of debate (JPharmacol Toxicol Methods. 2006 Mar 15 and Feng et al., DMD 2008)
- P-gp may be a target for Drug-Drug Interactions, optimal in-vitro to in-vivo or in-vivo to in-vitro strategy is needed in a case by case basis.



## ABCG2 (alias BCRP, MXR, ABCP, BMDP)

- Expressed endogenously in the intestine (small & large), liver, kidney, placenta, skeletal muscle, brain, and in hematopoietic stem cells
- In-vitro role in tumor drug resistance for Topo-1 and Topo-2 inhibitors (MXR, SN-38, Topotecan, J-107088)
- Emerging role in drug absorption of camptothecan

  - > ABCP isolated from human placenta R482 WT (Allikmets, 1996) > BCRP breast cancer resistance protein R482 T (Doyle et al., 1998)
  - > MXR: Mitoxantrone resistance protein R482G (Bates et al., 1999)
  - > BMDP: Brain multidrug resistance protein (Eisenblatter et al., 2003)

Phylogram with distances

humarc 0.07572

- rat: 0.03653 mouse: 0.03340





Substrates & Inhibitors of ABCG2							
Drugs/NMEs	Xenobiotics Endobiotics	Inhibitors					
- Topotecan - CPT-11/SN-38 - J-107088 - Mitoxantrone - Flavoperidol - Diflomotecan - Methotrexate - Sulfasalazine - Prazosin - Benzoylphenylure - Cimetidine - Imatinib	-PhIP -Pheophorbide A -Estrogen SO <sub>4</sub> -lysotracker (green) -H33342 -Rhodamine 123 -Bodipy-prazosin -Riboflavin (vitamin B2) a	<ul> <li>FTC</li> <li>Ko134, 143</li> <li>Tryprostatin A</li> <li>GF120918</li> <li>Lapatinib</li> <li>Erlotinib</li> <li>Gefitinib</li> <li>CI-1033</li> <li>Novobiocin</li> <li>Imatinib</li> <li>Ritonavir</li> </ul>					













# **Oral Topotecan**

A Phase I Study Of Oral Topotecan And Lapatinib In Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors

This study is not yet open for participant recruitment. Verified by GlaxoSmithKline, May 2008

Sponsored by: GlaxoSmithKline Information provided by:GlaxoSmithKlineClinicalTrials.gov Identifier:NCT00682279 Purpose This is an open-label, Phase I study of oral topotecan administered in combination with lapatinib in subjects with advanced solid tumors. This Phase I study will evaluate the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of oral topotecan administered in combination with lapatinib. This study will be conducted in two parts. Part 1 of the study will nevestigate the impact of lapatinib on the bioavailability of oral topotecan (bioavailability phase) and Part 2 of the study will consist of dose finding to determine the maximum-tolerated dose (MTD) regimen of the combination (dose escalation phase). In Part 2 of the study, the dose of oral topotecan will be escalated while lapatinib will be given initially as fixed doses. The primary objective of the study is to determine the MTD regimen of oral topotecan administered for five-consecutive days every 21 days in combination with daily lapatinib in subjects with advanced solid tumors.

Source: clinicaltrials.gov





## Sulfasalazine (SASP) Hypothesis

Inter-individual differences in intestinal expression and function of ABCG2 (BCRP) contribute to variability in drug bioavailability, exposure and pharmacological response to SASP.

## ABCG2 Polymorphisms and Ethnic Distribution of SNPs.

The ABCG2 Q141K genotype significantly affected the pharmacokinetics of diflomotecan (Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2004) Gefitinib-induced diarrhea correlates with Q141K (J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006).

ABCG2 expression correlates with flavopiridol-induced myelotoxicity.

Allelic var- iant	Caucasians	African- Americans	Asians	Hispanics	Africans	Middle Easterns
V12M	2	4	20-45	40		5
Q141K	11-14	2.3-5.0	15-35	10	1.0	13
1206L	0	0	0	10		0
N590Y	1					















		Dose	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)*		AUC (ng.hr/mL)			Relative
Mice	Route	(mg/kg)	WT	KO	Duration (hr)	WT	KO	exposure, AUC <sub>KO</sub> /AUC <sub>WI</sub>
Rom1	IV	5	1827	13570	0-4	3015	40343	13
Бсірі	РО	20	233	16176	0-24	1189	131822	111
N 1 1	IV	5	2749	2266	0-6	5131	3504	1
Mdria	PO	20	349	440	0-24	1098	1781	2
* IV (intravenous) = $C_{max}$ at time zero was extrapolated from the model; PO (Oral) = visual $C_{max}$ from raw data								
SASP $C_{max}$ and exposure (AUC) in Bcrp1 (abcg2) and mdr1a (WT and KO) mice following intravenous (IV) and oral (PO) administration.								

Zaher et al., Molecular Pharmaceutics epub January 4, 2006























nulation	Drug	Structure	Dose, Route	# Patients	Ethnic Group, Gender	Result	Reference
	Sulfasalazine	303	2000 mg po	37*	Japanese Male	1.7-3.5X increase in AUC, Cmax	Yamasaki et al (2008) Clin Pharmacol Ther, ePub
ısp →	Sulfasalazine		1000 mg po	17*	Caucasian Both	1.7-2.4X increase in AUC, Cmax	Urguhart et al (2008) Pharmacogen & Genomics, ePub
SR→	Sulfasalazine		500 mg po	36*	Chinese Both	No effect on AUC, Cmax	Adkison et al (2008) ASCPT mtg poster
	Geffinib (IRESSA)	0-page	250 mg po	124*	Caucasian Both	44% with mutation had dianhea vs. 12% with WT	Cusatis et al (2007) JNCI 98(23):1739
	Topotecan	, the second sec	<2.5 mg po, iv	18^	Caucasian Both	1.35X increase in oral bicavallability	Spaneboom et al (2005) Canc Biol The 4:650
	Rosuvastatin	wight	20 mg po	14"	Chinese Both	1.8X increase in AUC and Cmax	Zhang et al (2006) Clin Chim Acta 373:99
	Diffornotecan	mi	<0.5 mg po, iv	22^	Caucasian Both	3X increase in AUC and Cmax for iv only	Sparreboom et al (2004) Clin Pharmace Ther 76:38
	Imatinib (GLEEVEC)	grage (	100-1000 mg po	82^	Caucasian Both	No difference	Gardner et al (2006) Clin Pharmacol Ther 80:192
	Pitavastatin	- mái	2 mg po	38*	Japanese Male	No difference	leiri et al (2007) Clin Pharmacol Ther. 82:541











#### ABCG2 Summary

- ABCG2 (BCRP/ABCP) has a role in the absorption and the elimination of a growing list of drugs, endobiotics, and xenobiotics.
- Additional probe substrates and inhibitors are needed to investigate cross-species to human comparisons and to improve *in-vitro* to *in-vivo* predictions.
- SASP <u>dose</u> and <u>formulation</u> are important determinants of ABCG2's influence on F.
- ABCG2-transfected LLC-PK1 or MDCK cells may be useful to evaluate the interaction of this transporter with NCEs or Drugs, however, many BCRP (ABCG2) substrates require a basolateral uptake transporter.
- The abcg2 KO mouse in combination with ABCG2 (BCRP) assay cluster may be best way to define ABCG2 substrates and inhibitors.





#### When is it Important to Study Renal Transporters?

- Does scientific evidence suggest that it is necessary to investigate renal transport DDI potential for NMEs?
  - Toxicologic significance
  - Primary determinant of systemic CL
  - NME inhibits the CL<sub>R</sub> of compound with narrow TDI
- · What is the optimal in vitro and in vivo strategy that will bridge preclinical to Clinical Development Plan?
- Is there a need to perform both probenecid and cimetidine studies in healthy volunteers if in vitro and preclinicial data support that compound is a prototypical transport substrate?

#### Package Inserts: Clinical Studies and DDI Potential

Drug (CL <sub>R</sub> )	Results (Bedside)
Mirapex (400 mL/min)	N=12 subjects/treatment arm.
+ cimetidine	50% 个 in AUC; 40% 个 in T 1/2
+ probenecid	No effect on PK
Tikosyn (420 mL/min)	Narrow TDI
+ cimetidine	40% ↑ in AUC; CLR ↓ 33%; QTc ↑17-19 ms
+ probenecid	No effect
Oseltamivir	N=12-18/treatment (see Hill et al.)
+cimetidine	No change on PK
+probenecid	2.5-fold AUC of Ro64-0802 (active metab)
Axid (500 mL/min)	Not currently defined, however TDI very high

#### Transporter Nomenclature **SLC Family ABC Family** • Apical Basolateral - OCT2 = SLC22A2 - MDR1 = ABCB1 - OAT1 = SLC22A6 - MRP2 = ABCC2 - MRP4 = ABCC4 - OAT3 = SLC22A8 - System L = SCL7A5/8 – BCRP = ABCG2 Apical - PepT2 = SLC15A2 - OCTTN1 = SLC22A4 - OCTN2 = SLC22A5

- OAT4 = SLC22A11





















- Isolated Perfused kidney
- Kidney Slices
- Isolated Renal Tubules (PCTs)
- Isolated BBMVs
- Individual Transporter Clones
  - Transient
  - Stable
- GeMMs





























Summary of PHA288034 Studies

Multi-tier approach appears to best way to identify substrates/inhibitors of uptake/efflux drug transporters.

## Active Tubular Secretion

- PHA-288034 appears to be a substrate and an inhibitor of hOAT3 (SLC22A8).
- PHA-288034 does not appear to be a substrate for hOAT1, OCT2, OCTN1, or OCTN2.
- Additional work is needed to fully appreciate OAT3 cross-species differences.
- Cimetidine inhibits OAT3-mediated transport as well as OCT-2 mediated transport.

For MW >400

# **Hepatic Transporters**

- Question 1. Is uptake transport the rate-Limiting Step of total clearance (assume low/no metabolism).
- Question 2. Is it possible to predict the DDI potential mediated through hepatic uptake or efflux or are we only able to define potential mechanisms of a PK observation?
- Question 3. Toxicological significance of bile acid uptake, synthesis, or efflux inhibition









#### **OATP** Substrates

OATP1B1	OATP1B3
(OATP-C, LST-1, OATP2)	(OATP8, LST-2)
Endogenous Substrates: Estrone Sulfate, PGE2, Bilirubin, thyroid hormone $(T_y, T_a)$ Bilirubin-glucuronides Estradiol 17 $\beta$ -d-glucuronide, bile acids	Endogenous Substrates: CCK-8, PGE <sub>2</sub> Thyroid hormone (T <sub>3</sub> , T <sub>4</sub> ) Estradiol 17 $\beta$ -d-glucuronide, Bile acids, Deltophin, DPDPE,
Drug Substrates:	Drug Substrates:
Atorvastatin, Cerlvastatin, Pravastatin	Pravastatin, Pitavastatin, Rosuvastatin,,
Rosuvastatin, Pitavastatin, Caspofungin,	Fexofenadine, BQ-123, Oubain,, Digoxin,
Troglitazone-sulfate, Rifampin, Arsenic,	Doxotaxel, Paclitaxel,, Rifampin, MTX, Bilirubin,
Atrasentan, Valsartan, Olmesartan, Enalapril,	Repaglinide, Telmisartan, Valsartan,
MTX, Temocaprilat, SN-38	Olmesartan, Enalapril, Temocaprilat, SN-38
Toxins:	Toxins:
Phalloidin, Microcystin-LR	Phalloidin, Microcystin-LR
©Richard B.	Kim M.D.











- Is NME eliminated unchanged in the bile and is a substrate of uptake transporter or transporters?
- Permeability
- Multiplicity
- Affinity and Capacity
  - Relative abundance of OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OAT2B1, NTCP
  - Selective vs pan-inhibitors (ie CsA)
- Is NME a substrate of uptake and efflux transporters
- Multiplicity (ABCB1, ABCC2, and ABCG2)
- Uptake/efflux synergy



OATP1B3, CYP3A4, and CYP2C8.

(Lau YY et al., Clin Pharmacol Ther, 81, 194-204 (2007), slide courtesy of Dr. L.Z. Benet)















#### **Future Direction of Drug Transport in Preclinical** Development and Clinical Pharmacology

- DDIs mediated through drug transporter(s) have received increased attention, however, at present one can define the likelihood of a DDI for well characterized transporters only qualitatively (Likely, Possible, and Not Likely). .
- Significant overlap exists between drug metabolizing • enzymes and drug transporters.
- Evaluation of *in-vitro* screens to predict *in-vivo* drug-drug interactions is an area of increased regulatory awareness. Therefore, the accuracy of the predicted DDI is dependent on the *Quality* of the *in-vitro* assay. •
- ٠
- Greater emphasis on Clinical Translation with respect to PK/PD of select transport probes is needed. Preclinical and clinical differences in transporter expression may be a determinant of drug-induced toxicity and a developing area of research for drug-induced diseases. ٠ Additional KO and Tg mice to investigate the *in-vivo* contribution of drug transporters are needed.