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## ALASKA PLAICE

by
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Assessment of Alaska plaice had previously been presented in the "other flatfish" chapter. Because the 2002 harvest specifications separated Alaska plaice from the other flatfish complex, the assessment of Alaska plaice has been presented as a separate chapter in this SAFE document. The assessment of the remaining other flatfish, excluding Alaska plaice, is presented in a separate chapter.

The following changes have been made to this assessment relative to the November 2001 SAFE:

## Changes in the input data

1) The 2001 catch (total and discarded) was updated, and catch through 28, September 2002 were included in the assessment.
2) 2002 trawl survey biomass estimate and standard error for Alaska plaice was included in the assessment.

## Model results

1) Estimated $1+$ total biomass for 2003 is $1,082,690 \mathrm{t}$.
2) Projected female spawning biomass for 2003 is $255,676 \mathrm{t}$.
3) Recommended ABC for 2003 is $137,015 \mathrm{t}$ based on an $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ (0.28) harvest level.
4) 2002 overfishing level is $164,822 \mathrm{t}$ based on a $\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}(0.34)$ harvest level.

The following summarizes our recommendations for Alaska plaice and other flatfish fisheries conservation measures.

| 2001 Assessment | 2002 Assessment |
| :--- | :--- |
| recommendations | recommendations |
| for the 2002 harvest | for the 2003 harvest |

ABC
Overfishing
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {overfishing }}$

142,764 t
171,736 t
$\mathrm{F}_{0.40}=0.28$
$\mathrm{F}_{0.35}=0.34$

137,015
164,822
$\mathrm{F}_{0.40}=0.28$
$\mathrm{F}_{0.35}=0.34$

## INTRODUCTION

Prior to 2001, Alaska plaice (Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus) were managed as part of the "other species" complex. Flathead sole (Hippoglossoides elassodon) were part of the other flatfish complex until they were removed in 1995, but in recent years Alaska plaice was the dominant species of the complex and comprised $87 \%$ of both the 2000 catch and the estimated 2001 trawl survey biomass. Because more biological information exists for Alaska plaice than for the remaining species of other flatfish, an age-structured population model was used to assess this stock. In contrast, survey biomass estimates are the principal data source used to assess the remaining other flatfish. In 2002, Alaska plaice were managed separately from the other flatfish complex and removed from the other species complex. Given the differences in biological information, assessment techniques, and management, it is appropriate to separate the assessment of Alaska plaice from the remaining other flatfish. This chapter considers only the assessment of Alaska plaice; the remaining other flatfish are discussed in another chapter.

The distribution of Alaska plaice is mainly on the Eastern Bering Sea continental shelf, with only small amounts found in the Aleutian Islands region. In particular, the summer distribution of Alaska plaice is generally confined to depths $<110 \mathrm{~m}$, with larger fish predominately in deep waters and smaller juveniles ( $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in shallow coastal waters (Zhang et al., 1998). The Alaska plaice distribution overlaps with rock sole (Lepidopsetta bilineata) and yellowfin sole (Limanda aspera), but the center of the distribution is north of these two species.

## Catch History

Catches of Alaska plaice increased from approximately $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1971 to a peak of $62,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988, the first year of joint venture processing (JVP) (Table 1). Part of this apparent increase was due to better species identification and reporting of catches in the 1970s. Because of the overlap of the Alaska plaice distribution with that of yellowfin sole, much of the Alaska plaice catch during the 1960s was likely caught as bycatch in the yellowfin sole fishery (Zhang et al. 1998). With the cessation of joint venture fishing operations in 1991, Alaska plaice are now harvested exclusively by domestic vessels. Catch data from 1980-89 by its component fisheries (JVP, non-U.S., and domestic) are available in Wilderbuer and Walters (1990). The catch of Alaska plaice taken in research surveys from 1977-2001 are shown in Table 2. The catch locations by quarter for 2001 for Alaska plaice fishery hauls (defined by Alaska plaice contributing at least $20 \%$ of the total catch) are shown in the Appendix.

Since implementation of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MFCMA) in 1977, Alaska plaice has been generally been lightly fished. However, the 2002 catch through 28 September of 11,400 $t$ exceeds the total allowable catch of $10,200 \mathrm{t}$. Alaska plaice are grouped with the rock sole, flathead sole, and other flatfish fisheries in a single prohibited species class (PSC) classification, with seasonal and total annual allowances of prohibited bycatch applied to the classification. In recent years, this group of fisheries has been closed prior to attainment of the TAC due to the bycatch of halibut (Table 3), and portion of the eastern Bering Sea has been closed to these fisheries in 2002 for exceeding the red king crab bycatch allowance.

Substantial amounts of Alaska plaice are discarded in various eastern Bering Sea target fisheries. Retained and discarded catches were reported for Alaska plaice for the first time in 2002, and indicate that of the $11,360 \mathrm{t}$ caught only 360 t were retained, resulting in a retention rate of $3.2 \%$. The discarding estimates were produced by using observer estimates of discard rate applied to the "blend" estimate of observer and industry reported retained catch. Examination of the 2002 blend data revealed that over $9,400 \mathrm{t}$ of discards could be attributed to the yellowfin sole fishery, primarily from March to early April and again from August from late September. Substantial rates of discarding also occurred in the rock sole, flathead sole, and Pacific cod fisheries.

## DATA

## Commercial Catch Data

## Fishery Catch Biomass and Catch-at-Age Data

This assessment uses fishery catches from 1971 through 28 September, 2002 (Table 2), and estimates of number caught by age for the years 1971-79, 81-82, 1988, and 1995 (Table 5).

## Survey Data

## Survey Biomass

Because Alaska plaice are usually taken incidentally in target fisheries for other species, CPUE from commercial fisheries is considered unreliable information for determining trends in abundance for these species. It is therefore necessary to use research vessel survey data to assess the condition of these stocks.

Large-scale bottom trawl survey of the Eastern Bering Sea continental shelf have been conducted in 1975 and 1979-2001 by NMFS. Survey estimates of total biomass and numbers at age are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. It should be recognized that the resultant biomass estimates are point estimates from an "area-swept" survey. As a result, they carry the uncertainty inherent in the technique. It is assumed that the sampling plan covers the distribution of the fish and that all fish in the path of the trawl are captured. That is, there are no losses due to escapement or gains due to gear herding effects.

Trawl survey biomass estimates for Alaska plaice biomass increased dramatically from 1975 through 1982 and have remained at a high and stable level since (Table 4, Figure 1). The trawl gear was changed in 1982 from the 400 mesh eastern trawl to the 83112 trawl, as the latter trawl has better bottom contact. This may contribute to the increase in Alaska plaice seen from 1981 to 1982, as increases between these years were noticed in other flatfish as well. However, large changes in Alaska plaice biomass between adjacent years have occurred without changes in trawl gear, such as the increase from 1980 to 1981 and the decrease from 1984 to 1985.

Although calibration between years with different trawl gear has not been accomplished, the survey data since 1982 does incorporate calibration between the two vessels used in the survey. Fishing Power Coefficients (FPC) were estimated with the methods of Kappenman (1992). The trend of the biomass estimates is the same as
without the calibration between vessels, but the magnitude of the change in 1988 was markedly reduced. In 1988, one vessel had slightly smaller and lighter trawl doors which may have affected the estimates for several species. With the exception of the 1988 estimate, Alaska plaice has shown a relatively stable trend since 1985, although abundance was higher in the 1994 and 1997 surveys. The 2002 estimate of $424,971 \mathrm{t}$ is close to the 2000 estimate of $443,620 \mathrm{t}$, and is a $27 \%$ decrease from the 2001 estimate of $538,319 \mathrm{t}$. The interannual variation in estimated biomass appears to be relatively high since 1994.

## Survey Growth Parameters

Information on length at age, and weight at length, for Alaska plaice are also available from the bottom trawl survey. The values for the parameters in the von Bertalanffy age-length relationship were found from aging data collected in 1995.

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathrm{~cm}) \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{t}_{0}
$$

| Alaska plaice |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| males | 39.1 | 0.1593 | -0.5349 |
| females | 49.5 | 0.1162 | -0.7715 |

A length $(\mathrm{cm})$ - weight $(\mathrm{g})$ relationship of the form $W=a L^{b}$ was also fit to data obtained from the 1995 trawl survey, with the estimated values of of $a=0.0088$ and $b=3.11$ applying to both sexes.

In summary, the data available for Alaska plaice are

1) Total catch weight, 1971-2001;
2) Proportional catch number at age, 1971-79, 1981-82, 1988, 1995;
3) Survey biomass and standard error 1975, 1979-2001;
4) Survey age composition $1979,1982,1988,1992-1995,1998$.

## ANALTICAL APPROACH

## Model Structure

A catch-at-age population dynamics model was used to obtain estimates of several population variables of the Alaska plaice stock, including recruitment, population size, and catch. This catch at age model was developed with the software program AD Modelbuilder. Population size in numbers at age $a$ in year $t$ was modeled as

$$
N_{t, a}=N_{t-1, a-1} e^{-Z_{t-1, a-1}} \quad 2 \leq a<A, \quad 2 \leq t \leq T
$$

where $Z$ is the sum of the instantaneous fishing mortality rate $\left(F_{t, a}\right)$ and the natural mortality rate ( $M$ ), $A$ is the maximum number of ages in the population, and $T$ is the
terminal year of the analysis. The numbers at age $A$ are a "pooled" group consisting of fish of age $A$ and older, and are estimated as

$$
N_{t, A}=N_{t-1, A-1} e^{-Z_{t-1, A-1}}+N_{t-1, A} e^{-Z_{t-1, A}}
$$

The numbers of age 1 fish over all years are estimated as parameters in the model, as are the numbers at all ages in the first year. The number of age 1 fish over all years is modeled with a lognormal distribution

$$
N_{t, 1}=e^{\left(\text {meanrec }+v_{t}\right)}
$$

where meanrec is the mean and $v$ is a time-variant deviation. The numbers at age in the first year are modeled in a similar manner

$$
N_{1, a}=e^{\left(\text {meaninit }-M(a-1)+\gamma_{a}\right)}
$$

where meaninit is the mean and $\gamma$ is an age-variant deviation.
Catch in numbers at age in year $t\left(C_{t, a}\right)$ and total biomass of catch each year were modeled as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{t, a}=\frac{F_{t, a}}{Z_{t, a}}\left(1-e^{-Z_{a, t}}\right) N_{t, a} \\
& Y_{t}=\sum_{a=1}^{A} C_{t, a} w_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{a}$ is the mean weight at age for plaice.
Estimating certain parameters in different stages enhances the estimation of large number of parameters in nonlinear models. For example, the fishing mortality rate for a specific age and time $\left(F_{t, a}\right)$ is modeled as the product of an age-specific selectivity function ( $\mathrm{sel}_{a}$ ) and a year-specific fully-selected fishing mortality rate. The fully selected mortality rate is modeled as the product of a mean $(\mu)$ and a year-specific deviation $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)$, thus $F_{t, a}$ is

$$
F_{t, a}=\operatorname{sel}_{a} * e^{\left(\mu+\varepsilon_{l}\right)}
$$

In the early stages of parameter estimation, the selectivity coefficients are not estimated. As the solution is being approached, selectivity was modeled with the logistic function:

$$
\text { sel }_{a}=\frac{1}{1+e^{(-\operatorname{slope}(a-\text { fifty })}}
$$

where the parameter slope affects the steepness of the curve and the parameter fifty is the age at which $\operatorname{sel}_{a}$ equals 0.5 . The selectivity for the survey is modeled in a similar manner.

## Parameters Estimated Independently

The parameters estimated independently include the natural mortality $(M)$ and survey catchability ( $q \_s r v$ ). Most studies assume $M=0.20$ for these species on the basis of their longevity. Fish from both sexes have frequently been aged as high as 25 years from samples collected during the annual trawl surveys. Zhang (1987) determined that the natural mortality rate for Alaska plaice is variable by sex and may range from 0.195 for males to 0.27 for females. Natural mortality was fixed at 0.25 for this assessment from the result of a previous assessment (Wilderbuer and Walters 1997, Table 8.1) where
$M$ was profiled over a range of values to explore the effect it has on the overall model fit and to the individual data components. The survey catchability was fixed at 1.0.

## Parameters Estimated Conditionally

Parameter estimation is facilitated by comparing the model output to several observed quantities, such as the age compositions of the fishery and survey catches, the survey biomass, and the fishery catches. The general approach is to assume that deviations between model estimates and observed quantities are attributable to observation error and can be described with statistical distributions. Each data component provides a contribution to a total log-likelihood function, and parameter values that maximize the log-likelihood are selected.

The log-likelihoods of the age compositions were modeled with a multinomial distribution. The log of the multinomial function (excluding constant terms) is

$$
n \sum_{t, a} p_{t, a} \ln \left(\hat{p}_{t, a}\right)
$$

where $n_{t}$ is the number of fish aged, and $p$ and $\hat{p}$ are the observed and estimated age proportion at age.

The log-likelihood of the survey biomass was modeled with a lognormal distribution:

$$
\lambda_{2} \sum_{t}\left(\ln \left(\text { obs_biom }_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\text { pred_biom }_{t}\right)\right)^{2} / 2 * c v(t)^{2}
$$

where obs_biom $_{t}$ and pred_biom $_{t}$ are the observed and predicted survey biomass at time $t$, $c v(t)$ is the coefficient of variation of observed biomass in year $t$, and $\lambda_{2}$ is a weighting factor. The predicted survey biomass is a function of the mean numbers at age, which was computed as:

$$
\bar{N}_{t, a}=N_{t, a} *\left(1-e^{-Z_{t, a}}\right) / Z_{t, a}
$$

The predicted survey biomass for a given year is

$$
q_{-} s r v * \sum_{a} s e l_{-} s r v_{a}\left(\bar{N}_{a} * w t_{a}\right)
$$

where $s e l_{-} s r v_{a}$ is the survey selectivity at age and $w t_{a}$ is the population weight at age.
The log-likelihood of the catch biomass were modeled with a lognormal distribution:

$$
\lambda_{3} \sum_{t}\left(\ln \left(o b s_{-} c a t_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\text { pred_c }_{-} c a t_{t}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

where $o b s_{-} c a t_{t}$ and pred_cat $t_{t}$ are the observed and predicted catch. Because the catch biomass is generally thought to be observed with higher precision that other variables, $\lambda_{3}$ is given a very high value (hence low variance in the total catch estimate) so as to fit the catch biomass nearly exactly. This can be accomplished by varying the $F$ levels, and the deviations in $F$ are not included in the overall likelihood function. The overall likelihood function (excluding the catch component) is

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(\sum_{t} \varepsilon_{t}+\sum_{a} \gamma_{a}\right)+n \sum_{t, a} p_{t, a} \ln \left(\hat{p}_{t, a}\right)+\lambda_{2} \sum_{t}\left(\ln \left(o b s_{-} \text {biom }_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\text { pred_biom }_{t}\right)\right)^{2} / 2 * c v(t)^{2}
$$

For the model run in this analysis, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$, and $\lambda_{3}$ were assigned weights of 1,1 , and 500 , respectively. The value for age composition sample size, $n$, was set to 200 . The likelihood function was maximized by varying the following parameters:

| Parameter type | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1) fishing mortality mean $(\mu)$ | 1 |
| 2) fishing mortality deviations $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)$ | 32 |
| 3) recruitment mean (meanrec) | 1 |
| 4) recruitment deviations $(v)$ | 32 |
| 5) initial year mean (meaninit) | 1 |
| 6) initial year deviations $(\gamma)$ | 24 |
| 7) fishery selectivity patterns | 2 |
| 8) survey selectivity patterns | 2 |
| Total parameters | 95 |

## RESULTS

## Biomass trends

The model results show that estimated total Alaska plaice biomass (ages 1+) increased from a low of $447,726 \mathrm{t}$ in 1971 to a peak of 1,462,360 t in 1984 (Figure 2, Table 6). Beginning in 1985, estimated total biomass has declined to $1,075,230 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993, and has remained at approximately this level; the estimated 2002 total biomass is $1,076,720 \mathrm{t}$. The estimated survey biomass also shows a rapid increase to a peak biomass of $680,259 \mathrm{t}$ in 1987, a subsequent decline to $488,175 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996, and an increase to $515,861 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002 (Figure 4). The fits to the trawl survey and fishery age compositions are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

## Recruitment trends

The changes in stock biomass are primarily a function of recruitment variability, as fishing pressure has been relatively light. The fully selected fishing mortality estimates, although trending upward, show a maximum value of 0.08 in 1988, and have averaged 0.02 during 1971-2001 (Figure 7); the 2002 estimate is 0.021 . Estimated age-1 recruitment has shown high levels from 1971-1982, averaging $2.4 \times 10^{9}$ (Figure 8, Table 9). From 1983-2002, estimated recruitment has declined, averaging $1.7 \times 10^{9}$. A particularly low period of recruitment apparently occurred from 1983-1987, which interestingly coincided with the peak in spawning biomass production. This is revealed in the spawning stock biomass-recruitment plot (Figure 9), which suggests that exceptional year classes have not occurred in the past when SSB has been greater than approximately $250,000 \mathrm{t}$.

## PROJECTIONS AND HARVEST ALTERNATIVES

The reference fishing mortality rate for Alaska plaice is determined by the amount of reliable population information available (Amendment 56 of the Fishery Management Plan for the groundfish fishery of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands). Estimates of $F_{40 \%}$, $F_{40 \%}$, and $S P R_{40 \%}$ were obtained from a spawner-per-recruit analysis. Assuming that the average recruitment from 1977-2002 year classes estimated in this assessment represents a reliable estimate of equilibrium recruitment, then an estimate of $B_{40 \%}$ is calculated as the product of $S P R_{40 \%}$ * equilibrium recruits, and this quantity is $130,888 \mathrm{t}$. The year 2003 spawning biomass is estimated as $255,676 \mathrm{t}$. Since reliable estimates of 2003 spawning biomass ( $B$ ), $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ exist and $B>B_{40 \%}(255,676 \mathrm{t}>130,888 \mathrm{t})$, Alaska plaice reference fishing mortality is defined in tier 3a of Amendment 56. For this tier, $F_{A B C}$ is constrained to be $\leq F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{O F L}$ is defined as $F_{35 \%}$. The values of these quantities are

2003 SSB estimate | $(B)$ | $=255,676 \mathrm{t}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $B_{40 \%}$ | $=130,888 \mathrm{t}$ |
| $F_{40 \%}$ | $=0.279$ |
| $F_{A B C}$ | $\leq 0.279$ |
| $F_{35 \%}$ | $=0.344$ |
| $F_{O F L}$ | $=0.344$ |

## Specification of OFL and Maximum Permissible ABC

The estimated catch level for year 2003 associated with the overfishing level of F $=0.344$ is $164,822 \mathrm{t}$. Because the Alaska plaice stock has not been overfished in recent years and the stock biomass is relatively high, it is not recommended to adjust $F_{A B C}$ downward from the maximum permissible ; thus, the year 2003 recommended ABC associated with $F_{A B C}$ of 0.279 is $137,015 \mathrm{t}$.

Standard Harvest and Recruitment Scenarios and Projection Methodology
A standard set of projections is required for each stock managed under Tiers 1, 2, or 3 of Amendment 56. This set of projections encompasses seven harvest scenarios designed to satisfy the requirements of Amendment 56, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA).

For each scenario, the projections begin with the vector of 2002 numbers at age estimated in the assessment. This vector is then projected forward to the beginning of 2002 using the schedules of natural mortality and selectivity described in the assessment and the best available estimate of total (year-end) catch for 2002. In each subsequent year, the fishing mortality rate is prescribed on the basis of the spawning biomass in that year and the respective harvest scenario. In each year, recruitment is drawn from an inverse Gaussian distribution whose parameters consist of maximum likelihood estimates determined from recruitments estimated in the assessment. Spawning biomass is computed in each year based on the time of peak spawning and the maturity and weight
schedules described in the assessment. Total catch is assumed to equal the catch associated with the respective harvest scenario in all years. This projection scheme is run 1000 times to obtain distributions of possible future stock sizes, fishing mortality rates, and catches.

Five of the seven standard scenarios will be used in an Environmental Assessment prepared in conjunction with the final SAFE. These five scenarios, which are designed to provide a range of harvest alternatives that are likely to bracket the final TAC for 2003, are as follows (" $\max F_{A B C}$ " refers to the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Amendment 56):

Scenario 1: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $\max F_{A B C}$. (Rationale: Historically, TAC has been constrained by ABC, so this scenario provides a likely upper limit on future TACs.)

Scenario 2: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to a constant fraction of $\max F_{A B C}$, where this fraction is equal to the ratio of the $F_{A B C}$ value for 2003 recommended in the assessment to the $\max F_{A B C}$ for 2002. (Rationale: When $F_{A B C}$ is set at a value below $\max F_{A B C}$, it is often set at the value recommended in the stock assessment.)

Scenario 3: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $50 \%$ of $\max F_{A B C}$. (Rationale: This scenario provides a likely lower bound on $F_{A B C}$ that still allows future harvest rates to be adjusted downward when stocks fall below reference levels.)

Scenario 4: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to the 1997-2001 average $F$. (Rationale: For some stocks, TAC can be well below ABC, and recent average $F$ may provide a better indicator of $F_{T A C}$ than $F_{A B C}$.)

Scenario 5: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to zero. (Rationale: In extreme cases, TAC may be set at a level close to zero.)

The recommended $F_{A B C}$ and the maximum $F_{A B C}$ are equivalent in this assessment, and five-year projections of the mean Alaska plaice harvest and spawning stock biomass for the remaining four scenarios are shown in Table 7.

Two other scenarios are needed to satisfy the MSFCMA's requirement to determine whether the Alaska plaice stock is currently in an overfished condition or is approaching an overfished condition. These two scenarios are as follows (for Tier 3 stocks, the MSY level is defined as $B_{35 \%}$ ):

Scenario 6: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{\text {OFL }}$. (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is overfished. If the stock is expected to be above its MSY level in 2003 under this scenario, then the stock is not overfished.)

Scenario 7: In 2003 and 2004, $F$ is set equal to $\max F_{A B C}$, and in all subsequent years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{O F L}$. (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is approaching an overfished condition. If the stock is expected to be above
its MSY level in 2005 under this scenario, then the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.)

The results of these two scenarios indicate that the Alaska plaice are neither overfished or approaching an overfished condition. With regard to assessing the current stock level, the expected stock size in the year 2003 of scenario 6 is 2.2 times its $B_{35 \%}$ value of $114,527 \mathrm{t}$. With regard to whether the stock is likely to be in an overfished condition in the near future, the expected stock size in the year 2005 of scenario 7 is 1.5 times its $B_{35 \%}$ value.

## OTHER CONSIDERATIONNS

Trophic studies indicate that Alaska plaice feed primarily on polychaetes, amphipods and echiurids. Groundfish predators include Pacific halibut, yellowfin sole , beluga whales and fur seals.

## Summary

In summary, several quantities pertinent to the management of the Alaska plaice are listed below.

| Quantity | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M$ | 0.25 |
| Year 2003 spawning stock biomass | $255,676 \mathrm{t}$ |
| $F_{O F L}$ | 0.344 |
| Maximum $F_{A B C}$ | 0.279 |
| Recommended $F_{A B C}$ | 0.279 |
| OFL | $164,822 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Recommended ABC | $137,015 \mathrm{t}$ |
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Table 1. Harvest (t) of Alaska plaice from 1977-2002

| Year | Harvest |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1977 | 2589 |
| 1978 | 10420 |
| 1979 | 13672 |
| 1980 | 6902 |
| 1981 | 8653 |
| 1982 | 6811 |
| 1983 | 10766 |
| 1984 | 18982 |
| 1985 | 24888 |
| 1986 | 46519 |
| 1987 | 18567 |
| 1988 | 61638 |
| 1989 | 14134 |
| 1990 | 10926 |
| 1991 | 18029 |
| 1992 | 18985 |
| 1993 | 14536 |
| 1994 | 9227 |
| 1995 | 19204 |
| 1996 | 16084 |
| 1997 | 20420 |
| 1998 | 13989 |
| 1999 | 13612 |
| 2000 | 14274 |
| 2001 | 8397 |
| $2002 *$ | 11360 |

*NMFS Regional Office Report through Sept 28, 2002

Table 2. Research catches (t) of Alaska plaice in the BSAI area from 1977 to 2002.

| Year | Research Catch (t) |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 4.28 |
| 1978 | 4.94 |
| 1979 | 17.15 |
| 1980 | 12.02 |
| 1981 | 14.31 |
| 1982 | 26.77 |
| 1983 | 43.27 |
| 1984 | 32.42 |
| 1985 | 23.24 |
| 1986 | 19.66 |
| 1987 | 19.74 |
| 1988 | 39.42 |
| 1989 | 31.10 |
| 1990 | 32.29 |
| 1991 | 29.79 |
| 1992 | 15.14 |
| 1993 | 19.71 |
| 1994 | 22.48 |
| 1995 | 28.47 |
| 1996 | 18.26 |
| 1997 | 22.59 |
| 1998 | 17.17 |
| 1999 | 18.95 |
| 2000 | 15.98 |
| 2001 | 20.45 |
| 2002 | 15.07 |

Table 3. Restrictions on the "other flatfish" fishery from 1994 to 2002 in the Bering Sea - Aleutian Islands management area. Note that in 1994, the other flatfish category included flathead sole. Unless otherwise indicated, the closures were applied to the entire BSAI management area. Zone 1 consists of areas $508,509,512$, and 516 , whereas zone 2 consists of areas 513,517 , and 521 .

| Year | Dates | Bycatch Closure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 2/28-12/31 | Red King crab cap (Zone 1 closed) |
|  | 5/7-12/31 | Bairdi Tannner crab (Zone 2 closed) |
|  | 7/5-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 1995 | 2/21-3/30 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/17-7/1 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 8/1-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 1996 | 2/26-4/1 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/13-7/1 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 7/31-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 1997 | 2/20-4/1 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/12-7/1 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 7/25-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 1998 | 3/5-3/30 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/21-7/1 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 8/16-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 1999 | 2/26-3/30 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/27-7/04 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 8/31-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 2000 | 3/4-3/31 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/30-7/03 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 8/25-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 2001 | 3/20-3/31 | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/27-7/01 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 8/24-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |
| 2002 | $2 / 22-12 / 31$ | Red King crab cap (Zone 1 closed) |
|  | $3 / 1-3 / 31$ | First Seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 4/20-6/29 | Second seasonal halibut cap |
|  | 7/29-12/31 | Annual halibut allowance |

Table 4. Estimated biomass and standard deviations (t) of Alaska plaice from the eastern Bering Sea trawl survey.

| Year | Biomass <br> estimate | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1975 | 103,500 | 11,600 |
| 1979 | 277,200 | 31,100 |
| 1980 | 354,000 | 39,800 |
| 1981 | 535,800 | 60,200 |
| 1982 | 715,400 | 64,800 |
| 1983 | 743,000 | 65,100 |
| 1984 | 789,200 | 35,800 |
| 1985 | 580,000 | 61,000 |
| 1986 | 553,900 | 63,000 |
| 1987 | 564,400 | 57,500 |
| 1988 | 699,400 | 140,000 |
| 1989 | 534,000 | 58,800 |
| 1990 | 522,800 | 50,000 |
| 1991 | 529,000 | 50,100 |
| 1992 | 530,400 | 56,400 |
| 1993 | 515,200 | 50,500 |
| 1994 | 623,100 | 53,300 |
| 1995 | 552,292 | 62,600 |
| 1996 | 529,300 | 67,500 |
| 1997 | 643,400 | 73,200 |
| 1998 | 452,600 | 58,700 |
| 1999 | 546,522 | 47,000 |
| 2000 | 443,620 | 67,600 |
| 2001 | 538,319 | 30,700 |
| 2002 | 424,971 | 53,800 |



Table 6. Estimated total biomass (ages $1+$ ), female spawner biomass, and recruitment (age 1), with comparison to the 2001 SAFE estimates.

|  |  | Female <br> Spawner <br> Biomass ( t ) |  | Total <br> Biomass ( t ) |  | Recruitment (Millions) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Assessment |  | Assessment |  | Assessment |  |
| Year |  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
|  | 1971 | 62941 | 62887 | 447726 | 447611 | 2401 | 2404 |
|  | 1972 | 69723 | 69671 | 573433 | 573374 | 1788 | 1791 |
|  | 1973 | 85841 | 85793 | 705367 | 705399 | 1380 | 1383 |
|  | 1974 | 112713 | 112674 | 824505 | 824671 | 1499 | 1503 |
|  | 1975 | 151741 | 151715 | 919065 | 919405 | 2674 | 2681 |
|  | 1976 | 196382 | 196376 | 992417 | 992973 | 3139 | 3144 |
|  | 1977 | 241615 | 241642 | 1056400 | 1057220 | 2579 | 2582 |
|  | 1978 | 278389 | 278467 | 1122390 | 1123480 | 3584 | 3586 |
|  | 1979 | 300316 | 300456 | 1187390 | 1188740 | 2287 | 2290 |
|  | 1980 | 311182 | 311400 | 1255230 | 1256790 | 2398 | 2401 |
|  | 1981 | 322053 | 322359 | 1327450 | 1329160 | 2274 | 2278 |
|  | 1982 | 335354 | 335753 | 1388060 | 1389880 | 2362 | 2367 |
|  | 1983 | 355325 | 355802 | 1436580 | 1438500 | 1201 | 1204 |
|  | 1984 | 376608 | 377143 | 1462360 | 1464350 | 924 | 927 |
|  | 1985 | 396719 | 397287 | 1454430 | 1456480 | 1458 | 1463 |
|  | 1986 | 406178 | 406762 | 1415430 | 1417530 | 1081 | 1086 |
|  | 1987 | 403950 | 404543 | 1335830 | 1337990 | 1330 | 1338 |
|  | 1988 | 404695 | 405301 | 1274560 | 1276800 | 2310 | 2327 |
|  | 1989 | 380143 | 380759 | 1170930 | 1173350 | 1713 | 1730 |
|  | 1990 | 368463 | 369094 | 1128840 | 1131590 | 2249 | 2280 |
|  | 1991 | 352961 | 353609 | 1103370 | 1106700 | 1693 | 1728 |
|  | 1992 | 330685 | 331363 | 1084270 | 1088500 | 2085 | 2158 |
|  | 1993 | 309338 | 310079 | 1075230 | 1080870 | 1790 | 1882 |
|  | 1994 | 296218 | 297082 | 1078750 | 1086630 | 1560 | 1648 |
|  | 1995 | 293281 | 294370 | 1089450 | 1100520 | 1562 | 1637 |
|  | 1996 | 289737 | 291408 | 1087460 | 1103030 | 1679 | 1737 |
|  | 1997 | 294248 | 296432 | 1085150 | 1104830 | 1762 | 1802 |
|  | 1998 | 295258 | 298187 | 1075420 | 1098900 | 1809 | 1840 |
|  | 1999 | 300941 | 305017 | 1071960 | 1098550 | 1857 | 1883 |
|  | 2000 | 302587 | 308035 | 1069780 | 1098460 | 1859 | 1883 |
|  | 2001 | 302471 | 309358 | 1069120 | 1098820 | 1859 | 1882 |
|  | 2002 | 302840 |  | 1076720 |  | 1859 |  |

Table 7. Projections of spawning biomass, catch, fishing mortality rate, and catch for each of the several scenarios. The values of $\mathrm{B}_{40 \%}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{35 \%}$ are $130,888 \mathrm{t}$ and $114,527 \mathrm{t}$, respectively.

| Sp. Biomass | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 | Scenario 6 | Scenario 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 276948 | 276948 | 276948 | 276948 | 276948 | 276948 | 276948 |
| 2003 | 255676 | 255676 | 266265 | 275225 | 277329 | 250852 | 255676 |
| 2004 | 209214 | 209214 | 242168 | 273419 | 281232 | 195538 | 209214 |
| 2005 | 178748 | 178748 | 224623 | 273002 | 285828 | 161285 | 175584 |
| 2006 | 159679 | 159679 | 212524 | 273845 | 290994 | 141035 | 150202 |
| 2007 | 148055 | 148055 | 204395 | 275437 | 296280 | 129515 | 135079 |
| 2008 | 141076 | 141076 | 198994 | 277326 | 301296 | 123688 | 126632 |
| 2009 | 136887 | 136887 | 195379 | 279178 | 305779 | 121438 | 122700 |
| 2010 | 134455 | 134455 | 192967 | 280870 | 309670 | 120726 | 121263 |
| 2011 | 133247 | 133247 | 191388 | 282374 | 313001 | 120645 | 120885 |
| 2012 | 132811 | 132811 | 190377 | 283676 | 315812 | 120772 | 120876 |
| 2013 | 132739 | 132739 | 189720 | 284761 | 318136 | 120915 | 120929 |
| 2014 | 132775 | 132775 | 189266 | 285628 | 320019 | 120990 | 120882 |
| 2015 | 132732 | 132732 | 188853 | 286213 | 321431 | 120927 | 120763 |
| F | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 | Scenario 6 | Scenario 7 |
| 2002 | 0.0205563 | 0.0205563 | 0.020557 | 0.0205563 | 0.0205571 | 0.0205563 | 0.0205569 |
| 2003 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.344195 | 0.278651 |
| 2004 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.344195 | 0.278651 |
| 2005 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.344195 | 0.344195 |
| 2006 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.344195 | 0.344195 |
| 2007 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.340428 | 0.344195 |
| 2008 | 0.278651 | 0.278651 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.324294 | 0.332097 |
| 2009 | 0.278645 | 0.278645 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.318059 | 0.320797 |
| 2010 | 0.276659 | 0.276659 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.31569 | 0.316178 |
| 2011 | 0.273872 | 0.273872 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.314688 | 0.314502 |
| 2012 | 0.271885 | 0.271885 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.314281 | 0.314098 |
| 2013 | 0.270991 | 0.270991 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.314221 | 0.314138 |
| 2014 | 0.27064 | 0.27064 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.314295 | 0.314022 |
| 2015 | 0.270671 | 0.270671 | 0.139326 | 0.0260277 | 0 | 0.314136 | 0.313807 |
| Catch | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 | Scenario 6 | Scenario 7 |
| 2002 | 11360.6 | 11360.6 | 11361 | 11360.6 | 11361.1 | 11360.6 | 11360.9 |
| 2003 | 137015 | 137015 | 72553.5 | 14217.4 | 0 | 164822 | 137015 |
| 2004 | 111629 | 111629 | 65811.5 | 14104.6 | 0 | 127810 | 111629 |
| 2005 | 95338.5 | 95338.5 | 61068.6 | 14093.4 | 0 | 105326 | 114843 |
| 2006 | 85346.1 | 85346.1 | 57891.6 | 14156.6 | 0 | 92281.7 | 98328 |
| 2007 | 79380.8 | 79380.8 | 55813.3 | 14259 | 0 | 84117.8 | 88647.5 |
| 2008 | 75822.7 | 75822.7 | 54440.4 | 14369.2 | 0 | 76852.4 | 80475.1 |
| 2009 | 73682.4 | 73682.4 | 53517 | 14471.1 | 0 | 74214.4 | 75623.5 |
| 2010 | 71953.8 | 71953.8 | 52890.3 | 14559.1 | 0 | 73410.6 | 73894.1 |
| 2011 | 70702.1 | 70702.1 | 52475.3 | 14634.9 | 0 | 73274.2 | 73430.6 |
| 2012 | 70061 | 70061 | 52214.4 | 14701.2 | 0 | 73357.9 | 73418.1 |
| 2013 | 69860.4 | 69860.4 | 52049.4 | 14757.1 | 0 | 73487.3 | 73514.1 |
| 2014 | 69835.6 | 69835.6 | 51941.2 | 14802.6 | 0 | 73591.5 | 73491.9 |
| 2015 | 69857 | 69857 | 51848.3 | 14835.1 | 0 | 73545.7 | 73334.5 |



Figure 1. Estimated survey biomass and $95 \%$ CIs


Figure 2. Estimated beginning year total biomass of Alaska plaice


Figure 3. Estimated survey and fishery selectivity


Figure 4. Observed (data points) and predicted (solid line) survey biomass of Alaska plaice


Figure 5. Survey age composition by year (solid line = observed, dotted line = predicted)


Figure 6. Fishery age composition by year (solid line $=$ observed, dotted line $=$ predicted)


Figure 6. Fishery age composition by year (solid line $=$ observed, dotted line $=$ predicted)


Figure 7. Estimated fully selected fishing mortality


Figure 8. Estimated recruitment (age 1) of Alaska plaice


Figure 9. Estimated SSB and recruitment for Alaska plaice,
with fitted Ricker curve (solid line); labels are spawning year.
The replacement line (dashed line) is based upon an F40 value of 0.28


Appendix Figure 1. Alaska plaice fishery catch (relative biomass), by quarter,
of hauls where more than 20 percent of the catch was Alaska plaice.

