OCS Study
MMS 96-0006

Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1995

By Stephen D. Treacy, Research Manager
MMS Bowhead Whale Aerial Survey



U.S. Department of the Interior
Minerals Management Service
Alaska OCS Region

Anchorage, Alaska
1996

ABSTRACT

This report describes field activities and data analyses for aerial surveys of bowhead whales conducted between 31 August 1995 and 20 October 1995 in the Beaufort Sea, primarily between 140°W. and 157°W. longitudes south of 72°N. latitude. General ice cover during September and October 1995 was relatively light. The number of sightings of bowhead whales (n = 284) and the number of bowhead whales counted (n = 428) during Fall 1995 were greater than average totals for previous project surveys (1987-1994). The bowhead whales, 958 belukha whales, 4 unidentified cetaceans, 12 bearded seals, 324 ringed seals, 1 Pacific walrus, 28 unidentified pinnipeds, and 5 polar bears were observed in 1995 during 115.52 hours of survey effort that included 61.32 hours on randomized transects. The initial sighting of bowhead whales in Alaskan waters occurred on 4 September. Of all bowhead whales observed, half (median) had been counted by 16 September. The peak count (mode) of 110 whales occurred on 19 September. A differential pattern of bowhead whale sightings east and west of 150°W. longitude appears unique when compared with previous years (1987-1994) and may indicate a diminishment in residence time and/or a decrease in the proportion of time spent at the surface once whales reached Harrison Bay. The last sighting of a bowhead whale in the primary study area occurred in 0-percent ice in Block 12 on 16 October. Estimated median and mean ($\bar{x}$) water depths at the location of bowhead whales sighted on randomized line transects during September and October 1995, 35.0 meters and 52.5 meters, respectively, are consistent with a previously noted trend for whales to be located in shallower water during years of light ice cover.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Project Management

Cleveland J. Cowles, Ph.D., Supervisor
Stephen D. Treacy, Research Manager

Minerals Management Service (MMS) Project Field Scientists

Stephen D. Treacy, Team Leader (Flights 1-24)
Donald Hansen, Team Leader (Flights 25-32)
Kyle Monkelien, Data Recorder-Observer (Flights 1-19)
Kristopher Nuttall, Data Recorder-Observer (Flights 20-26)
Susan Zerwick, Data Recorder-Observer (Flights 27-32)
Michael Baffrey, Primary Observer (Flights 1-16)
Richard Pomeroy, Primary Observer (Flights 17-26)
Joel Hubbard, Ph.D., Primary Observer (Flights 27-32)

Technical Support Personnel

Michael Baffrey, Field Equipment Coordinator

Contracting Officer's Administrative Representative - Aircraft Support

Stephen D. Treacy - MMS/Office of Aircraft Services (OAS) IA 05052

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Liaison

Ron Morris, NMFS, Anchorage, Alaska

The project management acknowledges Shari George, Alaska Data Visualization and Analysis Laboratory, University of Alaska-Fairbanks, for preliminary analysis and graphic portrayal of ice concentrations and Dave Benner, U.S. Navy, Naval Ice Center, for providing draft ice-severity rankings.

We acknowledge the Department of the Interior, OAS, for making all aircraft arrangements; Dale Best, Rod Elg, Craig Emmons, and Jeff Sharp (ERA Aviation) for piloting the Twin Otter; and Deadhorse Flight Service for providing us with daily telefaxes of local weather.

We appreciate review comments on this and/or previous project reports by the NMFS, the State of Alaska, the North Slope Borough, the Indigenous People's Council for Marine Mammals, and Dr. Steven Swartz, formerly with the Marine Mammal Commission.

The author especially thanks Colleen Ryan, MMS, who edited the manuscript. Her input (including all the hyphens) largely accounts for the professional look of the final-report series over the years. Other Alaska OCS Region personnel who supported production of this study were Bill Chambers, who drafted Beaufort Sea isobaths (Fig. 2); Stan Ashmore, who prepared the graphic for Figure 23 using application software (Quattro Pro and Corel Draw); and Ida Menge, who prepared the graphic for Figure 24 (AutoCAD, Autodesk, Inc.). Ann Treacy contributed the cover illustration. Cora Fullmer, Alaska OCS Region, provided administrative support for the field work.

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES
(Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1953, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA) (43 USC 1331-1356) charged the Secretary of the Interior with the responsibility for administering minerals exploration and development of the OCS. The Act empowered the Secretary to formulate regulations so that its provisions might be met. The OCSLA Amendments of 1978 (43 USC 1802) established a policy for the management of oil and natural gas in the OCS and for protection of the marine and coastal environments. The amended OCSLA states that the Secretary of the Interior shall conduct studies in areas or regions of sales to ascertain the "environmental impacts on the marine and coastal environments of the outer Continental Shelf and the coastal areas which may be affected by oil and gas development" (43 USC 1346).

Subsequent to the passage of the OCSLA, the Secretary of the Interior designated the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) as the administrative agency responsible for leasing submerged Federal lands and the Conservation Division of the U.S. Geological Survey for classification and evaluation of submerged Federal lands and regulation of exploration and production. In 1982, the Minerals Management Service (MMS) assumed these responsibilities.

To provide information used in environmental impact statements and environmental assessments under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 USC 4321-4347), and to assure protection of marine mammals under the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 USC 1361-1407) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 (16 USC 1531-1543), BLM funded numerous studies involving acquisition and analysis of marine mammal and other environmental data.

In June 1978, BLM entered into an ESA Section 7 consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The purpose of the consultation was to determine the likely effects of the proposed Beaufort Sea Oil and Gas Lease Sale on endangered bowhead (Balaena mysticetus) and gray (Eschrichtius robustus) whales. After reviewing available information on the two species, NMFS determined that insufficient information existed to conclude whether the proposed Beaufort Sea sale was or was not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of bowhead and gray whales. In August 1978, NMFS recommended studies to BLM that would fill the information needs identified during the Section 7 consultation. Subsequent biological opinions for Arctic Region sales--including a regional biological opinion; a revised opinion relative to the joint Federal/State lease area; and opinions on Sales 71 (issued in 1982), 87 (issued in 1983), 97 (issued in 1987), and Arctic Region sales (issued in 1988)--recommended continuing studies of whale distribution and OCS-industry effects on bowhead whales (USDOC, NOAA, NMFS, 1982, 1983, 1987, 1988). These opinions also requested monitoring of bowhead whale presence during periods when geophysical exploration and drilling are occurring.

Following several years when drilling was limited to the period 1 November through 31 March (USDOI, MMS, 1979), a variable 2-month seasonal-drilling restriction on fall exploratory activity in the joint Federal/State Beaufort Sea sale area was implemented. The period of restriction would vary depending on bowhead whale presence, and "this determination would require development of a monitoring program. . .." (USDOI, MMS, 1982). Subsequently, MMS (Alaska OCS Region) adopted an endangered whale-monitoring plan that required aerial surveys. The Diapir Field Sale 87 Notice of Sale (NOS) (1984) states that "Bowhead whales will be monitored by the Government, the lessee, or both to determine their locations relative to operational sites as they migrate through or adjacent to the sale area" (USDOI, MMS, 1984). The Beaufort Sea Sale 97 NOS (1988) and Beaufort Sea Sale 124 NOS (1991) do not contain a seasonal offshore-drilling restriction but state that "MMS intends to continue its areawide endangered whale monitoring program in the Beaufort Sea during exploration activities. The program will gather information on whale distribution and abundance patterns and will provide additional assistance to determine the extent, if any, of adverse effects to the species" (USDOI, MMS, 1988, 1991).

From 1979 to 1987, the MMS (formerly BLM) funded annual monitoring of endangered whales in arctic waters under Interagency Agreements with the Naval Ocean Systems Center (NOSC) and through subcontracts to SEACO, Inc. On 15 April 1987, a proposal for MMS scientists to conduct aerial surveys of endangered whales was approved by the Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management. The MMS uses agency personnel to perform field work and reporting activities for the Beaufort Sea on an annual basis. Previous survey reports are available for inspection at the Minerals Management Service, Alaska OCS Region, Resource Center, 949 East 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99508-4302.

The present goals of the ongoing program for monitoring endangered whales are to:

1. Provide real-time data to MMS and NMFS on the general progress of the fall migration of bowhead whales across the Alaskan Beaufort Sea, for use in implementing overall seasonal drilling restrictions and limitations on geological/geophysical exploration;

2. Monitor temporal and spatial trends in the distribution, relative abundance, habitat, and behaviors (e.g., feeding) of endangered whales in arctic waters;

3. Provide annual analyses of long-term interyear trends in the median depth (or north-south positioning) of the migration axis of bowhead whales;

4. Provide an objective wide-area context for management interpretation of the overall fall migration of bowhead whales and site-specific study results;

5. Monitor behaviors, swim directions, dive times, surfacing patterns, and tracklines of selected bowhead whales;

6. Record and map belukha whale distribution and incidental sightings of other marine mammals; and

7. Determine seasonal distribution of endangered whales in other planning areas of interest to MMS.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. Study Area

The annual survey program is based on a design of random field transects within established geographic blocks in and adjacent to Chukchi and Beaufort Sea sale areas offshore of Alaska. The present study, which was focused on the bowhead whale migration from 31 August 1995 to 20 October 1995, included Beaufort Sea Survey Blocks 1 through 12 (Fig. 1) between 140°W. and 157°W. longitude south of 72°N. latitude.

A large-scale Beaufort Gyre moves waters clockwise from the Canadian Basin westward in the deeper offshore regions. Nearshore surface currents tend to follow local wind patterns and bathymetry, moving from the east in winter, with an onshore component, and to the west in summer, with an offshore component (Brower et al., 1988).

In the Beaufort Sea, landfast ice forms during the fall and may eventually extend up to 50 kilometers (km) offshore by the end of winter (Norton and Weller, 1984). The pack ice, which includes multiyear ice averaging 4 meters (m) in thickness, with pressure ridges up to 50 m thick (Norton and Weller, 1984), becomes contiguous with the new and fast ice in late fall--effectively closing off the migration corridor to westbound bowhead whales. From early November to mid-May, the Beaufort Sea normally remains almost totally covered by ice considered too thick for whales to penetrate. In mid-May, a recurring flaw lead can form just seaward of the stable fast ice, followed by decreasing ice concentrations (LaBelle et al., 1983) and large areas of open water in summer.

Local weather patterns affect the frequency and effectiveness of all marine aerial surveys. The present study area is in the arctic climate zone, with mean temperatures at the Alaskan Beaufort Sea coast communities of Barrow, Lonely, Oliktok, and Barter Island from -0.9°C to -0.1°C during September and -9.7°C to -8.5°C during October. Precipitation in these communities occurred an average of 10 to 34 percent of the time during September (snow with some rain) and 13 to 43 percent during October (almost all snow), with the heaviest precipitation at Barrow and Barter Island during both months. Fog (without precipitation) reduces visibility approximately 11 to 19 percent of the time during September and 6 to 8 percent of the time during October. Mean windspeed in the same communities is from 5 to 6 m per second during September and 5 to 7 m per second during October (Brower et al., 1988).

Sea state is another environmental factor affecting visibility during aerial surveys. Surface waters in the Beaufort Sea are driven primarily by wind. Ocean waves are generally from northerly or easterly directions during September and October, during which time the ice pack continues to limit fetch. Because of the pack ice, significant wave heights are reduced by a factor of 4 from heights that would otherwise be expected during the open-water season. Wave heights greater than 0.5 m occurred in 23.9 to 38.9 percent of observations during September and 14.1 to 37.4 percent during October, with the greater percentage of larger waves (>0.5 m) reported for the eastern third of the study area during both months. Wave heights greater than 3.5 m are not reported within the study area during September or October (Brower, 1988).

The study area contains sufficient zooplankton to support some feeding by bowhead whales. The availability of zooplankton during the fall would be expected to vary between years, geographic locations, and water depths in response to ambient oceanographic conditions. In September 1985 and 1986, average zooplankton biomass in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea east of 144°W. longitude was highest south of the 50-m isobath in subsurface water (LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc., 1987).

B. Equipment

The survey aircraft was a de Havilland Twin Otter Series 300 (call sign: N302EH). The aircraft was equipped with medium-size bubble windows aft that afforded complete trackline viewing for a port observer and a starboard data recorder-observer. A third observer-navigator occupied the copilot seat, which provided good forward and side viewing. Each observer was issued a hand-held clinometer (Suunto) for measuring the angle of inclination to the sighting location of endangered whales. Observers and pilots were linked to common communication systems, and commentary could be recorded. The aircraft's maximum time aloft under normal survey load was extended to approximately 8 hours (hr) through the use of a supplemental onboard fuel tank.



Figure 1. Fall 1995 Study Area Showing Survey Blocks

Avionics included a Flight Management System (FMS) by ARNAV Systems, Inc., part of which was a Global Positioning System (GPS). The FMS 5000, Model GPS-505, is a worldwide satellite-based system that provides continuous position updating (15-m precision) and survey navigation through preprogramming of transect start and end points. Electronic signals from the GPS were converted into an RS 232 serial stream; and data were polled every second for automatic input of time, latitude, longitude, and flight altitude. The GPS altitude (27-m precision) that was used exceeded the accuracy of the radar altimeter (32 m at the target altitude of 458 m). In the event of a system failure, the team leader could read directly from the aircraft instrument panel for manual entry of this information into the computer. System components required 115-volt alternating current (AC) power, which was supplied by a direct current→AC invertor connected to the aircraft electrical bus.

A portable Compaq LTE 386 computing system was used aboard the aircraft to store and analyze flight and observational data. A small, portable Kodak Diconix 150 Plus inkjet printer was used to produce tractor-fed hard copy and to plot onboard flight maps.

Onboard safety equipment included an impact-triggered emergency location transmitter (ELT) installed in the aircraft, a portable ELT in a 6-person Switlik Search and Rescue Life Raft, a portable aircraft-band transceiver, flotation suits, Nomex flight suits, and emergency crash helmets.

Flight-following equipment used during the 1995 field season featured an experimental Radio Determination Satellite System (RDSS), developed by Mobile Datacom Corporation (MDC), that tracked the project aircraft over the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. The project tested the use of a pair of toggled patch antennas that were mounted externally on the aft section of the fuselage. Data on latitude, longitude, time, and other parameters were obtained from the aircraft's GPS and broadcast every minute through the patch antennas to a satellite that was stationary over the equator at 87°W. longitude. Real-time satellite signals were relayed to MDC in Clarksburg, Maryland, where OAS queried the RDSS every 15 minutes to obtain current flight-following information. The information was displayed as digital data on a computer screen and in the form of a map for visual tracking of the survey aircraft.

The RDSS was backed up by an onboard aircraft-band radio, used to transmit position data on very high frequency to Deadhorse Flight Service when entering a new survey block and, if possible, when ending southbound transect lines. An onboard high-frequency radio was also tested for transmitting position data to OAS Flight Operations, Anchorage. The onboard transponder was set at a discrete identification code for radar tracking by air-traffic-control personnel.

C. Aerial-Survey Design

Aerial surveys were based out of Deadhorse, Alaska, from 31 August through 20 October 1995. The field schedule was designed to monitor the progress of the Fall 1995 bowhead migration across the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. All bowhead (and belukha) whales observed were recorded, along with incidental sightings of other marine mammals. Particular emphasis was placed on regional surveys to assess fine-scale shifts in the migration pathway of bowhead whales in this area and on the coordination of effort and management of data necessary to support seasonal offshore-drilling regulations.

Daily flight patterns were based on sets of unique transect grids produced for each survey block. Transect grids were derived by dividing each survey block into sections 30 minutes of longitude across. One of the minute marks along the northern edge of each section was selected at random to designate one end of a transect leg. The other endpoint of the transect leg was determined similarly using a separate randomly generated number along the southern edge of the same section. A straight line, representing one transect leg, was drawn between the two points. The same procedure was followed for all sections of the survey block. Transect legs were then connected alternately at their northernmost or southernmost ends to produce one continuous flight grid within each survey block. The use of random-transect grids is a requirement for later analyses of median water depths at bowhead sightings based on line-transect theory (Cochran, 1963) and analyses of absolute densities based on strip-transect theory (Estes and Gilbert, 1978).

The selection of the survey blocks to be flown on a given day was nonrandom, based primarily on criteria such as reported or observed weather conditions over the study area and the level of offshore oil industry activity in various areas. Weather permitting, the project also uses a semimonthly flight-hour goal for each survey block allocated proportionately for survey blocks east of 154°W. longitude and semimonthly time periods based on relative abundance of bowhead whales as determined from earlier fall migrations (1979-1986). Such allocations, detailed in our Project Management Plan (USDOI, MMS, 1995), greatly favor survey coverage in inshore Survey Blocks 1 through 7 and 11 (Fig. 1), since bowheads were rarely sighted north of these blocks in previous surveys. The purpose of these survey-effort allocations was to increase the sample size (n) of whale sightings within the primary migration corridor, thus increasing the power of statistical analysis within these inshore blocks.

Random-transect legs were used for obtaining data to analyze the migration axis, using a line-transect model, and to estimate whale density, using a strip-transect model. Nonrandom surveys were flown to further identify whales and their behaviors when sighted adjacent to a transect line or when in transit to a transect block.

D. Survey-Flight Procedures

During a typical flight, a "search" leg was flown to the target survey block, beginning a series of random-transect legs (above) joined together by "connect" legs, followed by a search leg back to Deadhorse. Surveys generally were flown at a target altitude of 458 m. This altitude was maintained--when weather permitted--in order to maximize visibility and minimize potential disturbance to marine mammals.

A clinometer was used to measure the angle of inclination to each sighting of endangered whales when the initial sighting location was abeam of the aircraft.

When bowheads were encountered while surveying a transect line, the aircraft sometimes diverted from transect for brief (<10-minute) periods and circled the whales to observe behavior, obtain better estimates of their numbers, and determine whether calves were present. Only groups of bowheads seen before diverting from the transect line were included in density calculations.

E. Data Entry

A computer program developed by project personnel was used to record all data. Time of day was maintained by the computer and recorded at each entry. Greenwich Mean Time, local time, latitude, longitude, and altitude as well as a question list and the main menu selections were shown continuously on the computer monitor. The program is menu-driven, facilitating entry of a complete data sequence for sightings of endangered whales. An abbreviated data-entry format was available whenever several whale pods (the use of the term pod includes sightings of any single animal or group of nearby animals whose respiration patterns appear to be synchronous) were sighted within a short period of time. To avoid lumping of sightings in areas where whales were extremely concentrated, an even shorter rapid-sighting update was used. A position-update format including data on weather, visibility, ice cover, and sea state was entered at turning points, when changes in environmental conditions were observed, and otherwise within 10-minute intervals. All entries were coded to reflect the type of survey being conducted. Table 1 shows the data-entry sequence used in 1995 and the questions used to prompt entry of observational data. All data entered were simultaneously printed out in hard copy.

The behavior, swim speed, and swim direction for observed whales represent what the pod as a whole was doing at the time it was first sighted. Behaviors were entered into one of 14 categories as noted on previous surveys. These categories--breaching, cow-calf association, diving, feeding, flipper-slapping, log playing, mating, milling, resting, rolling, spy-hopping, swimming, tail-slapping, and underwater blowing--are defined in Table 2. Swimming speed was subjectively estimated by observing the time it took a whale to swim one body length. An observed swimming rate of one body length per minute corresponded to an estimated speed of 1 km/hr; one body length per 30 seconds was estimated at 2 km/hr, and so on. Swimming speed and whale size were recorded by relative category (i.e., still, 0 km/hr; slow, 0-2 km/hr; medium, 2-4 km/hr; or fast, >4 km/hr; and calf [length less than half of accompanying adult], immature, adult, or large adult, respectively) rather than on an absolute scale. Swim direction was recorded in the field as a magnetic value, using the aircraft's compass.

Table 1
Data-Entry Sequence on the Portable Flight Computer

Sequence	Position Update	Large Whale Sightings	Polar Bear/ Belukha Whale Sightings	Other Species
1. Entry number	X	X	X	X
2. Time	X	X	X	X
3. Latitude	X	X	X	X
4. Longitude	X	X	X	X
5. Altitude	X	X	X	X
6. Reason for entry	X	X	X	X
7. Search type	X	X	X	X
8. Species		X	X	X
9. Sighting cue		X		
10. Habitat		X	X	X
11. Behavior		X	X	X
12. Size		X		
13. Total number		X	X	X
14. Calf number		X	X	X
15. Clinometer angle		X		
16. Side of plane		X		
17. Swim direction		X	X	
18. Swim speed		X		
19. Aircraft response		X	X	X
20. Repeat sighting		X		
21. Observer		X	X	
22. Weather	X	X	X	X
23. Visibility right	X	X	X	X
24. Visibility left	X	X	X	X
25. Ice coverage	X	X	X	X
26. Ice type	X	X	X	X
27. Sea state	X	X	X	X
28. Water color	X	X	X	X

Table 2
Operational Definitions of Observed Whale Behaviors

Behavior	Definition
Breaching	Whale(s) launching upwards such that half to nearly all of the body is above the surface before falling back into the water, usually on its side, creating an obvious splash.
Cow-Calf	Calf nursing; calf swimming within 20 m of an adult.
Diving	Whale(s) changing swim direction or body orientation relative to the water surface, resulting in submergence; may or may not be accompanied by lifting the tail out of the water.
Feeding	Whale(s) diving repeatedly in a fixed general area, sometimes with mud streaming from the mouth and/or defecation observed upon surfacing. Feeding behavior is further defined as synchronous diving and surfacing or echelon-formations at the surface with swaths of clearer water behind the whale(s), or as surface swimming with mouth agape.
Flipper-Slapping	Whale(s) floating on side, striking the water surface with pectoral flipper one or many times; usually seen within groups or when the slapping whale is touching another whale.
Log Playing	Whale(s) milling or thrashing about in association with a floating log.
Mating	Ventral-ventral orienting of two whales, often with one or more other whales present to stabilize the mating pair. Mating is often seen within a group of milling whales. Pairs may appear to hold each other with their pectoral flippers and may entwine their tails.
Milling	Whale(s) swimming slowly at the surface in close proximity (within 100 m) to other whales, often with varying headings.
Resting	Whale(s) floating at the surface with head, or head and back exposed, showing no movement; more commonly observed in heavy-ice conditions than in open water.
Rolling	Whale(s) rotating on longitudinal axis, sometimes associated with mating.
Spy-Hopping	Whale(s) extending head vertically out of the water such that up to one-third of the body, including the eye, is above the surface.
Swimming	Whale(s) proceeding forward through the water propelled by tail pushes.
Tail-Slapping	Whale(s) floating horizontally or head-downward in the water, waving tail back and forth above the water and striking the water surface; usually seen in group situations.
Underwater Blowing	Whale(s) exhaling while submerged, thus creating a visible bubble.

Sea state was recorded according to the Beaufort scale outline in Piloting, Seamanship, and Small Boat Handling (Chapman, 1971). Ice type was identified using terminology presented in Naval Hydrographic Office Publication Number 609 (USDOD, Navy, 1956). Average ice cover within a few kilometers of the aircraft was estimated as a single percentage, regardless of ice type.

F. General Data Analyses

Preliminary data analysis was performed by a computer program--developed by project personnel--that provided daily summations of marine mammals observed, plus calculation of time and distance on transect legs, connect legs, and general search portions of the flight. The analysis program provided options for editing the data file, calculating summary values, and printing various flight synopses.

Application software (Grapher, Golden Software, Inc.) was used to plot daily maps of aircraft tracklines and positions of marine mammals observed. To function as a mapping package, coastlines were mapped using an Altec digitizer; and all points on the maps were based on number of meters north or to one side of a central meridian for Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 6. Observed bowhead distribution was plotted semimonthly over the Beaufort Sea study area. September-October sightings of belukha whales, ringed and bearded seals, and other marine mammals were depicted on separate maps. Maps in this report were plotted on a Hewlett-Packard (HP) Laser Jet II printer equipped with an HP 7475A plotter emulator cartridge.

Ice concentrations in the Beaufort Sea were digitized or hand-drafted as either 0-percent, 0- to 25-percent, 26- to 50-percent, 51- to 75-percent, or 76- to 100-percent ice cover from U.S. Navy, Naval Ice Center, Southern Ice Limit charts. Maps of ice concentrations were prepared with application software (ARC/INFO) using a Polar Stereographic Projection (central meridian = 150°W. longitude, latitude of true scale = 70°N., spheroid = Clark 1866, and North American Datum 1927).

An index of relative abundance was derived as whales per unit effort (WPUE = number of whales counted/hr of survey effort) per survey block for bowheads and belukhas. The timing of the 1995 bowhead migration through the study area was analyzed as sightings per unit effort (SPUE = number of sightings counted/hr of survey effort) and WPUE per date. Because chance sightings of a few large groups of whales in a short period of time might produce artificially high WPUE values in certain blocks, values based on at least 4.00 hr of survey effort were distinguished when discussing relative abundance between areas.

Habitat preference was depicted as percentage of whales per ice class and percentage of whales per depth regime. Directionality of whale group headings was analyzed using Rayleigh's test (Batschelet, 1972) for all pods, excluding those that were resting, feeding, or milling. Directionality was analyzed as a True (T) heading, assuming a compass correction of -31° for the study area as a whole. Probabilities were interpolated from alpha values shown for calculated critical values of Rayleigh's z (Zar, 1984: Table B.32). A generalized bathymetry map was adapted from U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Maps 76-821, 76-822, and 76-823. Additional statistical comparisons, correlations, and regressions (Zar, 1984) were performed as appropriate.

The water depth at each bowhead sighting in the 1982-1995 database was derived using a computer program--DEPTH--that assigned a metric depth value averaged over gridded areas (each 3 minutes of latitude by 10 minutes of longitude) in the Beaufort Sea west of 139°W. longitude and south of 72°N. latitude. Values assigned to each grid block were initially subjective and were averaged from depths read from NOAA Provisional Chart 16004.

Raw density estimates were calculated using a computer program--DENSITY--and are presented only for relative comparison with similar values from previous survey reports. The program was based on strip-transect-analyses methods using only sightings made within 1 km of random-transect legs. Density estimates were derived by survey block and are presented, with a description of density-estimate methodologies, in Appendix A.

Overall, whale sightings were shown on distribution maps and entered into relative-abundance analyses, regardless of the type of survey leg (transect, search, or connect) being conducted or the prevailing environmental conditions (sea state, ice cover, etc.) when the sightings were made. As with previous reports in this series (Treacy, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995), repeat sightings or sightings of dead marine mammals were not included in summary analyses or maps. Where tables and figures exclude certain data, such exclusions are indicated in the captions.

G. Median and Mean Water Depth at Bowhead Sightings (Analysis Protocol)

An analysis protocol specifying the use of median water depth to detect interannual shifts in the bowhead migration route was initially described in Chapters 4.2.3 and 5.3.3 of "Beaufort Sea Monitoring Program Workshop Synthesis and Sampling Design Recommendations" (Houghton, Segar, and Zeh, 1984). The present water-depth analyses provide biological information needed to test the following null hypotheses recommended by the workshop:

Ho_1: The axis of the fall migration of bowhead whales will not be altered during periods of increased OCS activities in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea.

Ho_2: Changes in bowhead migration patterns are not related to OCS oil and gas development activity.

To define the migration axis, a separate file was created for bowhead whale sightings made while on random transects, regardless of distance from the transect line. The Beaufort Sea was divided into three regions in order to analyze east-west components of the known fall-migration corridor. Region I was delimited by 150°W. and 153°30´W. longitudes, south of 72°N. latitude. Region II was between 146°W. and 150°W. longitudes, south of 71°20´N. latitude. Region III was between 141°W. and 146°W. longitudes, south of 71°10´N. latitude (Fig. 2). Because of the bathymetry of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea, a seaward displacement of the fall-migration route would be represented, via this analysis, as a shift to a deeper median depth. Selected isobaths (20 m, 40 m, 100 m, 400 m, 1,000 m, 2,000 m, and 3,000 m), after a Beaufort Sea Planning Area Map (USDOI, MMS, 1985), are included for general reference (Fig. 2).

A computer program--NEWSTAT--was used to analyze the file and describe central tendencies of water depths at bowhead whale sightings. The program was used to calculate median depth, mean depth, Standard Deviation (SD) about the mean, and overall depth range for Regions I, II, and III. NEWSTAT also was used to rank median depths from lowest to highest values. Upper and lower confidence limits for population medians were calculated by hand (Zar, 1984: Table B.26). If sample sizes were large ($n \geq 25$), a large-sample approximation (Zar, 1984: Page 113) was used to calculate the upper and lower limits.

Confidence Intervals (CI) were calculated at the 1-percent level to reduce the probability of incorrectly postulating a change in migration route when no change from other years had occurred. For example, the probability of incorrectly determining that a change had occurred is approximately 23 percent if tested at the 5-percent level, but only about 5 percent if tested at the 1-percent level (Houghton, Segar, and Zeh, 1984).

The NEWSTAT program employed the Mann-Whitney U test to address the question of potential fine-scale shifts in the axis of the bowhead whale fall-migration route. The Mann-Whitney U test is a nonparametric procedure performed on ranked samples (Zar, 1984). The Normal Approximation to the Mann-Whitney Test (Zar, 1984: Page 142) was used to hand-calculate the value of Z for larger sample sizes. A series of Mann-Whitney paired comparisons were made on annual depth values, with each year compared to all others such that annual and/or interannual shifts in migration route from 1982 to 1995 could be evaluated. Similar paired comparisons were made by region (I, II, and III) such that annual variations or potential shifts in median depth could be assessed for these smaller areas. Probabilities were interpolated from alpha values shown for calculated critical values of the Mann-Whitney U distribution (Zar, 1984: Table B.10).

The NEWSTAT program compared mean water depths at bowhead sightings between years employing an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey test (Zar, 1984). Probabilities were estimated as alpha values shown for calculated critical values of the F and q distributions (Zar, 1984: Tables B.4 and B.5).



Figure 2. Regions I, II, and III (used in median-water-depth analyses) and Selected Isobaths

III. RESULTS

A. Environmental Conditions

General ice coverage in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea (Figs. 3-12) was light during the Fall 1995 surveys. At the end of August (Fig. 3), the heavy sea ice (>75%) was generally north of 72°N. latitude, with mixed concentrations of ice south of 72°N. latitude off the Point Barrow area and a narrow strip of ice just outside the barrier islands between 145°W. and 152°W. longitudes. By the first week in September, the narrow strip of ice had disappeared east of Deadhorse. Through the first week in October (Figs. 5-8), only small patches of very light ice (>0-25%) remained in the study area. By 10 October (Fig. 9), the primary study area was essentially ice-free, although the main body of very heavy ice (>75%) began to show some encroachment south of 72°N. latitude. By mid- October (Fig. 10), the very heavy ice had edged farther south, joining with very light shorefast ice (>0-25%) west of Deadhorse. Very light (>0-25%) shorefast ice became more widespread in Canadian waters east of Herschel Island. By 24 October (Fig. 11), the study area was completely covered with mixed concentrations of ice. By the end of October (Fig. 12), the entire Beaufort Sea was locked in very heavy (>75%) sea ice.

The open-water conditions during Fall 1995 generally provided for good observation of subsurface whales, although associated high sea states sometimes reduced the ability of observers to spot whales near the surface or at great distances from the transect centerline. Cloud ceilings over portions of the study area were often lower than the target-survey altitude of 458 m. Overall, environmental conditions were considered favorable through 24 September, permitting 24 flights during that time period. Conversely, environmental conditions were unfavorable, primarily due to high winds and associated high sea states, from 25 September through 20 October, permitting only 8 flights during that period. Ice percent and sea state at each sighting of endangered whales are shown in Appendix B (Table B-1).

B. Survey Effort

Daily totals of kilometers and hours flown per survey flight are shown in Table 3. A total of 26,276 km of surveys were flown in 115.52 hours (Table 3) in the study area at an average speed of 227.46 km/hr. The average survey flight was 821.1 km, with flights ranging from 72 km to 1,748 km. Mean survey time per flight was 3.61 hr (SD = 2.37, n = 32). A total of 14,230 km of random-transect lines were flown in 61.32 hours (Table 3) at an average speed of 232.1 km/hr. These random transects constituted 54.2 percent of the total kilometers flown and 53.1 percent of the total flight hours. The number of flight hours over each survey block is shown in subsequent analyses.

Day-to-day flight tracks are shown in Appendix B. Survey-flight lines are summarized by semimonthly period in Figures 13 through 17.

On 31 August, survey coverage was in nearshore survey blocks between 143°W. and 148°W. longitudes (Fig. 13). There were 1.13 hours of random transects flown from a total of 2.45 flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 1.8 percent and 2.1 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.

During the first half of September, survey coverage was distributed between 140°W. and 154°W. longitudes, with most of the effort between Barter Island and Harrison Bay within 60 nautical miles (nm) of shore (Fig. 14). There were 21.57 hours of random transects flown from a total of 38.48 flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 35.2 percent and 33.3 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.

During the second half of September, survey coverage was evenly distributed between 140°W. and 157°W. longitudes, mostly within 90 nautical miles (nm) of shore (Fig. 15). There were 29.95 hours of random transects flown from 55.20 total flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 48.8 percent and 47.8 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.

During the first half of October, a limited flight effort was distributed between 143°W. and 155°W. longitudes, with transects flown between 150°W. and 154°W. longitudes, south of 72°N. latitude (Fig. 16). There were 4.48 hours of random transects flown from 10.12 total flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 7.3 percent and 8.8 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.



Figure 3. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 29 August 1995



Figure 4. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 5 September 1995



Figure 5. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 12 September 1995



Figure 6. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 19 September 1995



Figure 7. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 26 September 1995



Figure 8. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 3 October 1995



Figure 9. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 10 October 1995



Figure 10. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 17 October 1995



Figure 11. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 24 October 1995



Figure 12. Map of Ice Concentrations in the Beaufort Sea, 31 October 1995

Table 3
Aerial-Survey Effort in the Beaufort Sea, 31 August-20 October 1995, by Survey Flight

Day	Flight No.	Transect (km)	Connect (km)	Search (km)	Total (km)	Transect Time (hr)	Total Survey Time (hr)
31 Aug	1	271	80	187	538	1.13	2.45
1 Sep	2	701	190	218	1,109	3.10	4.93
2 Sep	3	0	0	72	72	0.00	0.35
4 Sep	4	1,013	126	227	1,366	4.58	6.32
5 Sep	5	428	103	570	1,101	1.90	5.32
6 Sep	6	815	139	215	1,169	3.68	5.35
9 Sep	7	0	0	110	110	0.00	0.50
10 Sep	8	0	0	224	224	0.00	1.13
11 Sep	9	623	116	438	1,177	2.58	4.88
13 Sep	10	390	139	191	720	1.75	3.32
14 Sep	11	178	14	29	221	0.77	1.05
14 Sep	12	716	116	214	1,046	3.20	4.72
15 Sep	13	0	0	147	147	0.00	0.62
16 Sep	14	58	0	311	369	0.27	1.52
16 Sep	15	275	95	481	851	1.30	3.75
17 Sep	16	0	0	169	169	0.00	0.78
17 Sep	17	447	55	414	916	1.97	3.90
18 Sep	18	1,028	180	540	1,748	4.32	7.83
19 Sep	19	716	56	680	1,452	2.97	6.53
20 Sep	20	931	126	274	1,331	3.88	5.67
21 Sep	21	1,171	127	211	1,509	4.85	6.35
22 Sep	22	769	165	518	1,452	3.30	6.07
23 Sep	23	1,106	132	335	1,573	4.58	6.58
24 Sep	24	596	134	561	1,291	2.52	5.60
27 Sep	25	0	0	156	156	0.00	0.62
3 Oct	26	94	14	341	449	0.42	1.97
11 Oct	27	18	0	132	150	0.08	0.73
14 Oct	28	175	9	93	277	0.78	1.18
15 Oct	29	760	83	611	1,454	3.20	6.23
16 Oct	30	546	66	628	1,240	2.42	5.47
19 Oct	31	218	0	448	666	0.95	2.85
20 Oct	32	187	17	19	223	0.82	0.95

Table 3
Aerial-Survey Effort in the Beaufort Sea, 31 August-20 October 1995, by Survey Flight
(Continued)

Total Semimonthly Period	Transect (km)	Connect (km)	Search (km)	Total (km)	Transect Time (hr)	Survey Time (hr)
31 Aug	271	80	187	538	1.13	2.45
1-15 Sep	4,864	943	2,655	8,462	21.57	38.48
16-30 Sep	7,097	1,070	4,650	12,817	29.95	55.20
1-15 Oct	1,047	106	1,177	2,330	4.48	10.12
16-20 Oct	951	83	1,095	2,129	4.18	9.27
TOTAL	14,230	2,282	9,764	26,276	61.32	115.52



Figure 13. Flight Track, 31 August 1995



Figure 14. Combined Flight Tracks, 1–15 September 1995



Figure 15. Combined Flight Tracks, 16–30 September 1995



Figure 16. Combined Flight Tracks, 1–15 October 1995



Figure 17. Combined Flight Tracks, 16–20 October 1995

During the first half of October, a limited flight effort was distributed between 143°W. and 155°W. longitudes, with transects flown between 150°W. and 154°W. longitudes, south of 72°N. latitude (Fig. 16). There were 4.48 hours of random transects flown from 10.12 total flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 7.3 percent and 8.8 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.

From 16 through 20 October, a limited flight effort was distributed between 143°W. and 157°W. longitudes, with several transects flown between 154°W. and 157°W. longitudes, south of 72°N. latitude (Fig. 17). There were 4.18 hours of random transects flown from 9.27 total flight hours during this period (Table 3), constituting 6.8 percent and 8.0 percent, respectively, of the total time spent in those effort categories.

C. Bowhead Whale (*Balaena mysticetus*) Observations

1. Distri bution: Two hundred eighty-four sightings were made for a total of 428 bowhead whales observed during Fall-1995 surveys in the study area (Table 4 and Figs. 18-22), not counting repeat sightings. Even though survey coverage was considered good between 150°W. and 157°W. longitudes (Figs. 14-17), only 14 (6.3 %) of the 284 bowhead whale pods were sighted west of 150°W. longitude. Of these, the pods between 152°W. and 155°W. longitudes, are located more than 25 nm north of the shoreline, relatively more diffuse and farther offshore than the tight corridor of whale sightings east of 152°W. (Fig. 22). This differential density of whale sightings might indicate a diminishment in residence time and/or a decrease in the proportion of time spent at the surface once whales reached Harrison Bay. Fourteen of the 428 whales were identified as calves (Appendix B: Table B-1), resulting in a seasonal calf ratio (number calves/total whales) of 0.033. Daily sightings are shown on individual maps in Appendix B. A semi-monthly analysis follows.

On 31 August, no sightings of bowheads were made (Table 4), based on survey coverage between 143°W. and 148°W. longitudes (Fig. 13).

During the first half of September, 102 sightings were made for a total of 166 bowheads (Table 4), with sightings from 140°45.1´W. to 151°15.1´W. longitudes (Appendix B: Table B-1), based on survey coverage between 140°W. and 154°W. longitudes (Fig. 14). The first bowhead in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea was sighted on 4 September at 70°36.1´N. latitude, 149°58.1´W. longitude (Appendix B: Table B-1). All but one of the whale pods were east of Harrison Bay, Alaska; all pods were fairly evenly distributed east to west over the area surveyed, within 40 nm north of the shoreline (Fig. 18). Pod sizes ranged from 1 to 8 whales (Appendix B: Table B-1), with a mean of 1.63 (SD = 1.15, n = 102). Three bowhead whale calves were observed during this period (Appendix B: Table B-1).

During the second half of September, 170 sightings were made for a total of 247 bowheads (Table 4), with sightings from 140°17.1´W. to 154°48.7´W. longitudes (Appendix B; Table B-1), based on survey coverage between 140°W. and 157°W. longitudes (Fig. 15). Most of the whale pods were concentrated east of Deadhorse, within 60 nm north of the shoreline (Fig. 19). A few westerly pods were generally north of Cape Halkett, between 40 and 70 nm north of the shoreline. Pod sizes ranged from 1 to 5 whales (Appendix B: Table B-1), with a mean of 1.45 (SD = 0.86, n = 170). Eleven bowhead whale calves were observed during this period (Appendix B: Table B-1).

During the first half of October, 7 sightings were made for a total of 7 bowheads (Table 4), with sightings from 143°16.6´W. to 153°45.8´W. longitudes (Appendix B: Table B-1), based on limited survey coverage between 143°W. and 155°W. longitudes (Fig. 16). Three of the whale pods were located just north of Barter Island and 4 were 30 to 40 nm northwest of Cape Halkett, Alaska (Fig. 20). All sightings were of singleton whales (Appendix B: Table B-1). No bowhead whale calves were observed during this period (Appendix B: Table B-1).

From 16 through 20 October, 5 sightings were made for a total of 8 bowheads (Table 4), with sightings from 154°37.5´W. to 156°35.2´W. longitudes (Appendix B: Table B-1), based on survey coverage between 143°W. and 157°W. longitudes (Fig. 17). The whale pods were located in Block 12, within 40 nm north of the shoreline (Fig. 21). Pod sizes ranged from 1 to 4 whales (Appendix B: Table B-1), with a mean of 1.60 (SD

Table 4
Summary of Marine Mammal Sightings, 31 August-20 October 1995, by Survey Flight
(number of sightings/number of animals)

Day	Flight No.	Bowhead Whale	Gray Whale	Belukha Whale	Unidentified Cetacean	Bearded Seal	Ringed Seal	Pacific Walrus	Unidentified Pinniped	Polar Bear (PB)	PB Tracks (no bear)
31 Aug	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 Sep	2	0	0	0	0	0	1/1	0	0	0	0
2 Sep	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4 Sep	4	2/2	0	10/26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 Sep	5	15/23	0	3/3	0	0	9/84	0	2/4	0	0
6 Sep	6	9/21	0	22/154	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9 Sep	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Sep	8	11/18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Sep	9	23/44	0	0	0	0	6/41	0	1/1	0	0
13 Sep	10	25/32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 Sep	11	5/8	0	8/42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 Sep	12	12/18	0	14/77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15 Sep	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 Sep	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 Sep	15	32/48	0	0	0	1/1	0	0	0	0	0
17 Sep	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17 Sep	17	1/1	0	9/17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18 Sep	18	1/1	0	23/100	2/4	1/4	1/3	1/1	2/5	1/2	0
19 Sep	19	74/110	0	21/60	0	3/3	8/96	0	3/9	1/3	0
20 Sep	20	4/5	0	23/65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21 Sep	21	4/4	0	26/99	0	0	0	0	3/5	0	0
22 Sep	22	3/3	0	12/85	0	0	5/57	0	0	0	0
23 Sep	23	2/2	0	20/126	0	0	4/17	0	1/3	0	0
24 Sep	24	47/68	0	12/40	0	4/4	4/25	0	1/1	0	0
27 Sep	25	2/5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 Oct	26	3/3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Oct	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 Oct	28	2/2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15 Oct	29	2/2	0	23/34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4
Summary of Marine Mammal Sightings, 31 August-20 October 1995, by Survey Flight
(number of sightings/number of animals)
(Continued)

Day	Flight No.	Bowhead Whale	Gray Whale	Belukha Whale	Unidentified Cetacean	Bearded Seal	Ringed Seal	Pacific Walrus	Unidentified Pinniped	Polar Bear (PB)	PB Tracks (no bear)
16 Oct	30	5/8	0	12/22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19 Oct	31	0	0	5/6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 Oct	32	0	0	1/2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Semimonthly Sightings											
31 Aug		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-15 Sep		102/166	0	57/302	0	0	16/126	0	3/5	0	0
16-30 Sep		170/247	0	146/592	2/4	9/12	22/198	1/1	10/23	2/5	0
1-15 Oct		7/7	0	23/34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16-20 Oct		5/8	0	18/30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL		284/428	0	244/958	2/4	9/12	38/324	1/1	13/28	2/5	0



Figure 18. Map of Bowhead Whale Sightings, 1–15 September 1995



Figure 19. Map of Bowhead Whale Sightings, 16–30 September 1995



Figure 20. Map of Bowhead Whale Sightings, 1–15 October 1995



Figure 21. Map of Bowhead Whale Sightings, 16–20 October 1995



Figure 22. Map of Bowhead Whale Sightings, Fall 1995

= 1.34, n = 5). The last bowhead in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea was sighted on 16 October at 71°33.1´N. latitude, 154°37.5´W. longitude (Appendix B: Table B-1). No bowhead whale calves were observed during this period (Appendix B: Table B-1).

2. Migration Timing: The day-to-day timing of the bowhead whale migration was calculated over the entire study area (Table 5 and Fig. 23) as a daily sighting rate, or sightings per unit effort (SPUE), and an index of relative abundance, or whales per unit effort (WPUE).

Of the 284 observed sightings of bowhead whales, the first bowhead whales were sighted on 4 September. The data for daily sighting rates show a peak (mode) of 11.33 SPUE on 19 September, with lesser peaks of 9.71 on 10 September and 8.39 on 24 September. From 28 September through 13 October, there were only 3 sightings of bowhead whales. This was due primarily to high offshore winds and associated high sea states that limited survey effort during that period. The last sighting of a bowhead was made west of the study area on 16 October (Table 5 and Fig. 23).

Of the 428 bowhead whales counted during this period, the data for daily relative abundance (WPUE) show that the midpoint (median) of the bowhead migration in Blocks 1 through 12 (when 50% of all sighted whales had been recorded) occurred on 16 September (Table 5). The peak relative abundance (mode) of 16.84 WPUE occurred on 19 September (Table 5 and Fig. 23).

There were no prominent differences in pattern between the graph for relative abundance and that for sighting rate.

3. Relative Abundance by Survey Blocks: The relative abundance of bowhead whales in each Beaufort Sea survey block (Fig. 1), in Chukchi Sea survey blocks west of 157°W. longitude, in Canadian waters east of 140°W. longitude, and in Alaskan waters outside of historically monitored survey blocks, was calculated in Table 6. Over the field season (31 August-20 October), there were 3 survey blocks in which ≥15.00 hr of survey effort were made. Of these coastal or offshore blocks (Blocks 1, 3, and 11), coastal Block 1 (6.67 WPUE) had the greatest relative abundance, followed by Block 11 (0.65 WPUE) and Block 3 (0.23 WPUE). Although less than 15.00 hr of survey effort were made in coastal Blocks 4 and 5, it was notable that 113 whales were observed in Block, 4 for a high relative abundance (7.75 WPUE); and 70 whales were observed in Block 5, for a high relative abundance (7.40 WPUE) (Table 6).

On 31 August, there were no blocks in which ≥4.00 hr of survey effort were made. No bowheads were observed during 2.45 hr of survey effort in the primary study area (Blocks 1, 4, and 6) on that day (Table 6).

During the first half of September, there were 3 blocks in which ≥4.00 hr of survey effort were made. Of these coastal blocks (Blocks 1, 3, and 4), Block 1 (7.03 WPUE) had the greatest relative abundance, followed by Block 4 (3.53 WPUE) and Block 3 (0.72 WPUE). Although only 3.59 hr of survey effort were made in coastal Block 5, it was notable that 23 whales were observed in this block, for a high relative abundance (6.41 WPUE). Ten bowheads were observed during a total of 6.34 hr of survey effort in the remaining blocks (Blocks 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11) of the primary study area or adjacent waters (Table 6).

During the second half of September, there were 5 blocks in which ≥4.00 hr of survey effort were made. Of these coastal or offshore blocks (Blocks 1, 3, 5, 11, and 12), coastal Block 1 (8.79 WPUE) had the greatest relative abundance, followed by Block 5 (8.01 WPUE), Block 11 (0.65 WPUE), Block 12 (0.15 WPUE), and Block 3, for which no whales were observed. Although only 3.82 hr of survey effort were made in Block 4, it was notable that 86 whales were observed in this coastal block, for a very high relative abundance (22.51 WPUE). Likewise, although only 1.71 hr were made in Block 6, 11 whales were observed in this offshore block, for a high relative abundance (6.43 WPUE). Nine bowheads were observed during a total of 6.01 hr of survey effort in the remaining blocks (Blocks 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12) of the primary study area or adjacent waters (Table 6).

Table 5
Number of Sightings and Total Bowhead Whales Observed per Hour, 31 August-20 October 1995, by Flight Day

Day	No. of Sightings	No. of Whales	Total Survey Time (hr)	Sightings/ Hour (SPUE)	Whales/ Hour (WPUE)
31 Aug	0	0	2.45	0.00	0.00
1 Sep	0	0	4.93	0.00	0.00
2 Sep	0	0	0.35	0.00	0.00
4 Sep	2	2	6.32	0.32	0.32
5 Sep	15	23	5.32	2.82	4.33
6 Sep	9	21	5.35	1.68	3.93
9 Sep	0	0	0.50	0.00	0.00
10 Sep	11	18	1.13	9.71	15.88
11 Sep	23	44	4.88	4.71	9.01
13 Sep	25	32	3.32	7.54	9.65
14 Sep	17	26	5.77	2.95	4.51
15 Sep	0	0	0.62	0.00	0.00
16 Sep	32	48	5.27	6.07	9.11
17 Sep	1	1	4.68	0.21	0.21
18 Sep	1	1	7.83	0.13	0.13
19 Sep	74	110	6.53	11.33	16.84
20 Sep	4	5	5.67	0.71	0.88
21 Sep	4	4	6.35	0.63	0.63
22 Sep	3	3	6.07	0.49	0.49
23 Sep	2	2	6.58	0.30	0.30
24 Sep	47	68	5.60	8.39	12.14
27 Sep	2	5	0.62	3.24	8.11
3 Oct	3	3	1.97	1.53	1.53
11 Oct	0	0	0.73	0.00	0.00
14 Oct	2	2	1.18	1.69	1.69
15 Oct	2	2	6.23	0.32	0.32
16 Oct	5	8	5.47	0.91	1.46
19 Oct	0	0	2.85	0.00	0.00
20 Oct	0	0	0.95	0.00	0.00
TOTAL	284	428	115.52	2.46	3.70



Figure 23. Daily Relative Abundance (WPUE) and Sighting Rate (SPUE) of Bowhead Whales, 31 August - 20 October 1995

(Solid circles indicate days when flights were made during which no bowheads were observed. Open circles indicate days when no flight was made.)

Table 6
Semimonthly Relative Abundance (WPUE) of Bowhead Whales (BH), by Survey Block, Fall 1995

Block	31 Aug			1-15 Sep			16-30 Sep			1-15 Oct			16-20 Oct			Total		
	Hr	BH	WPUE	Hr	BH	WPUE	Hr	BH	WPUE	Hr	BH	WPUE	Hr	BH	WPUE	Hr	BH	WPUE
1	0.44	0	0.00	14.80	104	7.03	10.69	94	8.79	2.09	0	0.00	1.60	0	0.00	29.63	198	6.67
2	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.77	0	0.00	2.17	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.66	0	0.00	3.61	0	0.00
3	[1]	[1]	[1]	6.95	5	0.72	11.26	0	0.00	2.68	0	0.00	1.26	0	0.00	22.15	5	0.23
4	2.00	0	0.00	6.79	24	3.53	3.82	86	22.51	1.31	3	2.29	0.62	0	0.00	14.53	113	7.75
5		[1]	[1]	3.59	23	6.41	5.87	47	8.01	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	9.46	70	7 40
6	0.01	0	0.00	2.73	10	3.66	1.71	11	6.43	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.94	0	0.00	5.39	21	3.90
7	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.13	0	0.00	1.56	2	1.28	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	1.69	2	1.18
9	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.06	0	0.00	0.02	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.45	0	0.00	0.52	0	0.00
10	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.14	0	0.00	0.43	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.57	0	0.00
11	[1]	[1]	[1]	2.38	0	0.00	9.26	6	0.65	3.49	4	1.15	0.32	0	0.00	15.45	10	0.65
12	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	6.59	1	0.15	0.55	0	0.00	3.36	0	0.00	10.50	9	0 86
12N [2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.19	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.06	8	133 33	0.25	0	0.00
Other Canadian Areas	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.03	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.03	0	0.00
Other Alaskan Areas	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.13	0	0.00	1.61	0	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	1.73	0	0.00
TOTAL	2.45	0	0.00	38.48	166	4.31	55.20	247	4.47	10.12	7	0.69	9.27	8	0.86	115.52	428	3.70

[1] No survey effort.
[2] Chukchi Sea survey blocks.

During the first half of October, there were no blocks in which ≥4.00 hr of survey effort were made. Seven bowheads were observed during a total of 10.12 hr of survey effort of the primary study area (Blocks 1, 3, 4, 11, and 12) or adjacent waters (Table 6).

From 16 through 20 October, there were no blocks in which ≥4.00 hr of survey effort were made. Eight bowheads were observed during a total of 9.27 hr of survey effort in the primary study area (Blocks 1, 3, 4, 11, and 12) or adjacent waters (Table 6).

4. Habitat Associations: Of 428 bowhead whales sighted during Fall 1995, 390 (91%) were in shallow water (0-50 m deep), 31 (7%) were in waters of transitional depth (51-200 m), and 7 (2%) were sighted in deeper water (>200 m) (Table 7). A fuller description of depth associated with the bowhead migration appears in the discussion on median-water-depth analysis in Section IV.B.

In addition to general ice coverage for arctic waters (Figs. 3-12), the percentage of ice cover visible from the aircraft at each bowhead sighting (Appendix B: Table B-1) was summarized (Table 8). Over the field season (31 August-20 October), bowheads were sighted in each concentration of ice cover shown on Table 8. Of 428 bowheads, 415 (97%) were sighted in open water (0-% sea ice), 10 (2%) in 1- through 5-percent ice, and 1 (1%) in 11- through 20-percent ice (Table 8).

5. Behavior, Swim Directi on, and Speed: Of 428 bowhead whales observed during Fall 1995, 296 (68%) were swimming (Table 9), i.e., moving forward in an apparently deliberate manner, when first sighted. Over the fall season, whale headings were generally toward the western quadrant (proportion = 0.46), with the octant of strongest directionality (0.31) between west and northwest. Their significant ($p \ll 0.001$) mean heading was 280.61°T (Fig. 24), consistent with a directed migration in rough parallel to Alaska's Beaufort Sea coastline. Of the 428 whales observed, 247 (58%) were judged to be swimming at medium speed, with 79 (19%) swimming slowly, 31 (7%) still, and 5 (1%) swimming fast. Swim speeds for 66 whales (15%) were not noted (Table 10). Other behaviors noted for bowhead whales included 30 (7%) that were milling, 27 (6%) resting, 22 (5%) diving, 14 (3%) in cow-calf associations, 6 (1%) tail slapping, 2 (1%) spy hopping, 1 (1%) breaching, 1 (1%) feeding, and 1 (1%) thrashing. Behaviors for 28 whales (6%) were not noted (Table 9). All behaviors noted are defined in Table 2.

On 31 August, no whales were observed (Table 9).

During the first half of September, 129 of 166 (78%) bowheads were initially observed swimming (Table 9). Whale headings were generally toward the western quadrant (proportion = 0.45), with the octant of strongest directionality (0.26) between west and northwest. Their significant ($p < 0.005$) mean heading was 271.71°T (Fig. 24). One hundred five (63%) whales were judged to be swimming at medium speed, with 42 (25%) swimming slowly, and 13 (8%) still (Table 10). Other behaviors noted for bowhead whales included 11(6%) that were resting, 10 (6%) diving, 6 (4%) milling, 5 (3%) tail slapping, 2 (1%) in cow-calf associations, 2 (1%) spy hopping, and 1 (1%) thrashing (Table 9).

During the second half of September, 153 of 247 (61%) bowheads were initially observed swimming (Table 9). Whale headings were generally toward the western quadrant (proportion = 0.46), with the octant of strongest directionality (0.33) between west and northwest. Their significant ($p < 0.005$) mean heading was 283.44°T (Fig. 24). One hundred thirty-four (55%) whales were judged to be swimming at medium speed, with 30 (12%) swimming slowly, 18 (7%) still, and 5 (2%) swimming fast (Table 10). Other behaviors noted for bowhead whales included 24 (10%) that were milling, 16 (6%) resting, 12 (5%) in cow-calf associations, 11 (4%) diving, 1 (1%) breaching, 1 (1%) feeding, and 1 (1%) tail slapping (Table 9).

During the first half of October, 6 of 7 (86%) bowheads were initially observed swimming (Table 9). Whale headings were generally toward the western quadrant (proportion = 0.58), although the octant of strongest directionality (0.43) was between north and northeast. Their mean heading was 312.45°T (Fig. 24). Five (71%) whales were judged to be swimming at medium speed, with 2 (29%) swimming slowly (Table 10). Other behaviors noted for bowhead whales included 1 (14%) that was diving (Table 9).

Table 7
Semimonthly Summary of Bowhead Whales Observed, by Water Depth at Sighting Location, Fall 1995

Water Depth	31 Aug No. (%)	1-15 Sep No. (%)	16-30 Sep No. (%)	1-15 Oct No. (%)	16-20 Oct No. (%)	Total No. (%)
Shallow (0-50 m)	0	145 (87)	232 (94)	6 (86)	7 (88)	390 (91)
Transitional (51-200 m)	0	21 (13)	8 (3)	1 (14)	1 (12)	31 (7)
Deep (>200 m)	0	0	7 (3)	0	0	7 (2)
(not noted)	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	166(100)	247(100)	7(100)	8(100)	428 (100)

Table 8
Semimonthly Summary of Bowhead Whales Observed, by Percent Ice Cover Present at Sighting Location, Fall 1995

% Ice Cover	31 Aug No. (%)	1-15 Sep No. (%)	16-30 Sep No. (%)	1-15 Oct No. (%)	16-20 Oct No. (%)	Total No. (%)
0	0	161 (97)	241 (97)	5 (71)	8(100)	415 (96)
1-5	0	5 (3)	5 (2)	0	0	10 (2)
6-10	0	0	0	0	0	0
11-20	0	0	1 (1)	0	0	1 (1)
21-30	0	0	0	0	0	0
31-40	0	0	0	0	0	0
41-50	0	0	0	0	0	0
51-60	0	0	0	0	0	0
61-70	0	0	0	0	0	0
71-80	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-90	0	0	0	0	0	0
91-99	0	0	0	0	0	0
(not noted)	0	0	0	2 (29)	0	2 (1)
TOTAL	0	166(100)	247(100)	7(100)	8(100)	428 (100)

Table 9
Semimonthly Summary of Bowhead Whales Observed, by Behavioral Category, Fall 1995

Behavior	31 Aug No. (%)	1-15 Sep No. (%)	16-30 Sep No. (%)	1-15 Oct No. (%)	16-20 Oct No. (%)	Total No. (%)
Breaching	0	0	1 (1)	0	0	1 (1)
Cow-Calf	0	2 (1)	12 (5)	0	0	14 (3)
Diving	0	10 (6)	11 (4)	1 (14)	0	22 (5)
Feeding	0	0	1 (1)	0	0	1 (1)
Milling	0	6 (4)	24 (10)	0	0	30 (7)
Resting	0	11 (6)	16 (6)	0	0	27 (6)
Spy Hopping	0	2 (1)	0	0	0	2 (1)
Swimming	0	129 (78)	153 (61)	6 (86)	8 (100)	296 (68)
Tail Slapping	0	5 (3)	1 (1)	0	0	6 (1)
Thrashing	0	1 (1)	0	0	0	1 (1)
(not noted)	0	0	28 (11)	0	0	28 (6)
TOTAL	0 (100)	166 (100)	247 (100)	7 (100)	8 (100)	428 (100)

Table 10
Semimonthly Summary of Bowhead Whales Observed, by Swimming Speed, Fall 1995

Swim Speed	31 Aug No. (%)	1-15 Sep No. (%)	16-30 Sep No. (%)	1-15 Oct No. (%)	16-20 Oct No. (%)	Total No. (%)
Still (0 km/hr)	0	13 (8)	18 (7)	0	0	31 (7)
Slow (<2 km/hr)	0	42 (25)	30 (12)	2 (29)	5 (62)	79 (19)
Medium (2-4 km/hr)	0	105 (63)	134 (55)	5 (71)	3 (38)	247 (58)
Fast (>4 km/hr)	0	0	5 (2)	0	0	5 (1)
(not noted)	0	6 (4)	60 (24)	0	0	66 (15)
TOTAL	0 (100)	166 (100)	247 (100)	7 (100)	8 (100)	428 (100)



Figure 24. Semimonthly Summary of Swim Directions for Bowhead Whales, Fall 1995

From 16 through 20 October, all 8 (100%) bowheads were initially observed swimming (Table 9). Whale headings were generally toward the western quadrant (proportion = 0.60), with the octant of strongest directionality (0.60) between west and northwest. Their mean heading was 290.64°T (Fig. 24). Five (62%) whales were judged to be swimming slowly, with 3 (38%) swimming at medium speed.

D. Other Marine Mammal Observations

1. Gray Whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*): No gray whales were sighted during the study.

2. Belukha Whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*): Although the study area and survey altitude were designed to record the fall migration of bowhead whales, belukha whales, which undertake a somewhat parallel migration, were always counted and were considered suitable for selected analyses. Over the Fall 1995 field season, 244 sightings were made for a total of 958 belukha whales (Table 4) during 115.52 hr of survey effort (Table 3) and a seasonal relative abundance of 8.29 WPUE. Sightings of belukha whales were distributed between 140°W. and 156°W. longitudes, mostly between 40 and 90 nm from shore south of 72°N. latitude (Fig. 25). The positions of most belukha sightings were between the 100 m- and 3,000-m isobaths (Figs. 2 and 25). Sizes of pods (or close aggregations of pods) ranged from 1 to 60 whales, with a mean of 3.93 (SD =6.12, n = 244). One hundred twenty-six belukha calves were noted for a calf ratio of 0.132. Belukha whales were observed in association with 0- to 96-percent sea ice, with a mean of 11.10-percent ice (SD = 23.41, n = 244).

On 31 August, no sightings of belukha whales were made (Table 4) during 2.45 hr of survey effort (Table 3) for a relative abundance of 0.00 WPUE.

During the first half of September, 57 sightings were made for a total of 302 belukha whales (Table 4) during 38.48 hr of survey effort (Table 3) and a relative abundance of 7.85 WPUE. The first belukha in the Alaskan Beaufort was sighted at 71°56.3´N. latitude, 153°42.7´W. longitude on 4 September. Sizes of pods (or close aggregations of pods) ranged from 1 to 60 whales, with a mean of 5.30 (SD = 9.46, n = 57). Thirty-four belukha calves were noted during this period. Belukha whales were observed in association with 0- to 40-percent sea ice, with a mean of 4.04-percent ice (SD = 10.94, n = 57).

During the second half of September, 146 sightings were made for a total of 592 belukha whales (Table 4) during 55.20 hr of survey effort (Table 3) and a relative abundance of 10.72 WPUE. Sizes of pods (or close aggregations of pods) ranged from 1 to 40 whales, with a mean of 4.05 (SD = 5.01, n = 146). Eighty-nine belukha calves were noted during this period. Belukha whales were observed in association with 0- to 50-percent sea ice, with a mean of 11.10-percent ice (SD = 11.12, n = 146).

During the first half of October, 23 sightings were made for a total of 34 belukha whales (Table 4) during 10.12 hr of survey effort and a relative abundance of 3.36 WPUE. Sizes of pods (or close aggregations of pods) ranged from 1 to 6 whales, with a mean of 1.48 (SD = 1.10, n = 23). Two belukha calves were noted during this period. Belukha whales were observed in association with 0- to 96-percent sea ice, with a mean of 26.35-percent ice (SD = 38.31, n = 23).

During the second half of October, 18 sightings were made for a total of 30 belukha whales (Table 4) during 9.27 hr of survey effort and a relative abundance of 3.24 WPUE. Sizes of pods (or close aggregations of pods) ranged from 1 to 5 whales, with a mean of 1.67 (SD = 1.05, n = 18). One belukha calf was noted during this period. Belukha whales were observed in association with 0- to 95-percent sea ice, with a mean of 57.50-percent ice (SD = 36.45, n = 18).

3. Unidentified Cetaceans: Over the field season, 2 incidental sightings were made for a total of 4 unidentified cetaceans (Table 4). Sightings were distributed between 154°W. and 157°W. longitudes, south of 72°N. latitude (Fig. 26).



Figure 25. Map of Belukha Whale Sightings, Fall 1995



Figure 26. Map of Unidentified Cetacean Sightings, Fall 1995



Figure 27. Map of Bearded Seal Sightings, Fall 1995



Figure 28. Map of Ringed Seal Sightings, Fall 1995

4. Bearded Seal (*Erignathus barbatus*): Over the field season, 9 incidental sightings were made for a total of 12 bearded seals (Table 4). Seven of these sightings were evenly distributed between 140°W. and 147°W. longitudes, south of the 400-m isobath (Figs. 2 and 27). Bearded seals were sighted from 16 through 24 September (Table 4). All 12 (100%) of the bearded seals were in open water when first sighted.

5. Ringed Seal (*Phoca hispida*): Over the field season, 38 incidental sightings were made for a total of 324 ringed seals (Table 4). Sightings were evenly distributed between 140°W. and 156°W. longitudes, mostly south of the 400-m isobath (Figs. 2 and 28). Ringed seals were sighted from 1 through 24 September (Table 4). All 324 (100%) of the ringed seals were in open water when first sighted.

6. Pacific Walrus (*Odobenus ro smarus*): Over the field season, 1 incidental sighting was made for a total of 1 Pacific walrus (Table 4). The sighting was made between 153°W. and 154°W. longitudes, south of the 20-m isobath (Figs. 2 and 29). The walrus was sighted on 18 September (Table 4), swimming in a tide rip when first sighted.

7. Unidentified Pinnipeds: Over the field season, 13 incidental sightings were made for a total of 28 unidentified pinnipeds (Table 4). Sightings were distributed across the primary study area, mostly south of the 40-m isobath (Figs. 2 and 30).

8. Polar Bear (*Ursus maritimus*): Over the field season, 2 incidental sightings were made for a total of 5 polar bears (Table 4). One sighting was just north of Barter Island and 1 was about 30 nm east of Point Barrow, south of the 40-m isobath (Figs. 2 and 31). Polar bears were sighted on 18 and 19 September (Table 4). All 5 (100%) of the bears were swimming in open water when first sighted.

No polar bear tracks were sighted on the ice during the field season (Table 4).



Figure 29. Map of Pacific Walrus Sightings, Fall 1995



Figure 30. Map of Unidentified Pinnipeds, Fall 1995



Figure 31. Map of Polar Bear Sightings, Fall 1995

IV. DISCUSSION

A. General Comparisons with Previous Surveys (1979-1994)

Most results of the present study are generally within the range of result values from previous MMS-funded endangered whale monitoring conducted during September and October (1979-1994) in the Beaufort Sea using similar survey methods (Ljungblad et al., 1987; Moore and Clarke, 1992; Treacy, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995). Prior to Fall 1992, surveys in Block 12 were largely conducted from a modified Grumman Goose rather than a Twin Otter aircraft. Results for 1995 that were considered notable relative to previous values are described below.

The general ice coverage along the northern coast of Alaska during the 1995 navigation season was the 17th mildest in the Arctic Ocean for the 43 years from 1953 through 1995 (USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center, 1996).

Cloud cover over most of the study area was considered favorable for surveying in 1995, without recurrent periods of "down-to-the-deck" fog as in many previous field seasons. During the last half of the survey, environmental conditions were worse than in previous years, primarily due to high winds and associated high sea states.

The 1995 total of 115.52 survey hours was lower than the mean of 130.16 hours (SD = 42.84, n = 8) of survey time for the years 1987 through 1994.

The number of sightings of bowhead whales (n = 284) and the number of bowhead whales counted (n = 428) during Fall 1995 were the second-highest totals for all project surveys (1987-1995).

The grossly uneven distribution of bowhead whale sightings east and west of 150°W. longitude during Fall 1995 (Fig. 22) appears to be related to differences noted on either side of 148°W. longitude during Fall 1990 and, to a lesser extent, 1987 and 1989. However, during September 1987, 1989, and 1990, there were relatively uneven levels of survey effort across the study area, which readily could have accounted for such differential in observed geographic distribution of bowheads. During Fall 1995, the level of effort in September was relatively even across the study area and likely would not explain why only 14 (6.3 %) of the 284 bowhead whale pods were sighted west of 150°W. longitude. Also, unlike those 3 earlier years, the few bowhead whales sighted in the western part of the study area were located more than 25 nm north of the shoreline, relatively more diffuse and farther offshore than the tight corridor of whales in the eastern part of the study area. Relatively heavier ice concentrations west of Deadhorse at the start of the Fall 1995 migration (Figs. 3 and 4), especially the heavy ice extending all the way south to Point Barrow, might have prompted bowhead whales to relocate their migration corridor farther offshore in the western portion of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. However, the geographic differential in WPUE west of 150°W. longitude in 1995 appears unique since the project began (1987-1995) and may indicate a diminishment in residence time and/or a decrease in the proportion of time spent at the surface once whales reached Harrison Bay, possibly associated with some type of nearshore disturbance.

For September 1995, the relative abundance of bowhead whales in eastern Blocks 1 (7.77 WPUE), 4 (10.37 WPUE), and 6 (4.73 WPUE) was higher than for similar values in previous years (1979-1994). For October 1995, the relative abundance in western Block 3 (0.00 WPUE) was lower than for similar values in previous years. The extreme difference in relative abundance noted in 1995 between these eastern and western blocks reflects the pattern in geographic distribution of whale sightings just described. During only 0.06 hr of surveying unblocked Canadian waters, 8 bowhead whales were sighted, for a record-setting relative abundance of 133.33 WPUE (Table 11). Values for relative abundance in all other survey blocks during September or October 1995 were within the range of values shown for the years 1979 through 1994 (Table 11).

The total number of belukha whales counted in Fall 1995 (n = 958) was the highest total number since the project began (1987-1995). Similarly, the overall relative abundance of belukhas for Fall 1995 (8.29 WPUE)

Table 11
Bowhead Whale Relative Abundance (WPUE) by Beaufort Sea Survey Block during September and October, 1979-1995
(after Ljungblad et al. [1987], Moore and Clarke [1992], and Treacy [1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995])

Year	Survey Block 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Other Canadian Areas[2]	Other Alaskan Areas[3]
SEPTEMBER														
1979	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	10.08	0.73	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	[1]	[1]
1980	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	0.47	[1]
1981	0.22	0.00	0.00	6.13	6.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	0.00	[1]	0.32	0.00
1982	6.83	1.35	0.80	0.93	11.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.28	[1]	0.00	0.44	48.65	0.00
1983	0.11	0.87	0.61	0.00	0.00	1.51	1.90	0.00	0.36	0.21	0.53	2.28	[1]	0.00
1984	0.59	1.05	0.18	2.69	3.19	1.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.24	17.00	0.00
1985	0.54	0.00	0.00	2.21	1.74	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.52	0.00
1986	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.94	2.36	0.29	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.00	7.98	0.00
1987	0.74	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.72	0.31	0.00	[1]	0.00	[1]	0.00	0.26	0.66	0.33
1988	0.14	0.00	[1]	0.35	0.48	0.45	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	[1]
1989	2.37	0.33	0.00	6.23	0.71	0.33	1.52	[1]	0.00	0.00	[1]	0.73	[1]	0.00
1990	5.54	0.00	0.72	7.61	18.51	3.35	1.72	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	63.64	0.00
1991	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.20	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.50	0.00
1992	0.45	0.20	0.12	0.73	0.91	1.75	2.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	[1]	[1]	0.00
1993	4.39	0.00	3.03	4.07	2.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.93	[1]	0.00
1994	0.40	0.00	1.27	1.52	11.55	3.52	0.00	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	0.00
1995	7.77	0.00	0.27	10.37	7.40	4.73	1.18	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.15	0.00	0.00
OCTOBER														
1979	1.58	0.00	3.67	2.35	[1]	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.70	[1]	0.00
1980	0.10	1.18	0.35	0.29	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1981	0.89	0.00	0.52	4.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]
1982	0.19	0.00	2.48	0.00	0.70	0.00	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	1.87	0.46	0.00
1983	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.27	2.17	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.75	[1]	0.00
1984	0.29	0.26	1.24	0.00	1.37	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	3.05	2.37	3.70	0.00
1985	2.26	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	0.00	9.00	0.53	0.00	0.00
1986	1.00	0.38	0.47	0.71	[1]	0.00	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.91	[1]	0.00
1987	0.19	0.00	2.94	0.62	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.71	0.00	0.00
1988	0.18	0.26	1.12	0.12	0.14	0.00	0.00	[1]	0.00	[1]	0.19	1.01	0.00	0.00
1989	1.32	0.00	5.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	12.98	[1]	0.00
1990	3.00	0.00	2.14	2.17	[1]	2.86	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.97	0.74	[1]	0.00
1991	0.07	2.23	0.27	1.48	4.36	0.00	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.04	0.49	0.55
1992	0.00	0.68	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92	16.35	[1]	0.00
1993	0.88	0.00	1.78	2.32	2.19	1.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	0.00	0.00
1994	0.49	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	0.00	0.22	[1]	0.00
1995	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.55	[1]	0.00	[1]	[1]	0.00	[1]	1.05	0.00	133.33	0.36

[1] No survey effort.
[2] Between 140°W. and 141°W. longitudes north of 72°N. latitude or east of 140°W. longitude.
[3] Between 141°W. and 157°W. longitudes north of 72°N. latitude.

was the highest value (1987-1995) and was much higher than the mean of 1.58 WPUE (SD = 1.23, n = 8) for previous project surveys (1987-1994). It was over twice as high as similar values for the next highest years of 1992 (3.09 WPUE) and 1994 (3.87 WPUE). Totals of other marine mammals sighted were within ranges established during previous project surveys in the Beaufort Sea (1987-1994).

As was the case in 1994, neither marine drilling, with associated helicopter and vessel support, nor seismic vessel exploration took place in the offshore waters of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea during the fall migration of the bowhead whales.

B. Median and Mean Water Depth at Bowhead Sightings (1982-1995)

The median water depth at 125 sightings of bowhead whales made on randomized line transects in Regions I, II, and III (combined) (Fig. 2) during September and October 1995 was 35 m. This areawide median depth appears to be closely related to median areawide depths for most other years (Fig. 32) and falls within the range of values for previous years (range = 18 to 347 m). It is slightly less than the cumulative median depth of 37 m for the years 1982 through 1995 (n = 568). The median water depth of 131 m for Region I, however, was much greater than the cumulative median depth of 22 m for that region, supporting the interpretation that the Fall- 1995 migration of bowhead whales was distributed farther offshore than other years in the area north and west of Harrison Bay (Fig. 22). Bowhead whales in Region I migrated in water that was deeper than for all previous years (1982-1994) except 1985 (183 m). The 26-m depth for Region II during 1995 and the 39-m depth for Region III were less than the cumulative median depths of 31 m and 42 m, respectively, for these regions (Table 12).

To determine whether any of the differences between the median water depth for 1995 and previous years (Table 12) were statistically significant, these values were tested using the Mann Whitney U test (Zar, 1984). The median water depth (all 3 regions combined) for the year 1995 showed a highly significant difference ($p < 0.005$) when compared to medians for the years 1983, 1984, 1989, 1991, and 1992. Analysis by region showed no differences that were highly significant between 1995 and other years in Region I (between 150°W. and 153°30´W. longitudes). There was a highly significant difference (<0.005) between 1995 and the median depth for 1983, 1984, 1990, 1991, and 1992 in Region II (between 146°W. and 150°W. longitudes). There was also a highly significant difference ($p < 0.005$) between 1995 and the median depth for 1983, 1984, 1989, 1991, and 1992 in Region III (between 141°W. and 146°W. longitudes) (Table 13).

The median water depth for the year 1987 (37 m) was considered typical in that it was identical to the cumulative median (all 3 regions combined) for the years 1982 through 1995 (Table 12). Of the years when bowheads tended to migrate in water that was deeper than in 1987, the years 1983, 1991, and 1992 showed highly significant ($p < 0.005$) differences from the 37-m median value. Likewise, of the years when whales tended to migrate in water that was shallower than in 1987, only the year 1989 showed a difference that was considered highly significant (Table 13).

A high level of significance ($p < 0.005$) was noted between the 1983 median value (all 3 regions combined) and the median values for other years except 1991 (Table 13), due to the fact that the 1983 median water depth of 347 m was greater by far than the median for other years (Table 12). The highly significant difference ($p < 0.005$) between 1983 and other years was shown, in some instances, in bathymetric Regions II and III (Table 13).

A high level of significance ($p < 0.005$) was noted between the 1989 median value (all 3 regions combined) and the median values for other years, except 1986 and 1993 (Table 13), because the 1989 median water depth of 18 m was clearly much shallower than the median depth for other years (Table 12). Such attained levels ($p < 0.005$) between 1989 and other years were shown, in a few instances, in Region I (Table 13).

Mean water depths were also calculated for Regions I, II, and III. Mean values, although less descriptive of the apparent "axis" of the migration, were considered more robust for demonstrating significant differences between years.



Figure 32. Median Water Depth (in meters) at Random Sightings of Bowhead Whales, by Year, 1982-1995

Table 12
Central-Tendency Statistics for Water Depth (in meters) at Random Sightings of Bowhead Whales (September-October), by Year and Region, 1982-1995

Year	Region	SI[1]	Median	CI[2]	Mean	SD[3]	Range
1982	I	8	17	11-457	113.4	176.23	11-457
	II	30	27	22-38	30.6	9.03	16-51
	III	5	40	[4]	43.4	11.24	29-59
	All 3	43	29	22-38	47.5	79.22	11-457
1983	I	9	69	22-2,323	393.7	740.61	22-2,323
	II	5	1,289	[4]	945.0	858.85	53-2,021
	III	9	797	49-1,902	969.7	740.33	49-1,902
	All 3	23	347	49-1,737	739.0	783.00	22-2,323
1984	I	15	42	27-69	53.4	41.44	18-178
	II	9	38	22-82	43.7	18.73	22-82
	III	14	48	22-274	90.4	130.05	18-485
	All 3	38	43	27-59	64.7	84.12	18-485
1985	I	3	183	[4]	219.3	221.74	18-457
	II	9	31	20-38	30.4	5.00	20-38
	III	1	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	64[5]
	All 3	13	31	20-183	76.6	122.13	18-457
1986	I	4	18	[4]	51.3	69.87	13-156
	II	12	17	9-40	60.7	144.79	7-519
	III	22	33	22-48	34.0	13.91	11-57
	All 3	38	26	18-44	44.3	83.03	7-519
1987	I	4	20	[4]	19.2	4.86	13-24
	II	9	27	15-38	27.3	7.60	15-38
	III	20	41	29-55	49.9	41.38	18-219
	All 3	33	37	24-44	40.0	34.54	13-219
1988	I	4	35	[4]	40.5	15.11	29-62
	II	4	44	[4]	44.7	13.60	29-62
	III	5	46	[4]	90.4	116.40	24-298
	All 3	13	42	29-62	61.0	72.17	24-298
1989	I	15	18	9-20	16.0	4.58	9-24
	II	1	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	44[5]
	III	3	49	[4]	49.3	9.50	40-59
	All 3	19	18	13-40	22.7	14.39	9-59
1990	I	3	31	[4]	29.3	13.58	15-42
	II	17	37	29-38	33.6	7.05	15-38
	III	68	40	37-48	40.5	10.49	16-60
	All 3	88	38	37-38	38.8	10.43	15-60

Table 12
Central-Tendency Statistics for Water Depth (in meters) at Random Sightings of Bowhead Whales (September-October), by Year and Region, 1982-1995
(Continued)

Year	Region	SI[1]	Median	CI[2]	Mean	SD[3]	Range
1991	I	0	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]
	II	7	66	[4]	126.7	100.04	27-274
	III	6	118	[4]	132.2	92.05	48-232
	All 3	13	66	48-238	129.2	92.42	27-274
1992	I	8	40	13-329	70.3	105.24	13-329
	II	3	46	[4]	119.0	126.44	46-265
	III	9	55	44-57	50.2	8.91	29-57
	All 3	20	46	37-55	68.6	79.75	13-329
1993	I	12	15	13-18	16.1	2.23	13-20
	II	24	29	22-38	30.0	9.58	15-53
	III	39	44	27-48	44.3	34.24	9-229
	All 3	75	29	24-38	35.2	27.25	9-229
1994	I	3	13	[4]	16.3	9.45	9-27
	II	3	37	[4]	225.7	335.48	27-613
	III	21	40	29-55	41.0	11.87	22-57
	All 3	27	40	26-55	58.7	111.58	9-613
1995	I	6	131	[4]	389.2	499.21	7-1,024
	II	53	26	24-33	27.4	7.40	16-40
	III	66	39	26-48	42.0	18.39	9-143
	All 3	125	35	29-37	52.5	126.73	7-1,024
Cumulative	I	94	22	18-31	104.5	287.81	7-2,323
(1982-1995)	II	186	31	27-37	65.4	203.77	7-2,021
	III	288	42	40-46	76.3	207.20	9-1,902
	All 3	568	37	37-38	77.4	221.44	7-2,323

[1] SI = random sightings.
[2] CI ≥ 99-percent confidence interval.
[3] SD = standard deviation.
[4] Insufficient sample size.
[5] One datum.

Table 13
Interyear Correlation (nonparametric) of the Median Water Depths at Random Bowhead Whale Sightings (September-October) Using the Mann-Whitney U Test, 1982-1995

REGION I	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1983	U′= 54.0 p ≤ 0.10												
1984	U′= 81.5 p < 0.20	U = 92.5 p < 0.20											
1985	U′= 18.0 p > 0.20	U = 14.5 p > 0.20	U′ = 30.5 p > 0.20										
1986	U = 16.0 p > 0.20	U = 31.0 p < 0.10	U = 45.0 p < 0.20	U = 10.0 p > 0.20									
1987	U = 16.5 p > 0.20	U = 34.5 p < 0.02	U = 55.5 p < 0.01	U = 9.5 p > 0.20	U′= 8.5 p > 0.20								
1988	U′= 21.0 p > 0.20	U = 23.0 p > 0.20	U′= 30.5 p > 0.20	U = 8.0 p > 0.20	U′= 12.0 p > 0.20	U′= 16.0 p < 0.05							
1989	U = 76.5 p > 0.20	U = 134.0 p < 0.001	U = 216.5 p < 0.001	U = 39.0 p < 0.10	U = 37.0 p > 0.20	U = 41.5 p > 0.20	U = 60.0 p ≤ 0.001						
1990	U = 13.0 p > 0.20	U = 20.0 p > 0.20	U = 31.5 p > 0.20	U = 7.0 p > 0.20	U′= 7.0 p > 0.20	U′= 9.0 p > 0.20	U = 7.5 p > 0.20	U′= 35.5 p < 0.20					
1991	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]				
1992	U′= 39.5 p > 0.20	U = 48.5 p > 0.20	U = 68.0 p > 0.20	U = 16.0 p > 0.20	U′= 22.5 p > 0.20	U′= 26.5 p < 0.20	U = 16.5 p > 0.20	U′= 106.5 p < 0.002	U′= 15.5 p > 0.20	[1]			
1993	U = 66.0 p ≤ 0.20	U = 108.0 p < 0.001	U = 176.5 p < 0.001	U = 33.0 p < 0.05	U = 31.0 p > 0.20	U = 34.0 p > 0.20	U = 48.0 p ≤ 0.002	U = 96.0 p > 0.20	U = 28.5 p < 0.20	[1]	U = 84.5 p < 0.005		
1994	U = 18.0 p > 0.20	U = 26.0 p ≤ 0.02	U = 41.5 p < 0.05	U = 8.0 p ≤ 0.20	U = 8.5 p > 0.20	U = 7.5 p > 0.20	U = 12.0 p ≤ 0.10	U = 24.5 p > 0.20	U = 8.0 p ≤ 0.20	[1]	U = 21.5 p < 0.10	U = 23.0 p > 0.20	
1995	U′= 31.5 p > 0.20	U = 32.0 p > 0.20	U′= 47.5 p > 0.20	U′= 9.5 p > 0.20	U′= 17.0 p > 0.20	U′= 17.0 p > 0.20	U = 12.0 p > 0.20	U′= 68.5 p < 0.10	U′= 11.0 p > 0.20	[1]	U′= 26.0 p > 0.20	U′= 57.0 p < 0.10	U′ = 13.0 p > 0.20

Table 13
Interyear Correlation (nonparametric) of the Median Water Depths at Random Bowhead Whale Sightings (September-October) Using the Mann-Whitney U Test, 1982-1995
(Page 2 of 4)

REGION II	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1983	U′= 150.0 p < 0.001												
1984	U′= 193.0 p < 0.10	U = 42.0 p ≤ 0.01											
1985	U = 136.0 p > 0.20	U = 45.0 p ≤ 0.001	U = 62.0 p < 0.10										
1986	U = 254.0 p < 0.05	U = 58.0 p ≤ 0.002	U = 86.5 p < 0.05	U = 76.5 p < 0.20									
1987	U = 155.0 p > 0.20	U = 45.0 p ≤ 0.001	U = 63.5 p < 0.10	U = 53.0 p > 0.20	U′= 70.5 p > 0.20								
1988	U′= 103.0 p ≤ 0.02	U = 19.0 p ≤ 0.05	U′= 20.5 p > 0.20	U′= 29.0 p < 0.20	U′= 41.0 p ≤ 0.05	U′= 33.0 p ≤ 0.02							
1989	U′= 29.0 p < 0.20	U = 5.0 [1]	U′= 5.5 p > 0.20	U′= 9.0 p ≤ 0.20	U′= 11.0 p > 0.20	U′= 9.0 p ≤ 0.20	U = 2.0 [1]						
1990	U′= 280.5 p > 0.20	U = 85.0 p < 0.001	U = 101.5 p < 0.20	U′= 108.5 p < 0.10	U′= 146.0 p < 0.10	U′= 114.0 p ≤ 0.05	U = 54.0 p < 0.10	U = 17.0 p ≤ 0.20					
1991	U′= 195.0 p < 0.001	U = 26.00 p < 0.20	U′= 51.0 p ≤ 0.05	U′= 56.0 p ≤ 0.01	U′= 74.0 p < 0.01	U′= 58.5 p < 0.005	U′= 22.0 p < 0.20	U′= 6.0 [1]	U′= 104.0 p < 0.005				
1992	U′= 88.0 p ≤ 0.002	U = 13.0 p ≤ 0.20	U′ p > 0.20	21.0 p ≤ 0.01	U′= 27.0 p < 0.05	U′= 33.0 p ≤ 0.01	U′= 27.0 p > 0.20	U′= 9.0 [1]	U′= 3.0 p ≤ 0.002	U′= 51.0 p > 0.20	U = 13.0		
1993	U = 380.5 Z = 0.35 p > 0.20	U = 119.5 p < 0.001	U = 158.5 p < 0.05	U = 115.0 p > 0.20	U′= 196.5 p < 0.10	U′= 124.0 p > 0.20	U = 81.5 p < 0.05	U = 23.0 p ≤ 0.20	U = 243.0 p > 0.20	U = 154.0 p < 0.001	U = 70.0 p < 0.005		
1994	U′= 63.0 p > 0.20	U = 13.0 p ≤ 0.20	U′= 14.5 p > 0.20	U′= 19.0 p > 0.20	U′= 29.0 p < 0.20	U′= 21.0 p > 0.20	U = 7.0 p > 0.20	U = 2.0 [1]	U′= 28.5 p > 0.20	U = 12.5 p > 0.20	U = 6.0 p > 0.20	U′= 50.0 p > 0.20	
1995	U = 977.0 Z < 1.72 p < 0.10	U = 265.0 Z = 3.66 p < 0.001	U = 382.0 Z = 2.86 p < 0.005	U = 301.0 Z = 1.24 p > 0.20	U′= 419.5 Z = 1.71 p < 0.10	U = 251.5 Z = 0.25 p > 0.20	U = 193.5 Z = 2.72 p < 0.01	U = 53.0 Z = 1.67 p < 0.10	U = 663.5 Z = 2.91 p < 0.005	U = 348.5 Z = 3.74 p < 0.001	U = 159.0 Z = 2.87 p < 0.005	U = 744.0 Z = 1.18 p > 0.20	U = 128.0 Z = 1.75 p < 0.10

Table 13
Interyear Correlation (nonparametric) of the Median Water Depths at Random Bowhead Whale Sightings (September-October) Using the Mann-Whitney U Test, 1982-1995
(Page 3 of 4)

REGION III	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1983	$U' = 43.5$ $p < 0.005$												
1984	$U' = 36.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 117.0$ $p < 0.001$											
1985	$U' = 5.0$ [1]	$U = 8.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 11.0$ $p > 0.20$										
1986	$U = 77.0$ $p \leq 0.20$	$U = 195.5$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 216.0$ $p < 0.05$	$U = 22.0$ $p \leq 0.10$									
1987	$U = 54.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 173.0$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 159.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 19.0$ $p \leq 0.20$	$U' = 284.0$ $p < 0.10$								
1988	$U = 13.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 43.0$ $p \leq 0.005$	$U = 38.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 4.0$ [1]	$U' = 70.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 56.0$ $p > 0.20$							
1989	$U' = 10.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 25.5$ $p < 0.02$	$U' = 24.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 3.0$ [1]	$U' = 54.0$ $p \leq 0.10$	$U' = 41.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 10.0$ $p > 0.20$						
1990	$U = 200.5$ $Z = 0.66$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 596.5$ $Z = 4.60$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 572.5$ $Z = 1.18$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 68.0$ $Z = 1.68$ $p < 0.10$	$U' = 946.0$ $Z = 1.85$ $p < 0.10$	$U = 732.0$ $Z = 0.51$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 189.0$ $Z = 0.40$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 154.0$ $Z = 1.47$ $p < 0.20$					
1991	$U' = 25.0$ $p \leq 0.10$	$U = 47.5$ $p < 0.02$	$U' = 58.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 3.0$ [1]	$U' = 121.0$ $p \leq 0.001$	$U' = 100.0$ $p < 0.02$	$U' = 24.0$ $p < 0.20$	$U' = 13.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 354.0$ $Z = 2.96$ $p < 0.005$				
1992	$U' = 31.0$ $p < 0.20$	$U = 74.5$ $p < 0.001$	$U' = 74.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 9.0$ [1]	$U' = 170.5$ $p < 0.001$	$U' = 126.0$ $p \leq 0.02$	$U' = 31.0$ $p < 0.20$	$U' = 14.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 477.5$ $Z = 3.47$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 34.5$ $p > 0.20$			
1993	$U = 114.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 341.5$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 338.5$ $p < 0.20$	$U = 37.0$ $p < 0.20$	$U' = 520.0$ $Z = 1.36$ $p < 0.20$	$U = 425.5$ $Z = 0.56$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 104.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 84.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 1,384.0$ $Z = 0.37$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 201.5$ $p < 0.005$	$U = 271.5$ $p < 0.001$		
1994	$U = 58.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 182.5$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 171.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 21.0$ $p \leq 0.10$	$U' = 298.5$ $Z = 1.63$ $p < 0.05$	$U = 217.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 56.0$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 44.5$ $p > 0.20$	$U' = 747.5$ $Z = 0.32$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 106.5$ $p < 0.02$	$U = 139.00$ $p < 0.01$	$U' = 437.5$ $Z = 0.43$ $p > 0.20$	
1995	$U = 189.5$ $Z = 0.54$ $P > 0.20$	$U = 577.5$ $Z = 4.56$ $p < 0.001$	$U = 555.5$ $Z = 1.18$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 63.0$ $Z = 1.53$ $p < 0.20$	$U' = 898.5$ $Z = 1.29$ $p < 0.20$	$U = 708.5$ $Z = 0.49$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 189.5$ $Z = 0.54$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 144.5$ $Z = 1.32$ $p < 0.20$	$U' = 2,249.5$ $Z = 0.02$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 343.0$ $Z = 2.94$ $p < 0.005$	$U = 436.5$ $Z = 2.27$ $p < 0.05$	$U' = 1,322.5$ $Z = 0.23$ $p > 0.20$	$U = 710.5$ $Z = 0.17$ $p > 0.20$

Table 13
Interyear Correlation (nonparametric) of the Median Water Depths at Random Bowhead Whale Sightings (September-October) Using the Mann-Whitney U Test, 1982-1995

ALL THREE REGIONS (COMBINED)	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1983	U′= 882.0 Z = 5.21 p < 0.001												
1984	U′= 1,138.5 Z = 3.04 p < 0.005	U = 717.5 Z = 4.17 p < 0.001											
1985	U′= 316.5 Z = 0.71 p > 0.20	U = 249.5 p < 0.001	U = 297.5 p > 0.20										
1986	U = 898.0 Z = 0.76 p > 0.20	U = 786.0 Z = 5.19 p < 0.001	U = 1,043.0 Z = 3.33 p < 0.001	U = 314.0 p < 0.20									
1987	U′= 798.5 Z = 0.93 p > 0.20	U = 666.5 Z = 4.77 p < 0.001	U = 809.5 Z = 2.10 p < 0.05	U = 223.0 p > 0.20	U′= 760.5 Z = 1.53 p < 0.20								
1988	U′= 414.0 Z = 2.60 p < 0.01	U = 245.5 p < 0.002	U′= 248.0 p > 0.20	U′= 104.5 p > 0.20	U′= 356.5 p < 0.02	U′= 291.0 p < 0.10							
1989	U = 598.0 Z = 2.89 p < 0.005	U = 417.5 p < 0.001	U = 617.0 p < 0.001	U = 198.5 p < 0.005	U = 457.0 p < 0.20	U = 480.5 p < 0.002	U = 214.0 p < 0.001						
1990	U′= 2,473.5 Z = 2.85 p < 0.005	U = 1,729.5 Z = 8.10 p < 0.001	U = 1,949.5 Z = 1.47 p < 0.20	U′= 682.5 Z = 1.12 p > 0.20	U′= 2,227.5 Z = 2.95 p < 0.005	U′= 1,717.5 Z = 1.54 p < 0.20	U = 674.5 Z = 1.03 p > 0.20	U′= 1,353.0 Z = 4.21 p < 0.001					
1991	U′= 503.0 Z = 4.33 p < 0.001	U = 213.0 p < 0.05	U′= 373.5 p < 0.01	U′= 134.5 p < 0.02	U′= 446.0 p < 0.001	U′= 377.0 p < 0.001	U′= 135.0 p ≤ 0.01	U′= 236.0 p < 0.001	U′= 999.0 Z = 4.33 p < 0.001				
1992	U′= 663.0 Z = 3.88 p < 0.001	U = 368.5 p < 0.001	U′= 410.0 p > 0.20	U′= 170.5 p < 0.10	U′= 578.0 p < 0.001	U′= 470.5 p < 0.005	U′= 150.5 p > 0.20	U′= 325.5 p < 0.001	U′= 1,185.5 Z = 2.85 p < 0.005	U = 193.5 p < 0.01			
1993	U′= 1,614.5 Z = 0.01 p > 0.20	U = 1,545.5 Z = 5.72 p < 0.001	U = 1,981.0 Z = 3.38 p < 0.001	U = 568.0 Z = 0.94 p > 0.20	U′= 1,580.5 Z = 0.95 p > 0.20	U = 1,381.5 Z = 0.96 p > 0.20	U = 679.5 Z = 2.25 p < 0.05	U′= 1,007.0 Z = 2.77 p < 0.01	U = 4,200.5 Z = 3.00 p < 0.005	U = 886.5 Z = 4.69 p < 0.001	U = 1,111.0 Z = 3.75 p < 0.001		
1994	U′= 754.0 Z = 2.09 p < 0.05	U = 523.0 Z = 4.13 p < 0.001	U = 594.5 Z = 1.08 p > 0.20	U′= 190.0 p > 0.20	U′= 682.0 Z = 2.24 p < 0.05	U′= 510.5 Z = 0.96 p > 0.20	U = 205.5 p > 0.20	U′= 410.0 p < 0.001	U′= 1,190.0 Z = 0.01 p > 0.20	U = 293.5 p < 0.001	U = 347.0 p < 0.05	U′= 1,267.5 Z = 1.93 p < 0.10	
1995	U′= 2,945.5 Z = 0.94 p > 0.20	U = 2,516.5 Z = 5.71 p << 0.001	U = 3,109.0 Z = 2.88 p <0.005	U = 830.5 Z = 0.13 p > 0.20	U′= 2,837.0 Z = 1.81 p < 0.10	U = 2,117.0 Z = 0.23 p > 0.20	U = 1,101.0 Z = 2.10 p < 0.05	U′= 1,814.5 Z = 3.70 p < 0.001	U = 6,708.0 Z = 2.73 p < 0.01	U = 1,432.0 Z = 4.51 p < 0.001	U = 1,801.0 Z= 3.16 p < 0.002	U′= 5,144.5 Z = 1.15 p > 0.20	U = 1,979.5 Z = 1.41 p < 0.20

[1] Insufficient sample size.

The mean water depth at 125 sightings of bowhead whales made on randomized line transects in Regions I, II, and III (combined) during September and October 1995 was 52.5 m (Table 12). The areawide mean depth for 1995 (52.5 m) was within the range of areawide mean depths for the years 1982 through 1994 (previous values ranged from 22.7 to 738.9 m). This areawide mean depth was also less than the cumulative mean depth of 77.4 m for the years 1982 through 1995 (n = 568). In Region I, however, the 1995 mean water depth of 389.2 m was much greater than the cumulative mean depth of 104.5 m, supporting the interpretation that the Fall-1995 migration of bowhead whales was distributed farther offshore than in other years in the area north and west of Harrison Bay (Fig. 22). Bowhead whales in Region I migrated in water that was deeper than for all previous years (1982-1994) except 1983 (393.7 m). The mean water depths of 27.4 m for Region II and 42.0 m for Region III during 1995 were less than the cumulative mean depths of 65.4 m and 76.3 m, respectively, for these regions (Table 12).

Comparison of the means using ANOVA and the Tukey test (Zar, 1984) showed that 1983 was unique among other years in the spatial distribution of the fall bowhead migration. Differences between the mean for 1983 and all other years (including 1995) were considered very highly significant ($p < 0.001$) in all three regions combined and in Regions II and III, thus mirroring differences noted between the 1983 median and the medians for other years. Differences in mean water depths between all other years, including 1995, were not considered statistically significant (Table 14).

The reasons for the offshore (deep-water) migratory route of 1983 and the comparatively shallower route followed in other years (Fig. 32) may be attributable to general ice cover (see Sec. IV.D). Differences in human activity levels, oceanographic conditions, and the possible indirect effect of heavy ice cover on prey availability are additional potential factors. Ice cover probably has the greatest potential for interacting with environmental conditions that, in turn, may have biological significance to migrating bowhead whales (e.g., net primary production, availability of leads, water temperature). During 1983, the most severe ice year since 1975 (USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center, 1995), the bowhead migration (all 3 regions combined) was observed in water almost an order of magnitude deeper than for other years (Table 12).

In general, mean water depths at sightings of bowhead whales were skewed to the deeper (northern) side of the migration axis (median), with cumulative mean values for each region (1982-1995) approximately twice as great (as deep) as the cumulative medians (Table 12). The reason for the differences between the median and mean values is unknown but may simply reflect the increasing gradient of the seafloor farther offshore.

C. Potential Responses of Bowheads to Survey Aircraft

During the 1995 field season, there were no sightings of bowhead whales for which definite responses to the survey aircraft were apparent. Although it was not possible to determine if any responses would have been a direct result of overflight by survey aircraft, sudden overt changes in whale behavior were sought. Such changes included an abrupt dive, course diversion, or cessation of behavior ongoing at first sighting.

D. Potential Effect of General Ice Cover on WPUE (1979-1994)

During September and October (combined) 1995, there were 420 bowhead whales observed during 111.05 hr for a relative abundance of 3.78 WPUE in Survey Blocks 1 through 12. The relative abundance in this primary study area was 4.50 WPUE during September and 0.36 WPUE during October. The combined September and October relative abundance of 3.78 WPUE for 1995 was considered representative of the cumulative relative abundance (2.15 WPUE) found during light ice years (Table 15).

The years 1980, 1983, 1988, and 1991 were categorized as having "heavy" ice cover during the navigation season. These 4 years are ranked as having the severest seasonal ice for the years 1979 through 1995 and show distances ranging from 10 to 25 nm between Point Barrow and the five-tenths ice concentration on 15 September (USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center, 1996).

Table 14
Interyear Correlation (parametric) of the Mean Water Depths at Random Bowhead Whale Sightings (September-October) Using Analysis of Variants (ANOVA) and the Tukey Test, 1982-1995

REGION I[1]
ANOVA F = 1.820, $p < 0.20$

Tukey Test:

(1989)	(1993)	(1994)	(1987)	(1990)	(1988)	(1986)	(1984)	(1992)	(1982)	(1985)	(1995)	(1983)
16.0	16.1	16.3	19.3	29.3	40.5	51.3	53.4	70.3	113.4	219.3	389.2	393.7

REGION II
ANOVA F = 15.722, $p << 0.001$

Tukey Test:

(1987)	(1995)	(1993)	(1985)	(1982)	(1990)	(1984)	(1989)	(1988)	(1986)	(1992)	(1991)	(1994)	(1983)
27.3	27.4	30.0	30.4	30.6	33.6	43.7	44.0[2]	44.8	60.8	119.0	126.7	225.7	945.0

(1986) to (1983): ($p < 0.001$)

REGION III
ANOVA F = 32.849, $p << 0.001$

Tukey Test:

(1986)	(1990)	(1994)	(1995)	(1982)	(1993)	(1989)	(1987)	(1992)	(1985)	(1984)	(1988)	(1991)	(1983)
34.1	40.5	41.0	42.0	43.4	44.3	49.3	49.9	50.2	64.0[2]	90.4	90.4	132.2	969.8

(1985) to (1983): ($p < 0.001$)

ALL THREE REGIONS (COMBINED)
ANOVA F = 26.449, $p << 0.001$

Tukey Test:

(1989)	(1993)	(1990)	(1987)	(1986)	(1982)	(1995)	(1994)	(1988)	(1984)	(1992)	(1985)	(1991)	(1983)
22.7	35.2	38.8	40.0	44.3	47.5	52.5	58.7	61.0	64.7	68.6	76.6	129.2	739.0

(1984) to (1983): ($p << 0.001$)

[1] No data for Region I during 1991.
[2] One datum.

Table 15
Relative Abundance (WPUE) of Bowhead Whales within the Primary Study Area (Survey Blocks 1-12) during September and October[1], by Year and General Ice Coverage, 1979-1995

Year	September			October			Total (Sep-Oct)		
	Hours	BH	WPUE	Hours	BH	WPUE	Hours	BH	WPUE
1979	51.80	60	1.16	79.99	130	1.63	131.79	190	1.44
1980[2]	76.41	30	0.39	50.72	12	0.24	127.13	42	0.33
1981	70.28	231	3.29	46.00	54	1.17	116.28	285	2.45
1982	77.91	283	3.63	35.19	29	0.82	113.10	312	2.76
1983[2]	101.73	72	0.71	41.48	17	0.41	143.21	89	0.62
1984[3]	73.64	216	2.93	63.49	85	1.34	137.13	301	2.19
1985[3]	67.39	52	0.77	58.22	57	0.98	125.61	109	0.87
1986	100.21	65	0.65	51.96	35	0.67	152.17	100	0.66
1987	90.07	61	0.68	77.07	76	0.99	167.14	137	0.82
1988[2]	64.96	21	0.32	55.49	19	0.34	120.45	40	0.33
1989	69.84	141	2.02	38.61	149	3.86	108.45	290	2.67
1990	54.85	401	7.31	41.37	77	1.86	96.22	478	4.97
1991[2]	38.36	9	0.23	51.13	40	0.78	89.49	49	0.55
1992[3]	104.28	63	0.60	90.52	234	2.59	194.80	297	1.52
1993	87.33	217	2.48	77.89	136	1.75	165.22	353	2.14
1994	67.55	183	2.71	53.24	9	0.17	120.79	192	1.59
1995	91.72	413	4.50	19.33	7	0.36	111.05	420	3.78

Table 15
Relative Abundance (WPUE) of Bowhead Whales within the Primary Study Area (Survey Blocks 1-12) during September and October[1], by Year and General Ice Coverage, 1979-1995
(Continued)

Ice Coverage	September Hours	September BH	September WPUE	October Hours	October BH	October WPUE	Total (Sep-Oct) Hours	Total (Sep-Oct) BH	Total (Sep-Oct) WPUE
Heavy Ice Years[2](∑)	281.46	132	0.47	198.82	88	0.44	480.28	220	0.46
Moderate Ice Years[3](∑)	245.31	331	1.35	212.23	376	1.77	457.54	707	1.55
Light Ice Years (∑)	761.56	2,055	2.70	520.65	702	1.35	1,282.21	2,757	2.15

[1] After Ljungblad et al. (1987), Moore and Clarke (1992), and Treacy (1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995).
[2] 1980, 1983, 1988, and 1991 were considered years of heavy ice coverage.
[3] 1984, 1985, and 1992 were considered years of moderate ice coverage.

The years 1984, 1985, and 1992, categorized as having "moderate" ice cover during the open-water season, are ranked next in seasonal ice severity for the years 1979 through 1995 and show distances ranging from 50 to 75 nm between Point Barrow and the five-tenths ice concentration on 15 September (USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center, 1995).

The years 1979, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1987, 1989, 1990, 1993, 1994, and 1995, categorized as having "light" ice cover during the open-water season, are ranked as having the least-severe seasonal ice for the years 1979 through 1995 and show distances ranging from 50 to 185 nm between Point Barrow and the five-tenths ice concentration on 15 September (USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center, 1995).

Table 15 shows a low relative abundance of bowhead whales in the primary study area (Survey Blocks 1-12) during September and October (combined) for years of heavy ice cover (0.46 WPUE), a middle-range value for moderate ice years (1.55 WPUE), and a high value for light ice years (2.15 WPUE). A Kruskal-Wallis single-factor analysis of variance by ranks (Zar, 1984) showed that ice-year categories were significantly related ($p < 0.05$) to annual relative abundance.

Although cumulative values for the three ice-year categories (Table 15) and the Kruskal-Wallis test suggest a relationship to annual relative abundance, it is clear that WPUE value is not totally dependent on general ice coverage. While the mean WPUE for heavy ice years ($\bar{x} = 0.46$, SD = 0.15, n = 4) appears separable from other ice-year categories, the SD of the mean WPUE for light ice years ($\bar{x} = 2.33$, SD = 1.33, n = 10) overlaps that for moderate ice years ($\bar{x} = 1.53$, SD = 0.66, n = 3). Likewise, a nonparametric Tukey-type test (Zar, 1984) for comparing unequal sample sizes showed that while relative abundance in light ice years was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from that in heavy ice years, neither of these two categories was significantly different from relative abundance in moderate ice years. A separate comparison of ice concentrations at the location of bowhead sightings (1981-1986) with the observability of whales showed that sighting distance was significantly affected by local ice cover only in 1982 and 1983 (Ljungblad et al., 1987).

Even though the study should not be used to estimate total whale population, the relative abundance of bowhead whales was compared between years to obtain a rough indication of any gross temporal trends. In order to control one particular bias against extreme variation in ice severity between years, the WPUE was compared during September, October, and both months combined (Table 15) for only those years of light ice (1979, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1987, 1989, 1990, 1993, 1994, and 1995). The data showed weak tendencies for bowhead relative abundance to increase from 1979 through 1995, but the correlations were not statistically significant.

E. Management Use of Real-Time Field Information

The MMS issues various types of permits to industry for gas and oil exploration, including vessel geophysical permits for on-water exploration using an array of deep-seismic airguns; vessel geological-geophysical permits for shallow seismic exploration using an airgun; on-ice geophysical permits using VIBROSEIS technology; both vessel and on-ice geological permits for obtaining core samples; and permits to drill for gas and oil.

During 1995, MMS issued two over-ice geophysical (VIBROSEIS) permits to industry for 3-D seismic exploration in the central portion of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. These explorations were permitted from the first part of January through the end of May, prior to the fall migration of bowhead whales. No open-water geophysical permits were issued in the same general area, and there were no preliminary activities for site clearance in 1995.

In general, to prevent potential operational effects on subsistence whaling, any geophysical vessel explorations permitted during the fall follow stringent restrictions--including a provision to stop seismic operations when whales are visible from the vessel--as the bowhead whale migration progresses through the area of operations. For any explorations that occur during the fall, daily summaries of survey information are transferred from the field to Anchorage for use by MMS Resource Evaluation and by NMFS in implementing areawide permit restrictions on high-energy seismic operations during periods of limited visibility.

There were no drilling operations, with associated helicopter and vessel support, in the Beaufort Sea in 1995. In general, during any fall drilling operations, daily summaries of field information from this survey, and other arctic surveys being conducted concurrently, are transferred by the MMS Team Leader to MMS Field Operations in Anchorage. The MMS and NMFS review daily reports to determine the distributional patterns of bowheads in the vicinity of oil and gas industry activities and the timing of the bowhead whale migration, especially the "end of the migration" past any drill sites.

Project ice data were transmitted daily to the U.S. Navy, Naval Ice Center, for their use in ground-truthing satellite imagery. In general, sighting data are used by several management groups to monitor the progress of the overall fall migration of bowhead whales across the Alaskan Beaufort Sea and to determine the position of their overall migratory corridor relative to shore. Data from previous surveys continue to be used by MMS in writing Environmental Impact Statements and Environmental Assessments and in interpreting the results of site-specific studies.

F. Field Coordinations

Information summaries were provided to various requesting agencies and private-sector organizations, including the USDOD Naval Polar Oceanography Center, Washington, D.C., and the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission (AEWC), Barrow, Alaska. We also coordinated with the AEWC; NMFS, Anchorage, Alaska; North Slope Borough, Department of Wildlife Management, Barrow, Alaska; and Barrow Whaling Captains Association (BWCA). Brad Smith, NMFS, accompanied our Survey Flights 10 and 11. William Leavitt, BWCA, accompanied our Survey Flight 16.

V. LITERATURE CITED

Batschelet, E. 1972. Recent Statistical Methods for Orientation Data. In: Animal Orientation and Navigation, S.R. Galler, K. Schmidt-Koenig, G.J. Jacobs, and R.E. Bellevue, eds. Washington, D.C.: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, pp. 41-61.

Brower, W.A., R.G. Baldwin, C.N. Williams, J.L. Wise, and L.D. Leslie. 1988. Climatic Atlas of the Outer Continental Shelf Waters and Coastal Regions of Alaska, Volume III. OCS Study MMS 87-0013. USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 524 pp.

Chapman, C.F. 1971. Piloting, Seamanship and Small Boat Handling. New York, NY: Hearst Books, 640 pp.

Cochran, W.G. 1963. Sampling Techniques. New York, NY: J. Wiley, 413 pp.

Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. 16 USC 1531-1543.

Estes, J.A. and J.R. Gilbert. 1978. Evaluation of an Aerial Survey of Pacific Walruses (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 35:1,130-1,140.

Houghton, J.P., D.A. Segar, and J.E. Zeh. 1984. Beaufort Sea Monitoring Program. In: Proceedings of a Workshop (September 1983) and Sampling Design Recommendations. Prepared by Dames and Moore, Seattle, WA, for the OCS Environmental Assessment Program, Juneau, AK, 111 pp.

LaBelle, J.C., J.L. Wise, R.P. Voelker, R.H. Schulze, and G.M. Wohl. 1983. Alaska Marine Ice Atlas. Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, University of Alaska, Anchorage, AK, 302 pp.

LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc. 1987. Importance of the Eastern Alaskan Beaufort Sea to Feeding Bowhead Whales, 1985-86, W.J. Richardson, ed. OCS Study MMS 87-0037. Prepared for USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region.

Ljungblad, D.K., S.E. Moore, J.T. Clarke, and J.C. Bennett. 1987. Distribution, Abundance, Behavior and Bioacoustics of Endangered Whales in the Alaskan Beaufort and Eastern Chukchi Seas, 1979-86. OCS Study MMS 87-0039. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 391 pp.

Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972. 16 USC 1361-1407.

Moore, S.E. and J.T. Clarke. 1992. Distribution, Abundance and Behavior of Endangered Whales in the Alaskan Chukchi and Western Beaufort Seas, 1991: With a Review 1982-91. OCS Study MMS 92-0029. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 211 pp.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. 42 USC 4321-4347.

Norton, D. and G. Weller. 1984. The Beaufort Sea: Background, History, and Perspective. In: The Alaskan Beaufort Sea, P. Barnes, D. Schell, and E. Reimnitz, eds. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, Inc., 466 pp.

Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act of 1953, as amended in 1978. 43 USC 1331-1356 and 1801-1866.

Treacy, S.D. 1988. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1987. OCS Study MMS 88-0030. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 141 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1989. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1988. OCS Study MMS 89-0033. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 101 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1990. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1989. OCS Study MMS 90-0047. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 104 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1991. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1990. OCS Study MMS 91-0055. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 107 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1992. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1991. OCS Study MMS 92-0017. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 92 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1993. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1992. OCS Study MMS 93-0023. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 135 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1994. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1993. OCS Study MMS 94-0032. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 132 pp.

Treacy, S.D. 1995. Aerial Surveys of Endangered Whales in the Beaufort Sea, Fall 1994. OCS Study MMS 95-0033. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 116 pp.

USDOC, NOAA, NMFS. 1982. Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation - Biological Opinion, Oil and Gas Lease Sale 71 (Diapir Field). 19 May 1982. Washington, D.C.

USDOC, NOAA, NMFS. 1983. Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation - Biological Opinion, Oil and Gas Leasing and Exploration - Diapir Field Lease Offering (Sale 87). 19 December 1983. Washington, D.C.

USDOC, NOAA, NMFS. 1987. Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation - Biological Opinion, Oil and Gas Leasing and Exploration - Beaufort Sea Sale 97. 20 May 1987. Washington, D.C.

USDOC, NOAA, NMFS. 1988. Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation - Biological Opinion, Oil and Gas Leasing and Exploration - Arctic Region. 23 November 1988. Washington, D.C.

USDOD, Navy, Naval Hydrographic Office. 1956. Aerial Ice Reconnaissance and Functional Glossary of Ice Terminology. Hydrographic Office Publication No. 609, 14 pp.

USDOD, Navy, Naval Ice Center. 1996. Seasonal Outlook, Sea Ice Conditions for the Alaskan North Coast, Summer 1996. Washington, D.C., 5 pp.

USDOI, MMS. 1979. State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources; Federal/State Joint Beaufort Sea Oil and Gas Lease Sale BF, November 7, 1979 (44 FR 64752).

USDOI, MMS. 1982. Memorandum from Director, BLM, to Secretary of the Interior, dated 30 April 1982; subject: Decision on the Modification of the Seasonal Drilling Restriction.

USDOI, MMS. 1984. Outer Continental Shelf, Diapir Field, Oil and Gas Lease Sale 87, July 23, 1984 (49 FR 29726).

USDOI, MMS. 1985. Beaufort Sea Planning Area Map (June 1985). Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region.

USDOI, MMS. 1988. Outer Continental Shelf, Beaufort Sea, Oil and Gas Lease Sale 97, February 12, 1988 (53 FR 4356).

USDOI, MMS. 1991. Outer Continental Shelf Beaufort Sea Oil and Gas Lease Sale 124, May 24, 1991 (56 FR 23966).

USDOI, MMS. 1995. Project Management Plan, MMS Fall 1995 Bowhead Whale Aerial Survey Project, June 1995. Anchorage, AK: USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 17 pp.

Zar, S.H. 1984. Biostatistical Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, Inc., 620 pp.

APPENDIX A

BOWHEAD WHALE DENSITIES

BOWHEAD WHALE DENSITIES

This appendix presents estimated bowhead whale densities in the Beaufort Sea for the period 31 August through 20 October 1995. Present survey goals do not include estimation of absolute population abundance; therefore, raw density values found in this report were not adjusted to account for submergence of whales, sighting variability, etc., and are presented only for relative visual comparison with similarly calculated values from previous survey reports. Also, sample sizes for determining density in individual survey blocks were considered too small to stratify by category (sea state, ice cover, etc.) or to make statistical correlations having real biological significance.

METHODS

A computer program--DENSITY--was used to calculate raw density estimates for survey blocks previously shown for the Beaufort Sea (Fig. 1). The program was based on strip-transect methodologies that use only sightings made on random-transect legs (Estes and Gilbert, 1978) and that were within a predetermined distance from the aircraft (Hayne, 1949). Distance from the transect line was calculated trigonometrically from the altitude of the survey plane at the time of sighting and the clinometer angle recorded for each initial sighting location. Only endangered whale sightings within 1 km of random-transect legs were used to derive density estimates. The number of sightings made from project aircraft decreased markedly much beyond that distance, with 69 percent of sightings from the Twin Otter made within 1 km of the trackline. The basic assumptions for use of this formula, and the degree to which these assumptions were met in the Fall-1995 and previous MMS-funded arctic whale surveys, are incorporated by reference (Ljungblad et al., 1987: Appendix B).

RESULTS

Densities by survey block were estimated as the number of bowhead whales per 100 km^2 (Table A-1).

On 31 August, there were no Beaufort Sea blocks for which more than 10 percent of the area was surveyed, and no bowheads were observed within 1 km of the randomly generated transect line (Table A-1).

During the first half of September, over 10 percent of the area was surveyed for Blocks 1, 3, 4, and 6. Of these blocks, 39 bowheads were observed in Block 1 within 1 km of the randomly generated transect line, for an estimated density of 1.17 whales per 100 km^2. Eight bowheads were observed in Block 6, for an estimated density of 0.90. Eleven bowheads were observed in Block 4, for an estimated density of 0.87. One bowhead was observed in Block 3, for an estimated density of 0.05. Five bowhead whales were observed within 1 km of the transect line in other Beaufort Sea blocks during this period (Table A-1).

During the second half of September, over 10 percent of the area was surveyed for Blocks 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, and 12. Of these blocks, 12 bowheads were observed in Block 4 within 1 km of the randomly generated transect line, for an estimated density of 1.91 per 100 km^2. Twenty bowheads were observed in Block 5, for an estimated density of 1.14. Five bowheads were observed in Block 1, for an estimated density of 0.39. Five bowheads were observed in Block 11, for an estimated density of 0.13. One bowhead was observed in Block 12, for an estimated density of 0.05. No bowheads were observed in Blocks 2 and 3 or within 1 km of the transect line or in any of the other Beaufort Sea survey blocks (Table A-1).

During the first half of October, over 10 percent of the area was surveyed for Block 11 within 1 km of the randomly generated transect line, and 4 bowheads were observed, for an estimated density of 0.34 whales per 100 km^2. Two bowhead whales were observed within 1 km of the transect line in other Beaufort Sea blocks during this period (Table A-1).

From 16 through 20 October, no blocks were surveyed over 10 percent of their area, and only 1 whale was observed within 1 km of the transect line in Beaufort Sea blocks during this period.

Table A-1
Semimonthly Estimates of Bowhead Whale Densities, by Survey Block, Fall 1995
(strip width = 2 km)

Block No. (by Semi-monthly Period)	Block Area (km^2)	Transect Distance (km)	Percent of Area Surveyed	Transect Time (hr)	Percent of Total Time	No. of Transects Flown	No. of Whales Observed	Density (Whales/ 100 km^2)
31 Aug								
4	5,714	270	9.45	1.13	100.00	6	0	0.00
1-15 Sep								
1	10,222	1,665	32.58	7.28	33.74	36	39	1.17
2	6,672	148	4.43	0.64	2.96	7	0	0.00
3	11,475	1,080	18.83	4.77	22.10	15	1	0.05
4	5,714	631	22.07	2.87	13.30	14	11	0.87
5	9,481	426	9.00	1.90	8.81	12	5	0.59
6	8,109	447	11.02	2.03	9.42	9	8	0.90
11	10,358	449	8.68	2.08	9.66	9	0	0.00
16-30 Sep								
1	10,222	643	12.57	2.75	9.19	11	5	0.39
2	6,672	423	12.67	1.78	5.97	10	0	0.00
3	11,475	1,380	24.05	5.79	19.37	28	0	0.00
4	5,714	314	11.01	1.48	4.96	8	12	1.91
5	9,481	880	18.57	3.64	12.18	14	20	1.14
6	8,109	74	1.82	0.33	1.10	4	0	0.00
7	8,109	298	7.35	1.26	4.22	6	0	0.00
9	9,753		0.03	0.01	0.02	1	0	0.00
10	10,358	76	1.46	0.31	1.05	3	0	0.00
11	10,358	1,918	37.03	8.00	26.77	29	5	0.13
12	11,163	1,033	18.51	4.46	14.91	12	1	0.05
12N	11,453	2	0.04	0.01	0.04	4	0	0.00

Table A-1
Semimonthly Estimates of Bowhead Whale Densities, by Survey Block, Fall 1995
(strip width = 2 km)
(Continued)

Block No. (by Semi-monthly Period)	Block Area (km^2)	Transect Distance (km)	Percent of Area Surveyed	Transect Time (hr)	Percent of Total Time	No. of Transects Flown	No. of Whales Observed	Density (Whales/ 100 km ^{2})
1-15 Oct								
1	10,222	18	0.36	0.08	1.86	1	0	0.00
3	11,475	342	5.97	1.50	33.43	7	0	0.00
4	5,714	94	3.27	0.42	9.29	3	2	1.07
11	10,358	590	11.39	2.48	55.42	9	4	0.34
16-20 Oct								
1	10,222	113	2.20	0.50	11.84	2	0	0.00
2	6,672	74	2.22	0.32	7.69	2	0	0.00
4	5,714	38	1.33	0.17	4.03	1	0	0.00
6	8,109	114	2.81	0.50	11.96	2	0	0.00
9	9,753	65	1.34	0.28	6.72	2	0	0.00
12	11,163	543	9.74	2.41	57.71	7	1	0.09
12N	11,453	1	0.01	0.00	0.06	2	0	0.00

LITERATURE CITED

Estes, J.A. and J.R. Gilbert. 1978. Evaluation of an Aerial Survey of Pacific Walruses (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 35:1,130-1,140.

Hayne, D.W. 1949. An Examination of the Strip Census Method for Estimating Animal Populations. Journal of Wildlife Management 13:145-157.

Ljungblad, D.K., S.E. Moore, J.T. Clarke, and J.C. Bennett. 1987. Distribution, Abundance, Behavior and Bioacoustics of Endangered Whales in the Alaskan Beaufort and Eastern Chukchi Seas, 1979-86. OCS Study MMS 87-0039. NOSC Technical Report 1177 prepared for USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region, 391 pp.

APPENDIX B

DAILY FLIGHT SUMMARIES

DAILY FLIGHT SUMMARIES

This appendix consists of maps for Flights 1 through 32 that depict aerial surveys flown over the study area from 31 August through 20 October 1995 aboard the Twin Otter aircraft. Daily maps show survey tracks and the initial position of marine mammal sightings.

A comparison of daily flight maps can be made on a visual basis over the period of the field season to evaluate ongoing patterns of marine mammal distribution and aircraft coverage. Each map shows the flight track as a line drawn through position updates recorded on the aircraft computer system. Each animal sighting is marked with a species symbol on the flight-track plot. The symbols used can be keyed out using the species legend found on each map. Positional and other data for each sighting of bowhead whales are summarized in Table B-1.

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 1 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
4	4 Sep	1	0	70°36.1'	149°58.1'	body	swim	60	5	3	11
4	4 Sep	1	0	70°34.5'	151°15.1'	body	rest	270	0	1	7
5	5 Sep	2	0	70°09.4'	140°48.9'	body	swim	300	0	2	48
5	5 Sep	1	0	69°57.4'	140°45.5'	body	swim	240	0	2	46
5	5 Sep	2	0	69°56.5'	140°47.7'	body	swim	220	0	2	37
5	5 Sep	1	0	69°57.0'	140°49.2'	body	swim	210	0	2	46
5	5 Sep	1	0	69°54.1'	140°49.6'	body	swim	250	0	2	37
5	5 Sep	1	0	69°53.1'	140°45.1'	body	swim	330	0	2	37
5	5 Sep	1	0	70°11.1'	141°24.9'	body	swim	130	0	2	49
5	5 Sep	1	0	70°13.6'	141°24.9'	splash	dive	[1]	0	2	51
5	5 Sep	2	0	70°15.8'	141°45.1'	body	swim	30	0	4	57
5	5 Sep	1	0	70°13.4'	141°44.4'	body	swim	230	0	2	55
5	5 Sep	4	0	70°11.5'	141°42.9'	body	swim	40	0	2	48
5	5 Sep	1	0	70°11.5'	141°42.9'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	48
5	5 Sep	1	0	70°13.3'	142°06.5'	body	swim	190	0	2	53
5	5 Sep	2	0	70°27.0'	142°55.7'	body	spy hop	290	0	2	59
5	5 Sep	2	0	70°24.0'	142°53.6'	body	swim	270	0	2	59
6	6 Sep	1	0	70°16.7'	144°13.1'	blow	swim	240	0	4	37
6	6 Sep	3	0	70°17.1'	144°12.7'	splash	dive	200	0	5	37
6	6 Sep	3	0	70°20.4'	144°13.1'	body	mill	[1]	0	4	35
6	6 Sep	1	0	70°21.3'	144°13.4'	splash	dive	200	0	4	42
6	6 Sep	2	0	70°26.0'	144°10.7'	blow	swim	290	0	4	53
6	6 Sep	5	0	70°37.3'	144°48.8'	blow	swim	260	0	2	75
6	6 Sep	3	0	70°36.3'	144°49.0'	body	swim	300	0	2	75
6	6 Sep	2	0	70°30.8'	144°49.3'	body	swim	360	0	3	59

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 2 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
6	6 Sep	1	0	70°28.3'	144°49.7'	body	swim	10	0	3	42
8	10 Sep	2	0	70°28.1'	146°55.3'	body	swim	200	0	3	27
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°29.4'	146°49.5'	body	swim	160	0	3	27
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°29.8'	146°44.3'	body	swim	100	0	4	27
8	10 Sep	2	0	70°26.6'	146°56.7'	body	swim	240	0	3	18
8	10 Sep	5	0	70°27.6'	146°53.3'	body	swim	45	0	3	27
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°27.9'	146°54.1'	body	swim	150	0	3	27
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°28.2'	146°57.8'	body	swim	280	0	3	27
8	10 Sep	2	0	70°34.2'	147°32.6'	body	swim	220	0	3	29
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°34.1'	147°33.9'	body	swim	250	0	3	29
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°32.8'	147°36.5'	body	dive	180	0	2	18
8	10 Sep	1	0	70°33.8'	147°36.1'	body	swim	60	0	2	29
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°30.7'	146°48.8'	splash	swim	190	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°32.0'	146°17.0'	body	swim	50	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°19.5'	143°59.7'	body	swim	270	0	2	44
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°15.5'	143°50.4'	body	swim	270	0	2	26
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°21.8'	146°22.3'	body	swim	250	0	2	29
9	11 Sep	2	1	70°30.8'	146°17.3'	blow	cow-calf	230	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°31.9'	146°18.1'	body	dive	125	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°36.7'	146°21.0'	splash	swim	330	0	2	33
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°33.4'	146°43.6'	body	swim	360	0	2	38
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°30.7'	146°41.2'	splash	slap	40	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	6	0	70°30.7'	146°41.2'	splash	swim	40	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°30.7'	146°41.2'	blow	swim	360	0	2	37
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°30.7'	147°14.5'	body	swim	210	0	2	24

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 3 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°36.1'	148°14.0'	body	rest	270	0	2	22
9	11 Sep	8	0	70°40.4'	148°17.9'	body	swim	220	0	2	26
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°40.4'	148°17.9'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	26
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°40.1'	148°47.3'	splash	rest	20	5	2	18
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°49.2'	149°09.4'	splash	slap	[1]	0	2	27
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°50.9'	149°08.5'	body	rest	350	0	2	27
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°51.6'	149°08.3'	body	swim	140	1	4	24
9	11 Sep	2	0	70°53.2'	149°47.4'	body	swim	330	0	2	18
9	11 Sep	3	1	70°54.8'	149°53.2'	body	swim	60	0	2	24
9	11 Sep	1	0	70°54.9'	149°54.4'	body	swim	360	0	2	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°16.0'	144°50.5'	body	swim	70	0	3	33
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°14.0'	144°29.7'	body	rest	110	0	3	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°13.5'	144°31.2'	body	rest	160	0	3	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°14.8'	144°33.0'	body	swim	150	0	3	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°14.8'	144°33.0'	body	swim	150	0	3	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°18.8'	145°14.1'	body	swim	270	0	3	29
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°18.8'	145°14.1'	body	swim	220	0	3	29
10	13 Sep	3	1	70°24.8'	145°12.6'	body	swim	240	0	3	42
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°19.8'	145°44.7'	body	swim	240	0	3	26
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°28.5'	146°10.9'	body	rest	150	0	4	37
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°34.2'	146°44.5'	body	dive	100	0	3	38
10	13 Sep	2	0	70°30.9'	146°45.4'	body	swim	240	0	3	37
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°31.6'	146°41.7'	splash	swim	330	0	3	37
10	13 Sep	2	0	70°27.9'	147°15.5'	body	swim	260	0	4	18
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°29.2'	147°14.1'	body	swim	290	0	4	18

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 4 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°30.7'	147°13.7'	body	swim	250	0	4	24
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°35.2'	147°11.7'	body	swim	300	0	4	35
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°43.5'	147°41.0'	body	swim	280	0	4	35
10	13 Sep	2	0	70°36.7'	147°35.1'	body	swim	250	0	4	38
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°32.5'	147°31.9'	body	swim	250	0	4	18
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°38.8'	148°14.7'	body	swim	130	0	3	22
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°40.3'	148°14.9'	splash	swim	210	0	3	26
10	13 Sep	3	0	70°41.7'	148°15.1'	blow	swim	240	0	3	26
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°44.0'	148°14.7'	body	swim	150	0	3	27
10	13 Sep	1	0	70°46.7'	148°43.9'	body	thrash	10	0	3	26
11	14 Sep	1	0	70°51.2'	149°50.4'	body	swim	140	0	3	18
11	14 Sep	2	0	70°54.7'	149°50.0'	blow	swim	[1]	0	3	20
11	14 Sep	1	0	71°02.7'	149°15.6'	splash	swim	[1]	0	3	31
11	14 Sep	3	0	70°46.1'	149°16.8'	blow	swim	330	0	4	18
11	14 Sep	1	0	70°47.3'	149°14.8'	blow	swim	[1]	0	4	18
12	14 Sep	2	0	70°54.8'	150°13.2'	body	swim	230	0	4	24
12	14 Sep	2	0	70°53.0'	150°12.8'	splash	slap	[1]	0	4	18
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°51.7'	149°42.0'	body	swim	180	0	5	18
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°49.6'	149°24.6'	body	rest	80	0	3	20
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°39.1'	148°51.2'	body	rest	220	0	2	20
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°42.6'	148°50.5'	body	dive	[1]	0	2	20
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°47.9'	148°50.0'	body	swim	230	0	2	26
12	14 Sep	3	0	70°49.5'	148°49.6'	splash	mill	310	0	2	26
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°50.9'	148°21.8'	splash	dive	90	0	3	24
12	14 Sep	3	0	70°43.4'	148°15.7'	blow	swim	200	0	3	27

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 5 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°35.5'	148°14.5'	body	rest	20	0	3	16
12	14 Sep	1	0	70°34.4'	148°14.0'	body	swim	90	0	3	16
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°38.1'	146°36.0'	body	swim	250	0	4	33
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.9'	146°26.2'	blow	swim	240	0	4	33
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°38.0'	146°18.7'	blow	swim	240	0	4	35
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.8'	146°10.4'	body	swim	330	0	4	35
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.8'	146°10.4'	body	swim	65	0	4	35
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.8'	146°05.3'	body	swim	60	0	4	40
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.7'	145°57.9'	body	swim	280	0	4	49
15	16 Sep	2	0	70°37.7'	145°55.9'	body	swim	110	0	4	49
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.7'	145°53.0'	body	swim	360	0	4	49
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.7'	145°53.0'	blow	swim	100	0	4	49
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°37.8'	145°47.2'	blow	swim	[1]	0	4	49
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°16.1'	143°20.7'	body	swim	270	0	2	37
15	16 Sep	3	1	70°22.1'	143°16.7'	body	swim	270	0	3	42
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°25.1'	143°14.9'	body	swim	330	0	4	37
15	16 Sep	2	0	70°25.3'	143°14.7'	body	swim	180	0	4	37
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°20.5'	143°38.9'	body	swim	250	0	3	33
15	16 Sep	2	1	70°19.5'	143°38.2'	body	cow-calf	170	0	3	33
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°17.7'	143°36.6'	body	swim	260	0	3	37
15	16 Sep	3	0	70°16.1'	143°35.1'	blow	swim	300	0	3	37
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°15.8'	143°34.9'	blow	swim	[1]	0	3	37
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°17.5'	143°36.1'	body	swim	210	0	2	37
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°16.0'	143°35.6'	body	swim	240	0	3	37
15	16 Sep	2	0	70°22.4'	144°39.7'	blow	swim	260	0	3	38

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 6 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°17.7'	145°23.3'	splash	swim	190	0	2	24
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°29.9'	145°49.3'	body	rest	60	0	2	37
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°18.1'	145°41.1'	body	rest	300	0	2	26
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°15.7'	146°07.4'	splash	swim	100	0	2	15
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°18.2'	146°14.7'	body	swim	110	0	2	22
15	16 Sep	1	0	70°20.1'	146°18.7'	body	dive	90	0	2	22
15	16 Sep	2	0	70°22.2'	146°20.4'	body	swim	250	0	2	29
15	16 Sep	5	1	70°25.6'	146°37.9'	blow	mill	[1]	0	2	27
15	16 Sep	4	0	70°22.7'	146°49.0'	blow	swim	[1]	0	2	13
17	17 Sep	1	0	70°43.0'	148°41.5'	blow	slap	270	0	5	20
18	18 Sep	1	0	71°47.6'	154°48.7'	body	rest	260	0	2	179
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°29.2'	147°10.6'	body	rest	80	0	3	18
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°30.0'	146°55.9'	splash	rest	[1]	0	3	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°29.2'	146°54.0'	body	swim	360	0	3	27
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°29.2'	146°55.5'	body	swim	90	0	3	27
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°31.7'	146°52.7'	body	swim	230	0	3	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°33.0'	146°49.5'	body	swim	180	0	3	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°33.8'	146°47.8'	body	swim	90	0	3	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°33.0'	146°18.4'	blow	rest	330	0	3	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°33.4'	146°10.2'	blow	swim	360	0	3	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°28.4'	145°47.0'	blow	swim	60	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	3	1	70°24.1'	145°49.9'	blow	swim	60	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°22.5'	145°48.8'	blow	swim	340	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°21.2'	145°46.6'	blow	swim	300	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°20.6'	145°45.3'	blow	swim	30	0	2	26

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 7 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
19	19 Sep	2	1	70°21.6'	145°42.0'	blow	cow-calf	230	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°28.0'	145°40.2'	blow	swim	[1]	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°28.1'	145°32.9'	body	rest	[1]	0	2	44
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°28.7'	145°28.1'	blow	rest	240	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°22.0'	144°37.0'	body	swim	10	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°22.0'	144°34.1'	blow	swim	120	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°21.3'	144°31.9'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°21.2'	144°32.1'	body	swim	210	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°21.8'	144°29.5'	body	swim	240	0	2	29
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°20.2'	144°00.3'	blow	swim	210	0	2	42
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°10.8'	143°04.0'	body	swim	10	0	2	18
19	19 Sep	3	1	69°59.4'	141°47.2'	splash	rest	320	0	2	29
19	19 Sep	3	1	69°55.3'	141°10.9'	body	mill	[1]	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°54.4'	141°01.4'	body	dive	270	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°52.3'	140°59.0'	blow	dive	270	0	2	33
19	19 Sep	2	1	70°30.6'	140°17.1'	body	cow-calf	210	0	2	1,728
19	19 Sep	2	0	69°51.4'	140°36.9'	slick	swim	240	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°48.9'	140°34.9'	body	swim	280	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°54.3'	141°06.9'	body	rest	270	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°19.1'	141°12.7'	slick	swim	20	0	2	55
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°25.6'	141°13.4'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	143
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°10.7'	141°38.4'	body	swim	50	0	2	46
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°04.7'	141°37.8'	slick	swim	160	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°03.9'	141°36.2'	body	dive	180	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°02.8'	141°36.2'	slick	swim	300	0	2	31

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 8 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°01.3'	141°36.1'	blow	swim	300	0	2	31
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°57.8'	141°36.0'	slick	swim	280	0	2	40
19	19 Sep	1	0	69°54.2'	141°36.9'	slick	swim	330	0	2	33
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°13.6'	142°19.0'	blow	dive	280	0	2	49
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°20.7'	142°19.8'	body	dive	[1]	0	2	49
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°23.5'	142°19.9'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	59
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°26.0'	142°19.4'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	59
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°31.3'	145°23.0'	body	rest	[1]	0	2	44
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°31.0'	145°30.9'	blow	swim	[1]	0	2	44
19	19 Sep	5	0	70°29.8'	145°45.0'	body	mill	180	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	2	1	70°28.0'	145°47.4'	[1]	cow-calf	[1]	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°26.3'	145°44.5'	body	[1]	[1]	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°25.7'	145°47.1'	[1]	swim	[1]	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°24.5'	145°49.4'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°26.4'	145°53.8'	body	mill	[1]	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°27.5'	145°55.3'	body	mill	300	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°26.6'	146°01.6'	body	swim	110	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°25.3'	146°09.1'	body	swim	240	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°24.9'	146°18.1'	body	swim	110	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°24.2'	146°20.5'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°24.4'	146°29.1'	body	dive	250	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°25.3'	146°39.6'	body	dive	[1]	0	2	27
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°28.9'	146°46.1'	body	[1]	[1]	0	2	27
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°29.9'	146°47.8'	[1]	[1]	250	0	2	27
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°31.5'	146°50.6'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	37

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 9 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°32.8'	146°53.6'	[1]	[1]	240	0	2	37
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°33.8'	146°58.2'	body	[1]	280	0	2	38
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°32.4'	147°03.0'	body	swim	240	0	2	33
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°29.9'	147°08.6'	[1]	[1]	220	0	2	27
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°29.1'	147°10.4'	body	[1]	90	0	2	18
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°28.7'	147°15.5'	body	swim	220	0	2	18
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°29.1'	147°20.8'	[1]	[1]	220	0	2	18
19	19 Sep	1	0	70°29.9'	147°27.7'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	18
19	19 Sep	2	0	70°30.0'	147°29.6'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	26
19	19 Sep	3	0	70°30.0'	147°38.2'	body	[1]	[1]	0	2	18
20	20 Sep	1	0	70°44.8'	148°42.7'	body	swim	220	0	4	20
20	20 Sep	1	0	71°50.2'	153°43.2'	body	swim	310	2	4	49
20	20 Sep	2	0	71°56.9'	153°42.9'	body	mill	[1]	2	4	1,445
20	20 Sep	1	0	71°37.4'	152°38.1'	body	swim	90	20	3	238
21	21 Sep	1	0	70°24.0'	146°21.9'	slick	swim	90	0	2	37
21	21 Sep	1	0	70°27.1'	146°20.5'	body	swim	300	0	2	35
21	21 Sep	1	0	70°33.6'	146°17.8'	body	swim	330	0	2	37
21	21 Sep	1	0	70°43.2'	147°15.1'	blow	swim	[1]	0	2	40
22	22 Sep	1	0	70°48.8'	148°19.3'	blow	swim	260	0	2	27
22	22 Sep	1	0	70°46.7'	148°19.4'	body	rest	240	0	2	27
22	22 Sep	1	0	70°42.3'	148°19.5'	body	swim	250	0	2	27
23	23 Sep	1	0	71°47.1'	152°45.6'	body	swim	50	1	1	1,024
23	23 Sep	1	0	71°49.7'	152°46.1'	blow	swim	50	1	1	1,024
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°21.1'	146°21.3'	body	swim	90	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°20.1'	145°42.1'	body	rest	150	0	2	26

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 10 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°19.3'	145°23.2'	body	swim	210	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°18.7'	145°03.6'	slick	swim	[1]	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°19.4'	144°51.7'	body	swim	270	0	2	37
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°18.3'	144°29.1'	body	swim	210	0	2	27
24	24 Sep	3	0	70°21.6'	144°15.2'	body	swim	180	0	2	42
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°19.6'	144°11.0'	blow	swim	260	0	2	35
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°19.4'	144°11.8'	body	swim	90	0	2	35
24	24 Sep	5	0	70°18.9'	144°11.9'	body	swim	360	0	2	35
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°17.6'	144°07.0'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	33
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°12.2'	144°01.2'	body	swim	240	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°15.2'	143°23.4'	blow	swim	180	0	2	37
24	24 Sep	2	1	70°12.8'	143°06.7'	body	cow-calf	120	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°08.2'	142°28.4'	body	swim	290	0	3	33
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°03.9'	141°41.9'	body	swim	240	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°00.2'	141°22.6'	splash	swim	20	0	2	31
24	24 Sep	1	0	69°58.1'	141°17.9'	splash	swim	110	0	2	40
24	24 Sep	1	0	69°50.8'	141°00.2'	body	swim	240	0	2	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°02.6'	141°09.2'	blow	swim	240	0	3	38
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°02.7'	141°09.1'	body	swim	250	0	3	38
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°09.7'	141°55.3'	splash	swim	270	0	2	44
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°08.2'	141°55.3'	splash	mill	[1]	0	3	46
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°05.8'	141°55.6'	body	swim	250	0	3	29
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°08.7'	142°17.5'	slick	swim	210	0	2	33
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°11.7'	142°15.9'	splash	swim	260	0	2	35
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°12.7'	142°15.5'	body	swim	310	0	2	49

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 11 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°14.9'	142°15.2'	body	swim	240	0	2	49
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°18.1'	142°45.3'	body	breach	[1]	0	3	48
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°16.6'	142°46.7'	splash	swim	210	0	3	44
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°13.8'	142°48.0'	splash	swim	[1]	0	3	22
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°07.0'	142°51.1'	splash	swim	90	0	3	18
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°05.8'	142°52.0'	splash	swim	170	0	3	9
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°08.0'	143°11.2'	body	swim	210	0	1	7
24	24 Sep	2	1	70°18.6'	143°20.4'	body	cow-calf	[1]	0	2	38
24	24 Sep	3	0	70°44.2'	145°50.3'	body	swim	[1]	0	2	57
24	24 Sep	3	0	70°38.8'	146°17.7'	blow	dive	240	0	2	35
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°36.1'	146°31.2'	body	swim	90	0	2	33
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°35.4'	146°35.0'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	38
24	24 Sep	2	0	70°34.8'	146°38.2'	[1]	mill	[1]	0	2	38
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°34.3'	146°41.1'	[1]	[1]	30	0	2	38
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°33.9'	146°43.5'	body	swim	30	0	2	38
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°32.9'	146°48.1'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	37
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°32.3'	146°51.7'	[1]	[1]	30	0	2	37
24	24 Sep	4	0	70°31.6'	146°56.2'	body	swim	30	0	2	37
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°30.4'	147°06.0'	[1]	[1]	[1]	0	2	33
24	24 Sep	1	0	70°30.5'	147°13.9'	mud plume	feed	60	0	2	24
25	27 Sep	4	0	70°21.4'	146°55.6'	body	swim	70	0	7	13
25	27 Sep	1	0	70°25.5'	147°22.3'	body	swim	360	0	7	15
26	3 Oct	1	0	70°11.7'	143°16.6'	body	swim	270	0	4	11
26	3 Oct	1	0	70°11.7'	143°16.6'	body	dive	210	0	4	11

Table B-1
Selected Sighting Data for Bowhead Whales Observed, Fall 1995
(Page 12 of 12)

Flight No.	Day	Total Whales	No. Of Calves	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Sighting Cue	Behavior	Compass Heading	Ice (%)	Sea State	Depth (m)
26	3 Oct	1	0	70°12.2'	143°49.2'	body	swim	210	0	4	18
28	14 Oct	1	0	71°23.2'	153°45.6'	body	swim	240	0	3	40
28	14 Oct	1	0	71°25.4'	153°45.8'	body	swim	345	0	4	51
29	15 Oct	1	0	71°20.8'	153°38.2'	splash	swim	350	[1]	3	37
29	15 Oct	1	0	71°21.6'	153°38.0'	splash	swim	350	[1]	3	46
30	16 Oct	1	0	71°29.3'	156°35.2'	body	swim	240	0	3	73
30	16 Oct	4	0	71°35.6'	154°48.2'	splash	swim	90	0	2	46
30	16 Oct	1	0	71°34.4'	154°40.8'	body	swim	280	0	2	46
30	16 Oct	1	0	71°33.6'	154°38.9'	body	swim	280	0	2	40
30	16 Oct	1	0	71°33.1'	154°37.5'	splash	swim	90	0	2	40

[1] Not recorded



FLIGHT 1 31 August 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 2 1 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 3 2 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 4 4 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 5 5 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 6 6 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 7 9 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 8 10 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 9 11 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 10 13 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 11 14 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 12 14 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 13 15 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 14 16 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 15 16 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 16 17 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 17 17 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 18 18 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 19 19 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 20 20 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 21 21 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 22 22 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 23 23 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 24 24 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 25 27 September 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 26 3 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 27 11 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 28 14 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 29 15 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 30 16 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 31 19 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings



FLIGHT 32 20 October 1995
Survey Track and Sightings

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND INITIALISMS

AC	alternating current
AEWC	Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission
ANOVA	analysis of variance
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BWCA	Barrow Whaling Captains Association
C	Celsius
CI	confidence interval
ELT	emergency location transmitter
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FMS	Flight Management System
FR	Federal Register
GPS	Global Positioning System
hr	hour
HP	Hewlett-Packard
km	kilometer
m	meter
MDC	Mobile Datacom Corporation
MMS	Minerals Management Service
n	sample size
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	Notice of Sale
NOSC	Naval Ocean Systems Center
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
nm	nautical mile
OAS	Office of Aircraft Services
OCS	Outer Continental Shelf
OCSLA	Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act
p	probability
RDSS	Radio Determination Satellite System
SD	standard deviation
SPUE	sightings per unit effort (number of whale sightings counted per hour)
T	true heading
USC	U.S. Code
USDOC	U.S. Department of Commerce
USDOD	U.S. Department of Defense
USDOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
WPUE	whales per unit effort (number of whales counted per hour); relative abundance

The Department of the Interior Mission

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering sound use of our land and water resources; protecting our fish, wildlife, and biological diversity; preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places; and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to ensure that their development is in the best interests of all our people by encouraging stewardship and citizen participation in their care. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.

The Minerals Management Service Mission

As a bureau of the Department of the Interior, the Minerals Management Service's (MMS) primary responsibilities are to manage the mineral resources located on the Nation's Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), collect revenue from the Federal OCS and onshore Federal and Indian lands, and distribute those revenues.

Moreover, in working to meet its responsibilities, the **Offshore Minerals Management Program** administers the OCS competitive leasing program and oversees the safe and environmentally sound exploration and production of our Nation's offshore natural gas, oil and other mineral resources. The MMS **Royalty Management Program** meets its responsibilities by ensuring the efficient, timely and accurate collection and disbursement of revenue from mineral leasing and production due to Indian tribes and allottees, States and the U.S. Treasury.

The MMS strives to fulfill its responsibilities through the general guiding principles of: (1) being responsive to the public's concerns and interests by maintaining a dialogue with all potentially affected parties and (2) carrying out its programs with an emphasis on working to enhance the quality of life for all Americans by lending MMS assistance and expertise to economic development and environmental protection.