# The Effect of Convection on the Composition of the Tropics at 150mb as observed by MLS

Leonhard Pfister, NASA Ames Research Center

- Upper tropospheric ozone and water are important greenhouse gases and are strongly affected by convection
- 150mb is the bottom of the TTL and drives the stratospheric entry value of many gases (NOT water)
- Recent work (Jiang et al) shows relationship of 150mb CO to convection on a bulk statistical basis
- Models do poorly in realizing convection, especially in the tropics
- Can we explain water and CO at 150mb with trajectories and **really** simplified yet accurate convection?

#### CO at 146.8mb and incidence of convection reaching 146.78mb, July 22-28, 2007.



#### **Model Formulation**

- Perform 14 day back trajectories from a cluster of points (15) surrounding each tropical (-35 to 35 degrees) 150 mb MLS observation for 5 days (July 23-27, 2007) – about 110000 trajectories.
- Both adiabatic trajectories and diabatic trajectories (based on clear sky heating rates).
- Run trajectories through 3-hourly global meteorological IR window channel satellite imagery.
- Establish when and where each trajectory intersects convection (as determined by comparing trajectory altitude to cloud altitude). Some trajectories never intersect convection.

## **Convective influence on an air parcel**



#### **Model Formulation (continued)**

- Calculate Convective Fraction (fraction of [15] cluster points that are convectively influenced)
- Can clearly establish the location of convection affecting certain MLS points.
- Calculate CO by convolving surface CO (based on emissions) at location of convection with fractional convective influence and mixing with "clean" background.
- Calculate water based on the minimum ice saturation mixing ratio (ISMR) since the most recent convection. Use NCEP for initial water (and minimum ISMR) for parcels with no convection.

## **Convective Fraction, CO, and Convection**



**Diabatic Convective Fraction** 



0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 **Fractional Convective Influence** 





## Location of convection for High CO regions



**Diabatic Convective Fraction** 



0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 Fractional Convective Influence



![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Location of convection for Lower CO regions

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Diabatic Convective Fraction** 

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 Fractional Convective Influence

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Convolved CO using Diabatic and Adiabatic Trajectories**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Surface CO scaled from emissions

40 60 80 100 120 140 MLS 146.8 mb CO, July 22-28, 2007

#### **Convolved CO using Diabatic Trajectories with Convective Fraction**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

CO frm Cnvctn, Srfce Emssns, and Dbtc Traj

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 Fractional Convective Influence (Diabatic)

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Convolved Water using Adiabatic and Diabatic Trajectories**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

From Diabatic Trajectories

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

0 10 20 30 40 Water from Convection and ISMR precip

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 Diabatic Fractional Convective Influence

#### **Convolved Water using Diabatic Trajectories**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

0.08

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1.00 **Diabatic Fractional Convective Influence** 

## Conclusions(1)

- Have used satellite imagery and trajectories to calculate CO and H2O at 150mb
- Satellite imagery is probably the most accurate information we have on globally locating convection on the appropriate time scale and getting the altitude right
- CO simulation is quite successful this does not depend on using adiabatic or diabatic trajectories.
- Note that we are scaling the surface convective input by the log of emissions, so the success is in the pattern and not the quantity.
- At least during this period, the biomass burning peak in Africa does not appear to be driving the bulk of the CO at 150mb.

## Conclusions(2)

- Water is not as well simulated, but we learn something
- Simulation too wet, indicating that the back trajectories are not going high enough (thus not squeezing out enough water). A more careful formulation of the diabatic heating is called for.
- Encounter with convection may not mean full replacement of air mass or full saturation.
- Thickness of outflow layer may need to be specified some clouds may detrain above 150mb and not affect this layer as much.
- Future work to use MLS water and CO to improve the parameterization, thus improving understanding of how convection impacts the Upper Tropical Troposphere.