# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): Longleaf Pine/Bluestem **R9LLBS** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Chris Szell cszell@tnc.org **Vegetation Type General Model Sources Rapid Assessment Model Zones** ✓ Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **Dominant Species\* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes **✓** Southeast PIPA2 ANVI2 Northeast S. Appalachians SCHIZ4 **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest SCSCS3 60 55 37 N-Cent.Rockies ANDRO2 58 46 59 54 48

## Geographic Range

The longleaf pine/bluestem PNVG occurs from southeast Virginia to Georgia, and west to Texas in portions of the coastal plain and fall zone, excluding the Mississippi River alluvial plain region.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

Longleaf pine/bluestem occurs in dry to mesic woodland/savannas in portions of the coastal plain and fall zone where Aristida beyrichiana is naturally absent.

This group is distinguished from other coastal plain longleaf pine vegetation groups by occurring outside of the range of Aristida beyrichiana and having Schizachyrium/Andropogon-dominated herb layers.

### **Vegetation Description**

This PNVG occurs as dry to mesic woodland/savannas in portions of the coastal plain and fall zone where Aristida beyrichiana is naturally absent. The range could be characterized as patchy, including a band along the fall zone, areas in southeast Virginia and adjacent North Carolina, and sizeable patches in southern South Carolina, south Mississippi, west-central Louisiana and east Texas. The canopy is dominated by Longleaf Pine (Pinus palustris) or by a mixture of Pinus palustris with other pines and minority oaks. Sites are characterized by a low density of shrubs or mid-story hardwoods with minimal percent cover under natural fire regimes. The ground cover is dominated by dense grasses, primarily Schizachyrium spp. and Andropogon spp., generally with a diversity of legumes, composites, and other grasses.

Canopy trees are patchy in distribution, with regeneration in canopy gaps of ½ acre or less in size. Midsuccessional clumps occur in similar size patches, and the oldest trees occur as isolated individuals. The reference condition classes are aggregates of numerous patches well dispersed over the landscape. Canopy gaps are created by fire mortality, lightning, and wind throw at the scale of individual trees or several trees.

## **Disturbance Description**

The longleaf pine/bluestem PNVG experiences frequent surface fires, every 1-5 years, and is classified in Fire Regime Group I. Fires are usually low in intensity overall, consuming only shrubs and herbs, but it will occasionally kill patches of young pine regeneration and rarely kill individual older trees. Individual fires cover extensive areas. Replacement fires are local patches of mortality within the context of these extensive low-intensity fires. Mosaic fire in the model represents the probability of a series of surface fires sufficient to move closed vegetation to open. Effects of single fires are minimal, but are cumulative over time.

Replacement fires are found in each structural stage of the model. Early and late-open structural stages have replacement fire frequencies of 200 years, while mid-open and mid-closed structural stage replacement fires occur on the order of every 100 years. Open structural stages are characterized by surface fire disturbances of 3 years while closed structural stages are characterized by mixed fire regimes occurring every 100 years. Structural stage B also contains surface fire frequencies of once every 25 years which would not be sufficient to change the vegetative structure from a closed to a more open mid-story layer as does a mixed fire within the same stage.

Wind/Weather/Stress disturbances are characterized by hurricane and tornado occurrences every 200 years except for the closed-late stage where weather could influence transition every 100 years. Ice storms are also a weather factor but to what degree is unknown.

## **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

Uncharacteristic vegetation types include even-aged canopy stands in which age structure has been homogenized by logging or clearing. Examples are found where loblolly pine (Pinus taeda), shortleaf pine (P. echinata), slash pine (P. elliottii), or oaks (Quercus spp.) have replaced some or all of the longleaf pine, and where the grass dominated ground cover has been lost due to soil disturbance or past canopy closure. Full restoration to reference condition may take a number of burns, and may take many years if older trees are not present, but fire produces substantial ecological benefits before full restoration.

In the absence of fire, shrub or mid-story hardwood densities increase. If fire remains absent in structural stage E and following 367 time steps the system transitions out of the Longleaf pine/bluestem PNVG since remaining longleaf are old mature pines and pine regeneration is greatly reduced. The system becomes dominated by oaks (oak xeric hammock).

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

The dominant longleaf pine canopy is patchy in distribution as represented by the open structural stages. Canopy gaps are created by fire mortality, lightning, and wind throw at the scale of individual trees or several trees. These "gaps" are represented under structural stage A of the model. Palik and Pederson (1996) report patch disturbances removed 550-1300 square meters (0.14-0.32 acres) of exposed crown area to form openings 1000-2000 square meters (0.25-0.5 acres); but occur only once per 1000 ha in 5 years.

### Issues/Problems

The following is a discussion of alternative models. The initial group model had a fire probability of 0.4 for the prevailing vegetation, based on a probability of 0.5 for the more flammable wet-mesic longleaf/wiregrass type. This frequency seems too high, given that the few literature estimates are a bit longer. Christensen says 3-5 years, Wade, et al. (based on Landers) 1-4 years. But 0.5 is more frequent than the midpoint even of the 1-4 year interval. In addition, the presence of vulnerable life cycle stages, including that of longleaf pine along with the presence of a diverse lepidopteran community that is not resilient to fire, suggests a longer natural fire interval.

The model gave appropriate percentages of successional stage patches using a probability of 0.4 for

longleaf pine/bluestem and of 0.5 for wet-mesic longleaf pine. But similarly appropriate percentages can be achieved with a surface fire probability of 0.3 if the time since fire needed to develop the closed path vegetation is increased from 10 to 15 years. While 10 years is often sufficient to create less flammable closed vegetation under current conditions, it is likely that this stage developed more slowly in fire-dominated landscapes that had not experienced a substantial interval of fire exclusion.

Originators of the original model (PNVG code: LLBS) did not define their usage of Competition/Maintenance.

Also there is a need to address the issue of Southern Pine Beetle or other Ips that may impact Longleaf Pine. According to Gan (2004) the annual average infestation rate of Southern Pine Beetle in southeast pine forests is 0.845%. It should be noted that infestation rate is defined as the proportion of the volume killed by SPB relative to the pine growing stock (Price et al. 1998). The growing stock of pine forests was drawn from forest inventory data.

Please note that I would scrutinize map zone 59. I am not sure whether the range of this vegetation type actually gets into this zone. I am not sure of the exact location of the map zone delineating line.

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model replaces the PNVG R7LLBS from the Northeast model zone.

Szell began his general information descriptions by starting with descriptions as reported in the draft FRCC Handbook (PNVG Code: LLBS) by Mike Schafale and Sharon Herman. Expertise was also provided by Kevin Heirs who worked with the original model.

#### Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 All Structures PIPA2 Upper 0% 100% Cover **Description** ANVI2 Lower Height no data Tree Regen <5m Class A includes canopy gaps, SCSCS3 Lower Tree Size Class | Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH mostly from a single tree to a quarter acre in size, with pine Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: regeneration up to 15 years old. ⊢Herbaceous The ground cover is predominantly $\sqcup$ Shrub native grasses. Tree cover ranges **✓**Tree from 0 to 50%. Fuel Model 2

#### Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class B 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max PIPA2 Mid1 Closed Upper Cover 70% 90% **OUFA** Mid-Upper **Description** Height Shrub Tall >3.0 m Tree Medium 10-24m **RHCO** Low-Mid Class B is characterized by patches, Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH SAAL5 Low-Mid most 1/4 acre or less, of canopy pines 15-75 years old, and a **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. substantial component of Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous $\square_{\mathsf{Shrub}}$ hardwoods or other pine species **✓**Tree encroaching in the absence of fire. Hardwood and encroaching pine Fuel Model 6 cover is greater than 50%. The pine canopy cover ranges from 25-75%. Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 35% **Canopy Position** Min Мах PIPA2 Upper Mid1 Open Cover 25% 70% ANVI2 Lower **Description** Tree Tall 25-49m Height Tree Short 5-9m SCSCS3 Lower Class C includes patches, most 1/4 Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DBH acre or less, with canopy pines 15-75 years old, and a minimal **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. hardwood component due to Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous frequent fire. The ground cover is Shrub dominated by grasses. The pine **✓**Tree canopy cover ranges from 25-75%. Fuel Model 2 Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 35% **Canopy Position** Min Max PIPA2 Upper Late1 Open Cover 25% 70% ANVI2 Lower **Description** Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m SCSCS3 Lower Class D includes patches, most 1/4 Tree Size Class Very Large >33"DBH acre or less, with canopy pines 75 or more years old, and a minimal **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. component of hardwoods. The Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous ground cover is dominated by Shrub grasses. The pine canopy cover **✓**Tree ranges from 25-75%. Fuel Model 2

#### Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 5% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed PIPA2 Upper Cover 70% 90% **Description OUFA** Mid-Upper Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m Class E is characterized by patches **OUNI** Mid-Upper Tree Size Class | Very Large >33"DBH with canopy pines 75 or more years OULA3 Mid-Upper old, and a substantial component of **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. hardwoods or pines other than Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous longleaf in either the overstory or $\square$ Shrub understory. The ground cover is **✓** Tree shrubby or sparse. Hardwood and Fuel Model 9 encroaching pine cover is greater than 50%. Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of **Historical Fire Size (acres)** fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: 10000 the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: 1 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max:100000 estimates and not precise. Min FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Max FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 130 0.00769 3 Mixed 800 0.00125 0 **✓** Literature Surface 4 5 0.25 97 Local Data All Fires 0.25894 **✓** Expert Estimate

## References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Christensen, N.L. 1981. Fire regimes in southeastern ecosystems. In Mooney, H.A., Bonnickson, T.M., Christensen, N.L., Lotan, J.E. and Reiners, W.A., eds. Fire regimes and ecosystem properties. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report WO-26. pp. 112-136.

Frost, Cecil. 1993. Four Centuries of Changing Landscape Patterns in the Longleaf Pine Ecosystem. In Hermann, Sharon M., ed. The Longleaf Pine Ecosystem: ecology, restoration and management. Proceedings of the Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, No. 18. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station.

Gan, Jianbang. 2004. Risk and damage of southern pine beetle outbreaks under global climate change. Forest Ecology and Management. pp. 61-71.

Landers, J. Larry. 1991. Disturbance influences on pine traits in the southeastern United States. In High intensity fire in wildlands: management challenges and options. Proceedings, 17th Tall Timbers fire ecology conference, 1989 May 18-21, Tallahassee, FL. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station. pp. 61-98.

Palick, Brian J. and Neil Pederson. 1996. Overstory mortality and canopy disturbances in longleaf pine ecosystems. Can. J. For. Res. 26: 2035-2047.

Price, T., Doggett, C., Pye, J. and Smith, B. 1998. A History of Southern Pine Beetle Outbreaks in the Southeastern United States. Atlanta, GA: Georgia Forestry Commission.

Schmidt, Kirsten M., Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J. and Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Wade, D.D., Brock, B.L., Brose, P.H., Grace, James B., Hoch, Greg A. and Patterson, William A. III, 2000. Fire in eastern ecosystems. In Brown, J.K. and Smith, J.K., eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. Pp. 53-96. Chapter 4, Vol. 2.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.