Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

ROWERC	Western Red Cedar								
General Information									
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")									
Modelers	Revie	Reviewers							
Steve Barrett	sbarrett@mtdig.net								
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessmer	Rapid Assessment Model Zones						
Forested	✓Literature	California	Pacific Northwest						
Dominant Species*	✓Local Data	Great Basin	South Central						
	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast						
ABGR	LANDEIRE Manning Zones	Northeast	S. Appalachians						
LAOC		Northern Plains	Southwest						
LAUC	10 21	✓ N-Cent.Rockies							
	19 22								
	20 29								

Geographic Range

Type occurs in the maritime-influenced zone of Northern Idaho and Northwestern Montana.

Biophysical Site Description

Wet canyon bottoms and toeslopes below 5000 feet elevation; generally small to moderate size "stringer" groves dominated by Thuja plicata that often escape burning during fires on adjacent slopes.

Vegetation Description

Sheltered groves of nearly pure uneven aged T. plicata, with occasional minor associates Abies grandis, Tsuga heterophylla, Larix occidentalis; Understories are usually dominated by low growing forbs and ferns such as Asarum caudatum, Viola orbiculata, Clintonia uniflora, Tiarella trifoliata, Coptis occidentalis, Oplopanax horridum, Athyrium filix-femina, and Adiantum pedatum.

Disturbance Description

Long-interval stand-replacement fire regime (200-500 years) with occasional mixed severity fires (i.e., burn margin effect from fires on adjacent drier slopes).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Type transitions to mixed conifer R0MCCH model with increasing slope steepness and elevation.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🖌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

Stand replacing disturbances tended to be extensive in the surrounding landscape, but smaller patches of mixed severity fire can occur during less-severe fire weather. This vegetation type represents relatively small imbedded "fire refugia," where Thuja plicata groves can persist for 500-1000 years between stand-replacement fires.

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Issues/Problems

Should seek reviewer advice about the roles of diseases; root rots and other fungi were important in stand successional patterns & pathways, but mostly for producing local gap phase- openings rather than stand replacement.

Model Evolution and Comments

This type was created based upon peer review feedback after the Rapid Assessment workshop.

	Succession	Classes	1		
Succession classes are the eq	uivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as d	efined in the In	teragency FRCC Guideboo	k (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A 10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep	CLUN	Cover	Min	Max	
Description	ADPE	Lloight	0%	80 %	
Post-burn sites dominated b	v forbs. ATFE	Height	no data	no data	
ferns, and shrubs: tree reger	neration	no data			
generally consists of red cec grand fir seedlings to saplin	dar & <u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u> gs. Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
	Fuel Model no data				
Class B 40 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Closed	THPL		Min	Max	
Description	ABGR	Cover	40 %	80 %	
Moderate to heavy regener	ation of	Height	no data	no data	
moderate- to heavy regener	r with LAOC	Tree Size	Class no data		
occasional western larch and species	d other Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class C 5%	<u>Fuel Model</u> no data	Structure	Data (for upper layer li	feform)	
			Min	Max	
Midl Open		Cover	20 %	40 %	
		Height	no data	no data	
Uncommon mid-open succes	ssional LAOC	Tree Size (Class no data		
fire and blowdowns; domina red cedar, grand fir, occasion western larch. The scale of o classes would be primarily lo rather than landscape (i.e., g phase openings within stands	ted by Upper Laver Lifeform nal Herbaceous ppen Shrub pcal Tree ap- s)	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class D 5%	Indicator Species* ar Canopy Position	<u>nd</u> <u>Structu</u>	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open	THPL		/	Max			
Description	ABGR	Cover		20 %	40 %		
Uncommon mid late open	-	Height	no	data	no data		
successional class resulting after		Tree Siz	e Class no	data			
successional class resulting after mixed severity fire, blowdowns, disease; dominated by red cedar, grand fir, occasional western larch. The scale of open classes would be primarily local rather than landscape (i.e., gap-phase openings within stands)					ominant lifeform. orm are:		
Class E 40 %	Indicator Species* ar Canopy Position	<u>nd</u> <u>Structu</u>	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed	THPL	Cover	/		100 %		
Description	ABGR	Height		data	100 %		
Moderately dense- to densely		Tree Siz	re Class no	data	no data		
stocked old growth groves		1100 012		Gata			
of heavy shading	Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	a			onn alc.		
	Distur	bances					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ✓ Insects/Disease □ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition □ Other: □ Other:	Fire Regime Group:5I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
	Avg	g FI Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement 3	85 75	1000	0.0026	86		
✓ Literature	Mixed 25	500		0.0004	13		
✓ Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires 3	34		0.00301			

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

References

Arno, S. F, and D. H. Davis. 1980. Fire history of western redcedar/hemlock forests in northern Idaho. Pp. 21 26 IN: Proc. Fire Hist. Workshop, J. H. Dieterich and M. A. Stokes (Tech. Coords.), Gen. Tech. Rept. RM 81, Rocky Mt. For. Range Exper. Sta., Ft. Collins CO. 142 p.

Barrett, S. W. 1982. Fire's influence on ecosystems of the Clearwater National Forest: Cook Mountain fire history inventory. Unpub. Final rept. On file at USDA For. Serv. Clearwater N. F., Orofino ID. 42 p.

Barrett, S. W. 1985. Fire history of Units 26/30, Crooked Mink Timber Sale, Powell Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest. Unpub. Rept. On file at USDA For. Serv. Powell Ranger District, Lolo MT. 13 p.

Barrett, S. W. 1986. Fire history reconnaisance for Point Source Ignition Project, Powell Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest. Unpub. Rept. On file at USDA For. Serv. Powell Ranger District, Lolo MT. 9 p.

Barrett, S. W. 1994. Fire regimes on the Clearwater and Nez Perce National Forests, North central Idaho. Unpub. Rept. On file at USDA For. Serv. Clearwater National Forest, Orofino ID. 31 p.

Barrett, S. W. 1995. Fire history assessment for the Lolo Trail, Powell Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest. Unpub. Rept. On file at USDA For. Serv. Clearwater Nat. For., Powell, ID. 16 p.

Barrett, S. W. 2004a. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Mgt. Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, S. W. 2004b. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Mgt. Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W, and S. F. Arno. 1991. Classifying Fire Regimes and Defining Their Topographic Controls in the Selway Bitterroot Wilderness. pp. 299 307 In Proc. 11th Conf. Fire and For. Meterology, April 16 19, 1991, Missoula, MT.

Brown, J. K., S. F. Arno, S. W. Barrett, and J. P. Menakis. 1994. Comparing the Prescribed Natural Fire Program with presettlement fires in the Selway Bitterroot Wilderness. Int. J. Wildland Fire 4(3): 157 168.

Cooper, S. V., K. E. Neiman, R. Steele, and D. W. Roberts. 1991 (rev.). Forest habitat types of northern Idaho: a second approximation. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-236.

Morgan, P., S. Bunting, A. Black, T. Merril, and S. W. Barrett. 1998. Fire regimes in the Interior Columbia River Basin: Past and Present. Pp. 77 82 In Proc. Fire mgt. under fire (adapting to change); 1994 Interior West Fire Council Meeting, Internatl. Assoc. Wildland Fire, Fairfield, WA.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Smith, J. K., and W. C. Fischer. 1997. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of northern Idaho. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-GTR-363.

Zack, A. C., and P. Morgan. 1994. Fire history on the Idaho Panhandle National Forest. Unpub. rept. on file, Idaho Panhandle Nat. For., Coeur d'Alene, ID, 44 p.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.