# Manifestations of interference fluctuations of phase functions and backscattering cross sections for ice crystals with specific orientations 
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#### Abstract

The ray-by-ray integration technique is applied to investigate the effect of the phase interference between rays on the scattering phase functions and backscattering cross sections of pristine hexagonal ice plates and columns with specific orientations. Numerical computations are carried out at 0.532 and $1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wavelengths. The results demonstrate that strong fluctuations of the phase function as a function of scattering angle are inherent for oriented particles. In addition, constructive fluctuation patterns are noted for particle backscattering cross sections, and are a function of particle size. These fluctuations, which are absent from the conventional ray-tracing computations, are caused by phase interference.
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## 1. Introduction

Knowledge of the scattering characteristics of ice crystals is critical to the study of cirrus clouds [1, 2]. Two quantities, the scattering phase function and backscattering cross section, are important parameters for retrieving the properties of cirrus clouds from satellite-based measurements from instruments such as the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) [3], the dual-viewing Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR-2) [4], the Polarization and Directionality of Earth Reflectance (POLDER) [5], and the future CloudAerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO, formerly named PICASSO-CENA) [6]. Baran et al [7] have shown the fundamental importance of the

[^0]scattering phase function and backscattering cross sections of ice crystals in the retrieval of cirrus microphysical and bulk properties using ASTR-2 data. Note that the ATSR2 is a dual-viewing instrument and thus allows sampling of the cirrus cloud at two different scattering angles. Numerous observations (e.g., [8]) show that ice crystals are almost exclusively nonspherical particles. Pristine ice crystals, the predominant crystal habits at extremely cold cloud temperatures, are normally hexagonal plates or columns. The $22^{\circ}$ and $46^{\circ}$ haloes observed in the atmosphere are attributed to the hexagonal structure of these particles. The scattering properties of ice crystals with small and moderate size parameters (defined as $\pi D_{\mathrm{e}} / \lambda$, where $D_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the effective diameter defined in [9], following the original work of Foot [10], and $\lambda$ is the incident wavelength) have been investigated using the $T$-matrix method $[11,12]$ and the finite-
difference time domain (FDTD) method [13]. For large size parameters, the scattering properties of ice crystals have been investigated by using the ray-tracing method and other approximate methods [14-19]. Recently, a generalization of the separation-of-variables method (SVM) has been developed and applied to finite noncircular cylinders by Rother [20, 21]. This method has been used to study the scattering properties of the hexagonal ice column [22, 23].

Ice crystals in the atmosphere are normally larger than $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in terms of their maximum dimensions. For the scattering of visible and near-infrared radiation by these ice crystals, the corresponding size parameters are in the applicable regime of geometric optics, i.e., when the size parameter is greater than 40 [24,25]. Hence the conventional ray-tracing technique [14] can be employed to calculate the single-scattering properties of large ice crystals. Phase interference between rays is often neglected in the conventional ray-tracing method. Although the effect of ray interference is minimized when ice crystals are randomly oriented, it can be pronounced for ice crystals with specific orientations. Recent improvements in the scattering computational methods based on the principles of geometric optics allows a full accounting for the phase interference. For example, Stamnes and his colleagues (e.g., $[26,27]$ ) developed the combined method of ray tracing and diffraction (CMRD) that accounts effectively for the phase information. The CMRD has been applied to the diffraction analysis of optical systems and also to the modelling of light scattering.

Because cirrus tends to exist in the upper troposphere where strong horizontal winds are present, moderate and large pristine ice crystals tend to have preferred orientations in the atmosphere due to aerodynamic considerations. Orientation of ice crystals in the upper troposphere can also be due to the imposition of electric fields [28]. The oriented ice crystals in the atmosphere have been observed by a satellite instrument called POLDER [5] as well as ground-based lidar [29]. The goal of the present study is to better understand the effect of the phase interference on the scattering properties of pristine ice crystals with specific orientations. The ray-by-ray integration (RBRI) technique [30] is applied to compute the scattering phase functions and backscattering cross sections of oriented hexagonal plates and columns.

## 2. Single-scattering properties of ice plates and columns with specific orientations

For the ensuing analysis, three coordinate systems are defined: the particle coordinate system, the incident ray coordinate system, and the scattering system. The particle system, $\mathrm{O} X_{\mathrm{p}} Y_{\mathrm{p}} Z_{\mathrm{p}}$, is fixed to the scattering particle of interest. This coordinate system rotates with the particle. The incident ray coordinate system $\mathrm{O} X_{\mathrm{i}} Y_{\mathrm{i}} Z_{\mathrm{i}}$ specifies the direction and polarization configuration of the incident radiation. The $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$-axis points along the incident direction whereas $\mathrm{O} X_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{O} Y_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the two orthogonal directions for specifying the polarization configuration of the incident wave. The scattering coordinate system, $\mathrm{O} X_{\mathrm{s}} Y_{\mathrm{s}} Z_{\mathrm{s}}$, specifies scattered light. The $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$-axis is selected along the propagating direction of scattered light.

(c)


Figure 1. Geometries of pristine hexagonal ice plates and columns. Also shown are the coordinate systems defined for incidence and scattering configurations.

Figure 1 shows the configurations of the three coordinate systems. It can be shown that the coordinate transformation from the incident ray coordinate system to the particle coordinate system may be given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{\mathrm{p}}  \tag{1}\\
Y_{\mathrm{p}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \beta & -\cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \cos \beta & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \cos \beta \\
\cos \beta & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \beta & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \beta \\
0 & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
X_{\mathrm{i}} \\
Y_{\mathrm{i}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where two angles, $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\beta$, are indicated in figure $1(\mathrm{~b})$. In equation (1), $X_{\mathrm{p}}, Y_{\mathrm{p}}$, and $Z_{\mathrm{p}}$ are specified with respect to the particle system whereas $X_{\mathrm{i}}, Y_{\mathrm{i}}$, and $Z_{\mathrm{i}}$ are specified with respect to the incident coordinate system.

The coordinate transformation from the scattering coordinate system to the incident coordinate system is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{2}\\
Y_{\mathrm{i}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \\
-\cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \\
0 & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{\mathrm{s}} \\
Y_{\mathrm{s}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}$ is scattering angle, and $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ indicates the azimuthal angle of a scattering plane of interest. $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ are indicated


Figure 2. The phase function of an individual hexagonal ice column with an aspect ratio of $D / L=30 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at wavelength $0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
in figure 1 (c). In equation (2), $X_{\mathrm{s}}, Y_{\mathrm{s}}$, and $Z_{\mathrm{s}}$ are specified with respect to the scattering coordinate system.

For light scattering computations, one may equivalently specify the orientation of a particle with respect to the incident coordinate system or specify the incident geometry relative to the particle system. In the present study, we use the latter approach. The coordinate system transformation from the scattering coordinate to the particle coordinate system is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{\mathrm{p}} \\
Y_{\mathrm{p}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \beta & -\cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \cos \beta & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \cos \beta \\
\cos \beta & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \beta & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \beta \\
0 & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \\
-\cos \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} & \sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \\
0 & -\sin \theta_{\mathrm{s}} & \cos \theta_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{\mathrm{s}} \\
Y_{\mathrm{s}} \\
Z_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The RBRI technique [30] is based on the combination of an electrodynamic integral equation and the principles of geometric optics. It was developed in an attempt to extend the applicable regime of the conventional ray-tracing method to moderate size parameters. In the ray-by-ray integration method, the internal field inside the scattering particle is calculated by using the principles of geometric optics. The far field corresponding to the internal field is computed by mapping the near field via the following relationship that relates the near field within the particle to the far field:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\vec{E}^{\mathrm{s}}(\vec{r})\right|_{k r \rightarrow \infty}=\frac{k^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k r}}{4 \pi r}(\varepsilon-1) \\
& \quad \times \iiint_{v}\left\{\vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)-\hat{r}\left[\hat{r} \cdot \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k \hat{r} \cdot \vec{r}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{3} r^{\prime} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

With the coordinate transformation matrices defined in equations (1)-(3) and the electromagnetic integral relationship in equation (4), the scattering matrix $S(\hat{r})$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(\hat{r})=\sum_{r} \sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{n}(\hat{r}),  \tag{5a}\\
S_{n}(\hat{r})=\frac{i k^{3}(1-\varepsilon)}{4 \pi} q_{n}(\hat{r}) K_{n} U_{n} \Gamma, \tag{5b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S_{n}(\hat{r})$ denotes the contribution of an internal ray within the particle to the scattering matrix. The subscript $n$ in the above equations denotes the order of the internal ray, and $N$ is the maximum order of the internal rays considered in the ray-tracing calculation, which is taken as $N=8$ in the present computation. The summation over index $r$ in equation (5a) denotes the summation of the contribution of all the incident rays. Note that the ray refracted into the particle is denoted as the first-order internal ray, the ray having undergone one internal reflection is denoted as the second-order internal ray, and so on. The detailed description regarding the derivation of equation (5) from (4) can be found in Yang and Liou [24].

In equation (5a), which is in a form that is suitable for numerical computations, $U_{n}$ is a 2-by-2 matrix involving a set


Figure 3. The phase function of a hexagonal ice column with an aspect ratio of $D / L=20 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and plate with an aspect ratio of $D / L=50 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at wavelength $\lambda=0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
of recursive equations that account for the Fresnel reflection (or refraction) coefficients and the rotational transformation of the field expressions with respect to the plane of incidence, $\Gamma$ is a 2-by-2 rotational matrix that transforms the field expressions from the scattering plane to the incident plane, $K_{n}$ is a matrix associated with the projection of the electric field of a localized wave onto the components parallel and perpendicular to the scattering plane, and $q_{n}(\hat{r})$ is calculated by integrating the phase of a ray along its path. The total scattering matrix $S(\hat{r})$ in equation (5b) is obtained by summing the contributions of all the localized waves or geometric optics rays and the contributions of different initial or incident rays. Further technical details regarding the implementation of the ray-byray integration technique are provided in Yang and Liou [30]. Some validation of this method has been performed through comparison to both the rigorous FDTD method in Yang and Liou [30] and experimental data in Liou et al [1].

After the amplitude scattering matrix is defined, the scattering cross section $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}$, phase function $P_{11}$, and lidar backscattering cross section $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{2 k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\left|S_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{4}\right|^{2}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{\mathrm{p}}  \tag{7}\\
P_{11}=\frac{2 \pi}{k^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\left|S_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{4}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{s}} P_{11}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{s}}=180^{\circ}\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$, and $S_{4}$ in equations (6) and (7) are the four elements of the amplitude scattering matrix in equation (5a), given by

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{2} & S_{3}  \tag{9}\\
S_{4} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3. Numerical results and discussions

For an ice crystal with a given maximum dimension, we define the three-dimensional geometry of the particle by using the aspect ratios reported by Auer and Veal [31] for plates and Yang et al [9] for columns, which are

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=2.020 a^{0.449} \quad \text { for plates, } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$a= \begin{cases}\frac{L}{2}, & L \leqslant 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \\ \frac{L}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-0.017835(L-40)}, & 40<L<50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \\ 2.958 L^{1 / 2}, & L>50 \mu \mathrm{~m},\end{cases}$
for columns,
where $a$ is the semi-width of the cross section and $L$ is the length (for a column) or thickness (for a plate) of the ice crystal. The present numerical computations are carried out for phase functions and backscattering cross sections of ice


Figure 4. As figure 3, but $\lambda=1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
plates and columns at 0.532 and $1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wavelengths. In the numerical computations, the incident direction denoted by the $O Z_{i}$-axis of the incident coordinate system is assumed to point upward vertically, i.e., in the direction of the zenith. The polarization of the incident wave with respect to the particle is specified by the $\mathrm{O} X_{\mathrm{i}}$ - and $\mathrm{O} Y_{\mathrm{i}}$-axes of the incident coordinate system. The scattering characteristics of ice crystals at these two wavelengths are important for understanding both the extinction-to-backscatter ratio and multiple-scattering effects in the lidar measurements.

Figure 2 shows the phase functions at $0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wavelength for a column with an aspect ratio of $D / L=$ $30 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in which $D=2 a$ is the width of the cross section of the particle. The refractive indices of ice at these two wavelengths are $1.3117+\mathrm{i} 2.6138 \times 10^{-9}$ and $1.3004+\mathrm{i} 1.933 \times 10^{-6}$ [32]. The short horizontal bars in the diagrams indicate the magnitudes of the phase functions in the forward-scattering and backscattering directions. Some of the error bars in the forward directions cannot be seen because the magnitudes of those phase functions in the forward direction are close to $10^{5}$ (i.e., those horizontal bars overlap the tick marks). The upper row in figure 2 is for the case where the symmetric axis of the column is oriented horizontally. The second and third rows are for the cases where the symmetric axis of the column is tilted $88^{\circ}$ and $85^{\circ}$ from the zenith, respectively. The phase functions are quite sensitive to the orientations of ice columns. A very strong scattering peak at $22^{\circ}$ is noted for results shown in the left two columns of
figure 2. This peak is associated with the hexagonal structure typical of pristine ice crystals. Additionally, a scattering peak at $120^{\circ}$ is noted for certain orientations of ice columns. This peak originates from the two internal reflections of the incident beam inside the hexagonal columns. One pronounced feature displayed in figure 2 is that the phase functions fluctuate substantially as functions of scattering angle. The fluctuations are caused by the phase interference of various rays emerging in the same scattering directions. This unique feature is absent in the results obtained from the conventional ray-tracing techniques.

Figure 3 shows the phase functions at wavelength $0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for columns with an aspect ratio of $D / L=$ $20 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and plates with an aspect ratio of $D / L=$ $50 \mu \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Note that the size of the columns used in the calculations for figure 3 is smaller than that of those used in figure 2. The results for the two sizes of columns are similar, as is evident from the comparison of the left two columns in figure 3 with the right two columns in figure 2. The upper row in the right two columns in figure 3 is for the case where the symmetric axis of the plate is vertically oriented and the second and third rows are for the cases where the symmetric axis of the plate is tilted $2^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}$ from the zenith. For plates, strong backscattering may result if the particles are horizontally oriented, that is, $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}=0^{\circ}$. When the symmetric axis is tilted from the zenith, strong peaks near the backscattering direction are noted. These peaks correspond to the specular reflection from the basal faces of the plates.

$$
\text { Column } \phi_{s}=90^{\circ}, \beta=30^{\circ}
$$



Figure 5. The variation of backscattering and quasi-backscattering cross sections of ice columns.

Figure 4 is the same as figure 3 except that calculations are performed at a near-infrared wavelength $\lambda=1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. From comparison of figures 3 and 4, one may note that the fluctuations of the phase functions versus scattering angle for wavelength $\lambda=0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ are more pronounced than those for wavelength $\lambda=1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figures 5 and 6 show the backscattering and quasibackscattering cross sections of columns and plates as functions of particle maximum size. The quasi-backscattering cross section is defined in a similar manner to that in equation (8), except that the phase function value involved in the quasi-backscattering cross section is not evaluated at the exact $180^{\circ}$ scattering angle. In figures 5 and 6 , the quasibackscattering cross section is evaluated at $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}=179.5^{\circ}$ and $179^{\circ}$. When the tilting angle of the plate is zero (i.e., $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}=0^{\circ}$ ), or the tilting angle of the column is $90^{\circ}$ (i.e., $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}=90^{\circ}$ ), the
quasi-backscattering cross sections for both plates and columns show some constructive oscillation patterns with respect to the particle sizes. Additionally, the quasi-backscattering cross sections are substantially smaller than backscattering cross sections when the tilting angle of the plate is zero or when the tilting angle of the column is vertical. For columns, the constructive oscillation patterns for the quasi-backscattering cross sections reduce to noise-like fluctuations when the symmetric axis of the columns is tilted from the zenith. Unlike columns, plates produce appreciable constructive oscillation patterns for the quasi-backscattering cross section even if the plates are tilted, as is evident from the results shown in figure 6.

## 4. Summary

We employ the ray-by-ray integration technique to investigate the scattering properties of pristine ice plates and columns


Figure 6. As figure 5, but for ice plates.
with specific orientations at 0.532 and $1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wavelengths. Numerical computations indicate that common features of all the results are
(1) the rapid oscillations of the phase functions as a function of the scattering angles and
(2) the variation of the backscattering or quasi-backscattering cross sections as a function of particle size.

These oscillations are caused by the phase interference of rays. This interesting feature is absent in the results obtained by the conventional ray-tracing technique that ignores the phase variation in the ray-tracing calculations. In general, the oscillations are stronger at wavelength $0.532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ than at the near-infrared wavelength $1.064 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and may be due to the larger size parameters at the visible wavelength. We also note that the backscattering cross sections are sensitive to both wavelength and the
orientation of the ice plates and columns. The present study shows that the effect of phase interference of rays is important for calculating the single-scattering properties of ice crystals with specific orientations.
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