




Shafter Frantz
<ini@lightspeed.net>
08/07/2006 09:15 AM

To Jennifer Chicconi/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Deborah
Jordan/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Wayne
Nastri/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,
cc
bcc
Subject PM 10 attainment farce for SJV

History:  This message has been forwarded.

To: Doris Lo, Jennifer Chicconi, Deborah Jordan, Wayne Nastri, Kerry Drake, et al,

RE: 30 day comment period on PM 10 attainment status proposal for San Joaquin Valley

Please look at the photos below. These were taken two miles south of Shafter, Kern County, San Joaquin Valley on July 4, 2006. These were brand new John Deere combines. We need incentives for new technology to put this harvesting dust back on the ground immediately where it belongs. The same thing is needed for almond harvesting and cotton picking in the fall. I think it is possible but not without strong incentives.

I wish I had photos to send you of the almond harvest and cotton harvest from last fall. Specifically, you need to see photos of November 21, 22, and 23 of 2005 when we had dusty, dirty, stagnant air as bad as any I have seen in over 50 years of living here in Kern County near Shafter. This filthy, choking air extended from Corcoran to Earlimart and Delano, to Alpaugh, to Wasco and Shafter, as these are all areas I travelled through during those days. I don't know where else it existed but it must have been elsewhere as well. Thank God we had a change in weather on Thanksgiving Day. I am sure many lives were saved because the air finally cleared on that day. It is a lie that we had air below the federal standard for PM 10 on any of those three preceding days. I don't know how you can possibly declare attainment except through a technicality of not being able to measure the air on those days and in the right locations. This does the public health no good and you should at least hold a public hearing to listen to our stories of dust and pain during these harvest periods and study the situation further before making such a misleading declaration.

Your proposal to give attainment status for PM 10 is a great disservice to the residents of this valley. Especially the tens of thousands of low income families who do not live in air conditioned homes and breathe the same filthy air inside their homes as exists outside.

I know that you will say the valley still has to meet the standards in the future but you are giving a false impression with this ruling. You are giving implicit permission for no further progress. You are giving implicit permission for a relaxation of enforcement and monitoring. This is a step backwards just when we need progressive and innovative steps forward and the public is ready to take those steps even at significant personal cost. It is our lungs and our health that pay the cost of this pollution right now and it is worth eliminating. You work for the public, after all, not special interests, so I do not understand this callousness or stupidity on your part if you move forward with this proposal. I guess the Bush administration's disregard for the environment has rubbed off on all public servants, both appointed and hired. That is really too bad.

I have lived in the country all my life between Wasco and Shafter in Kern County. I am currently a high school math teacher but I also own farm land and have farmed almonds for many years. My grandfather and father were cotton farmers in this area. I am well aware of the dusty nature of harvest time in this region. Our air is so still on some days that the fine dust does not even settle overnight. This dust is also laden with pesticide and defoliant residues from the season long growing of the crops. The farmer is doing a good job of keeping dust down during the growing season because it benefits the crop. There is no incentive during harvest to water or oil roads because the crop is finished. Traffic of trucks picking up cotton modules and loads of almonds only adds to the in field harvest dust and lint. You would have to


live here to see the haze and experience the pain in the lungs on those stagnant, brown hazy days of late September through November. Nothing yet has been done to improve that situation.

I am currently president of the Association of Irrigated Residents and we have been struggling for clean air on behalf of all valley residents through advocacy, lobbying, and law suits for about six years. We are, unfortunately, not getting much help from our public health agencies such as the local air district, CARB and the EPA.

If you have any conscience from your mandate to protect the public you will look further into this matter of attainment status and not rubber stamp the air district's desire (special interests' desire) to have this stamp of approval and permission for continued procrastination on actually taking the steps needed to meet the PM 10 standard.

Tom Frantz
President, Association of Irrigated Residents
30100 Orange Street
Shafter, CA 93263

661.746.1344





Combine and sky disappear. Here comes another one.




Brand new combines and no dust controls.



jreimann@earthjustice.org
08/14/2006 02:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

History:  This message has been forwarded.

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judy Reimann
83 Glen Avenue
#4
Oakland, California 94611



mcfrantz@scal.kp.org
08/14/2006 02:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Claire Frantz
1737 W. Peyton Ave
Burbank, California 91504



aingerj@saccounty.net
08/14/2006 02:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joel Ainger
2313 25Th Ave
Sacramento, California 95822



cakeflip@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 02:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carlos Nunez
920 Wilcox Avenue
Apt # 210
Los Angeles, California 90038-2657



dcarroll@earthjustice.org

08/14/2006 02:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dale Carroll
10905 Moonshine Road
Sebastopol, California 95472



nancy@angelwear.com
08/14/2006 02:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Davies
8391 Beverly Blvd
Los Angeles, California 90048



jmk171@aol.com
08/14/2006 02:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kees
"11665 Mayfield Ave., #9"
Los Angeles, California 90049



james_gilmore@ml.com

08/14/2006 02:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Gilmore
6435 Flamenco Street
Carlsbad, California 92009



cak@dimebank.com
08/14/2006 02:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christopher Kantarjiev
45107 Cedar Brook Road
Oakhurst, California 93644



dalekelly@verizon.net
08/14/2006 02:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dale Kelly
148 Galaxy Way
Lompoc, California 93436



sclamm@mac.com
08/14/2006 02:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sara Lamm
7777 Firenze Ave
Los Angeles, California 90046



mbelcher@igc.org
08/14/2006 02:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Martha Belcher
1613 Delaware Street
Berkeley, California 94703



rivcuban@charter.net
08/14/2006 02:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Luis Mon
4466 University Av.
#7
Riverside, California 92501-3171



juneajunebug@aol.com
08/14/2006 02:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

June Alexander
745 Racquet Club Circle
Rohnert Park, California 94928



cntsints@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

andrea valenzuela
345 military east
benicia, California 94510



nuckols@epgy.stanford.edu

08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. This matter is especially important to me because I have several relatives, including two stepchildren, who live in the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Greg Nuckols
PO Box 371641
Montara, California 94037



oposliv@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

SYLVIA SIMON
316 Bonanza Way
Danville, California 94526



gemms70@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle
Fairfield, California 94534



info@bradfordbrenner.com
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

bradford brenner
1541 fairway vista
Encinitas, California 92024



evert@sbcc.edu
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kip Evert-Burks
610 E. De La Guerra
Santa Barbara, California 93103



elle.suzuki@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elle Yoko Suzuki
315 Park View Terrace #105
Oakland, California 94610



paul12bravo@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul Arnold
1915 Woodside Dr.
Thousand Oaks, California 91362



judyloing@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 03:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judy Loring
39 Orchard Way
Novato, California 94947



rw_groff@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to ask you to reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Groff
225 Michelle Drive
Campbell, California 95008-1719



gcutler@manatt.com
08/14/2006 03:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gayle Cutler
"5400 Radford Avenue, #22"
Valley Village, California 91607



msmoops@netscape.com
08/14/2006 03:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sheila Moore
9809 Sepulveda Bl.
North Hills, California 91343



ruthandrea@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ruth Shearer
5743 N. 7th St.
Fresno, California 93710



randiperkins@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Randi Perkins
10009 Old Morro Road East
Atascadero, California 93422



gbando@wildmail.com
08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gloria Bando
1342 stanford st #8
santa monica, California 90404



funnychix@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heather Dunkin
3961 Sawtelle Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90066



lorden88@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Lorden
316 15th Street
Sacramento, California 95814



Jamiebatt@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jamie Lurtz
206 E Adele St
Anaheim, California 92805



shenergy51@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robin Lee
PO Box 40158
San Diego, California 92164



cashwalsh1@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

tina Cash
170 Tamal vista drive
san Rafael, California 94901



SteveK@alum.berkeley.edu

08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steven Kusnitz
3632 N. Sepulveda Blvd.
Sherman Oaks, California 91403



trina@ragingstallion.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Petrina Cooper
6 Gaiser Ct.
San Francisco, California 94103



aschwarz@oaklandnet.com
08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ali Schwarz
241 Chadbourne Way
Oakland, California 94619



thinkbeinteresting@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marian Rutenberg
2325 Washington Street #104
San Francisco, California 94115



vickie@villageinvestments.net

08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Victoria Simpson
3185 Bern Dr.
Laguna Beach, California 92651



jolie@lotusdog.net
08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jolie Depauw
POBox 6756
San Rafael, California 94901



junglecat@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Merz
745 Las Colindas Rd
San Rafael, California 94903



bhedit@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Betty J Hodson
404 Wovenwood
Orinda, California 94563



tdhalldds@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hall
5201 Uplands of the Kern Dr
Bakersfield, California 93308



lsuzuki108@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Suzuki
3870 Inglewood Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90066



nicholas_william@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Williams
44108 Fenhold Street
Lancaster, California 93535



parmis@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Parmis Ehsani
21050 San Miguel St.
Woodland Hills, California 91364



rich.wills@co.santa-cruz.ca.us
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. The residents of the San Joaquin Valley deserve better.

Sincerely,

Rich Wills
P.O. Box 579
Capitola, California 95010



bouson@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Bouson
7448 Lasaine Ave
Van Nuys, California 91406



garynjoan@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Garald Lawrence
253 Twin View Dr
Pleasant Hill, California 94523



nicolebickel@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nicole Bickel
188 Lois Ave.
Pittsburg, California 94565



joe_kraynik@hp.com
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joe Kraynik
1735 Foxridge Circle
Auburn, California 95603



jwstevens12@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Stevens
270 McAllister Street
SF, California 94012



cailleankm@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kay Mosko
660 Sunfish Way
Port Hueneme, California 93041



countessbasey@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

basey klopp
411 longfellow ave.
hermosa beach, California 90254



lewi50@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Donna Lewis
12921 Oxnard
Van Nuys, California 91401



johnpdesign@avenuecable.com

08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Petersen
280 Carr Drive
Ventura, California 93001



mcr1950@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heather Ribbs
7784 BELTANE DRIVE
SAN JOSE, California 95135



daphnes_mail@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vivian Friedmann
17800 Burbank Bl. 306
Encino, California 91316



twokandoux@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Koshgarian
235 Carmelita Drive
Mountain View, California 94040-3207



aosmithaos@AOL.COM

08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Andrew Osborne-Smith
911 Leslie Court
San Carlos, California 94070



mikepq@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Quinn
207 N. Victory Blvd.
Burbank, California 91502



madhutchings@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dusti Hutchings
3255 East Ave. R #272
Palmdale, California 93550



timandtheresa@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Theresa Jaquess
417 13th St Apt C
Huntington Beach, California 92648



plowen@ilrc-trico.org
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. My brother-in-law and his family live in Fresno so this subject has personal meaning to me.

Sincerely,

Petra Lowen
1733 Gillespie Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101



mckay@marwit.com
08/14/2006 03:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Laurie Seymour
31481 La Calera St.
San Juan Capistrano, California 92675



landowne@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deborah Landowne
108 Belle Avenue
San Rafael, California 94901



lea_ann@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lea-Ann Refregier
1064 Timber Crest Drive
San Jose, California 95120-1948



mrhappe2u@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Newlon
10724 Moorpark Street
Los Angeles, California 91602



atomsky@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

andy tomsky
po box 82673
san diego, California 92138



tti0t@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Anne Halizak
1933 N. Beachwood Dr., #205
Los Angeles, California 90068



amrosato@vzavenue.net
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ana Maria Rosato
390 elan Village Lane #118
San Jose, California 95134



fjutzi@ci.santa-ana.ca.us

08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Fran Jutzi
2100 W. Palmyra Ave. #28
Orange, California 92868



agda@kdna.ucla.edu
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Agda Simpson
3255 Mandeville Can Rd
Los Angeles, California 90049



howard@cohensw.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. It is clearly obvious that the San Joaquin Valley has NOT attained acceptable and healthy air quality.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Howard Cohen
3272 Cowper Street
Palo Alto, California 94306



beebleberry1@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beryl Landau
3290 Harrison St
San Francisco, California 94110



hkennedytmcmurdo@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heather Kennedy
1609 Spruce St
Berkeley, California 94709



mattwoolery@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matt Woolery
8841 Spectrum Center Blvd., #5311"
San Diego, California 92123-1472



gmcneeley@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gale McNeeley
224 Palm Court Drive
Santa Maria, California 93454



apntrc@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Alice Neuhauser
1466 11th St.
Manhattan Beach, California 90266



sfb22@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sarah Barrs
517 Hickory St
San Francisco, California 94102



oehele@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Catala
11912 Riverside Drive #2
Valley Village, California 91607



gouldkc@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

K.C. Gould
POB 6202
Laguna Niguel, California 92607



ryder_s@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ryder Booth
4280 Manuela Ave
Palo Atlo, California 94306



bub100@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lisa Andreini
5816 1/4 Harold Way
Los Angeles, California 90028



zdancer5@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Z'ava Rosen
2249 Fulton Road
La Verne, California 91750



buzznfly@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Lassiter and Family
1 Excelsior Ct.
Oakland, California 94610



louis4866@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeff Vioski
1203 N Kings Rd #310
West Hollywood, California 90069



JPerez23@bak.rr.com

08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jose Perez
801 Chico St
Bakersfield, California 93305



architect@designforhealth.com

08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Goldstein
250 Dufour St.
Santa Cruz, California 95060



kkramer@memorialcare.org

08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

kelly kramer
11431 kathy ln
garden grove, California 92840



devwiens@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

I wish to underscore the seriousness of how I regard this matter. Essentially, the reason my wife and I were forced to leave to San Joaquin Valley, after living there for 34 years, and to move to the central California coast, was her problems with asthma in Fresno.

Sincerely,

Devon H. Wiens
261-D Spruce St
Arroyo Grande, California 93420



james.little@sri.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Little
560 Kingsley Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94301-3224



dncnbear7@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Lichtenberger
2650 Wheatfield Circle
Simi Valley, California 93063



stanmomms@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Leno Sislin
PO BOX 39817
Los Angeles, California 90039



nvrsummersteve@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

steve baker
1231 e. colton ave
redlands, California 92374



helenmb@verizon.net
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Helen Manning-Brown
3640 Walnut
LONG BEACH, California 90807



trconroy@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Thomas Conroy
1466 11th Street
Manhattan Beach, California 90266



elissedesio@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elisse De Sio
662 - Third Avenue
Redwood City, California 94063



trapin@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Aaron Stroud
1813 Felton St
San Diego, California 92102



renee@laustencossutta.com

08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Renee Cossutta
297 West Carter Avenue
Sierra Madre, California 91024



liz@rpinet.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Liz Asbornio
1201 Coyote Creek Court
San Jose, California 95116



gjean1@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gloria Jean
12237 Mannix Rd.
San Diego, California 92129



hblackett@winston.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Hill Blackett, III
117 Requa Road
Piedmont, California 94611



Linda.Flores@fox.com
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Flores-Cierzan
26810 Cuatro Milpas Street
Santa Clarita, California 91354



esther@greencafe.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Esther Kennedy
25661 Oakwood
P.O. Box 1203
Idyllwild, California 92549



LAVer24020@aol.com

08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lynn Averill
56822 Lilac Ln
Landers, California 92285



mogue@sonic.net
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lucy Aron
11007 Moonshine Road
Sebastopol, California 95472



jetames@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joel Thames
6630 MORELLA AVENUE
NORTH HOLLYWOOD, California 91606



vogirl@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christy Atkinson
123 South Figueroa Street
413
Los Angeles, California 90012



anandadomingos@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ananda Domingos
640 Wakerobin Lane
San Rafael, California 94903



jack@ryneronobrien.com

08/14/2006 03:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jack McGuire
"1512 Franklin Street, Suite 200"
Oakland, California 94612



driehart@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dale Riehart
86 south park st
san francisco, California 94107



jillbdnd@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jill Boyle
27401 Stanford Drive
Temecula, California 92591



uuconpunk@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Pack
10624 E Whitmore Ave
Hughson, California 95326



mtasj@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sherry Marsh
5030 Alicante Way
Oceanside, California 92056



gardenbeekeeper@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Will Yeager
1407 Venice Blvd.
Venice, California 90291



sampsond@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Duane Sampson
PO Box 13361
Torrance, California 90503



lara@laraabrams.com
08/14/2006 03:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lara Abrams
2201 bridgepointe parkway unit 308
san mateo, California 94404



athryft@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ann Thryft
15520 Big Basin Way
Boulder Creek, California 95006-9341



labgoddess22@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maggi Brooks
1917 Shipway Ave
Long Beach, California 90815



gbeerline@bak.rr.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Glenn and Beverly Beerline
21693 Stacey Lane
Tehachapi, California 93561



LYNN_M_AZAR@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

lynn azar
801 sunlit lane
Bonny Doon, California 95060



2court@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Foster
P.O. Box 15920
Sacramento, California 95852



Susann@hammerandjacobs.com

08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Nickels
257 Banana Grove Lane
San Jose, California 95123



hoppi@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ernest Hopkins
271 Talus Street
Chula Vista, California 91911



fnfields@quixnet.net
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

frank fields
678 panorama dr
san francisco, California 94131



pwellner@getupstandup.net
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pamela Wellner
1009 De Haro St
75 Arkansas St.
San Francisco, California 94107-3208



frostitude@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Pousman
20612 PCH
Malibu, California 90265



francis1spreitzer@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Francis Spreitzer
4731 N. Winners Circle "C"
Palm Springs, California 92264



tia@anf.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tia Triplett
4073 Bledsoe Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066



krissi@danielssonarts.com

08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

K Danielsson
1051 2nd St #2
Monterey, California 93940



andrew@marrsandersen.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Andrew Andersen
625 N Guadalupe Avenue Unit 4
Redondo Beach, California 90277



executive@napf.org
08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rossol
4523-H Carpinteria Avenue
Carpinteria, California 93013



margarita.abbott@usps.gov

08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Margarita Abbott
201 13th St
Oakland, California 94612



lynn@araly.com
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Watson
937 Via Nieto
Santa Barbara, California 93110



matto@acm.org
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matthew Olson
957 Hayes St. Apt. 9
San Francisco, California 94117



saevans@scrserv.com
08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sarah Evans
541 Louisiana Street
Vallejo, California 94590



redwood818@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael McBride
5324 Mark Court
Agoura Hills, California 91301



se_alsman@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sara Alsman
3140 Trout Gulch Rd.
Aptos, California 95003



iowaprice@netscape.net
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Timothy Price
1841A Vine Street
Berkeley, California 94703



jaseverino@scif.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I've lived in California for all of my 45 years, and I'm sorry to say that the air quality in the Southern San Joaquin valley is the worst I have ever had the misfortune to see, smell and taste. Prevailing winds push the smog generated by the Valley's industries and vehicles to the base of "the Grapevine", where it piles-up against the mountains. On a hot Summer day (which is most Summer days), the air burns your eyes and throat, and the fragrant aroma of deisel fumes and cow manure is is, frankly, nauseating.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joseph Severino
31-D Front St.
Healdsburg, California 95448



rcsink_98@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Randy Sink
16835 Algonquin St.
#206
Huntington Beach, California 92649



redbirds_vision@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Dear Ms. Doris Lo:

I live in Los Angeles, and was surprised, upon visitng Fresno several times over the past few years, to find the air quality of both places very similar. In neither place is it particularly good.

If the San Joaquin Valley and Los Angeles areas are not measuring up to EPA standards, we shouldn't give up by accepting declarations of compliance which are false. It is a huge task to correct the problems, but it is the task for which the EPA was formed and which it must continue to work toward.

Please do not allow the EPA to loosen its standards in order to ratify a declaration of air health compliance in the San Joaquin Valley. Let us instead face the challenges that prevent the area fro having acceptable air quality. Let us work toward new solutions that will offer people air they can safely breathe.

Sincerely,

Corina Roberts
P.O. Box 702
Simi Valley, California 93062



lindella@samtrans.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Astrid Lindell
826 Dolores Avenue
"San Carlos," , California 94577



inoah@mff.org
08/14/2006 03:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ian Noah
5109 Showboat Lane
Culver City, California 90230



kaynh@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Hollis Hardy
1734 Reliez Valley Rd
.
Lafayette, California 94549



krpitted@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

kai hally-rosendahl
18715 paseo cortez
irvine, California 92603



erichiss@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eric Hiss
3997 Clayton Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90027



crowedog32@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vicky Guarracino
1311 48th Ave. Apt#1
San Francisco, California 94122



StaceyBClayton@aol.com

08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stacey Clayton
66261 Avenida Suenos
Desert Hot Springs, California 92240



gwenharrison@cox.net

08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gwen Harrison
2 Seaview Drive
Santa Barbara, California 93108



lfultz@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Laura Fultz
P.O. Box 4899
Fresno, California 93744



laura_teer@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Laura Teer
80 Evans Rd.
Milpitas, California 95035



rhardack@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

richard hardack
640 euclid avenue
Berkeley, California 94708



juliemagic@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julie Levine
21255 Entrada Road
Topanga, California 90290



gdharma13@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Georgianna C. Knopf
265 N Chorro Street, G
San Luis Obispo, California 93405-1396



jsdavine@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jill Davine
4047 LaSalle Avenue
Culver City, California 90232



llyerly@prodigy.net
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Lyerly
825 Munevar Rd.
Cardiff by the Sea, California 92007



gaye.smith@fox.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gaye Smith
1712 Rotary Drive
Los Angeles, California 90026



zafu@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Margaret Weimer
169 Briar Lane
San Mateo, California 94403



mrstampfer@lbl.gov
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

martha stampfer
7290 sayre drive
oakland, California 94611



sani.golriz@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

sani golriz
5584 honeyman st.
simi valley, California 93063



eclarkceramics@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ericka Clark Shaw
53 Laurel Grove Ave
Kentfield, California 94904



hedgegraphics@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joanne Hedge
1415 Garden St
Glendale, California 91201



sqrlady@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Norma Campbell
37 Decorah Lane
Campbell, California 95008



marc@ostrickproductions.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

marc Ostrick
4411 Los Feliz Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90027



Charles_12919@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles Gallagher
1333 S. Belardo Rd. #507
Palm Springs, California 99264



gimpergirl@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Ward
240 Kendal Lane
Cambria, California 93428



hiyou@kornsnake.com
08/14/2006 03:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charlene Chauvaux
923 Sheffield St.
Cambria, California 93428



hartigan6@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

As a Registered Nurse, attorney and mother I am shocked to learn of the state of the breathable air in the Valley. I am writing to implore you to review this matter and take corrective actions. Please initiate immediately a reconsideration of recent determinations of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. STRONGER standards are needed not leniency.

THE PROBLEM will not go away without your corrective actions. While children make up only 25 percent of the population, they comprise 40 percent of all asthma cases in the valley. Fresno and Kern counties have the highest childhood asthma rates in the state with approximately one in five children diagnosed -- double the national average.

An estimated 157,000 children and adolescents in the San Joaquin Valley have been diagnosed with asthma, a number which has increased approximately 200 percent over the past twelve years.

This asthma impacts these children life long and affects absenteeism from school and work, financial burdens for medical costs to families and to the State of California, and creates needless pain and suffering.

The Valley is now one of the most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and ILLEGALLY IGNORES VIOLATIONS of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

I urge the EPA to carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. The responsibility falls on your shoulders to use the highest integrity in performing the PROTECTIVE actions your

agency is ordained to monitor. PLEASE REVIEW and TAKE STRONGER ACTION NOW. The children are suffering needlessly.

We are a creative society and can turn around and MUST turn around this industrial pollution epidemic with new alternative business practices.

We have placed our trust in you to lead the way. Please step up and speak out. I hope to see a revised plan very soon.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hartigan Bloom, RN, Attorney at Law
347 Dolan Ave
Mill Valley, California 94941



cruzenise@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Denise Cruz-Castino
21885 Ybarra Rd
Woodland Hills, California 91364



kirk@knightcap.com
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kirk Hockinson
25 Prairie Falcon Drive
Novato, California 94949



clnrkn@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Nichols
323 Loyola Drive
Aptos, California 95003



pschneider@ktsftv.com
08/14/2006 03:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Schneider
840 C St. #732
San Rafael, California 94901



ihiller@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Inez Hiller
3414 Shangri-la Road
Lafayette, California 94549



Mitchell@Taxheart.com

08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Friedman
1461 Creekside Drive
Apt. 3003
Walnut Creek, California 94596



jlucero**brown@earthlink.net**
08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeff Brown
Po Box 818
Felton, California 95018



lisa.stanislawczyk@sheamortgage.com

08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lisa M. Stanislawczyk
712 n mollison #c
el cajon, California 92021



jamie_frey@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jamie Fink
2619 England Street
Huntington Beach, California 92648



globalfusion@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ian Dogole
315 Durant Way
Mill Valley, California 94941



smatthews@jonesday.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sloan Matthews
715A Bradford Way
Pacifica, California 94044



fwhite@shcj.org
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

France White, SHCJ
1833 E Orange Grove Blvd
Pasadena, California 91104



wisdominst@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

larry otting
1 buccaneer
marina del rey, California 90292



jrtuner@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Turner
3871 Panda Court
San Jose, California 95117



cdelacey777@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carol DeLacey
200 E. Racquetclub Rd
Unit 66
Palm Springs, California 92262



mark.edgren@shell.com

08/14/2006 03:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Edgren
1000 Sanders Drive
Moraga, California 94556



capnkokopelli@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Galieti
5174 Long Branch Ave
San Diego, California 92107



agataterre@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dona Van Bloemen
1117 3rd. Street
Apt. 5
Santa Monica, California 90403



jeanine619@baymoon.com
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeanine Sande
564 Cuesta Dr.
Aptos, California 95003



jjsims@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Sims
212 Vanden Court
Vacaville, California 95687



wbrphd@rightbox.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dr. Wayne B. Robinson
4264 Cougar Hills Way
Antelope, California 95843-2485



lilyfl190@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lily Leung
1106 Bismarck Lane
Alameda, California 94502-6936



reedjohns@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Reed Johnston
1200 Lakewood Ave.
Modesto, California 95355



dcyager@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deborah Yager
5775 Highwood Rd
Castro Valley, California 94552



Esqbondoc@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jose Ricardo Bondoc
"410 Winston Dr., Apt. #104"
San Francisco, California 94132



amontapert@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anthony Montapert
11160 Valley Spring Place
North Hollywood, California 91602



omni@mcn.org
08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

BC Macdonald
POB 69
Albion, California 95410



cbonetti007@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carla Bonetti
POB 2250
Nevada City, California 95959



DSCHORLING@HOTMAIL.CO
M

08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Doug Schorling
2171 Church Ave.
Sanger, California 93657



calvaryman2002@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

howie Guridi
1662 44th ave
calvaryman2002@yahoo.com
san francisco, California 94122



neber1@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

cynthia neber
1979 Grace Ave
Los Angeles, California 90068



lapunta33@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Rossenouff
P.O. Box 121447
Chula Vista, California 91912



mflaming@earthjustice.org
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

As a resident of California, who has numerous family members, coworkers, and friends with asthma, I am particularly concerned with the connection between our air quality and health.

On behalf of all of us who have to breathe this air, I am asking you to please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Maggie Fleming
5227 Locksley Avenue
Oakland, California 94618



withrow37@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Withrow
212 Vanden Ct
Vacaville, California 95687



mudnessa@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Farmer
920 Sycamore Ave
apt 31
vista, California 92081



felstiner@stanford.edu

08/14/2006 03:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Felstiner
english dept
stanford, California 94305



2manfredi@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Peggy Manfredi
1645 Valdora Street
Davis, California 95618



lynnlevin@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

It am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lynn Levin
3841 Somerset Ave.
Castro Valley, California 94546



bevagee32@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beverly Agee
337 Mustang St.
San Jose, California 95123



sdlorac@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Carol Galante
3782 Crete St
San Diego, California 92117



kaplanvb@cruzio.com
08/14/2006 03:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Phil Kaplan
100 N. Rodeo Gulch Rd. #29
Soquel, California 95073



nancyo@humboldt1.com
08/14/2006 03:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

NANCE O
1 CHERI LANE
CARLOTTA, California 95528



abrickell@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Arthur Brickell
380 La Herran Dr.
Santa Clara, California 95051-6313



SimbaChloeSSF@verizon.net
08/14/2006 03:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Niki Erickson
44840 Lamour Ct.
Lancaster, California 93535



gische@cs.stanford.edu

08/14/2006 03:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Gische
76 Exeter Ave
San Carlos, California 94070



njohnstonwalker@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

nancy walker
623 n. edinburgh avenue
los angeles, California 90048



katydid5@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Snow
3340 Mccaw
Santa Barbara, California 93105



kaystarring@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Starring-Rogers
703 Kingery Drive
Ripon, California 95366



sandyandsue@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

RobRoy McGregor
11619 Remington St.
Lake ViewTerrace, California 91342



cheinold@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christian Heinold
547 24th St
#22
Oakland, California 94612-1742



d9cs@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Hawkins
10431 Kailua Lane, #5
Tujunga, California 91042-1756



jberthelot@juno.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Berthelot
6925 Treasure Trail
Los Angeles, California 90068



brosmar@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bettina Rosmarino
428 W Ave 44
Los Angeles, California 90065



geoformer@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

George Reeves
721 Elaine Dr
Stockton, California 95207



wanderingpacket@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Bell
1200 Dale Ave, Apt 93
Mountain View, California 94040



mcgarr@usgs.gov
08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Arthur McGarr
3666 La Calle Ct.
Palo Alto, California 94306



matthewisles@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matthew Isles
626 Grand Ave., APt. 4
Oakland, California 94610



charles.swift3@verizon.net
08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles H Swift
811 Standish Way
Redlands, California 92373-6148



spiralsue@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Quickel
Mohr Ave
Pleasanton, California 94566



johnandsioux@socal.rr.com

08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Strickland
6663 Gross Avenue
West Hills, California 91307



susanpruess@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

susan pruess
12425 incline drive
auburn, California 95603



jmmcentee@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janet Mcentee
5550 Oak Park Lane #300
Oak Park, California 91377



marilyn.phillips@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Phillips
632 Phil Ct.
Cupertino, California 95014



vince@hearstcastle.com
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vince Cukrov
9140 Balboa Ave.
San Simeon, California 93452



Rixrack@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Spaur
751 Yearling Court
Camarillo, California 93010-2958



nkeating@ucsd.edu
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Keating
1423 Avocado Rd
oceanside, California 92054



sbrandlin@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sean Brandlin
448 West Maple Ave
El Segundo, California 90245



b_hulstrom@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Hulstrom
1003 Warbonnet
Perris, California 92570



edvanforte@adelphia.net

08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your seriously flawed determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious negative implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am appalled that this finding will falsely relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Propriety and good judgment requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information properly necessary to the making this important and far-reaching determination in a manner that it's purpose requires.

Sincerely,

Ed Van den Bossche
121 40th St
Newport Beach, California 92663



thomasaldrige95112@yahoo.
com

08/14/2006 03:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

It appears that EPA is once again taking the Low Road and ignoring the environment and the health of our citizens and skirting our Environmental Regulations. Once again it appears that EPA is failing to follow its own regulations at the expense of our health!! I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

thomas aldrige
296 s 13 st
san jose, California 95112



windruith@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jill Gordon
2411 Benjamin Drive
Mountain View, California 94043



riddm@speakeasy.net
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gabe Schaffer
1921 Ashby ave
berkeley, California 94703



ckantrim@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Craig Antrim
1312 S. Pacific Ave.
San Pedro, California 90731



cdcurry@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Chloe Curry
11807 Jellico Ave
Granada Hills, California 91334



kurt@alliedbrokers.com
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kurt Klemm
660 Mariposa Ave 3308
Mountain View, California 94041



LouiseClark@Comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Louise Clark
6 Blackthorn Rd
Lafayette, California 94549



JinyaM@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Virginia Manley
907 Bourn Drive, A-14
Woodland,, California 95776-9372



roger.muller@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Roger Muller
150 Tapia Dr
San Francisco, California 94132



joscar1313ec@netscape.net
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

E. Oscar Chambers
3 Charmaine Court
Novato, California 94949



bmke68@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Epstein
225 Cameo Dr
Danville, California 94526



roberta.moore1@verizon.net
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Roberta Moore
30326 Avenida Maravilla
Cathedral City, California 92234



bfraser@alumni.ucsd.edu

08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Fraser
702 California St
Santa Cruz, California 95060



marks@cleanenergyworks.net
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Stout
1382 N Linden Ave
Fresno, California 93728



lucylanc@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

deborah lancman
3040 brant st.
san diego, California 92103



RA3ajw@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

Pollution control and public health statewide and nationwide are significantly impacted by the air quality in the San Joaquin Valley. Now more than ever it is critical to accurately monitor and then quickly address problems. We can all win or we can all lose. Your choice

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

A Bonvouloir
PO Box 70185
Sunnyvale, California 94086



pinkyscout@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

pinky jain pan
P.O. Box 14982
Santa Rosa, California 95402



mhdornish@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Margaret H. Dornish
6873 Carnation Drive
Carlsbad, California 92011



gzarr@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mailie La Zarr
2805 Yosemite Blvd. # 178
Modesto, California 95354



rdown@sullicurt.com
08/14/2006 03:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robin Down
2674 Lincoln Ave
Altadena, California 91001



mrobertson@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 03:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Merilie Robertson
24308 Shrewsbury Cir.
Canoga Park, California 91307



jcunningham@earthjustice.org

08/14/2006 03:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jory Cunningham
69 Glen Ave #204
Oakland, California 94611



yogablu@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julie Gengo
Po Box 217
Cardiff By The Sea, California 92007



Larden9@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Larry Dennis
35170 Garcia St.
Union City, California 94587



nosspam@woodynet.net
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William E. Woodcock
2355 Virginia Street
Berkeley, California 94709-1315



hoansw@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joan S Weaver
22351 Mission Circle
Chatsworth, California 91311



kmarkley@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Markley
25412 Adelanto Drive
Laguna Niguel, California 92677



radman@uclalumni.net
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephen Greenberg
2431 3rd Street
11
Santa Monica, California 90405



briley@smstech.com
08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bill Riley
962 Diamond St.
San Diego, California 92109-2505



jannecone@curonmedical.com

08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Annecone
1683 Almond Blossom Lane
San Jose, California 95124



dlwshield-lists@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Debra Willis
5772 Bellflower Drive
Newark, California 94560



chris-jan@att.net
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

C McCrary
pob 341
Redwood City, California 94061



pkmmkm@sonic.net
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patrick Michaels
Box 4025
santa rosa, California 95402



gjmc48@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail McMullen
1734 N. Kingsley Dr. #4
Los Angeles, California 90027



saharazambony@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Maseng
1350 N Fuller Ave #8
Los Angeles, California 90046



rustgarden@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

morgan coffey
po box 492
summerland, California 93067



tyester3@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Diane Blair
351 Old Newport Blvd. #119
Newport Beach, California 92663



nina_lr@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nina Lubick-Reich
310 S. Jeffersom Apt.30H
Placentia, California 92870



Randall.Hughes@Roche.Com

08/14/2006 03:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

I find it criminal for big business to try and side step their legal obligations to be responsible for managing their waste. The EPA's responsibility is to ensure that corporate America does not get away with illegal practices. Please ensure that the EPA holds all business responsible for such crimes. Since when does someone have a free ticket to do as they wish and endanger the existence of people! My parents and family live in the San Joaquin Valley and they certainly deserve to breath clean air as does anyone on this planet. How about if your family lived in there?

Sincerely,

Randall I Hughes
5122 Congress Avenue
Oakland, California 94601



larrysork@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Larry Sork
425 Orange Street #306
Oakland, California 94610



gailcamhi24@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I ask you to reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has vital implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am distraught this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue addressing the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Work ethic and rationality demand EPA take its time and carefully evaluate all information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail Camhi
724 16th Ave.
#5
San Francisco, California 94118-3544



hoper@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 03:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Hope Rosenfeld
735 Camino Dos Rios
Thousand Oaks, California 91360



cath.sophia@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Catherine Sommer
Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94117-1443



rjennich@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

R. Jenniches
5388 Vista Fortuna
Cypress, California 90630



pohorsky_tom@emc.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583 comment

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tom and JoAnn Pohorsky
450 Amigo Road
Soquel, California 95073



shannagalloway@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shanna Galloway
5924 La Prada Terr
Los Angeles, California 90042



jvolpatti@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Volpatti
2270 North Point St. #3
San Francisco, California 94123



Dahappyclam@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Philip Barbour
31541 Summit Road
Laguna Beach, California 92651



jnedlog@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janet Golden
345 S Elm Drive
401 The Regency
Beverly Hills, California 90212



wackyrabbit@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lori Beebe
41642 47Th St West
Quartz Hill, California 93536



sokolowmus@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Fred Sokolow
1817 Hill St.
Santa Monica, California 90405



mgarrett@secor.com
08/14/2006 03:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Breathing Easy - EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

One in six children suffer from asthma in the San Joaquin Valley. Shouldn't children be allowed the joys of running and playing to near exhaustion, not exhaustion from limited lung capacity?

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Melissa Novak-Garrett
5700 Baltimore Dr. #147
La Mesa, California 91942



callie_riley@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Callie Riley
8054 Oak Avenue
Citrus Heights, California 95610-2514



stevedowning@cox.net
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steve Downing
2316 Edgewater Way
Santa Barbara, California 93109



starfirechild_2000@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Windham
3420 Gross Road
Santa Cruz, California 95062



ab133@charter.net
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Angela Black
1580 Monterey Road, #14-i
Seal Beach, California 90740



sky@usfca.edu
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kate Sky
PO Box 1699
Gualala, California 95445



daryl_maclaren@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Daryl MacLaren
260 S. Doheny Drive # 7
Beverly Hills, California 90211



katie@syrcl.org
08/14/2006 03:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

katie golobic
216 Main Street
Nevada City, California 95959



samedhichild@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Felicia Beppu
214 Central Ave.
Mountain View, California 94043



mjasper@accessbee.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Jasper
3921 DAwn Dr
Loomis, California 95650



cyrilbouteille@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cyril Bouteille
960 Bonita Ave
Mountain View, California 94040



jekramer@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

julie kramer
1288 church
san francisco, California 94114



liddgrace@onemain.com

08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Larry Diminyatz
PO Box 337
Nevada City, California 95959



thiememaster@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. It is your obligation!

Sincerely,

Abby Thieme
255 D Street
San Rafael, California 94901



mikek@rosebudus.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mike Kappus
2328 12th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116



bhoward713@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Howard
1320 Addison St Apt C240
Berkeley, California 94702



bluecup10@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tatjana Patitz
5737 Busch Drive
Malibu, California 90265



grammydragon@california.com

08/14/2006 03:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lea Braden
1705 Bryden Lane
Santa Rosa, California 95404-9636



dannordheim@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dan Nordheim
2327 Maywood Ave
Oakland, California 94605



mjmcpa111@msn.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Matthews
3577 Majestic Way
Bishop, California 93514



jstanley7@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jerome Parsons
18788 Favre Ridge Rd
Los Gatos, California 95033



lindawiner@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Weiner
72 Gates St.
San Francisco, California 94110



krtkdt2@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

kevin thomas
2911 glacier st
Sacramento, California 95821



andja@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Angela Papich
1220 Yale Street #2
2
Santa Monica, California 90404



AndiLA28@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Andrea Arena
400 Pintesca Dr
Pacific Palisades, California 90272



fueslein@bigvalley.net
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jerome Fueslein
20623 Nob Hill Circle
Groveland, California 95321



lovznsr@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ginger Mira
5046 Loleta Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90041



giedt@sti.net
08/14/2006 03:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jean Giedt
4341 Bridgeport Dr.
Mariposa, California 95338



supershyler@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shyla Raghav
921 veneto
irvine, California 92614



laznangrazn@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kim Bingaman
15608 Marina Ct
Canyon Country, California 91387



hschulsinger@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

herb schulsinger
16102 Pocono Street
Valinda, California 91744-3243



vemiller@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Victoria Miller
15857 Moorpark Street
Encino, California 91436



bogin@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ronald Bogin
2605 Edwards Ave
El Cerrito, California 94530



malionberger@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Megan Lionberger
10458 Ambassador Dr.
Rancho Cordova, California 95670



fkelly@calhum.org
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. According to credible information I have received, EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

I hope that you will reconsider the advisability of this decision and act to protect the vital interests of public health and well-being.

Sincerely,

Felicia Kelley
437 Crane Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90065



melsqt@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Melyssa Howe
28507 Bud Court
Saugus, California 91350



riverglen2816@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ralph Bocchetti
939 Arcadia Avenue
Arcadia, California 91007



julie@sayitaudio.com
08/14/2006 03:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julie Amato
4251 Tujunga Ave
Apt. #10
Studio City, California 91604



dmettam@verizon.net
08/14/2006 03:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Diane Mettam
692 Keough St.
Bishop, California 93514



JoshuaLudtke@gmail.com

08/14/2006 03:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joshua Ludtke
5925 El Cajon Blvd
Apt 307
San Diego, California 92115-3839



drjimcarpenter@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jim Carpenter
1831 Blake Street
Berkeley, California 94703



curtisshoop@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 03:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Curtis Schuppe
106 Lily Court
Hercules, California 94547



slowedpostal@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ERNEST J SCHOLZ
1175 CHESTNUTst#101
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94109



jdwilson8388@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janet Wilson
3382 G St Apt C
Merced, California 95340



tiz@ix.netcom.com
08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Davis
615 Santa Ray Ave.
Oakland, California 94610



mriddles2@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Riddlesperger
18825 Dylan St.
Northridge, California 91326



kenlamance@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ken LaMance
610 ILLINOIS STREET
UNIT 104
San Francisco, California 94107



avila4005@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Teresa Avila
1257 W Oakland Ave
Tulare, California 93274



kensilveria@prodigy.net

08/14/2006 03:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Silveria
1390 Market Street
Apartment 2416
San Francisco, California 94102-5317



barbaravoss@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:40 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. This is affecting the ability of children's lungs to develop and increasing medical costs. Protect the air from all this heavy pollution. Think long term about this issue.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Voss
10441 Darling Rd.
Ventura, California 93004



elisabelleira@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:40 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elisa Abelleira
3064 Tyburn Street
Los Angeles, California 90039



sudimccollum@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:40 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sudi McCollum
3244 Cornwall Drive
3244 Cornwall Drive
Glendale, California 91206-1419



tim@speak2.net
08/14/2006 03:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tim Natale
3579 E FOOTHILL BLVD 270
Pasadena, California 91107



johndanek@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Danek
7049 Hemlock Street
Oakland, California 94611



marykay@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Kay Raftery
6721 Bret Harte Dr.
San Jose, California 95120



bigbad@inreach.com
08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Murphy
2162 Park Ridge Drive
Riverbank, California 95367



Jasongreenlaw@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Greenlaw
1239 Liberty St
El Cerrito, California 94530



ncps1@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ellen Caldwell
25 Laurel Ave.
San Anselmo, California 94960



eobenaus@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

If we can't count on our officials to pursue matters for our best interests, what are we supposed to do! We must protect our air and water because they are so basic to our ability to live.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Obenaus
12 Idalia Ct.
San Anselmo, California 94960



shirley@alphavision-consulting
.com

08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shirley Puga
101 N. Vulcan Avenue
Encinitas, California 92024



marian.cruz2903@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marian Cruz
661 4th St.
Hollister, California 95023



lindra@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Drattell
1702 Nursery Way
Pleasanton, California 94588



bksomis@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kerkhoff
5636La Cumbre Road
Somis, California 93066



jaz@lmi.net
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jody Zaitlin
297 Berkeley park Blvd.
Kensington, California 94707



kkbluerose@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

K Krupinski
4664 Rosewood Ave
LA, California 90004



mjgalbraith@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Galbraith
5085 Tisdale Way
San Jose, California 95130



kbrenna1@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Brennan
9403 Aldabra Ct
San Diego, California 92129



acsumrall@cruzio.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Amber Coverdale Sumrall
841 Laurel Glen Rd
Soquel, California 95073



sistajean52@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. The air in the valley is sub-standard, if not toxic!

This deceptive finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, clearly one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed the finding of attainment based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the chemical-friendly, agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores ongoing violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will serve to relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of enforcing tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. Exercise discretion, now, come clean about the air quality!

Sincerely,

Jeannette Hassberg
52 Majestic Ave.
S.F., California 94112



fritzing@haas.berkeley.edu

08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dennis Fritzing
2121 Blake St. #9
Berkeley, California 94704



clairezeller@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kim-Zeller
1301 8th Street
Manhattan Beach, California 90266



mmoeller@emimusicpub.com
08/14/2006 03:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Moeller
415 N. Sycamore Ave. #103
Los Angeles, California 90036



gfite@thegrid.net
08/14/2006 03:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I grew up in Los Angeles and suffered from years of air pollution before moving to the San Francisco Bay Area. I visit Fresno several times a year and I can tell you, the air in the Valley is just about as bad as the smog I grew up with in L.A. This is simply unacceptable! Much more needs to be done to clean up the dirty air in the San Joaquin Valley. Consider not only the children and the farmworkers; consider all of the people and the economically vital crops grown there. It is absolutely essential to clean up the San Joaquin Valley air basin!

I am asking you please to reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Greg Fite
4719 Hillside Drive
Castro Valley, California 94546-1406



ReneS@C21America.com

08/14/2006 03:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rene Succa
2801 Townsgate Rd #123
Westlake Village, California 91361



eclark_wworks@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elaine Clark
2429 Telegraph Avenue
Stockton, California 95204



louis@freerangegraphics.com
08/14/2006 03:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

louis fox
60 Rausch St.#209
209
San Francisco, California 94103



etoile90230@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Amber Tidwell
2420 1/2 N. Beachwood Drive
Los Angeles, California 90068



drakejay@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jay Drake
1536 Great Highway #31
San Francisco, California 94122



jcollas@ix.netcom.com
08/14/2006 03:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judith Woodhams Collas
760 Swarthmore Avenue
Pacific Palisdes, California 90272



ufoattack@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul Covington
1223 Greenacre Avenue
West Hollywood, California 90046



aylagriffinart@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Kandel
7066 Sunset Rd.
Joshua Tree, California 92252



hasamuels@juno.com
08/14/2006 03:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Harold A. Samuels
5659 Ramara Ave
Woodland Hills, California 91367



gunderwo@ss.ca.gov
08/14/2006 03:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gillian Underwood
3110 Serra Way
Sacramento, California 95816



dggerke@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Gerke
23201 Grandview Ter.
Chatsworth, California 91311



nonnied28@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nondas Duarte
1213 Jasmine Ct.
Brentwood, California 94513



dclark@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dustin Clark
355 E. Taylor Ave.
Sunnyvale, California 94085



lafed@courthousenews.com

08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Violeta Enciso
897 Sorrento Ct.
Duarte, California 91010



cpbsfca@bialous.com
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charmaine Bailey
670 Funston Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118



rayandsteph@saber.net

08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Thomas
1880 Perch Road
Willits, California 95490



cherylmarkman@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Markman
4982 Collomia Court
San Jose, California 95111



CierraBuer@gmail.com
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cierra Buer
15190 China Rapids Rd.
Red Bluff, California 96080



eggdel@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

c rondi
403 Pacific Ave
Santa Monica, California 90404



trudyw@sierratel.com
08/14/2006 03:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Trudy Williams
5650 Meadow Lane
Mariposa, California 95338



gadaabout@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gloria R. Greenleigh
2048 Fox Hills Dr.
Los Angeles, California 90025



douglas.stevenson@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Douglas Stevenson
2808 Welk Common
Fremont, California 94555



dorranrb@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dorran Boyle
27401 Stanford DR
Temecula, California 92591



lflores566@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lydia Flores
8316 North Raisina Avenue
Fresno, California 93720-2083



frankcannon_@excite.com
08/14/2006 03:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Frank Cannon
PO Box 14581
So. Lake Tahoe, California 96151



jonniswensen@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 03:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jonni Swensen
1217 Amherst Ave. #1
Los Angeles, California 90025



sasha619@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Carrera
4519 Los Feliz blvd
LA, California 90027



asadegh2003@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

arash sadeghian
16493 Patina Ct.
Chino Hills, California 91709



vicki.castrejon@frontierspublis
hing.com

08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Victoria Castrejon
17330 Burbank Bl # 7
Encino, California 91316



jcoatsworth@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Josephine Coatsworth
65 Oak Ridge Rd
Berkeley, California 94705



amostajab@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Afsaneh Mostajab
P.O. Box 16745
Irvine, California 92623



mjgomez@adelphia.net

08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Gomez
110 Newport Center Dr.
Suite 200
Newport Beach, California 92660



scotti@fotografia-LA.com
08/14/2006 03:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bisogno Scotti
5078 Lemon Grove Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90029



JMScheels@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Scheels
3300 Brittany Circle
Napa, California 94558



kpope@dc.rr.com
08/14/2006 03:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Pope
3541 E. Sunny Dunes Rd
Palm Springs, California 92264



rkenbauer@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ken Bauer
703 Falls Ct.
Pleasant Hill, California 94523



birderz@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 03:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marianne Slaughter
1656 Riente St.
Camarillo, California 93010



ndassonv@dhs.ca.gov
08/14/2006 03:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dassonville
198 Mitchell Ct.
Vallejo, California 94589



hug_a_pet@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vira Confectioner
POB 374
Sunol, California 94586



JOELUC1@MSN.COM

08/14/2006 03:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joseph Luciani
15940 Stoddard Wells Rd# 62
Victorville, California 92392



charles@ksdmusic.com

08/14/2006 03:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Please help us on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles Raggio
1014 S. Orange Grove Ave
Los Angeles, California 90019



CitationEncore@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Saul Markowitz
318 N. California St.
Burbank, California 91505



jcrossley@ev1.net
08/14/2006 03:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jean Crossley
P.O. Box 1185
Winters, California 95694



cbrazis@mac.com
08/14/2006 03:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

chris brazis
10 appleton apt a
San Francisco, California 94110



ebriccetti@comcast.net

08/14/2006 03:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Briccetti
8339 Terrace Dr
El Cerrito, California 94530



Jamie Fink
<jamie@coastalgeo.net>
08/14/2006 03:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject EPA-R09-0AR2006-0538

Ms. Lo,

I am writing in response to the air quality of the San Joaquin Valley in California. I was told you were the spokesperson/contact person for the proposal to let local pollution control agency off the hook for continuing efforts to clean up particulate matter pollution in the San Joaquin Valley. Before I continue, I would like to know I have contacted the right person to share my concerns with.

Thank you,
Jamie Fink

I understand the deadline for public comments is August 18th. I would like to respond to this proposal prior to the deadline.



ks_iverson@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 03:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kent Iverson
P.O. Box 172
Sonoma, California 95476



jandp1993@comcast.net
08/14/2006 03:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judy Johnson
5782 Coldwater Dr.
Castro Valley, California 94552



LAnnD4animals@charter.net
08/14/2006 03:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Laurie Denison
6931 E 11TH ST
Long Beach, California 90815



sportif@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 03:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Kortz
116 Bayside Court
Richmond, California 94804



david@davidspero.org
08/14/2006 03:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Spero
2325 Casitas Way
Palm Springs, California 92264



gerryjim@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 03:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gerald Orcholski
2400 Brigden Rd
Pasadena, California 91104



mcbrien@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 03:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pauline McBrien
6204 Pecan Ave
Orangevale, California 95662



pdavison@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 03:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Davison
10483 Flanders Place
San Diego, California 92126



reefster2@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gary Reese
440 Camino Flora Vista
San Clemente, California 92673



mdmauer1@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Mauer
932 Rome Dr.
Los Angeles, California 90065



bawsum@aol.com
08/14/2006 03:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lori McBride
514 Oak Park Way
Redwood City, California 94062



kgodwin@marketingavenues.com

08/14/2006 03:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Douglas Godwin
4352 Bennett Place
Oakland, California 94602



nitella40@excite.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Georgia Lynn
13 Torrey Pines Ln
Bakersfield, California 93308



warren.gold@ucsf.edu
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Warren Gold
300 Monte Vista Avenue
Mill Valley, California 94941-5080



jandjfax@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Galloway
700 Agnew Road Apt 125
Santa Clara, California 95054



rani_cherkoori@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

R. Cherkoori
1817 10th St.
Manhattan Beach, California 90266



jsf2k@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Fisch
12021 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 140
Los Angeles, California 90025-1206



janwhovar@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janet Hoover
12591 Scandia St
Garden Grove, California 92845



lsdayve@myfastmail.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Hind
7931 15th St.
Westminster, California 92683



veraorefice@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Vera Orefice
23951 De Ville Way
Malibu, California 90265



auguste_roberts@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

A. Roberts
1817 10th St.
Manhattan Beach, California 90266



ibisboy@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Simpson
1815 Virginia St
Berkeley, California 94703



HANNAHAN@HOTMAIL.COM

08/14/2006 04:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Hannah Andersen
28188 Moulton Pkwy. #2123
Laguna Niguel, California 92677



madsarafina@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. I live and work here, and I know that even if you say we are in attainment, our air is still not safe to breathe.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sarah Sharpe
1055 N Van Ness Ave
Fresno, California 93728



tom@wildware.net
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tom Neuman
1230 Atlantic City AV
Grover Beach, California 93433



rjdelan@erols.com
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Delaney
474 Hassinger Road
San Jose, California 95111



nisha_s@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nisha Srinivasan
3150 james ct
Santa Clara, California 95051



catlink1@AOL.COM
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cathy Layton
3703 Crooked Creek Dr.
Diamond Bar, California 91765



emcshannon@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ellen McGrath
617 university ave
los gatos, California 95032



inion@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lisa Gee
PO Box 8674
La Crescenta, California 91224



lrk21sb@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lynch
9844 Lucca Way
Elk Grove, California 95757



kimmythelioness@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Rapp
2503 Edison Avenue Apt. 11
Sacramento, California 95821



dalematlock@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 04:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dale Matlock
1413 N. Branciforte Avenue
Santa Cruz, California 95065-1226



nmcostenbader@mac.com
08/14/2006 04:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Noreen Costenbader
14800 Crescent Dr.
Magalia, California 95954



bear_n_boo@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

S. Perry
1403 Inyo #30
Crescent City, California 95531



manda548@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Amanda Mc Dermott
820 Weaver Ln.
Concord, California 94518



zivian@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anna Zivian
418 Bellevue St.
Santa Cruz, California 95060



ssmattson@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sean Mattson
PO Box 881
Belmont, California 94002



lesxdon@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Leslie Seki
2680 Butler Ave
Los Angeles, California 90064



robertaellen@mac.com
08/14/2006 04:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

roberta newman
300 Monte Vista Avenue
mill Valley, California 94941-5080



katgallag@comcast.net

08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

katgallag@comcast.net Gallagher
76 Woodside Dr
San Anselmo, California 94960



caparlette@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

chris parlette
1147 Camelia st
Berkeley, California 94702



Jdempsey@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christine McCarthy
6220 Temple Hill Dr
LA, California 90068



susieeee@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Ellis
26329 W. Plata Lane
Calabasas, California 91302



michaelsr.1@netzero.net
08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mike Modena
24 Forest View
San Francisco, California 94132



gpimentel@socal.rr.com
08/14/2006 04:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Pimentel
18665 Kimbrough Street
Santa Clarita, California 91351



Jeremy.Sunderland@thewb.com

08/14/2006 04:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Sunderland
7335 Easthaven Lane
West Hills, California 91307



karmaley@comcast.net

08/14/2006 04:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Stegemann
7409 Wesley Lane
Citrus Heights, California 95610



paralegal@ispmonsters.com
08/14/2006 04:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lynne St. John
4602 Kansas Street #303
San Diego, California 92116



lazarus62@comcast.net

08/14/2006 04:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kyle Gardner
5541 Barbara Way
Carmichael, California 95608



GKFrancis@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Money can't buy good health, but the greed for money made by violating the federal standard for clean air can hurt public health. Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail Francis
280 St. Crispin Ave.
Brea, California 92821



INFO@BACKSUPPORTSYST
EMS.COM

08/14/2006 04:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

j K
p.o. box 961
Desert Hot Springs, California 92240



gebar@pobox.com
08/14/2006 04:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gordon Barrett
13591 Beaumont Avenue
Saratoga, California 95070-4917



katie_kalemba@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Katie Kalemba
2076 Williams Street
Palo Alto, California 94306



jraeder@feminist.org
08/14/2006 04:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jessie Raeder
930 N. Palm Ave
#316
West Hollywood, California 90069



beverlychandler@mac.com

08/14/2006 04:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beverly Chandler
P.O. Box 956
Garberville, California 95542



oobrighteyesloveroo@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 04:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kate Watson
1634 Morganite Court
Folsom, California 95630



jmichels@mac.com
08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Josh Michels
180 Clifford Terrace, #6
San Francisco, California 94117



mcmpostino@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maria Calleia
22241 Summit Vue Drive
Woodland Hills, California 91367



Marghenke@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Margaret Henke
10951 Coventry Pl.
Santa Ana, California 02705



ekolittle@shastaabbey.org

08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eko Little
3724 Summit Dr.
Mt. Shasta, California 96067-9102



dammett@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steven Pitsenbarger
246 Faxon Ave
San Francisco, California 94112



georgiou@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

My son has asthma due to unclean air and I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Georgiou
33 Esternay Drive
Foothill Ranch, California 92610



mariavic@inreach.com

08/14/2006 04:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Victor Afanasiev
14041 Avenida Central
La Grange, California 95329



guma@sonoma.lib.ca.us
08/14/2006 04:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Guma
P O Box 358
Valley Ford, California 94972



mbsent@msn.com
08/14/2006 04:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sentovich
11642 Wallingsford Road
Los Alamitos, California 90720



mac-marshall@uiowa.edu
08/14/2006 04:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Keith Marshall
1617 Hexem Avenue
Santa Rosa, California 95404-2303



johnjuly@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Sefton
20462 Rose Canyon Rd
PO Box 714
Trabuco Canyon, California 92678



mmwyatt@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maria Wyatt
6329 East Eliot Street
Long Beach, California 90803



zbenjamin@stanfordmed.org

08/14/2006 04:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

zoya benjamin
400 Pilarcitos ave
Half moon bay, California 94019



mehasbrouck@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Ellen Hasbrouck
1301-B San Domar Dr
Mountain View, California 94043



otto9otto@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Otto Hunt
1685 Avenida Oceano
OCEANSIDE, California 92056-6931



olsenr@sonoma.edu
08/14/2006 04:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Olsen
2 Pine Tree Circle
Petaluma, California 94952



LoganTVG@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Logan
2106 Parnell Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90025



trlikins@cruzio.com
08/14/2006 04:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tod Likins
130 Anita Street
Santa Cruz, California 95060



glagman66@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 04:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

GENEVIEVE LAGMA DUMAGUINDIN
3626 VAN WIG AVE.
Baldwin Park, California 91706



kmcram0@comcast.net

08/14/2006 04:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kristin Cram
348 Camaritas Way
Danville, California 94526



danielholeman@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Daniel Holeman
50 Sonoma St #12
San Rafael, California 94901



ejarcher@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

E. James Archer
1137 Vanderbilt Way
Sacramento, California 95825



brej@xemaps.com
08/14/2006 04:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Brent Rowett
181 Mill Valley Circle South
Sacramento, California 95835



spodeode@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steve Ode
1179 Lenor Way
San Jose, California 95128



tgordi@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Toufigh Gordi
2750 Melendy Dr. #2
San Carlos, California 94070



kdevere@bautelaw.com
08/14/2006 04:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

I am an asthma suffer myself. I can tell you that it is terrifying not to be able to breathe. For children, this must be horrifying. The trips to the hospital, the quantity of drugs I need to take in order to breathe -- their unknown long-term side effects, the money spent on doctors and medicines. It's amazing that these things need to be pointed out to you as serious concerns. People need to live in the Los Angeles area in order to make a living. That's why I'm here. You can't just ignore the air. Air quality must be vigilantly enforced and the standards must be high.

All businesses are like little children: They will try to get away with whatever they can; they will push the envelope every day of their existence. You can't let them be in charge, because children don't think beyond their immediate self-interest. That's why we have laws. And that's why EPA protection is vital!!! Don't let us down. Smack those kids!!!

Sincerely,

Kirsten Devere
3917 E Oakdale Ave
Pasadena, California 91107



rholmes001@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am a grown adult in my 40's who has had friends die of asthma in the valley in recent years. Your determination of attainment will cost the lives of working adults, retirees, and impact the long term health of children in the area. Their blood will be on your hands if you falsely determine that air quality is good, when it actually isn't.

Very, very sincerely, Richard Brooks Holmes

Sincerely,

Richard Holmes
3357 Chasen Drive
Cameron Park, California 95682



ichortle@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephen Henry
2107 Montana Ave. #2
Santa Monica, California 90403



tosimmonds@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Norm Simmonds
1979 McCrea Rd
Thousand Oaks, California 91362



gail@wayniacs.net
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail Edwards
3664 Mosswood Drive
Lafayette, California 94549



gaiakin@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Angela Remedi
463 W. Gainsborough Rd.
Thousand Oaks, California 91360



Lametreza@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Alicia Jackson
401 Goheen Circle
Vallejo, California 94591



dgoosey@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Doug Goosey
6916 Purpleridge
Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90275



room5025@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dennis Landi
328 E. Jacaranda Ave.
Orange, California 92867



roaring_kitty@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elaina Caner
1552 Sherwood Village Circle
Placentia, California 92870



zinder.ellie@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tanya Vargas
15421 Victoria Lane
Huntington Beach, California 92647



scberman77@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steve Berman
1155 Elm Ave
#13
Glendale, California 91201-3713



Katwman103@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Katherine Ahlers
23505 South Normandie Ave.
Harbor City, California 90710



chloe@gadjoinc.com
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Chloe Conger
4848 Lexington Ave
Apt 308
Los Angeles, California 90029



craig.rich@stanfordalumni.org
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Craig Rich
682 Irolo Street #403
Los Angeles, California 90005



mquellas@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matthew Quellas
4143 Perlita Av #A
Los Angeles, California 90039



adrian@atg.com
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pepper Mint
1668 Great Hwy
San Francisco, California 94122



brian.boortz2@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Brian Boortz
631 N. Santa Cruz Ave.
Los Gatos, California 95030



kychiro@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kristofer Young
407 Del Norte Road
Ojai, California 93023



colinmchugh@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Colin McHugh
1245 N Kings Rd
Apt 5
West Hollywood, California 90069



endobob@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Rosenberg
32 Toussin
Kentfield, California 94904



sanchezintmeddoc@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ralph Sanchez
P.O. Box 6607
Carmel, California 93921-6607



sistergh@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Miller
411 Poppinga Way
Santa Maria, California 93455



Lindalee34@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Lee Whitelock
12342 Santiago Rd. E.
San Diego, California 92128



blakedeb@netzero.net
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Blake Reese
1039 n mentor
Pasadena, California 91104



mmilward@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

melesio milward
33791 navajo trail
cathedral city, California 92234



jnutty@gmail.com
08/14/2006 04:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Schreiber
PO Box 7216
Santa Cruz, California 95061



loucksunio@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing today to implore you to reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Loucks
13347 Skyhawk Court
Unit B
Chino Hills, California 91709



darthgeezr@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles W. Forslund
2110 De La Vina #8
Santa Barbara, California 93105



dmontes215@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dominic Montes
3263 Neptune Drive
Riverside, California 92503



jfairfield@att.net
08/14/2006 04:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Fairfield
2 Portola Drive
San Francisco, California 94131-1518



abernstein@companion-group.
com

08/14/2006 04:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Annie Bernstein
783 Esterbrook Ct.
Alameda, California 94501



jh.creativeecho@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jinx Hydeman
18806 Vista Modjeska Rd.
Portola Hills, California 92679-1108



ahimsa9@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

shannon abernathy
140 bay st. # 7
Santa Cruz, California 95060



ivandryer@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ivan Dryer
18651 Nau Ave.
Northridge, California 91326



anitaj937@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and ILLEGALLY IGNORES VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL STANDARD from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of OBLIGATIONSto CONTINUE TO ADDRESS THE PARTICULATE MATTER PROBLEM that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence REQUIRES EPA TO TAKE ITS TIME AND CAREFULLY CONSIDER ALL the INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO IT when making this IMPORTANT and far-reaching DETERMINATION !!!

Sincerely,

Anita Stover
501 W. Sierra Apt.114
Fresno, California 93704-1136



harluco@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Harvey Corales
P. O. Box 8543
Pittsburg, California 94565



zoeschwartz@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Zoe Schwartz
104 Red Hill Circle
Tiburon, California 94920



maryk92@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Kearney
20740 Via Roja
Yorba Linda, California 92886



april.house@ngc.com
08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

April House
8300 Manitoba St.
Playa del Rey, California 90293



frankrscott7377@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 04:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Frank R Scott III
1601 W Santa Clara Ave
Santa Ana, California 92706



violet.hopkins@gmail.com
08/14/2006 04:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Violet Hopkins
1121 E Harvard St.
Apt C
Glendale, California 91205



nyeax@lavc.edu
08/14/2006 04:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

annabelle nye
6906 sunset ridge ct
west hills, California 91307



caroleaston@netzero.net
08/14/2006 04:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

carol easton
407 valencia school rd
aptos, California 95003



mariemcd@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marie McDonald
51 Lusherm Ct.
Walnut Creek, California 94597



johnalfredstevensiii@msn.com

08/14/2006 04:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Stevens
P O Box 24
Tecopa, California 92389



gcrd@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 04:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bernardi
7500 Tierra Sombra Ct.
San Jose, California 95120



urielmegan@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Uriel Ulam
125 W. Santa Ana Ave. Apt C
Clovis, California 93612



ecolass@hubcat.org
08/14/2006 04:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Catone-Huber
1336 Shadowbrook Terrace
Harbor City, California 90710



benvegan@poloniustheatre.com

08/14/2006 04:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ben Chiang
4411 Cahuenga Blvd.
#2
Toluca Lake, California 91602



tmorris@coxcastle.com
08/14/2006 04:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tara Morris
1841 S. SHENANDOAH ST
Los Angeles, California 90035



wintress@wildmail.com

08/14/2006 04:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lynn Price
Box 222
S. Lake Tahoe, California 96156



elaine1111@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject View on :EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am requesting that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

This finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

The EPA needs to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elaine Wilson
2357 Del Amo
Torrance, California 90501



grkuskey@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rev GR Kuskey
1834 Arrow Route
Upland, California 91786



susanoliver2u@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 04:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Oliver
2811 Villa Serena Circle
2811 Villa Serena Circle
Rocklin, California 95765



jacobtlyons@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jacob Lyons
2606 W. Clark Av.
Burbank, California 91505



fergsun@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sandra Robinson
5907 Calvin Ave
Tarzana, California 91356



ghosthost7@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Helsing
7985 Santa Monica Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90046



mirvineop@sanrafaelop.org
08/14/2006 04:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marion Irvine
1520 Grand Ave.
San Rafael, California 94901



mandyspit@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

mandy spitzer
152 hgagemann
santa cruz, California 95062



annierab2@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Galvin
4719 Geary Blvd. #303
San Francisco, California 94118



mpicco@megapathdsl.net
08/14/2006 04:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Picco
Box 1274
Shingle Springs, California 95682



goredwings@charter.net
08/14/2006 04:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stacey Smith
3008 Shipway Avenue
Long Beach, California 90808



arcvalo@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Vallow
1709 Elevado Avenue
Arcadia, California 91006-1708



donaldommm@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Don Mathews
201 A Valley Street
Sausalito, California 94965



judyalter@comcast.net
08/14/2006 04:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judith Alter
11260 Overland Ave 22D
Culver City, California 90230



jill@becketts.ws
08/14/2006 04:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

jill Beckett
323 Los Altos Dr.
Aptos, California 95003



munger5683@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shayne Munger
5184 Merrill Ave.
Riverside, California 92504



sacgwm@mac.com
08/14/2006 04:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gary McLaughlin
433 Sierra Lane
Scaramento, California 95864



r.rocco2@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Rocco
12554 Indianapolis St.
Los Angeles, California 90066



michelesalsas@mac.com
08/14/2006 04:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michele Amirkhas
100 Rishell Drive
Oakland, California 94619



caton@slonet.org
08/14/2006 04:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Caton
3760 Willowcrest Ave
Studio City, California 91604-3926



mary@lovejoycreative.com
08/14/2006 04:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Eaton Fairfield
4143 Howe Street
Oakland, California 94611



liezer2@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lemoin
1354 McBain Ave
Campbell, California 95008



michael@allmusicervices.com

08/14/2006 04:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

michael mascioli
44 prosper st., #3
san francisco, California 94114



peachtwo@verizon.net
08/14/2006 04:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Gutierrez
7035 Tipu Pl.
Etiwanda, California 91739



heidilv@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please help restore the air quality to the San Joaquin Valley. It's currently one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

It appears the EPA may be catering to large business pressure rather than taking care of the public health. This matter impacts all people and goes much further-it impacts our animals, the food grown in the Valley, and our quality of life.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heidi LeVell
494 Coe Avenue
San Jose, California 95125



lee_c_harrison@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lee C. Harrison
8068 Crystal Place
Ventura, California 93004



askalice@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 04:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Alice Polesky
890 Kansas Street, #4
San Francisco, California 94107



marcialove5@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marcia Lovelace
307 Jayne Avenue
Oakland, California 94610



charliensf@gmail.com
08/14/2006 04:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles Williams
168 Chattanooga Street #4
San Francisco, California 94114



wienerlaw@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steven Wiener
4782-D La Villa Marina
Marina del Rey, California 90292



jbarrymore@com-pair.net
08/14/2006 04:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joan Barrymore
PO Box 227
Shingletown, California 96088



mark.cappetta@comcast.net

08/14/2006 04:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Cappetta
800 N EL CAMINO REAL UNIT 205
SAN MATEO, California 94401-3761



deesimmons@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 04:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dee Simmons
1015 Stimel Dr
Concord, California 94518-3947



jlseals2001@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:41 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Seals
11033 Massachusetts Avenue #25
Los Angeles, California 90025



samsjames@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Sams
2875 Cowley Way #615
San Diego, California 92110-1011



richardsantivong@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Santivong
600 W. Floral Dr.,
Monterey Park, California 91754



morgainne98@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 04:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries. My grandchildren live in this valley and I want to assure their continued respiratory health. As a healthcare provider, I know just how harmful the air in that valley is to young children, and to the elderly.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ruth Ann Thomas RN BSN
900 Henderson Avenue, #29
Sunnyvale, California 94086



sharlene@equestrianart.com
08/14/2006 04:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

This problem in the San Jouquin Valley is person to me because I am originally from the area, and my parents and relatives live there. Please have concideration for people's health and well being and do something about the polution that is killing them. We are talking about "people's lives here". There definitely needs to be better polution control. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharlene J. Osorio
16 Hillcrest Rd.
Saint Helena, California 94574



lowellmoorcroft@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lowell Moorcroft
3871 Piedmont Ave
Oakland, California 94611



tikkimon1@msn.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carl Erickson
1021 Scandia Avenue
#54
Ventura, California 93004



edbeahm52@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Edwin Beahm
100 Chattanooga #4
San Francisco, California 94114



philsimtpr@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Philip Simon
130 Cresta Drive
San Rafael, California 94903



mcdries@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Dries
100 San Benito Road
Brisbane, California 94005



jonbines@pobox.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Bines
1841 Hollyvista Ave
Los Angeles, California 90027



ackerylady@msn.com
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beverly Ackerman
355 Bodmer Lane
Santa Rosa, California 95404



turtletoo@animail.net
08/14/2006 04:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paula Warner
2903 Dollar Street
Lakewood, California 90712



measkren@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Askren
4730 Whipple Road
Riverside, California 92506-1039



jwolf@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 04:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Wolf
P.O. Box 472
Cardiff, California 92007



nmlind@comcast.net
08/14/2006 04:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Neeta Lind
3309 Adelaide Way
Belmont, California 94002



wanganuilaurie@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Laurie Ember
13046 Addison St.
Sherman Oaks, California 91423



pa0la421@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Your determination for the quality of the air in the San Joaquin Valley sounds wrong.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paula Barsamian
2120 n. Pacific ave. #2
Santa Cruz, California 95060



amiller623@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

A.J. Miller
9 Emperor St.
Irvine, California 92604



winstonl@humnet.ucla.edu
08/14/2006 04:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Leslie Winston
600 Central Ave. #54
Riverside, California 92507



hubcat@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 04:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeff Catone-Huber
1336 Shadowbrook Terrace
Harbor City, California 90710-2472



gaecan@lucasvalley.net
08/14/2006 04:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Canfield
75 Mt. Tenaya Dr.
San Rafael, California 94903



dsconcepts@dc.rr.com

08/14/2006 04:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Sternberg
85 Palm Ave.
#3
San Francisco, California 94118



wildoak@sonic.net
08/14/2006 04:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nikki Farrer
POB 1234
Occidental, California 95465



guzzi@inreach.com
08/14/2006 04:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sherry Guzzi
PO Box 7763
Tahoe City, California 96145



hlcarver@msn.com
08/14/2006 04:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Herrick Carver
1145 Hayes Street, Apt. 1
San Francisco, California 94117-1601



detwillerchris@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Chris Detwiller
777 W Middlefield Rd Apt 166
Mountain View, California 94043



julie.899@juno.com
08/14/2006 04:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julie Spengler
899 Los Robles Ave
Palo Alto, California 94306



jcm9952@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 04:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christine Mirabelli
419 Westbourne St.
La Jolla, California 92037



cutegirt@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deanna Gianopoulos
4140 Woodruff Ave
Oakland, California 94602



labrador@cwnet.com
08/14/2006 04:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Harry Potter
1334 Stonebridge Way
Roseville, California 95661



ronrattner@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ron Rattner
1998 Broadway #1204
San Francisco, California 94109-2206



bhatch@cox.net
08/14/2006 04:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Blair Hatch
6154 Del Cerro
San Diego, California 92120



rentarobyn@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 04:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Annette Telles
800 W. Wilson Street
Pomona, California 91768



mykobar@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barry Cutler
40797 Schafer Place
Palm Desert, California 92211



rmhassler@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

margaret&rich Hassler
1079 Keith Ave.
berkeley, California 94708



caridder2@netzero.com
08/14/2006 04:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

catherine ridder
3091 lake ave
altadena, California 91001



jdavies66@aol.com
08/14/2006 04:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

I was raised in Fresno and my entire family, brother and sisters, father and mother grandparents, grandchildren and all live in towns throughout the San Joaquin Valley I have seen the difference in the air quality over the past 50 years since I was a young girl in the Valley. My father has had cancer, and an aunt, as well, I believe the environmental and water quality has an effect on the critical health issues that face the people in the whole Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judith Davies
623 Marine St
Apt. 2
Santa Monica, California 90405



ross@cgl.ucsf.edu
08/14/2006 04:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Wilson Ross
590 5th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118



dennisgarber@msn.com
08/14/2006 04:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dennis Garber
5740 Calpine Dr.
Malibu, California 90265



jhilsing@calpoly.edu
08/14/2006 04:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Hilsinger
145 South St. #A9
San Luis Obispo, California 93401



richardcooper57@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

richardcooper57@hotmail.com Cooper
5631 Castle Dr
Oakland, California 94611



jgrentzinger@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Grentzinger
1202 Ford Avenue
Redondo Beach, California 90278



ottosjacket2@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ron mcguire
3002 1/2 petite ct.
los angeles, California 90039



patsharpdesigns@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 04:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pat Sharp
312 Marshall St.
Grass Valley, California 95945



karenw@sonic.net
08/14/2006 04:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen L. Wiesner
800 Shiloh Canyon
Santa Rosa, California 95403



b_land53@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 04:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Brandi Gartland
3020 El Cerrito Plaza
El Cerrito, California 94530



sarabliss@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sara Wallace
23 S. Roosevelt Ave.
Pasadena, California 91107



bkilelight@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 04:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beverly Kile
759 El Granada Blvd. Half Moon Bay
Half Moon Bay, California 94019



gatekeeper@pacific.net
08/14/2006 04:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Smith
pob621
willits, California 95490



coreybarnes1@comcast.net
08/14/2006 04:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

corey K. Barnes
713 Citrus Pl.
novato, California 94945



firebyrd@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 04:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charlene Root
8634 Friends Avenue
Whittier, California 90602



semcrae@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stacey Mcrae
45154 Crystal Springs Dr
Indio, California 92201



hl12@humboldt.edu
08/14/2006 05:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Why is it that the people of the San Joaquin Valley do not rate protection from the pollution that is destroying the health of so many of them? Is it that they are seen as unimportant or undeserving in some way? Are they considered politically inconsequential?

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heidi Lockwood
1215 M Street
Arcata, California 95521



tyersome@nature.berkeley.edu
u

08/14/2006 05:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Randall Tyers
63 Oakvale Ave.
Berkeley, California 94705-2403



ina@inamitchell.com
08/14/2006 05:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ina Mitchell
22301 Cass Ave.
Woodland Hills, California 91364



elliott_lew@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lew Elliott
P.O. Box 262
Castaic, California 91310-0262



buffjones@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Roslyn Jones
6528 Dante Circle
Riverside, California 92506



treeman478@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

rick meril
25504 hamilton court
los angeles, California 91302



mstrohmyer@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mandy Revell
1451 Cranmer Drive
Walnut, California 91789



louve14@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

sara hayes
370 temple ave. #1
long beach, California 90814



anneveraldi@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anne Veraldi
21 lapidge
SF, California 94110



Barafacz@excite.com
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bernard Rafacz
4100 Parkside Place
Carlsbad, California 92008



eme.snowy@buhs.k12.ca.us
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elena Eckland
496 E. Yaney
Bishop, California 93514



camila_chavez99@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

camila chavez
2120 park way
bakersfield, California 93304



pcoop@svn.net
08/14/2006 05:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul Coopersmith
PO Box 900
Inverness, California 94937



SL.Gamble@verizon.net
08/14/2006 05:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sandra Gamble
914 W. Perch Ave.
Ridgecrest, California 93555



ali@mattole.org
08/14/2006 05:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ali Freedlund
1304 Sunset Ave
Arcata, California 95521



gloryresa@cox.net
08/14/2006 05:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gloria Resa
151 Rainier Ct
Chula Vista, California 91911



pamgreen11@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pamela Green
2200 Pacific Ave.
Unit 11E
San Francisco, California 94115



emnov@comcast.net
08/14/2006 05:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael & Sally Novotny
P.O. Box 360652
Milpitas, California 95036



elisemallove@aol.com

08/14/2006 05:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

elise mallove
po box 93
topanga, California 90290



sadiesue0002@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

patricia a barnes
1724-d sapling ct
concord, California 94519



rkangas02@comcast.net

08/14/2006 05:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I have lived in Fresno County right in the heart of the San Joaquin Valley most of my life. I am 60. So I have seen enough to tell you what is happening:

POPULATION GROWTH HAS OVERCOME PRESENT POLLUTION CONTROLS. THERE ARE TOO MANY CARS, TOO MUCH TRAFFIC, AND EVERYONE IS CONTRIBUTING TO OUR WORSENING AIR PROBLEM. FACTORY FARMING IS CONTRIBUTING. JUST DRIVE AT NIGHT AROUND NEW VALLEY DAIRIES WHERE THOUSANDS OF COWS MILL AROUND. THE DUST STIRRED BY THESE RELATIVE NEW ARRIVALS IS AN OBVIOUS CONTRIBUTOR. OTHER CHEMICALS TOO ARE CONTRIBUTING. PESTICIDES ENCOURAGED FOR FARMERS BY OUR CHEMICAL INDUSTRY AND BY THE POOR SCIENCE COMING OUT OF OUR AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITIES IS CONTRIBUTING. OTHER METHODS SORELY NEED TO REPLACE THE RELEASE OF SO MANY CHEMICALS. THE CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT OF SO MANY NEW VARIETIES OF VINES AND TREE FRUIT ENCOURAGE THE CONSTANT REPLACEMENT (SUPPOSED MARKET DEMAND) OF PLANTINGS AND THEN THE BURNING OF THE OLD PLANTS.

Poor air has affected my children and my students with asthma. Poor air has affected my grape crop. Poor air dirties my pickup and my house and the plants in my yard. Poor air causes more Valley Fog in the winter. Poor air is harming the vegetation and waters in the Sierra Nevada. Poor air is spilling over to the Mojave Desert. Desert air is not so clear anymore. Poor air in the Valley prevents me from seeing the Glorious Sierra Nevada...it is not just blurred...the Range of Light is hidden by the poor air. Poor air is ugly. The number one contributor is still the auto and the truck and the dust they stir. Hwy 99 is the biggest contributor.

The people here won't like this, but we need to stop driving so much. That really is the root cause.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account

for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Kangas
2701 A Street
Selma, California 93662-3103



fcalabre@calpoly.edu
08/14/2006 05:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Frank Calabrese
1900 Willow Drive
Los Osos, California 93402-2926



garybardo@comcast.net
08/14/2006 05:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gary Boren
501 Guerrero #6
San Francisco, California 94110-1046



rmorris@bak.rr.com
08/14/2006 05:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ray Morris
7319 Pembroke Ave.
Bakersfield, California 93308



evah@phenxint.com
08/14/2006 05:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eva Hofberg
824 W. 15th Street, #27
Newport Beach, California 92663



mikelane@jps.net
08/14/2006 05:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Lane
115 Alabama Street
Vallejo, California 94590



stonesong@vom.com
08/14/2006 05:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley. EPA has proposed a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

Please address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available. The public's health depends on it.

Sincerely,

Gwyn Williams-Stanton
19489 Laurelbrook Court
Sonoma, California 95476



bhunter@windsorwines.com
08/14/2006 05:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Howard H. Holmes
1589 Marmont
Los Angeles, California 90069



dimondstein@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Dimondstein
749 S. Longwood Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90005-3826



cheesemans@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail Cheeseman
20800 Kittridge
Saratoga, California 95070



stolisho@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matt Shogren
6130 Burlingame Ave
Richmond, California 94804



tcequine@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Terry Church
1899 Middle Two Rock Rd.
Petaluma, California 94952



lorcahart@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

lorca hart
15944 valerio st.
van nuys, California 91406



mikedmote@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Mote
275 Blossom
Brea, California 92821



revs.moore@verizon.net
08/14/2006 05:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Moore
620 W. 8th St.
Claremont, California 91711-4212



savagescientist@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Corbin Stefan
530 W 10Th St
Corona, California 92882



bjorn.jorde@tumbleweed.com

08/14/2006 05:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bjorn Jorde
69 Hudson St
Redwood City, California 94062



dvashah@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lynn Feinerman
not applicable
not applicable, California 94942



bksmvs@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marghi Hagen
135 Spur Close
POB 337
The Sea Ranch, California 95497



cstroop@stanford.edu
08/14/2006 05:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christopher Stroop
119 Quillen Court
Apt. 213
Stanford, California 94305



classicana@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 05:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anna Bainter
2083 Temple Trail
Jamul, California 91935



redean@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robin Dean
125 2nd Street
Apt. 606
Oakland, California 94607



reinberg@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Don Reinberg
112 Peralta Ave
Mill Valley, California 94941



sjmbioconsult@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 05:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

stephen montgomery
7071 chapala canyon court
san diego, California 92129



gloof@cox.net
08/14/2006 05:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mel Henshaw
2125 5th Avenue
Apt 3
San Diego, California 92101-2137



adoncross@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

A. Donald Cross
"350 Arballo Drive, Apt. 7K"
San Francisco, California 94132



Filmex75@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Clean air for children in San Joaquin Valley,

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

K. Scibetta
9645 Wheatland Avenue
Shadow Hills, California 91040



crackmagazine@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lauren Schiffman
P.O. Box 8223
Emeryville, California 94662



wxmonn@ix.netcom.com

08/14/2006 05:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Brian Stauffer
1404 Charlson Rd.
Aptos, California 95003-9527



bruce@ahartman.net
08/14/2006 05:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bruce Hartman
2673 Alhambra Way
Pinole, California 94564



courtg80@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Courtney Guetschow
6050 Kenneth Ave.
Fair Oaks, California 95628



adrienne@zubi.com
08/14/2006 05:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Waterston
7139 La Jolla Blvd.
La Jolla, California 92037



bettinall@verizon.net
08/14/2006 05:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bettina Lambert
355 Coronado Ave.
Long Beach, California 90814



meloncollie78@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Corina Anderson
8716 Duncanson Dr
Bakersfield, California 93311



soxxeeh@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sheila Oxford
168 Vista De Oeste
Palm Springs, California 92264



bruce.thompson@stanfordalu
mni.org

08/14/2006 05:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bruce Thompson
154 Pelican Lane
Novato, California 94949



pennysun2001@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Penny Williams
844 Cedar Street
San Carlos, California 94070



eilmassey@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 05:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eileen Massey
5924 Herzog St
Oakland, California 94608



patachek@juno.com
08/14/2006 05:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I request that you reconsider your Determination of Attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a Finding of Attainment for the Valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the Valley's APCD of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries. The result is that Valley residents and visitors, of which I am one, will continue to suffer from elevated rates of asthma and other respiratory diseases, shortening lives, reducing productivity, increasing public health costs and degrading residents' quality of life.

Prudence requires EPA to take the time required to carefully consider all the information available when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Matejcek
PO Box 2067
Santa Cruz, California 95063



perpetua98@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carol Cetrone
427 N Commonwealth Av
Los Angeles, California 90004



clang@mcn.org
08/14/2006 05:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cheri Langlois
PO Box 1286
Mendocino, California 95460



longbeachhawkeye@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jon Swailes
3366 E 1st St
Long Beach, California 90803



royetuckman@webtv.net
08/14/2006 05:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Roy Tuckman
3661 Regal Pl.
Apt. 5
Los Angeles, California 90068



Sanderella01@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sandra Blackburn
346 N. Sunset Ave # 141
La Puente, California 91744-3517



jpezenas@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Pezenas
PO Box 257
North Hollywood, California 91603



mechemist@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Apryl DeLancey
5906 S Chariton Ave
Los Angeles, California 90056



jharo@stanford.edu
08/14/2006 05:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jessica Haro
p.o. box 13346
Stanford, California 94309



alexjes1@cox.net
08/14/2006 05:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Alex Jestrab
719-B Laguna Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101



ajthiel@adelphia.net
08/14/2006 05:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Aaron Thiel
PO Box 894
Twentynine Palms, California 92277



amandaleigh77@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 05:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Amanda Aldi
3330 Reynard Way #24
San Diego, California 92103



jrocke5@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janice Rocke
Dome,
Palo Colorao
Carmel, California 93923



lamcguire1@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Louise McGuire
6666 Heather Ridge Way
Oakland, California 94611



halebon@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ann Hale
PO Box 720276
Pinon Hills, California 92372



cxaudaro@dc.rr.com
08/14/2006 05:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carol Xaudaro
"2786 E. Tahquitz Canyon, Apt 207"
Palm Springs, California 92262



kbeckel@peoplepc.com
08/14/2006 05:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ElvaKatheryn Beckel
81742 San Salvador St
Indio, California 92201-7744



treehugger@centralhouse.net

08/14/2006 05:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jill North
20055East Clinton Rd
Jackson, California 95642



edingere@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Edinger
10822 Magnolia Ave.
Apt. 36
North Hollywood, California 91601



rmilbu@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

douglas milburn
po box 31
Wrightwood, California 92397



crausman@wildmail.com

08/14/2006 05:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Candi Ausman
4555 Thornton Ave. #62
Fremont, California 94536



pmknox1@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Knox
2856 Priscilla St
Riverside, California 92506



heidibuech@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Heidi Buech
12514 Culver Blvd., #9
Los Angeles, California 90066



rebhanson@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Hanson
P.O. Box 465
Camarillo, California 93011-0465



blafaver@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lafaver Gleason`
4479 Silverberry Ct.
Concord, California 94521-4419



paradigmshift@lovebeing.org
08/14/2006 05:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephen Pew
9582 Hamilton Ave. #100
Huntington Beach, California 92646



doija@juno.com
08/14/2006 05:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judy Doi
4310 Shelter Creek Lane
San Bruno, California 94066



gramnome@cox.net
08/14/2006 05:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Naomi Sobo
2838 juniper st.
san diego, California 92104



megmadden@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

meg madden
899 Green St
San Francisco, California 94133



jfpeters@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

john peters
5663 Balboa ave. (#200)
San Diego, California 92111



felsimted@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Pamela Kafton
14431 Ventura Blvd., #252
Sherman Oaks, California 91423



chakkert@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carola Hakkert
3310 Kimber Court #139
San Jose, California 95124



mrnolan@socal.rr.com

08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nolan Farkas
9843 Forbes Ave
Northridge, California 91343-1700



key4skip@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Donna Clark
2220 Westmont Dr
Alhambra, California 91803



drssmith@rocketmail.com
08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I write to request you to reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley, a place in which I had to work and live, FRESNO and BAKERSFIELD.

The finding has VERY serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Douglas R. Smith
P.O. Box 13131
Berkeley, California 94712



nancystefani@juno.com
08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Stefani
3409 E. Ocean Blvd. #6
Long Beach, California 90803



tom@sciline.com
08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Wasn't it George Bush who said:

"No Child Left Behind", except, of course, those who will sustain brain damage by ingesting too much Mercury in the "Water" they will drink and the fish they will eat. And those children afflicted with chronic asthma because their young lungs can't process the unabated pollution of our "Air". Or should I say: "Air" and "Water" as determined by the "Special Interests". There is no end in sight because there is no limit to their avarice and contempt for our Environment. An Environment which the Bush administration has allowed the "Special Interests" to claim as their own. Knowing that they must continually increase profits in order to look good to their "stock holders", they will continue to Sicken and Incapacitate our Children, Pollute our Air, Contaminate our Water and Despoil and Deplete our Natural Resources. In the name of their Greed, we are being buried in their garbage from the Sky down and the Ground up. Are we going to have to Smell their garbage on a Global Scale before any "Observable" Action is taken? Because when it reaches the

?Global Smell Stage?, it will be too late to do anything about it -if it isn?t already. It continues to appear that what is determined to be best for our Country is directly proportional to the satisfaction, or denial, of the insatiable greed of those running it. Whoever they(?) might be?
Tom Nass 5th Marine Division - WWII www.sciline.com

Sincerely,

Thomas Nass
5 Birty Court
SAcramento, California 95826



Gldmdlst@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Goldie Gold
123 Glen Ave
Marinwood, California 94903



cookie15530@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:41 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Torunn Sivesind
P.O. Box 536
Lafayette, California 94549



jcriders@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 05:41 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joseph Crider
109 Costa Rica Ave
Burlingame, California 94010-5211



missangel@adelphia.net

08/14/2006 05:41 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sheila Winston
5455 Camellia Avenue
North Hollywood, California 91601



noelp71@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lauri Provencher
10440 Seabury Lane
Los Angeles, California 90077



mediator1@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 05:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Doris, You & Elmer have 2 babies yourself, so you know FIRST HAND toxics' potential impact.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

gary Gray, Esq.
111 arroyo # 5
san mateo, California 94402



pkelly@surewest.net
08/14/2006 05:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rev. J. Patrick Kelly
2417 50th Street
Sacramento, California 95817-1502



bschramm@ucsd.edu
08/14/2006 05:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Beatrix Schramm
9250 Towne Center Dr.
San Diego, California 92121



Biancamsf@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bianca Molgora
3976 Folsom St.
San Francisco, California 94110



robotrapture@aol.com

08/14/2006 05:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Haley MacKenzie
667 Ocean Blvd.
Coronado, California 92118



kurtzman@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ralph Kurtzman
445 Vassar Ave.
Berkeley, California 94708



ljsullivan1166@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 05:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lauren J Sullivan
722 East Bunny Avenue
Santa Maria, California 93454-2912



ciaosly@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Delgadillo
7105 owens street
tujungca, California 81042



lakelian805@charter.net

08/14/2006 05:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

lorraine akelian
"3057 So. Higuera St., sp.110"
San Luis Obispo, California 93401



mhoneyb@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary H. Baker
35130 N. Wyse Rd.
Agua Dulce, California 91390-4654



weitzs@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 05:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephen Weitz
2757 Best Ave
Oakland, California 94619



FreddieHernandez@SBCGlobal.net

08/14/2006 05:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cathy Hernandez
1529 Delta St. A.
San Gabriel, California 91776-3421



kendrum@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Mundy
3650 Regal Place #37
Los Angeles, California 90068



williamson@alumni-gsb.stanford.edu

08/14/2006 05:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Peter Williamson
10611 Buckhorn Ridge Ct.
Truckee, California 96161



relfalison@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:48 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

I am an adult on Disability with asthma, and the state of California has stopped paying for my asthma medication, which I cannot afford for on my tiny disability check. You are killing us all!

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Relf A Star
3442 1/2 Padua Ave.
Claremont, California 91711-2059



wennie1@charter.net
08/14/2006 05:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Wennie Chang
1832 S. Valencia St.
Alhambra, California 91801



eric@tilenius.com
08/14/2006 05:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eric Tilenius
30 Drayton Rd
Hillsborough, California 94010



bfinch@onemain.com
08/14/2006 05:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Barbara Macdonald
P.O. Box 701
Woodacre, California 94973



skygoddess1111@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Farnham
735 Old County Road
Apt.H
Belmont, California 94002



casper@mail.anonymizer.com
08/14/2006 05:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Charles Wieland
206A Compton Circle
San Ramon, California 94583



funkasorous@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

chris salisbury
116 west blithedale ave
mill valley, California 94941



realfre@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rea Freedom
19760 Oakmont Dr.
Los Gatos, California 95033



sananda@covad.net
08/14/2006 05:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you urgently reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. It seems to me that the EPA is increasingly concerned with protection not primarily of the environment but of the financial interests of special interest groups that are favoured by the Bush Administration. I would remind you that the EPA is a public agency that exists to serve ALL the people of the United States not just the privileged rich ones. I think you should do the job you were hired to do - it's the right thing to do. We are talking about honesty and character here.

Thank You

Sincerely,

David Sanan
1771 Lilac Drive
Walnut Creek, California 94595



katieappel@gmail.com
08/14/2006 05:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Appel
69 Glen Avenue, Apt. 204
Oakland, California 94611



ljgriff1@comcast.net
08/14/2006 05:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Griffin
50 Walnut Ave
Atherton, California 94027



kayloukay@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kathy Lou Kronenberger
875 Tamalpais Ave
#2
Novato, California 94947



Tintorri2@aol.com
08/14/2006 05:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Suzan Tintorri
3441 Linda Vista Road
Glendale, California 91206



sonjad34@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sonja Doyle
6871 Colorado Ave
La Mesa, California 91942



Brilliantevents@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 05:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Lewis
Po Box 1882
Studio City, California 91614



thiele@astound.net
08/14/2006 05:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Thiele
146 Roslyn Drive
Concord, California 94518



barharbor123@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 05:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Greene
1124 W. Adams Blvd. #5
Los Angeles, California 90007



ulysses129@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 05:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ricardo U. Berg
1547 N. Beverly Glen Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90077-3129



yn24@uclink.berkeley.edu

08/14/2006 05:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Yuko Nakajima
63 Oakvale Ave.
Berkeley, California 94705-2403



danieln@clearconnects.com
08/14/2006 05:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

daniel norris
1701 northfield drive
yuba city, California 95993



maryvought@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 05:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mary Vought
739 Compton Way
Salinas, California 93906



weatherman@whoever.com

08/14/2006 05:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

john Weatherman
202W 1st st
Los Angeles, California 90012



jcomisar@ix.netcom.com

08/14/2006 05:59 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gerald Comisar
20350 Stevens Creek Blvd. #109
Cupertino, California 95014



annettebork@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I respectfully request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Annette Bork
4505 Sandburg Way
Irvine, California 92612-2739



Picarobert@msn.com
08/14/2006 06:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Kay, Jr.
10035 Mills Sta. Rd. #127
Sacramento, California 95827



beautify420@aol.com

08/14/2006 06:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tara Bangham
2525 N Bourbon St #S1
Orange, California 92865



eurolovet@yaho.com
08/14/2006 06:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul Lovett
1140 N. Cedar St.
Glendale, California 91207



sckopicki@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Kopicki
511 El Modena
Newport Beach, California 92663



drsurf1@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Don Lee
1397 Hymettus Ave
Encinitas, California 92024



llivingston@dslextre.me.com
08/14/2006 06:05 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Linda Livingston
3448 San Luis St
Ventura, California 93003



peterharrell@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Peter Harrell
Po Box 1731
Yreka, California 96097



jlcamp@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 06:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julia Camp
501 Rivergate Way #256
Sacramento, California 95831



julienlewy@adelphia.net

08/14/2006 06:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julien Lewy
13500 Rye st
Apt # 10
Sherman Oaks, California 91423



afsharlady@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Penny Krieger
385 Murphy Avenue
Apt. 306
Sebastopol, California 95472



m_hildebrandt@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matthias Hildebrandt
7440 Kentwood Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90045



circlemtn@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:08 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sharon Dougherty
PO Box 2644
Wrightwood, California 92397



jaycolbe@netzero.net
08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jay Colbe
6489 Village Centre Drive Apt.#306
Sactamento, California 95823



dwordsmith47@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dana Stewart
5669 Amaya Dr
Apt 374
La Mesa, California 91942



buddhabear88@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Therese DeBing
885 Seamist Place #204
Ventura, California 93003-0476



shyviola@gmail.com
08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marcella Hammond
4181 Utah St
San Diego, California 92104



martha@overthruster.net

08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Martha Arias-Moffett
701 Redwood Blvd 70
Redding, California 96003-1930



madrshap@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Madeline Shapiro
9816 Maryknoll Ave.
Whittier, California 90605



cblumrun@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

C Blum
valencia st
San Francisco, California 94110



djana413@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deanna Lee
Gomez Way
Mill Valley, California 94941



druatlax@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dru Bishop
803 N. Eucalyptus Ave #5
Inglewood, California 90302



franfromtx@webtv.net
08/14/2006 06:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

FRAN REYES
1455 ALBERTA ST.
LOS BANOS, California 93635



ann.adams95340@gmail.com

08/14/2006 06:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ann adams
236 W 20th St
merced, California 95340-4812



montana.hemisphere@verizon.
net

08/14/2006 06:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

vicki berkofsky
833 Ocean Av
Santa Monica, California 90403



bartowsky2002@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Samuel Lee
282 Mercy Street
Mountain View, California 94041



vcangel92@msn.com
08/14/2006 06:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

valerie sanchez
44258
fontana, California 92337



newmoon@cwnet.com
08/14/2006 06:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. Or perhaps you should just consider changing your name to the ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION AGENCY. Or maybe the EVERYTHING FOR PROFIT AGENCY. You wouldn't even have to change your letterhead!

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries. But I guess you feel more of a duty to protect those that are bribing you.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination. The EPA used to stand for something. I guess we know what you stand for now.

Sincerely,

Lari Evangelinos
PO Box 719
Brownsville, California 95919



mcarpiac@ucla.edu
08/14/2006 06:13 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maria Claver
8013 Blackburn Ave. #2
Los Angeles, California 90048



vmhugs@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Virginia Macy
7222 Sierra Ave. Apt. 107
Fontana, California 92336-3204



dilo@verizon.net
08/14/2006 06:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michele Lott
2797 Cactus Avenue
Chico, California 95973-7606



instaasian@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 06:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Russell Tamura
404 Seaview Dr.
El Cerrito, California 94530



eblavin@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 06:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Eli Blavin
4140 Duquesne Ave
Culver City, California 90232



n2rodeo@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Novak
2345 54th Street
San Diego, California 92105



kerstin.bandner@comcast.net

08/14/2006 06:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment regarding EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am hoping that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

Findings show serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, known as one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

Having a newborn, I am very troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

The EPA should take its time and very carefully consider all information available to it when making such an important and far-reaching determination. This affects our future and the future of our children!

Sincerely,

Kerstin Bandner
1504 24th Street
Sacramento, California 95816



tchrisop@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Thomas Chrisopulos
1959 Greenview RD
Escondido, California 92026



jorjanafiz@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Georgia Fizdale
914 W. Marcello Ave.
Thousand Oaks, California 91320



strshpbronk@photodance.com
08/14/2006 06:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

James Bronk
50 El Monte Way
Napa, California 94558



dizneyfan101@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Megan Camarena
166 South Boas Drive
Santa Rosa, California 95409



drpat@prodigy.net
08/14/2006 06:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patrick A. Ramsey
1111 South Lake Street
Burbank, California 91502



edeland@sisqtel.net
08/14/2006 06:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Deland
PO Box 69
Klamath River, California 96050



maureenr@ix.netcom.com
08/14/2006 06:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maureen Russell
1080 E Tujunga Ave
Burbank, California 91501



zoomanmike@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 06:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Kobert
12427 Caminito Briosso
San Diego, California 92131



deborahbriggs@playlovelive.com

08/14/2006 06:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deborah Briggs
5402 Baja Drive
San Diego, California 92115



SMaeschen@dc.rr.com

08/14/2006 06:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stanley Maeschen
69190 Tamla Ave
Cathedral City, California 92234



speirce@greenspeedisp.net

08/14/2006 06:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Maja Silberberg
12749 McCormick
valley Village, California 91607



siddharthmehrotra@verizon.net
t

08/14/2006 06:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will deprive EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of ability to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Siddharth Mehrotra
3230 Orange Drive
Camarillo, California 93010



NSwirin@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

This finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Norm Swirin
P. O. Box 381124
Los Angeles, California 90038



angelamoon@msn.com
08/14/2006 06:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Angela West
4116 Oregon St. #2
San Diego, California 92104



melinchow@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Melina Wade
1991 Hwy 140
Catheys Valley, California 95306



mvassos@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Vassos
79 Seton Road
Irvine, California 92612



livewithpassion@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shirley Kellerman
153 Ellwood Station Rd.
Goleta, California 93117



wesolsen@verizon.net
08/14/2006 06:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Wester Olsen
2696 Foothill Rd.
Santa Barbara, California 93105-2161



omiboc@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Hilda Bolden
P O Box 12165
Marina del Rey, California 90295



julieandrews06@comcast.net

08/14/2006 06:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Shirey
7711 River Landing Drive
Sacramento, California 95831



jmwolfe17@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 06:26 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley. My adult daughter has never smoked, yet is now unable to take a deep breath....Sounds like the valley pollution is knocking out her lungs.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Judith Wolfe
1093 Hwy 101 N., # 31
Crescent City, California 95531-8385



lysand@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sarah Shull
1924 Virginia St.
Berkeley, California 94709



bikegirlnancy@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hartman
839 mariposa road
lafayette, California 94549



kurtschwenk@netzero.net

08/14/2006 06:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kurt Schwenk
650 West California Boulevard
Pasadena, California 91105



rendaven@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Renee Davenport
1347 1/2 S. Mansfield Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90019



akligman@lausd.k12.ca.us
08/14/2006 06:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Kligman
1560 El Verano Dr.
Thousand Oaks, California 91362-2129



dmcveigh63@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Danielle McVeigh
5205 Fiore Terrace Apt B210
San Diego, California 92122



shhhsj@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 06:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rufus Wesley
369 Manila Dr.
San Jose, California 95119



Isaaklv@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jackie Berreth
38039 Wesley Court
Palmdale, California 93552



drdebperلمان@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Deborah Perlman PhD
3117 Mckinley Blvd
Sacramento, California 95816



steve_robey@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 06:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steve Robey
1114 Arch Street
Berkeley, California 94708-1615



hudson49@earthlink.net

08/14/2006 06:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Erickson
455 Jerome St
San Jose, California 95125



lanatickner@att.net
08/14/2006 06:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lana Tickner
3 Dapplegray Rd
Bell Canyon, California 91307



shelaghb@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

shelagh and Bob brodersen
136 Evergreen Ln
berkeley, California 94705



mgilgun@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Gilgun
925 Monterey Ct.
Chula Vista, California 91911



shoopedo@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 06:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Maria Shoop
220 Grand Ave. Apt. D
Long Beach, California 90803-6129



jerami@hopedesignstudio.com

08/14/2006 06:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jerami Prendiville
3382 Crossland Street
Thousand Oaks, California 91362



mandopixie@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:38 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul & Becky Statman
214a Bicknell Avenue
ste. 'A'
Santa Monica, California 90405-2302



brasc@mindspring.com
08/14/2006 06:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Brent Schoenfeld
5742 Calvin Ave
Tarzana, California 91356



mkonopa@juno.com
08/14/2006 06:36 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mike Konopa
60 Mann Street
Irvine, California 92612-2127



gordonseyfarth@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:37 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gordon Seyfarth
3300 highland ave
manhattan beach, California 90266



landisE@webtv.net
08/14/2006 06:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

landis everson
198 loma bonita
san luis obispo, California 93401



claireflewitt@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Claire Flewitt
975 Soto Drive
San Lorenzo, California 94580



GYG2@worldnet.att.net

08/14/2006 06:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gail Gordon
979 Colina Vista
Ventura, California 93003



lesleya@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 06:45 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

lesley stansfield
681 27 st
san francisco, California 94131



perdurabo5@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 06:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

michael garner
4000 2nd Ave
Sacramento, California 95817



lulu3mac@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lauri M Mc Kindley
1240 Rutledge Way
Stockton, California 95207-3625



michaelrotcher@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Rotcher
24542 Tarazona
Mission Viejo, California 92692



carablaze@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Leonie Tremaine
4668 Apple Hill Pl
Carlsbad, California 92010



pajret@surewest.net
08/14/2006 06:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Janice Reding
7572 Apple Hollow Loop
Roseville, California 95747



artsbtrav@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Arthur Denk
32 E. Islay St
Santa Barbara, California 93101



cschmalz@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 06:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

This letter is my request that you think of your decision to adjust the standards of particulate matter under your jurisdiction, as an opportunity to extend the health of our more vulnerable citizens who have already been subject to compromised standards, that pollute not only the environment, but cause increased suffering from respiratory diseases and asthma.

When someone blows smoke in my face it is rude. When someone does that 24/7 it's not only unhealthy, it can be considered criminal if it is proved.

The pollutants present have a detectable trail, and those who produce and distribute the particulates are clearly negatively impacting the lives you are charged to protect. Rather than shelter influential corporate constituents of politicians who'd take advantage of lax standards, so willing to compromise the health of our neighbors (perhaps members of your family) for ongoing advantage, that is measurable, why not take a more prudent course?

If it is not yet clear to you that the causal relationship between these pollutant bi-products is in effect: incidents of higher ratios of sickened patients? it is your role to minimize (and I would hope you would rather eliminate)? why not remove yourself from actual responsibility such a role should demand for families of Americans suffering the results of imprudent findings? your decision bares upon? as Administrators of Environment Protections you are mandated to uphold?

It is my view that the EPA by name and law is instituted to protect the safety of American Citizens, rather than despoil the very media from which life is sustained for our citizens. Damage by long-standing pollutants and irritants that indiscriminately damage everything it coats and embeds, compromises the future for all.

If compromising health is your charter, please find some other way to express such synical self-destruction.

However, should you view your charge as a responsible steward of the San Joaquin Valley, I'm hopeful you will reconsider the determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard in favor of sustainable health for all San Joaquin inhabitants

and their children.

Sincerely, Charles Schmalz

Sincerely,

Charles Schmalz
19131 Deer Hill Road
Hidden Valley Lake, California 95467



dianaatchley@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 06:47 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Diana Atchley
1385 7th Ave #29
Santa Cruz, California 95062



harry626@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:49 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Harry Brass
1206 Tevlin Street
Berkeley, California 94706-2412



bsansone@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

V R Sansone
485 Mare Island Way
Vallejo, California 94590



malkin4@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 06:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Becca Malkin
50 Weepingwood
50Weepingwood
Irvine, California 92614



jujglo25@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Gloria Aguirre
POBox 280263
Northridge, California 91328



randypress@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Roland Press
1290 7th St.
Hermosa Beach, California 90254-4946



elenadevine@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 06:50 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elena Devine
1857 Kentucky St
REDWOOD CITY, California 94061



kevinb@sti.net
08/14/2006 06:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kevin Bowman
5650 Meadow Lane
Mariposa, California 95338



tgr2wivs@comcast.net

08/14/2006 06:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Allen Perada
606 N. Poinsettia Pl.
Los Angeles, California 90036



d.m.griffith@worldnet.att.net
08/14/2006 06:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David Griffith
10450 Lavender Ct.
Rancho Cucamonga, California 91737



michaelmangia@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

michael mangiamele
530 Molino St.
Los Angeles, California 90013



houda@mac.com
08/14/2006 06:51 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

My brother lives in the San Joaquin Valley and I have numerous friends that live in this area. Please do not let up on keeping the air cleaner there.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Houda
1280 Rider Avenue, Sp 58
Salinas, California 93905-1257



blane10@juno.com
08/14/2006 06:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Blane Beckwith
2931 Ellis St.
Berkeley, California 94703



jeffsmith1@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:52 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely, Jeff Smith 8700 Glider ave Los Angeles, CA. 90045

Sincerely,

Jeff Smith
8700 Glider ave
Los Angeles, California 90045



Teresa7897@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please, please remember that air, like water is a natural resource. The lack of good quality air is affecting the San Joaquin residents.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Teresa Kruse
3935 Park Blvd.
Oakland, California 94602



patria@outinthewoods.com
08/14/2006 06:53 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patria Brown
5858 Empire Grade
Bonny Doon, California 95060



jgilbert@jgweb.net
08/14/2006 06:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeremiah Gilbert
P.O. Box 753
Bryn Mawr, California 92318



cag_92122@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:54 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Carol Ann Goldstein
San Diego
San Diego, California 92101-2938



lyon_william@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

As a concerned citizen and someone who has voted for more than 50 years, I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

William Lyon
1329 Garden Street
Glendale, California 91201



NormMoyer@aol.com
08/14/2006 06:55 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Norman Moyer
2157 Miramar Dr
Balboa, California 92661



mininic@cox.net
08/14/2006 06:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michele Woods
3732 Orion Drive
La Mesa, California 91941



jcote@socal.rr.com
08/14/2006 06:56 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

John Cote
24263 Martha St.
Woodland Hills, California 91367



macetheace@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mason Wright
5331 Northway Rd
Pleasanton, California 94566



patrous@bigfoot.com
08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patrik Rousselot
274 Grizzly Peak Blvd.
Kensington, California 94708



adambarr@pacbell.net
08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Adam Barr
2515 N. Commonwealth Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90027



onelionessa@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

De Ann Zarkowski
PO Box 1491
Lebec, California 93243



meganmundo@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Megan Garrett
190 arnold gamble cir
Sacramento, California 95835



clay@cwnet.com
08/14/2006 06:57 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Clayton Smith
P.O. Box 434
Grizzly Flats, California 95636



loupgaroux62@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 06:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Natashja de Wolfe
225 Irving Street, #6
San Francisco, California 98122-2633



dandinggo@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Dan Bristol
1630 Prince Street
Berkeley, California 94703



loira74@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 06:58 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Andrea Balcavage
5401 20th Ave
Sacramento, California 95820



dianeberry1@cox.net
08/14/2006 07:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley that does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases.

It is critical that EPA take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Diane Berry
1380 Stoneridge Cir.
Escondido, California 92029



pacdaniels@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Daniels
3525 Country Club Drive
LOS ANGELES, California 90019



eyeofanpu@verizon.net

08/14/2006 07:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Embrey
4205 E. Anaheim St.
Long Beach, California 90804-4270



patriciakoch@myway.com
08/14/2006 07:00 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patricia Kcoh
200 Siler apt C
Eureka, California 95503



kfisher50@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 07:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Fisher
653 Brock Drive
Redding, California 96003



jenniferheggie@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:01 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Heggie
532 Flood Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112



andyl24@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

andres lopez
13948 east giordano st
la puente, California 91746



sblaziek@spiritearthphotography.com

08/14/2006 07:02 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sharon Blaziek
2903 Ransford Avenue
Pacific Grove, California 93950



jcsenour@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 07:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jon Senour
5051 La Jolla Blvd #205
San Diego, California 92109



tracyinfo@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Tracy Ewing
308 Heathcliff Dr
Pacifica, California 94044



lydiab@dslextreme.com
08/14/2006 07:03 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Lydia Beckham
6902 Alabama Ave.
Canoga Park, California 91303



pinballwizard@paradiseca.com

08/14/2006 07:04 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Michael Maisler
1600 Carson Lane
Paradise, California 95969-2850



jldinsmore@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 07:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jill Dinsmore
2234 Crestview
Redding, California 96001



barbeau@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:06 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

As a native Californian, a voter, a combat veteran, a father and a grandfather, I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Clayton C. Barbeau, MA, MFT
1217 Roycott Way
San Jose, California 95125



suki@madmooncreations.com
08/14/2006 07:07 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Even though this email is being sent in a group format, I assure you that it reflects my personal views on this important issue.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Suki Haseman
120 Hancock Street
San Francisco, California 94114



pcrafts@charter.net
08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul Crafts
1750 Fearn Ave.
Los Osos, California 93402



fragments333@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jeremiah Harper
1045 Mission St
#343
San Francisco, California 94103



kmitch@thevision.net
08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

My wife has bronchitis and asthma. We live in Riverbank, in the San Joaquin Valley. I'm concerned about her long-term health, as well as the health of every valley resident.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kent Mitchell
2130 Cedarwood Drive
Riverbank, California 95367



Blittman@lightdog.com
08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sam Blittman
2864 Wildflower Drive
Antioch, California 94531



cpinkney@its.caltech.edu
08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Christina Olsen Pinkney
534 Douglas Street
Pasadena, California 91104



mamababysweetie@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 07:09 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Becker
5557 Kailas Street
Ventura, California 93003



teddyholly@cox.net
08/14/2006 07:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

holly perez
405 sanibelle circle #34
chula vista, California 91910



rossfromny-earthjustice@yah
oo.com

08/14/2006 07:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ROBERT ROSS
1472 FUERTE HEIGHTS LANE
EL CAJON, California 92019



jackmeyers@amyphenix.com

08/14/2006 07:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jack Meyers
P.O. Box 325
Cambria, California 93428



AEG985@AOL.COM

08/14/2006 07:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

ALFRED GONZALEZ
1061 MONICA LANE
SAN JOSE, California 95128



mbiropm@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 07:10 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Bir
12 Eagle Hill
Kensington, California 94707



anitafieldman@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 07:11 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Children are getting sick. I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anita Fieldman
7 Heuters Lane
Mill Valley, California 94941



jshelljr@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

J. Shell
16647 jersey st.
granada hills, California 91344



sjkreider@mac.com
08/14/2006 07:12 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steve Kreider
954 Oak Street
San Francisco, California 94117



njbz@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:14 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Nancy Burdge
11350-1 Camino Playa Cancun
San Diego, California 92124



csueh@webtv.net
08/14/2006 07:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

sue harrington
p.o. box 20008
piedmont, California 94620



rachelmg96@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 07:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

rachel miller-garcia
1643 16th ave
sanfrancisco, California 94122



constanciademontana@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 07:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Constance McClain
P.O. Box 5502
Santa Barbara, California 93150



patsy_lowe@hotmail.com
08/14/2006 07:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I HAVE ASTHMA AND I AM WORSE SINCE BUSH HAS BEEN IN FOR THE PAST 6 YEARS. SMOG IS WORSE NOW IN THE L.A. BASIN. WHY ARE THE BUSHIES SO KEEN ON everything FOR THE CORPORATIONS AND SCANT CARE FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE EARTH.

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Patsy Lowe
942 Breton Ave
Simi Valley, California 93065



efrounfelter@yahoo.com

08/14/2006 07:15 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Earl Frounfelter
120 Palm Court Drive
Santa Maria, California 93454-6644



javurek@comcast.net

08/14/2006 07:16 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Caldwell
2866 Bendmill Way
Sacramento, California 95833



magcih@comcast.net
08/14/2006 07:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Mark Golembiewski
1004 Everglades Dr
Pacifica, California 94044-3845



robinaenos@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 07:17 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robin A Enos
PO Box 1024
Newcastle, California 95658



ageofaquarius161@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 07:18 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shawn Koester
9477 Hedges Dr.
Rancho Cucamonga, California 91737-2929



joeg4us@adelphia.net

08/14/2006 07:19 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joseph Gilbert
1037 N. Rice Rd.
Ojai, California 93023



roshwha@psln.com
08/14/2006 07:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

shirley m. whalen
pobox 536 mohawk vista rd. 4
blairsden, California 96103



darcyhem@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 07:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Darcy Hemley
1527 Oak Grove Drive
Los Angeles,, California 90041



gandydancer66@netzero.com
08/14/2006 07:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

David J. Tearle
370 Palos Verdes Blvd.
Apt. 5
Redondo Beach, California 90277-6369



megcand@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:20 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Meghan Anderson
4853 Long Branch Ave
Ocean Beach, California 92107



mamoros@astound.net
08/14/2006 07:21 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Melinda Moros
2160 Ann Street
Concord, California 94520



ctakazaki@mac.com
08/14/2006 07:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Cathrine Takazaki
1719 Federal Ave. #10
Los Angeles, California 90025



sosrubin@silcom.com
08/14/2006 07:22 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Selma Rubin
4207 Encore Drive
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1804



nonnocanepa@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bert Canepa
20565 Nob Hill Circle
Groveland, California 95321



Rkuhn@cvip.net
08/14/2006 07:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rose Marie Kuhn
1655 West Tenaya Way
Fresno, California 93711



hdietri1@san.rr.com
08/14/2006 07:23 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jessica Dietrich
10780 Atrium Dr.
San Diego, California 92131



buzzby2@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 07:24 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Steven Velasco
1150 Kingston St.
Costa Mesa, California 92626



wilhale4@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Willow Hale
P.O. Box 3056
Burbank, California 91508



prestige@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Kathy Arnold
2982 calle estepa
thousand oaks, California 91360



DonMcKelvey@Earthtones.co
m

08/14/2006 07:25 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Surely we can greatly improve the air in the San Joaquin Valley!

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

It is everyone's responsibility -- and especially the government's -- to maintain the environment so it is safe. It's not that hard to do. Therefore, I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Don McKelvey
5915 Gardenia Ave
Long Beach, California 90805



cajayson8301@comcast.net
08/14/2006 07:27 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Pfister
502 East Myrick Ct.
Clayton, California 94517



jford29105@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Julie Ford
16222 Monterey Lane #223
Huntington Beach, California 92649



ndumont@stanfordalumni.org
08/14/2006 07:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Natalie DuMont
233 Dolores Street
San Francisco, California 94103



gaelcelt@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:28 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Samuel Ford
996 Hibiscus Lane
San Jose, California 95117



Banana-split@sbcglobal.net

08/14/2006 07:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Samantha Lorastein
22826 Calabash St.
Woodland Hills, California 91364



dce005@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:29 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Douglas Estes
Apt. 303
629 Arguello Bl.
San Francisco, California 94118



sweetp27@mchsi.com
08/14/2006 07:30 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jessie Samrady
29602 Mount Bachelor Way
Sun City, California 92586



jbart@mcn.org
08/14/2006 07:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Joselyn Bartlett
Box 49
Caspar, California 95420



ricrawson@cs.com
08/14/2006 07:31 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Richard Rawson
2781 Land Park Drive
Sacramento, California 95818



jpwall2@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 07:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

We are writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

We are troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Paul and Joan Waller
23428 Canzonet St.
Woodland Hills, California 91367-6013



raybrack@kcbx.net
08/14/2006 07:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

Please reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

I would urge the EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Raymond Bracken
765 Highland Dr.
Los Osos, California 93402



matp230@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:32 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Matt Palm
18581 Locksley Street
San Diego, California 92128



ritageneral@earthlink.net
08/14/2006 07:33 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Rita Bonasera
15101 Via Colina
Saratoga, California 95070



karenpearlman@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karen Pearlman
6876 Boulder Lake Ave.
San Diego, California 92119-1904



monteiroserge@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:34 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

This letter is about environmental protection and air quality in general, and air quality in the San Joaquin Valley in particular.

I request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Sergio Monteiro
1325 Wellesley Ave. # 209
Los Angeles, California 90025-2049



shastabob56@yahoo.com
08/14/2006 07:35 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Bob Slawson
5837 Harco
Long Beach, California 90808-2111



beavoice4animals@hotmail.com

08/14/2006 07:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Maldonado
5038 N. Glickman Ave.
Temple City, California 91780



rob@newell-construction.com
08/14/2006 07:39 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Robert Krinsky
688 Main Street
Greenville, California 95947



Riderfit@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:41 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.


This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

heather rider
2425 Colorado St
Santa Monica, California 90049



irapm@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Ira Mcevoy
2700 Lyon St.
San Francisco, California 94123



bonnie@eStarBird.com
08/14/2006 07:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

bonnie jay
511 Hill St. #310
Santa Monica CA 90405, USA
Santa Monica, California 90405



shirbob@sti.net
08/14/2006 07:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Shirley Schmelzer
4512 Varain Rd
Mariposa, California 95338



gsweel@msn.com
08/14/2006 07:42 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Greg Sweel
1920 6th Street #343
Santa Monica, California 90405



battat@mac.com
08/14/2006 07:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Karin Morris
123 17Th St. Apt 204
Oakland, California 94612



AmushinK@aol.com
08/14/2006 07:43 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Anne Kaufhold
2082 parrot street
San Diego, California 92105



jlopatynski@charter.net
08/14/2006 07:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jess Lopatynski
2099 Elsinore Rd
Riverside, California 92506



jpchinn@sbcglobal.net
08/14/2006 07:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Jason Chinn
134 Douglas Fir Circle
Cloverdale, California 95425



mltruan@ucdavis.edu
08/14/2006 07:44 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

As a scientist, I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

Melanie Allen Truan Ph.D
University of California Davis
1 Shields Avenue
Davis, California 95616



debbie3172@comcast.net
08/14/2006 07:46 PM

To Doris Lo/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc

Subject Comment on EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0583

Ms. Doris Lo
(AIR 2) US EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Dear Ms. Lo,

I am writing to request that you reconsider your determination of attainment of the particulate matter standard for the San Joaquin Valley.

This finding has very serious implications for pollution control and public health in the San Joaquin Valley, one of the nation's most dangerous places to breathe. EPA has proposed a finding of attainment for the valley based solely on data from a monitoring network that reads only once every six days, does not account for the agriculture-heavy west side of the valley, and illegally ignores violations of the federal standard from both "official" and so-called "unofficial" monitors.

I am troubled that this finding will relieve EPA and the valley's air pollution control district of obligations to continue to address the particulate matter problem that afflicts the valley and causes so many valley residents to suffer from asthma and other respiratory diseases, in lieu of tighter controls on the area's polluting industries.

Prudence requires EPA to take its time and carefully consider all the information available to it when making this important and far-reaching determination.

Sincerely,

deborah waterman
1013 malaga ct
pleasanton, California 94566