

The Power of Accurate Mass MS/MS Data in Targeted and NON-Targeted (STA) Toxicological Analysis

Alexandre Paccou Sr Manager Support Clinical & Forensic EMEA Budapest 28/10/2015



Routine analyses -

# Trending workflows for forensic toxicology testing







# Trending workflows for forensic toxicology testing





# Why the trending workflows?



# As an example – High-risk compounds commonly targeted drug screening:



# But, to further complicate matters...

AB SCIEX



5 © 2015 AB Sciex

# But finding all of these potential compounds samples is hard!

WE ECLEX

- Samples contain a mix of:
  - Healthy / 'normal' components vitamins, proteins, antioxidants, etc.
  - Known high-risk targeted compounds

     pesticides, drugs of abuse, adulterants, etc.
  - Unknown compounds potential adulterants, metabolites, new synthetic compounds

Accurate mass / high resolution LC-MS/MS hardware is powerful to acquire the necessary data on unknown samples.

But the data are vast and complex.

And, turning those data into results and decisions is a big challenge!





- Ions are pulsed and accelerated into TOF analyzer
- Separation of ions (*m/z*) based on time to fly through the flight path on a nanosecond time scale
  - Small m/z faster than heavier m/z
- Higher resolution with longer flight path (longer TOF tubes, reflector, faster acceleration)







### High Resolution Time-of-Flight (TOF) Mass Spectrometry

8





# **Time-of-Flight MS (TOF-MS)**





-me-of-Flight-Monne-Ter-menne





# Non-targeted and Targeted Drug Screening Method Set up





2012 AB SCIEX

### **Accurate Mass Screening Workflow Overview**





# What makes MS/MS the preferred technology?

- Reduction of false negative rates
  - Co-eluting compounds
  - Interferences
- Reduction of false positives rates
  - MS/MS Library search







# Reducing False Positive and Negative Using MS2 Library Searching in Screening Method





## **XIC** width = 0.010 Da,

#### From TOF-MS



From TOF-MS/MS

# MS/MS Library Search can reduce false positive Structural isomer have same formula and exact mass

- A false positive result can be made if only relying on mass Databases
- A Library match can help achieve the correct answer by using acquired MS/MS data to search against accurate mass MS/MS libraries







# Workflow #1: Search for what you know

# **Targeted Screening and Quantitation**





# **Targeted Screening using MasterView<sup>TM</sup>** 1. Define Retention Time and Accurate Mass for Each Compound AB SCIEX

+ • TIC from MPX_S1_LC8_Lot1C5_20IDA. wilf (sample 1) - Lot1C5 1.5e7 0.044 0.264 5.0e6 0.0e0 1.180 1.288 1.357 1.774 0.0e1 0.0e1 0.0e1 0.0e1 0.0e1 0.0e1 0.264 0.264 0.264 0.264 0.264 0.266 0.2													
0.1 0.2 0.3 MasterView	3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7	0.8 0.9 1.0 1	.1 1.2 1.3 1	.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	7 4709 1.9 2.0 Time, min New St	2.1 2.2 2.3	24 25 QU	antitat	ive co	mparis	50		
Name	Formula	Mass (Da)	Adduct	Extraction Mass (Da)	Width (Da)	Expected RT (min)	RT Width (min)	Known Concentr.	Calculated Concentr.	Threshold (ratio of control)			
6-MAM	C19H21NO4	327.14706	+H	328.15433	0.02	2.05	2	10		0.5			
Alprazolam	C17H13CIN4	308.08287	+H	309.09015	0.02	3.19	2	10		0.5			
Amphetamine	C9H13N	135.1048	+H	136.11208	0.02	1.99	2	10		0.5			
Benzoylecgonine	C16H19NO4	289.13141	+H	290.13868	0.02	2.43	2	10		0.5			
Buprenorphine	C29H41NO4	467.30356	+H	468.31084	0.02	2.82	2	10		0.5			
Carisoprodol	C12H24N2O4	260.17361	+H	261.18088	0.02	3.16	2	10		0.5			
Clonazepam	C15H10CIN3O3	315.04107	+H	316.04835	0.02	3.11	2	10		0.5			
Codeine	C18H21NO3	299.15214	+H	300.15942	0.02	2	2	10		0.5			
Diazepam	C16H13CIN2O	284.07164	+H	285.07892	0.02	3.31	2	10		0.5			
EDDP	C20H23N	277.18305	+H	278.19033	0.02	2.78	2	10		0.5			
Fentanyl	C22H28N2O	336.22016	+H	337.22744	0.02	2.72	2	10		0.5			
Flunitrazepam	C16H12FN3O3	313.08627	+H	314.09355	0.02	3.12	2	10		0.5			
Flurazepam	C21H23CIFN3O	387.15137	+H	388.15865	0.02	2.8	2	10		0.5			
Hydrocodone	C18H21NO3	299.15214	+H	300.15942	0.02	1.9	2	10		0.5	1		
Hydromorphone	C17H19NO3	285.13649	+H	286.14377	0.02	128	2	10		0.5			
Hydroxyalprazolam	C17H13CIN4O	324.07779	+H	325.08507	0.02	3.13	2	10		0.5	Ĩ.		
Lorazepam	C15H10Cl2N2O2	320.01193	+H	321.01921	0.02	3.18	2	10		0.5	Ĩ.		
	•								Process Car	ncel			

Screening using extracted ion chromatograms (XIC)



MS

# Targeted Screening using MasterView<sup>™</sup> 3. Result Review using 'Traffic Lights'

Alpra Alpra MS/N	Alprazolam, entire range MS/MS triggered at RT = 3.1461														0 31 3	3.142				
Mast	erview 📃 📶 🔤		<u>د</u>	et 🕾				lew XIC		bjulyk I.A	Clist		<b>•</b>					<b>"</b>		
	C T Wiff file Name Sam R	nple of ne positiv	er ve	# 🗸		Name	Formula	lsotope	Mass (Da)	Adduct	Int Std	Extraction Mass (Da)	Width (Da)	Width (ppm)	Expected RT (min)	RT Width (min)	Fragme Mass (I			
	MPX S1 LC8 Lot1 Samp	le 1	12	1 🗸	VAVVA	6-MAM	C19H21NO4	0	327.14706	+H		328.15433	0.02	60.947	2.05	2	4			
	MPX S1 LC8 Lot2 Samp	le 1	14	2 🗸		Alprazolam	C17H13CIN4	0	308.08287	+H		309.09015	0.02	64.706	3.19	2				
	MPX_S1_LC8_Lot: Samp	le 1	17	3 🗸	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \blacktriangle$	Amphetamine	C9H13N	0	135.1048	+H		136.11208	0.02	146.938	1.99	2				
l ľ	MPX_S2_LC8_Lot1_Samp	le 1	12	4 🗸	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \blacktriangle$	Benzoylecgonine	C16H19NO4	0	289.13141	+H		290.13868	0.02	68.933	2.43	2				
Ň	MPX_S2_LC8_Lot: Samp	lo 1	10	5 🗸	~~~~	Buprenorphine	C29H41NO4	0	467.30356	+H		468.31084	0.02	42.707	2.82	2				
×	MPX_32_LC6_L012 Samp		10	6 🗸	✓✓✓▲▲	Carisoprodol	C12H24N2O4	0	260.17361	+H		261.18088	0.02	76.575	3.16	2				
~	MPX_S2_LC6_Lot: Samp	le i	10	7 🗸	$\checkmark$	Clonazepam	C15H10CIN3O3	0	315.04107	+H		316.04835	0.02	63.281	3.11	2		1		
				8 🗸	$\checkmark$	Codeine	C18H21NO3	Co	nfidenc	e in Ide	ntif	ication	hv:	31	2	2				
			•	9 🗸	$\checkmark$	Diazepam	C16H13CIN2O	00	machie		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	loation	by.	56	3.31	2	•			
				10 🗸		EDDP	C20H23N		• M	ass Fr	ror			193	2.78	2				
						Fentanyl	C22H28N2O		141					807	2.72	2				
				12		Flunitrazepam	C16H12FN3O3	_	• R	etentio	n Ti	ime		0/5	3.12	2				
				13		Flurazepam	C21H23CIFN30			0.01110				25	2.8	2				
				14		Hydrocodone	C18H21NU3		•lsc	ntope N	/lato	h		031	1.9	2				
				10		hydromorphone	C17H13NU3		.00					32	2 12	2				
				17		l orazenam	C15H10Cl2N2O2		• M	S/MS I	Libra	arv Pur	itv Scoi	re 102	3.13	2				
				18		MDA	C10H13NO2					, . ui	,	048	21	2				
				19		MDEA	C12H17NO2		•Fo	rmula	find	ing		192	2.23	2				
Posi	tive result: equal or better 🗸 🗸	/ / / · ·		20		MDMA	C11H15NO2			+7		- 14 11/26		111 03	2 12	2				
Sample	MPX_S1_LC8_Lot2C5_20I	DA(Sample	1) 💙	Control:	None		•		Row	s 43					Process	Ca	ncel			

AB SCIEX

MS

# **Results review**

AB SCIEX

#### MS How you can use the traffic lights in your data review Positive identification with high WEEK OF WORDPU BREN Name confidences Alprazolam Amphetamine Review – Match Benzoylecgonine **ノノノノ A** .CE=35±15 Buprenorphine **ノノノノ A** ×158.0500 ×200.0970 \*242.1442 Sample Carisoprodol \*116.0275 **~~** Clonazepam Library match Gſ 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 260 280 **Customizable Review Settings:** Positive ID with highest confidence Review – No match Select combination for positive result(equal or better) Score CE=35±15 50.0 \*242.1442 \*200.0970 ×158.0500 Sample \*116.0275 Grouping Samples by Wiff file No library match 260 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 280 Identified compounds to review Select combination for positive result(equal or better) Combine Score Negative identification 50.0 Grouping Samples by Wiff file © 2015 AB Sciex

źΰ

# **Targeted Screening using MasterView**<sup>™</sup> 4. Result Display (Identified Compounds to Review)

	Diazepam	1.3 1.4 1.	.5 1.6 1.7 Ti	1.8 1.9 2 me, min	20 2.1	2.2 2.3		e Sa 5 26 2	1 <b>mpl</b>	<b>e</b> 29 30 3	31 3.2	3.271	3.5	
MasterView 🖺 🚔 🔛 🗛 😥 💿 🔛 🔤 🔛 🔅 🖨 💀 💀 🖓 🖓 Masterview XIC list DEMO 16julyRT.XIClist 🛛 🛕 🌱														
C T Wiff file Name Sample of Name results	# 🗸 1555 57. 15555 5555 5555	Name F	Formula Isotop	e Mass (Da)	Adduc t	Extraction Mass (Da)	Width (ppm)	Expected RT (min)	RT Width (min)	Error (ppm)	Found At RT (min)	Threshold (ratio of control)	Thre (cps)	
MPX_S1_LC8_Lot1 Sample 1 12	2 🗸 🗸 🗸	Alprazolam C1	7H13CIN4 0	308.08287	+H	309.09015	64.706	3.19	2	1.4	3.14	1	10	
✓ MPX_S1_LC8_Lot2 Sample 1 14	5 🗸 🗸	Buprenorph C2	9H41NO4 0	467.30356	+H	468.31084	42.707	2.82	2	0.8	2.78	1	10	
✓ MPX S1 LC8 Lot: Sample 1 17	7 🗸 🗸	Clonazepan C1	5H10CIN: 0	315.04107	+H	316.04835	63.281	3.11	2	1.1	3.06	1	10	
MPX S2 LC8 Lot1 Sample 1 12	8 🗸 🗸	Codeine C1	8H21NO3 0	299.15214	+H	300.15942	66.631	2	2	2.7	1.91	1	10	
MPX_S2_LC8_Lot_Sample 1 10	9 🗸 🗸	Diazeparn C1	IGH13CIN 0	284.07164	+H	285.07892	70.156	3.31	2	0.9	3.27	1	10	
MPX_S2_LC8_Lot5_Sample 1 10		EDDP C	20H23N 0	277.18305	+H	278.19033	71.893	2.78	2	1.3	2.74	1	10	
		Fentanyl C2	2H28N2C 0	336.22016	+H	337.22744	59.307	2.72	2	0.1	2.67	1	10	
		Flurazepam C2	1H23CIF1 0	387.15137	+H	388.15865	51.525	2.8	2	-0.1	2.75	1	10	
		Hydrocodor C1	8H21NO3 0	299.15214	+H	300.15942	66.631	1.9	2	2.7	1.91	1	10	
		Hydroxyalpi C1	7H13CIN4 0	324.07779	+H	325.08507	61.522	3.13	2	1.1	3.08	1	10	
		Lorazepam C1	5H10Cl2r 0	320.01193	+H	321.01921	62.302	3.18	2	0.3	3.14	1	10	
Select combination for positive result(equal or better)		MDA CI	0H13N02 0	1/9.09463	+H	180.10191	01.024	2.1	2	0.2	2.11	1	10	
		Temprese C1		325.0782	+H	326.08348	61.334	2.79	2	0.3	2.70	1	10	
1855 T mappe manula combine		Temazepan Ch		300.06636	+n	301.07365	00.423	0	2	1.5	3.15		10	
										ام ما:م	- nla	امم		
Grouping Samples by Wiff file	I Uniy cor	npoun	ius tha	a nav	e b	o gree	en ti	CKS \	NIII D		spiay	/ea		
	-	-				•								
													▶ [	
Sample: MPX_S1_LC8_Lot2C5_20IDA(Sample 1)	<ul> <li>Control: None</li> </ul>		✓		Ro	ows 43				P	rocess	Cancel		

AB SCIEX

MS

# Targeted Screening using MasterView<sup>™</sup> 5. Review MS and MS/MS spectra

XIC - Diazepam Diazepam, subrance **Urine Sample** 3.271 8 5e5 fleff 0.1 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.0 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9 3.0 3.1 3.3 3.4 3.5 0.2 3.2 Time, min 🛝 | 🏛 🔍 🥅 📰 📰 🛍 ď 🔆 📑 🔤 🔤 👔 🆓 Masterview XIC list DEMO 16julyRT.XIClist MasterView F <u>√</u> Ш 一町戸 MQ MV Threshold RT Width RT Adduc Extraction Width Expected Found At Threshol Library Isotope Combin Error (ppm) Library Hit Error Name Formula Isotope Mass (Da) (ratio of Mass (Da) (ppm) RT (min) (min) RT (min) (cps) Score Score Score Score control) Score 64,706 3.19 3.14 1000 C17H13CIN 308 08287 309.09015 2 85.9 90.1 80.4 85.3 Alprazolam +H 1.4 Alprazolam 87.1 C29H41NO4 467.30356 +H 468.31084 42,707 2.82 2 0.8 2.78 1000 100 92.4 89.9 94.4 92.8 Bunrenorph Bunrenorph +H 63.281 3.11 1.1 3.06 1000 80.7 89.3 88.9 84.2 83.3 C15H10CIN 315.04107 316.04835 2 Clonazepan C18H21NO3 299.15214 +H 300.15942 66.631 2 2 2.7 1.91 1000 Codeine 96.9 72.7 71.7 92.9 82.9 Codeine 70.156 3.31 3.27 96.2 90.9 92.2 95.5 90.4 C16H13CIN Λ 284.07164 +H 285.07892 2 0.9 1000 Diaze 71.893 2.78 1.3 2.74 1000 EDDP 88.7 86.6 90.2 89.3 87.4 EDDP C20H23N 277.18305 +H 278.19033 2 88.5 85.4 Fentanyl C22H28N2C 336.22016 +H 337.22744 59.307 2.72 2 0.1 2.67 1000 Fentanyl 95.6 99.4 67.4 lurazepam C21H23CIF 387.15137 +H 388 15865 51.525 2.8 -0.1 2.75 1000 Flurazepam 95.6 98.6 88.5 74.9 89.9 C18H21NO3 299.15214 +H 300.15942 66.631 1.9 2 2.7 1.91 1000 96.9 72.7 94.7 92.9 87.5 Codeine /drocodor 3.08 87.7 90.2 64.5 83.6 61.522 3.13 1000 88.8 C17H13CIN 324.07779 ÷Η 325.08507 1.1 alpha-Hydro Sample: MPX S1 LC8 Lot2C5 20IDA(Sample 1) ♥ Control: None ~ Rows 43 d 🛄 d 🎖 🎬 🚣 🛧 - % 💯 🛦 📾 - | 🖛 ෫ 🥐 | 🎊 | 🏛 🔍 🥅 🗐 📾 岱 🎬 🏠 🛧 🚽 <mark>% 💯 🛦 👄 - | 4- 4 4</mark> 🥂 | 光 | 🏛 🔍 🚍 🚍 📾 4 🕒 Spectrum from MPX\_S1\_LC8\_Lot2C5\_20IDA.wiff (sample 1) - L...xperiment 1, +T OFMS (100 - 1000) from 3.241 to 3.261 min 🕇 Spectrum from MPX\_S1\_LC8\_Lot2C5\_20IDA. wiff (sample 1) - Lot2C5, Experiment 4, +TOF MS^2 (30 - 1000) from 3.272 min C16H13CIN20 +H Precursor: 285.1 Da, CE: 35.0 CE=35 Library Spectrum: Diazepam (439-14-5) , CE=35±15 & 8 285.0792 100% **TOF-MS** OF-MS/MS 5e4 % Intensity (of 7074.0) 50% 182.0381 241.0547 4e4154.0427 222.1155 193.0889 257.0840 91.054E 3e4 0% 287.0763 2e4 -50% 286.0822 287.1371 1e4 288.0793 0e0 -100% 285.0 285.5 287.5 288.0 220 260 280 286.0 286.5 287.0 ۸ÌN 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 240 Mass/Charge Da Mass/Charge Da

mirror view of spectra for easy comparison



AB SCIEX

MS

# AB SCIEX

MS

### **Targeted Screening using MasterView**<sup>™</sup> 6. Details of Library Search and Formula Finder

+	+ © Diazepam, entire range																														
																												3	271		-
		<b>%</b>	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0 1	1.1 1.3	2 1.3	3 1.4	1.5 1.0	6 1.7	1.8	1.9 2	.0 2.1	2.2 2.3	3 2.4	2.5 2.0	62.	7 2.8	2.9 3	3.0 3	1 3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5
																		Time, mi	in												
	🏛 🤇	2		60						_																					4
	Aasto	r\/ic		<b>B</b> 7	س م		Δ	A	Εū	1. T.	H.	114	**					tervie	w XIC lis	t DEMO	D 16julvRT	XIClist			~						/
	viaste	i vic					2 2	SI (		F			×	Ľ			•						-								
		C T R	Wiff file	e Nam	e Sa	ample ame	of positiv	er ve		#	✓	Wars RT Iso	iope ibrant com	nula I	Name	Formula	Isoto	pe I	Mass (Da)	Adduc t	Extraction Mass (Da)	Width (ppm)	Expe RT (i	ected min)	RT Width (min)	Error	(ppm)	Found At RT (min)	Thre (rati	eshold io of trol)	Thre (cps)
		L	IPX S1	1.08	Lot1 San	nole 1	results	12		2		223	133	Alp	razolam	C17H13CIN	<b>↓</b> 0	3	308.08287	+H	309.09015	64.706	3.	19	2	1	.4	3.14	Com	1	10
		N	IPX_S1_	LC8	Lotz San	nple 1		14		5	~	~~~	111	Bup	prenorph	C29H41NC	4 0	4	467.30356	+H	468.31084	42.707	2.	82	2	0	.8	2.78		1	10
	~	Ν	IPX_S1_	LC8_	Lot: San	nple 1		17		7		~~~	111	Clo	nazepar	C15H10CIN	V. 0	3	315.04107	+H	316.04835	63.281	3.1	11	2	1	.1	3.06		1	10
	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	Ν	IPX_S2_	LC8_	Lot1 San	nple 1		12		8				C Dia	odeine	C18H21N0	02 0 N 0	2	299.15214 284.07164	+H +H	300.15942 285.07892	66.631 70.156	3	2 31	2	2	./	1.91		1	10
	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	Ν	IPX_S2_	LC8_	Lot2 San	nple 1		10		-	V	Li	brary Se	arch R	esults	Clothica		×	204.07104		203.07032	70.150	<b>.</b>	51	2	Formula	 Finde	r Results			
	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	M	IPX_S2_	LC8_	Lot: San	nple 1		10		Γ			Co	ompoun	d Name	CAS#	For	nula	MW (	Da)	Fit Re	ev.F P	urity	Cł			Name	Formula	а	Score	п
												c	🗖 Dia:	zenam		439-14-5	C16H	13CIN2	20 284.74	521 9	6.2 96.8	B 96.	2 3	5		¢=		C16H13	12001	76.9	28
ibra	y Sear	ch R	esults															_	E	ormula	a Finder R	esults									
	Com	poun	d Name	е	CAS	#	For	nula		M	IW (Da)	Fit	t	Rev	<i>ı</i> . F	Purity	Cł				Name	Formula	I	Scor	ne mu	z (Da)	E	itor (ppm)	) E	Ептог М	IS/MS (pp
¢≡	Diazep	bam			<b>439-1</b> 4	I-5	C16H	13CII	120	284	4.74521	96.2	2	96.8	1	96.2	35			¢≘	9	C16H13N	20CI 7	76.9	285	.07892	0.9		3.	7	
	Diazep	am .			439-14	-5	C16H	13CIN	120	284	1.74521	96.2	2	96.8	-	96.2	35			K	<u> </u>	C14H20S	32	20.9	285	07999	2.9		0.4	4	
	Macros	sporin sporin			22225-	67-8	C16H	1205		284	1.26832	96.4 96.4	t L	90.5 90.5		58.9 58.9	35 35				Cliv	sk to a	ooly th		lamo a	nd E	-	ula of ti	nie re	scult	
	Mazino	dol			22232-	71-9	C16H	13CIN	120	284	.74521	99.9	)	88.1	1	88	35		•		Cild	sk to a	ppiy u	le n	ame a	inu ru	JIIIU		IIS IE	suit	
	Select o	tombina	tion for p	OSILIVE	resuided	uai or be	etter)	-			•	* * *	• • •				• •				020.0000		ψ.		-			0.00			
				ŝ	a		13	-uni		17	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	~~~	/ / /	Lor	azepam	C15H10Cl2	0 19	3	320.01193	+H	321.01921	62.302	3.1	18	2	0	.3	3.14		1	10
	Mass	¢	4	sotop	Library	Form	Comt	50		18		~~~		MG	MDA	C10H13NC	0 20	1	179.09463	+H	180.10191	61 224	2	.1 70	2	0	0	2.11		1	10
	$\checkmark$	<b>~</b>	· •	~	<b>~</b> ~	$\checkmark$	• 0.0	0		40				Ter	nazepan	C16H13CI	۰۱ U	3	300.06656	+n +H	301.07383	66.429	2	)	2	1	.3	3.19		1	10
	Group	ping Sa	mples by	/ Wiff	file																										
										-	_	_	_	_	_		_	_				_									
Ľ	Sample:	MPX.	_S1_LC	8_Lo	t2C5_2	DIDA(S	Sample	1) 💙	1	Contr	trol: Noi	ne				~	]			R	ows 43						Pr	ocess			

#### automatic formula finding



# AB SCIEX

MS

# Targeted Screening using MasterView<sup>™</sup>

7. Quantitation through MultiQuant<sup>™</sup> Software and Reporting





# Workflow #2: Remove the 'healthy' / 'normal' peaks

# Reduce unknown peaks to just those that are suspicious





# Eliminating the endogenous

### **Comparative Unknown Screening** What is it and why is this a good approach?

# Why do this?

Non-targeted peak finding algorithms will find **thousands** of molecular features in each sample, including:

- Chemical background
- Matrix components
- Unexpected compounds of interest







AB SCIEX)

MS

# **Non-Targeted Screening using MasterView™** 1. Automatic Sample-Control-Comparison

+• 337.2273/2.77, subrange         276         Equine urine sample	e *	337.2273 / 2.77, subra	ange 2.47 3.14 2.39 2.31	Equine urine blank					
= 0e0 <b>9</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	13	= ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1 2 3	4 5 6 7 Time, n	8 9 10 11 min	12 13			
						<del>ل</del> ته			
MasterView 🗎 🚔 🗮 🛃 😥 🖪 🛃 🔛 🔛 🐼 🖨 🔤 🔤	87?	New S	session	<b>\</b>					
C T Wiff file Name L Name Sample Name Name results	Extraction Mass (Da)	Width RT Width (ppm) (min)	Threshold (ratio of control) (cps)	hold Library Hit	Library Score Formula Finder Result	Formula Finder Score			
O horse urine blank Sample 1 0 595 ✓ ● ● ▲ ✓ 337.1762 / 1.20	337.1762 5	59.316 1	10 100	00 fluoxymesterone	68.3 C17H24N2O5	77.5			
Unk1-2 Sample 1 20 595 V 33/.22/3/2.//	337.22732 5	59.307 1 59.150 1	10 100	00 Fentanyi	89.1 CZ2H28N2O	90.1			
C Number 338.086/10.35	338.086/3 5	59.156 I	10 100	0 No Match	0 C16H11N5O4	70.1			
T Sample of 338/2541/4/13	338 25411 5	59.127 1	10 100	0 No Match	0 C17H31N5O2	33.4			
R with file Name Name positive 338.3423/9.32	338.34227 5	59.112 1	10 100	0 Danazol	60.6 C22H43NO	72.6			
L results 9340.1019/1.17	340.10186 5	58.806 1	10 100	0 No Acquired MSMS	0 No Formula Found	0			
A 340.1020 / 0.59	340.10204 5	58.806 1	10 100	00 No Match	0 C16H13N5O4	60.4			
Morse urine blank Sample 1 0 v 340.1029/1.74	340.10286 5	58.806 1	10 100	00 No Match	0 C15H17NO8	96.2			
340.1788 / 3.45	340.17878 5	58.793 1	10 100	00 No Match	0 C15H25N5O2S	34.8 🚽			
V Unk1-2 Sample 1 20									
Sample: Unk1-2(Sample 1) Control: https://www.sample.control.c	<b>*</b>		Rows 825		Process	Cancel			
岱 霎 ☆ ☆ × % 范 🛦 👄 >   ← → 📌   次   前 🔍 🖃 🚍 📾	a≣)	🔏 ﷺ  ↔ -[	% 🕅 🛦 📾 -   •	<del>←</del> → ╭~   깠   🏛 🔍 🚍	📰 🔜 📸				
Spectrum from Unk1-2.wiff (sample 1) - Unknown, Experiment 1, +TOF MS (100 - 700) from 2.753 to 2.779 min	+	+ Spectrum from Precursor: 337	Unk1-2.wiff(sample1	1) - Unknown, Experiment 7, +T	OFMS^2 (50 - 700) from 2.775 min	+			
4e4 - 337.2272	&	100% ]		,					
				188.	8.1434	337.2273			
3e4 -		<u>⊖</u> 50% -	105.0694						
		4 23		132.0800	216.1380				
<u>은</u> 2e4 -				·					
338.2300		2 50%							
		~	1			I			
		.100%				· · · · · · · ·			
337.0 337.5 338.0 338.5 339.0 339.5 340.0 Mass/Charge Da	340.5	60	80 100 12	20 140 160 180 20 Mass/Char	00 220 240 260 280 roe Da	300 320			
masse sharge, e a	I	I		mass/ chai	.30, 0.3				
825 chromataaranhi	n n $n$	ake r	ond o	$\cap$ rolour	nt ciana				
ozo ununalugiapin	r he	and a			ant Siyna	213			
	•				-	SCIEV			



# Workflow #3: Investigate the unknowns

Evaluate the suspicious offenders-Simultaneously search for targeted screening and at the same time identify any unknowns (unexpected) compounds







## **Data Processing Workflow** Automatic Non-Target (Unknown) Screening and Identification

Intensity





#### LD1 Please remove logo. Digregorio, Linda, 8/14/2013

# **Unknown Structural Elucidations**



# Unknown (unexpected) non-targeted peaks are automatically added to the original XIC list of targeted compounds

Identifying the compound with accurate mass 276.1596 @ RT 2.15 min + 🗢 276.1595 / 2.15, subrano 3e5 1500 ontrol Sample - unknown 2e5 Intensity 1000 241 254 1.71 1e5 500 2.70 2.99 3.12 3.09 2.91 ned 05 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.5 Time, min Time, min 🕰 | 🏛 🔍 🥅 📰 📰 🎰 d in the second MasterView E1 -----MQ MV New Session Threshold Adduc Extraction Width Expected RT Width Found At Threshold Library WEER OF NOT Mass (Da) Library Hit Formula Isotope ratio of 151 🗸 42.7 276.1595/2.15 276.15955 72 422 Library Search Results Formula Finder Results Compound Name CAS# Formula MW (Da) CŁ m/z (Da) Error MS/MS (ppm) Fit Rev. F Purity Formula Score Error (ppm) 10476-53-6 C16H21NO3 275.34777 87.2 48.9 42.7 C16H21NO3 72.4 276 15942 05 5 C= 4-hvdroxvpropanolol 41 Formula Finder Results Error MS/MS (ppm) Score m/z (Da) Error (ppm) Name Formula 152 17.9 C= C16H21NO3 droxypropanolol 72.4 276.15942 0.5 5 153 Clenhuterol 91.6 C9H21N7OS 23.3 276.16011 2 4.1 154 Metazachloi 100 155 0 Acauired MSMS 18.5 156 Doxenir 157 No Match 0 158 No Match 0 159 Dodemorph 13 No Match 160 0 161 72.8 284.2954 / 3.84 284.29536 284.29536 /0.349 3.84 -2.5 3.85 000 ninoflunitrazer 285.1372/3.36 285.13723 285.13723 70.142 2 -0.3 3.37 1000 No Match 0 162 0 3.36 163 286.1286 / 1.45 0 286.12864 286.12864 69.899 1.45 2 55.2 1.49 1000 Morphine 95.3 164 286.14432 1.5 2 1.5 98 5 286.1443 / 1.50 286.14432 69.895 0.4 1000 Morphin

MasterView automatically empirically calculates potential molecular formula for the extracted mass of the unknown compound using both MS and MS/MS data

# **Unknown Structural Elucidations**



### Identifying the compound with accurate mass 276.1596 @ RT 2.15 min



Link to ChemSpider Session to identify potential structures that match the calculated formula

© 2015 AB Sciex



# **Unknown Structural Elucidations**



### **ChemSpider Search and Automatic MS/MS Interpretation**

ChemSpider hits are automatically compared against MS/MS spectrum.



Closest Match – ten of ten peaks matched with 100% explainable MS/MS fragment ions for the MDPV cathinone designer drug structure





### ChemSpider – link

### Allows searching based on number of references and data sources







# All MS and MS/MS results at your fingertips



There can be infinite 'unknowns' in any given sample – including things that are good and things that are potentially harmful.



It can take infinite time in data analysis to assess every possible suspicious peak.



Sometimes we need to look back at old samples.

The right accurate mass LC-MS/MS system will

acquire most of the data you will ever need in just one injection.

Let your hardware do the work for you, and

save time and resources on sample rechecks!



# Thanks for listening