JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform 5.0 Programmers Guide

A guide for developers using the JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform



JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform 5.0 Programmers Guide A guide for developers using the JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform Edition 3.0

Copyright © 2009 Red Hat, Inc.. This material may only be distributed subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Open Publication License, V1.0 or later (the latest version of the OPL is presently available at http://www.opencontent.org/openpub/).

Red Hat and the Red Hat "Shadow Man" logo are registered trademarks of Red Hat, Inc. in the United States and other countries.

All other trademarks referenced herein are the property of their respective owners.

1801 Varsity Drive Raleigh, NC 27606-2072 USA Phone: +1 919 754 3700

Phone: 888 733 4281 Fax: +1 919 754 3701

PO Box 13588 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA

The guide contains information for programmers developing with the JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform.

Pı	reface	Vii
	1. Document Conventions	. vii
	1.1. Typographic Conventions	Vii
	1.2. Pull-quote Conventions	Viii
	1.3. Notes and Warnings	. ix
	2. We Need Feedback!	х
1.	The Enterprise Service Bus	1
	1.1. What is an ESB?	1
	1.2. When would you use an ESB?	1
2.	JBoss ESB	5
	2.1. Rosetta	. 5
	2.2. The core of JBossESB summarized	6
3.	Updated. Services and Messages	9
	3.1. Updated. The Service	. 9
	3.2. The Message	11
	3.3. Obtaining Data and Setting It on the Message Body	18
	3.4. Extensions to Body	18
	3.5. The Message Header	19
	3.6. LogicalEPR	21
	3.7. The Message Payload	
	3.8. The MessageFactory	
	3.9. Message Formats	
	3.9.1. MessageType.JAVA_SERIALIZED	
1	3.9.2. MessageType.JBOSS_XML	
٠.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4.1.1. Listeners	
	4.1.2. updated Routers	
	4.1.3. Notifiers	
	4.1.4. Actions and Messages	
	4.1.5. Handling responses	
	4.1.6. Error handling when processing actions	
	4.2. Meta-Data and Filters	
	4.3. updated What is a Service?	
	4.3.1. ServiceInvoker	
	4.3.2. updated Transactions	
	4.3.3. Services and ServiceInvoker	
	4.3.4. updated InVM Transport	
	4.4. Updated. Service Contract Definition	41
5.	Other Components	45
	5.1. Updated. The Message Store	45
	5.2. Data Transformation	45
	5.3. Content-Based Routing	45
	5.4. The Registry	45
6.	Example	47
	6.1. How to use the Message	47
	6.1.1. The Message Structure	47
	6.1.2. The Service	48

	6.1.3. Unpacking the payload	
	6.1.4. The Client	
	6.1.5. Updated. Configuration for a Remote Service Invoker	
	6.1.6. Sample Client	
	6.1.7. Hints and Tips	53
7.	Advanced Topics	55
	7.1. Fail-over and Load-Balancing Support	55
	7.1.1. Services, EPRs, Listeners and Actions	55
	7.1.2. Replicated Services	56
	7.1.3. Protocol Clustering	
	7.1.4. Clustering	
	7.1.5. Channel Fail-over and Load Balancing	
	7.1.6. Updated Message Redelivery	
	7.2. Scheduling of Services	
	7.2.1. Simple Schedule	
	7.2.2. Cron Schedule	
	7.2.3. Scheduled Listener	
	7.2.4. Example Configurations	
	7.2.5. Updated. Quartz Scheduler Property Configuration	69
8.	Fault-Tolerance and Reliability	71
	8.1. Failure classification	71
	8.1.1. JBossESB and the Fault Models	
	8.1.2. Failure Detectors and Failure Suspectors	
	8.2. Reliability Guarantees	
	8.2.1. Message Loss	
	8.2.2. Suspecting Endpoint Failures	
	8.2.3. Supported Crash Failure Modes	
	8.2.4. Component Specifics	
	8.2.5. Gateways	
	8.2.6. ServiceInvoker	
	8.2.7. JMS Broker	
	8.2.8. Action Pipelining	
	8.3. Recommendations	77
9.	Defining Service Configurations	79
	9.1. Overview	79
	9.2. Providers	80
	9.3. Services	
	9.4. Transport Specific Type Implementations	85
	9.5. FTP Provider Configuration	
	9.6. FTP Listener Configuration	
	9.6.1. Read-Only FTP Listener	
	9.7. Updated. UDP Gateway	
	9.8. Updated JBoss Remoting (JBR) Configuration	
	9.9. Transitioning from the Old Configuration Model	
	9.10. Configuration	94
10.	. Web Services Support	97
	10.1. JBossWS	97
11.	. Updated Out-of-the-Box Actions	99
	11.1. Updated. Transformers & Converters	

11.2. Updated. Business Process Management	108
11.3. Updated. Scripting 1	110
11.4. Services	111
11.5. Updated. Routing	
11.6. Notifier	
11.7. Webservices/SOA-P 1	
11.8. Updated. HttpClient Configuration 1	
11.9. Updated. SOAPProxy 1	
11.10. Miscellaneous	134
12. Developing Custom Actions	135
12.1. Configuring Actions Using Properties	135
13. Connectors and Adapters	139
·	139
13.2. The Gateway	
13.2.1. This section has changed. Gateway Data Mappings	
13.2.2. How to change the Gateway Data Mappings	
13.3. Connecting via JCA 1	
13.3.1. Configuration 1	142
13.3.2. Updated. Mapping Standard Activation Properties	144
A. Writing JAXB Annotation Introduction Configurations	147
B. Service Orientated Architecture Overview	149
B.1. Why SOA? 1	150
B.2. Basics of SOA 1	152
B.3. Advantages of SOA 1	152
B.3.1. Interoperability	152
B.3.2. Efficiency	153
B.3.3. Standardization	
B.3.4. Stateful and Stateless Services	
B.4. JBossESB and its Relationship with SOA	155
C. Revision History	157

Preface

1. Document Conventions

This manual uses several conventions to highlight certain words and phrases and draw attention to specific pieces of information.

In PDF and paper editions, this manual uses typefaces drawn from the *Liberation Fonts*¹ set. The Liberation Fonts set is also used in HTML editions if the set is installed on your system. If not, alternative but equivalent typefaces are displayed. Note: Red Hat Enterprise Linux 5 and later includes the Liberation Fonts set by default.

1.1. Typographic Conventions

Four typographic conventions are used to call attention to specific words and phrases. These conventions, and the circumstances they apply to, are as follows.

Mono-spaced Bold

Used to highlight system input, including shell commands, file names and paths. Also used to highlight key caps and key-combinations. For example:

To see the contents of the file my_next_bestselling_novel in your current working directory, enter the cat my_next_bestselling_novel command at the shell prompt and press Enter to execute the command.

The above includes a file name, a shell command and a key cap, all presented in Mono-spaced Bold and all distinguishable thanks to context.

Key-combinations can be distinguished from key caps by the hyphen connecting each part of a key-combination. For example:

Press **Enter** to execute the command.

Press **Ctrl+Alt+F1** to switch to the first virtual terminal. Press **Ctrl+Alt+F7** to return to your X-Windows session.

The first sentence highlights the particular key cap to press. The second highlights two sets of three key caps, each set pressed simultaneously.

If source code is discussed, class names, methods, functions, variable names and returned values mentioned within a paragraph will be presented as above, in **Mono-spaced Bold**. For example:

File-related classes include **filesystem** for file systems, **file** for files, and **dir** for directories. Each class has its own associated set of permissions.

Proportional Bold

This denotes words or phrases encountered on a system, including application names; dialogue box text; labelled buttons; check-box and radio button labels; menu titles and sub-menu titles. For example:

¹ https://fedorahosted.org/liberation-fonts/

Choose **System > Preferences > Mouse** from the main menu bar to launch **Mouse Preferences**. In the **Buttons** tab, click the **Left-handed mouse** check box and click **Close** to switch the primary mouse button from the left to the right (making the mouse suitable for use in the left hand).

To insert a special character into a **gedit** file, choose **Applications > Accessories** > **Character Map** from the main menu bar. Next, choose **Search > Find...** from the **Character Map** menu bar, type the name of the character in the **Search** field and click **Next**. The character you sought will be highlighted in the **Character Table**. Double-click this highlighted character to place it in the **Text to copy** field and then click the **Copy** button. Now switch back to your document and choose **Edit > Paste** from the **gedit** menu bar.

The above text includes application names; system-wide menu names and items; application-specific menu names; and buttons and text found within a GUI interface, all presented in Proportional Bold and all distinguishable by context.

Note the > shorthand used to indicate traversal through a menu and its sub-menus. This is to avoid the difficult-to-follow 'Select **Mouse** from the **Preferences** sub-menu in the **System** menu of the main menu bar' approach.

Mono-spaced Bold Italic or Proportional Bold Italic

Whether Mono-spaced Bold or Proportional Bold, the addition of Italics indicates replaceable or variable text. Italics denotes text you do not input literally or displayed text that changes depending on circumstance. For example:

To connect to a remote machine using ssh, type **ssh** *username@domain.name* at a shell prompt. If the remote machine is **example.com** and your username on that machine is john, type **ssh john@example.com**.

The **mount** -o **remount file-system** command remounts the named file system. For example, to remount the **/home** file system, the command is **mount** -o **remount /home**.

To see the version of a currently installed package, use the rpm -q package command. It will return a result as follows: package-version-release.

Note the words in bold italics above — username, domain.name, file-system, package, version and release. Each word is a placeholder, either for text you enter when issuing a command or for text displayed by the system.

Aside from standard usage for presenting the title of a work, italics denotes the first use of a new and important term. For example:

When the Apache HTTP Server accepts requests, it dispatches child processes or threads to handle them. This group of child processes or threads is known as a *server-pool*. Under Apache HTTP Server 2.0, the responsibility for creating and maintaining these server-pools has been abstracted to a group of modules called *Multi-Processing Modules* (*MPMs*). Unlike other modules, only one module from the MPM group can be loaded by the Apache HTTP Server.

1.2. Pull-quote Conventions

Two, commonly multi-line, data types are set off visually from the surrounding text.

Output sent to a terminal is set in Mono-spaced Roman and presented thus:

```
books Desktop documentation drafts mss photos stuff svn
books_tests Desktop1 downloads images notes scripts svgs
```

Source-code listings are also set in Mono-spaced Roman but are presented and highlighted as follows:

```
package org.jboss.book.jca.ex1;
import javax.naming.InitialContext;
public class ExClient
   public static void main(String args[])
       throws Exception
   {
      InitialContext iniCtx = new InitialContext();
      Object 0
                     ref
                           = iniCtx.lookup("EchoBean");
      EchoHome
                     home
                            = (EchoHome) ref;
      Echo
                     echo
                            = home.create();
      System.out.println("Created Echo");
      System.out.println("Echo.echo('Hello') = " + echo.echo("Hello"));
   }
}
```

1.3. Notes and Warnings

Finally, we use three visual styles to draw attention to information that might otherwise be overlooked.



Note

A note is a tip or shortcut or alternative approach to the task at hand. Ignoring a note should have no negative consequences, but you might miss out on a trick that makes your life easier.



Important

Important boxes detail things that are easily missed: configuration changes that only apply to the current session, or services that need restarting before an update will apply. Ignoring Important boxes won't cause data loss but may cause irritation and frustration.



Warning

A Warning should not be ignored. Ignoring warnings will most likely cause data loss.

2. We Need Feedback!

If you find a typographical error in this manual, or if you have thought of a way to make this manual better, we would love to hear from you! Please submit a report in Bugzilla: http://bugzilla.redhat.com/bugzilla/ against the product JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform.

When submitting a bug report, be sure to mention the manual's identifier: $SOA_ESB_Programmers'_Guide$

If you have a suggestion for improving the documentation, try to be as specific as possible when describing it. If you have found an error, please include the section number and some of the surrounding text so we can find it easily.

The Enterprise Service Bus

1.1. What is an ESB?

An *Enterprise Service Bus* (ESB) is seen as the next generation of *Enterprise Application Integration* (EAI). A good ESB provides capabilities that mirror those of existing EAI solutions but does not lock you into the offerings of one vendor.

A traditional EAI stack consists of:

- · Business Process Monitoring
- · Integrated Development Environment
- · Human Workflow User Interface
- Business Process Management
- Connectors
- Transaction Manager
- Security
- · Application Container
- Messaging Service
- Metadata Repository
- · Naming and Directory Service
- · Distributed Computing Architecture

As with EAI systems, ESB does not deal with business logic; that is left to higher levels. Rather, it is about infrastructure logic. Although there are many different definitions of what constitutes an ESB, everyone agrees that an ESB is part of an Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) infrastructure. However, SOA is not simply a technology or a product: it's a style of design, with many aspects (such as architecture, methodology and organisation) that are unrelated to the actual technology. However, obviously at some point, it becomes necessary to map the abstract SOA to a concrete implementation and that is where the ESB comes in to play.

You can learn more about SOA principles and ESB architectures in *Appendix B, Service Orientated Architecture Overview* .

1.2. When would you use an ESB?

The figures below illustrate some concrete examples where JBossESB would be useful. Although these examples are specific to interactions between participants using non-interoperable JMS implementations, the principles are general and can be applied to other transports such as File Transfer Protocol (FTP) and Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP).

This first diagram shows simple file movement between two systems where messaging queuing is not involved:




Figure 1.1. Simple file movement between two systems without messaging queuing

The next diagram illustrates how transformation can be injected into the same scenario using JBossESB:




Figure 1.2. Simple file movement with transformation between two systems without messaging queuing:

In the next series of examples, we use a queuing system (for example, a JMS implementation).




Figure 1.3. Using messaging queuing:

The diagram below shows transformation and queuing in the same situation:




Figure 1.4. Using messaging queuing with transformation:

JBossESB can be used in more than multi-party scenarios. For example, the diagram below shows basic data transformation via the ESB using the file system.




Figure 1.5. basic data transformation via the ESB using the file system

The final scenario is again a single party example using transformation and a queuing system.




Figure 1.6. single party example using transformation and a queuing system:

In the following chapters, we shall look at the core concepts within JBossESB and how they can be used to develop SOA-based applications.

JBoss ESB

2.1. Rosetta

The core of the JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform is *Rosetta*, an ESB that has been in commercial deployment at mission critical sites for over four years. These deployments have included highly heterogeneous environments. One such instance included an IBM mainframe running z/OS, DB2 and Oracle databases, Windows and Linux servers and a variety of third party applications, as well as third parties services that were outside of the corporation's IT infrastructure.




Figure 2.1. The Rosetta Architecture

In the diagram, the term "processor classes" refers to the Action classes within the core that are responsible for processing on triggered events.

There are many reasons why you may want disparate applications, services and components to interoperate. The most common reason is in order to leverage legacy systems in new deployments. Such interactions between these entities may occur either synchronously or asynchronously.

Rosetta was developed not only to facilitate such deployments but also to provide an infrastructure and set of tools that meet the following objectives:

- Be easily configured to work with a wide variety of transport mechanisms such as email and JMS.
- · Offer a general-purpose object repository.
- Enable interchangeable data transformation mechanisms.

- Support logging of interactions, both business and processing events, that flow through the framework.
- Make it simple to isolate business logic from transport and triggering mechanisms
- · Allow flexible plug-ins for business logic and data transformations
- Be simple for future users to replace and extend the standard base classes that come with the framework
- Enable the triggering of custom 'action classes' that can be unaware of transport and triggering mechanisms



Important

There are two trees within the JBossESB source: org.jboss.internal.soa.esb and org.jboss.soa.esb. You should limit your use of anything within the org.jboss.internal.soa.esb package, because the contents are subject to change without notice. org.jboss.soa.esb is covered by our deprecation policy.

2.2. The core of JBossESB summarized

Rosetta is built upon four core architectural components:

· Message Listener and Message Filtering code

Message Listeners act as "inbound" message routers that listen for messages (on a JMS Queue/ Topic or on the file system). They present the messages to a processing pipeline. This pipeline filters the message and routes it (via the "outbound" router) to another message endpoint.

- Data transformation using the SmooksAction action processor.
- A Content Based Routing service.
- A Message Repository for saving messages & events exchanged within the ESB.

These capabilities are offered through a set of business classes, adapters and processors, which will be described in detail later. Interactions between clients and services are supported via a range of different approaches, including JMS, flat-file system and email.

An example JBoss SOA Platform deployment is shown below. We shall return to this diagram in subsequent sections.




Figure 2.2. Example JBoss SOA Platform deployment



Important

Some of the components in *Figure 2.2, "Example JBoss SOA Platform deployment"* such as the LDAP server are optional components and may not be provided out-of-the-box. Furthermore, the distinction between Processor and Action displayed in the above diagram is merely an illustrative convenience to show the concepts involved when an incoming event (message) triggers the underlying ESB to invoke higher-level services.

In the following chapters we shall look at the various components within the JBoss SOA Platform ,how they interact and how they can be used to develop Service Orientated applications.

Updated. Services and Messages

In keeping with SOA principles, everything within the JBoss ESB is considered to be either a service or a message.

Services encapsulate the business logic or points of integration with legacy systems.

Messages are the way in which clients and services communicate with each other.

In the following sections we shall look at how Services and Messages are supported.

3.1. *Updated.* The Service

A *Service* in the JBoss ESB is defined as a list of *Action* classes that process a Message in a sequential manner. This list of Action classes is referred to as an *Action Pipeline*. A Service can also define a list of *Listeners*. Listeners act like inbound routers for the Service, routing messages to the Action Pipeline.

The following is a very simple configuration that defines a single Service that simply prints the contents of the Message to the console.

Example 3.1. Simple service example printing contents of message to console.

A Service has "category" and "name" attributes. When the JBoss ESB deploys the Service, it uses these attributes to register the Service's listeners as *endpoints* in the Service Registry. Clients can invoke the Service using the class **ServiceInvoker**.

```
ServiceInvoker invoker = new ServiceInvoker("Retail", "ShoeStore");
Message message = MessageFactory.getInstance().getMessage();
message.getBody().add("Hi there!");
invoker.deliverAsync(message);
```

Example 3.2. Invoking the service from the client

The **ServiceInvoker** uses the Services Registry ¹ to look up the available Endpoint addresses for the service "Retail:ShoeStore". It takes care of all the details of sending the message from the Client to one of the available Service Endpoints. The message transport process is completely transparent to the client.

The Endpoint addresses made available to the ServiceInvoker will depend on the list of listeners configured on the Service, such as JMS, FTP or HTTP. No listeners are configured on the Service in the above example, but its *InVM* listener has been enabled using <code>invmScope="GLOBAL"</code>. The InVM transport is an ESB feature that provides communication between services running on the same JVM. *Section 4.3.4*, "updated InVM Transport" contains more information about this feature.

You need to explicitly add listener configurations to a service to enable additional Endpoints.

The JBoss ESB supports two forms of listener configuration:

· Gateway Listeners

These listener configurations provide a Gateway Endpoint. These Endpoint types provide a point of entry for messages from outside of your JBoss ESB deployment. They also have the responsibility for *normalizing* the message payload by wrapping it into an *ESB Message* before shipping it to the Service's Action Pipeline.

· ESB Aware Listeners

These listener configurations provide an *ESB Aware* Endpoint. These Endpoint types are used to exchange ESB Messages between ESB Aware components. For example, they can be used to exchange messages on the bus.



Note

An "ESB Message" is one that is an implementation of the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message.An "ESB Aware component" is one that deals with ESB Messages.

In the following example we have added a <jms-provider> section that defines a single <jms-bus> for the Shoe Store JMS Queue. This is then referenced in the <jms-listener> defined on the Shoe Store Service.

¹ This information is in The JBoss Enterprise SOA Platform Services Guide, which is provided as the file **Services_Guide.pdf** or can be viewed online at http://www.redhat.com/docs/en-US/JBoss_SOA_Platform/

```
<?xml version = "1.0" encoding = "UTF-8"?>
<jbossesb xmlns="http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/</pre>
etc/schemas/xml/jbossesb-1.0.1.xsd">
  oviders>
    <jms-provider name="JBossMQ" connection-factory="ConnectionFactory">
      <jms-bus busid="shoeStoreJMSGateway">
        <jms-message-filter dest-type="QUEUE"</pre>
          dest-name="queue/shoeStoreJMSGateway"/>
      </jms-bus>
    </jms-provider>
  </providers>
   <services>
     <service category="Retail" name="ShoeStore"</pre>
       description="Acme Shoe Store Service">
<invmScope="GLOBAL">
       <listeners>
         <jms-listener name="shoeStoreJMSGateway"</pre>
           busidref="shoeStoreJMSGateway" is-gateway="true"/>
       </listeners>
       <actions>
         <action name="println"
           class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.SystemPrintln" />
       </actions>
    </service>
  </services>
</jbossesb>
```

Example 3.3. a JMS Gateway listener added to the above ShoeStore Service example

The Shoe Store service can now be accessed using either of two Endpoints, the InVM Endpoint and the new JMS Gateway Endpoint. For performance reasons, the **ServiceInvoker** will always try to use a Service's local InVM Endpoint, in preference to other Endpoint types, if one is available.

3.2. The Message

All interactions between clients and services within JBossESB occur through the exchange of Messages. Development using a *message-exchange pattern* is recommended, to encourage loose coupling. The requests and responses should be independent messages, correlated where necessary by the infrastructure or application. Applications constructed in this way can be more tolerant of failure and give developers more flexibility in selecting their deployment and message delivery requirements.

The following guidelines are recommended in order to ensure loose coupling of services and robust SOA applications.

1. Use one-way message exchanges rather than a request-response architecture.

- 2. Keep the contract definition within the exchanged messages. You should avoid defining a service interface that exposes your back-end implementation choices as this will make it very difficult to change the implementation at a later date.
- 3. Use an extensible message structure for the message payload so that changes to it can be versioned over time for backward compatibility.
- 4. Do not develop excessively fine-grained services as they often lead to extremely complex applications that cannot be easily adapted to changes in your environment. The paradigm of SOA is one of services, not distributed objects.

A one-way message delivery pattern with requests and responses requires that information about where those responses should be sent be encoded in the message. This information may be present in the message body (the payload) and dealt with by the application. Alternatively, it may part of the initial request message and, thereby, be dealt with by the ESB infrastructure.

Central to the ESB is the notion of a message whose structure is similar to that found in SOAP.

```
<xs:complexType name="Envelope">
    <xs:attribute ref="Header" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute ref="Context" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute ref="Body" use="required"/>
    <xs:attribute ref="Attachment" use="optional"/>
    <xs:attribute ref="Properties" use="optional"/>
    <xs:attribute ref="Fault" use="optional"/>
    </xs:complexType>
```

Example 3.4. ESB Message schema sample

Pictorially, the basic structure of the Message can be represented as shown below. (In the rest of this section we shall examine each of these components in more detail.)



Figure 3.1. Basic Structure of a Message

In UML, the Message structure can be represented as:




Figure 3.2. The Message Structure Represented As UML

Each message is an implementation of the **org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message** interface. This package contains the interfaces for the various fields within the Message.

```
public interface Message
{
  public Header getHeader ();
  public Context getContext ();
  public Body getBody ();
  public Fault getFault ();
  public Attachment getAttachment ();
  public URI getType ();
  public Properties getProperties ();
}
```

Example 3.5. The org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message interface



Warning

At this time, it is recommended that developers do not use Properties or Attachments.

The general concepts they embody are currently being re-evaluated and may change significantly in future releases.

It is recommended that the data for your Properties and Attachments be included as part of the Message Body.

The Header contains routing and addressing information for the message as Endpoint References (EPRs). It also has information to uniquely identify the message. JBossESB uses an addressing scheme based on the WS-Addressing standard from **W3C**. We shall discuss the org.jboss.soa.esb.addressing.Call class in the next section.

```
public interface Header
{
  public Call getCall ();
  public void setCall (Call call);
}
```

Example 3.6. The Public Interface Header

The Context contains session-related information, such as transaction or security contexts. Version 5.0 of the JBoss ESB is the first to support user-enhanced Contexts.

The Body typically contains the payload of the message. You can can use the Body to send an arbitrary number of different data types. You are not restricted to sending and receiving single data items within a Body. How these objects are serialized to and from the message body is up to the specific Object type.



Note

You should be extremely careful about sending Serialized objects within the Body. This is because not everything that can be Serialized will necessarily be meaningful at the receiving end, an example of this being database connections.

```
public interface Body

{
    public static final String DEFAULT_LOCATION
    = "org.jboss.soa.esb.message.defaultEntry";

    public void add (String name, Object value);
    public Object get (String name);
    public void add (Object value);
    public Object get ();
    public Object remove (String name);
    public void replace (Body b);
    public void merge (Body b);
    public String[] getNames ();
}
```

Example 3.7. The org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Body interface

A Body can be used to convey arbitrary information numbers of each type. In other words, it is not necessary to restrict yourself to sending and receiving single data items within a Body.



Important

The byte array component of the Body was deprecated in JBossESB 4.2.1. If you wish to continue using a byte array in conjunction with other data stored in the Body, then simply use add with a unique name. If your clients and services want to agree on a location for a byte array, then you can use the one that JBossESB uses: ByteBody.BYTES LOCATION.



Note

The default named Object (**DEFAULT_LOCATION**) should be used with care so that multiple services or Actions do not overwrite eachother's data.

The Fault is used to convey error information. The information is represented within the Body.

```
public interface Fault
{
  public URI getCode ();
  public void setCode (URI code);

public String getReason ();
  public void setReason (String reason);

public Throwable getCause ();
  public void setCause (Throwable ex);
}
```

Example 3.8. The org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Fault interface



Warning

At this time it is recommended that developers do not use Properties or Attachments.

The general concepts they embody are currently being re-evaluated and may change significantly in future releases.

It is recommended that the data for your Properties and Attachments be included as part of the Message Body.

Message properties define additional metadata for the message. Here is a set of such properties:

```
public interface Properties
{
   public Object getProperty(String name);
   public Object getProperty(String name, Object defaultVal);

public Object setProperty(String name, Object value);
   public Object remove(String name);

public int size();
   public String[] getNames();
}
```

Example 3.9. The org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Properties interface



Note

JBossESB does not implement Properties using *java.util.Properties* as that would place restrictions on the types of clients and services that could used. Web Services stacks also do this for the same reason. If you need to send <code>java.util.Properties</code> then you can embed them within the current abstraction.

Messages may contain attachments that do not appear in the main payload body. Such attachments may include images, drawings, binary document formats and zip files. The Attachment interface supports both named and unnamed attachments. In the current release of JBossESB, only Java Serialized objects may be attachments. This restriction will be removed in a subsequent release.

```
public interface Attachment
{
   Object get(String name);
   Object put(String name, Object value);

   Object remove(String name);

String[] getNames();

Object itemAt (int index) throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;
Object removeItemAt (int index) throws IndexOutOfBoundsException
Object replaceItemAt(int index, Object value)
throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;

void addItem (Object value);
void addItemAt (int index, Object value)
throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;

public int getNamedCount();
}
```

Example 3.10. The org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Attachment interface

Attachments may be used for a number of reasons. Generally, they are utilised to provide a more logical structure for the message. The performance of large messages can also be improved by allowing the streaming of the attachments between endpoints.



Note

The JBossESB does not allow you to specify other encoding mechanisms for the Message or attachment streaming. This feature will be added in a later release and, where appropriate, will be tied into the SOAP-with-attachments delivery mechanism. Currently, attachments are treated in the same way as named objects within the Body.

Users may be overwhelmed by the choice between attachments, properties and named objects when deciding where to put the payload. However, the decision is quite straightforward:

As a service developer, you define the contract that clients use in order to interact with your service.
 As part of that contract, you will specify both functional and non-functional aspects of the service,
 for example, that it is an airline reservation service (functional) and that it is transactional (non functional). You will also define the operations (messages) that the service can understand. As
 part of the message definition, you stipulate the format (such as Java Serialized Message or XML)
 and the content (in this case, transaction context, seat number, customer name and so forth.)
 When you define the content, you can specify where in the Message your service will expect to find
 the payload. This can be in the form of attachments or specific, named objects (even the default

named object, if you so wish). It is entirely up to you as the service developer to determine. The only restriction is that objects and attachments must be globally uniquely named (otherwise one service (or action) may inadvertently pick up a partial payload meant for another, if the same Message Body is forwarded across multiple hops.)

As a service user, you obtain the contract definition about the service (for example, through **UDDI**or out-of-band communication) and this will define where in the message the payload must be
placed. Information placed in other locations is likely be ignored and, thereby, result in the incorrect
operation of the service.

3.3. Obtaining Data and Setting It on the Message Body

The default behavior of all ESB components (Actions, Listeners, Gateways, Routers, Notifiers etc) is to get and set data on the message using the message's *Default Payload Location*.

All ESB components use the **MessagePayloadProxy** to manage the obtaining and setting of the payload on the message. It handles the default case, as outlined above, but also allows it to be overridden in a uniform manner across all components. It also allows the "get" and "set" location for the message payload to be overridden in a uniform way using the following component properties:

- get-payload-location: The location from which to retrieve the message payload.
- set-payload-location: The location on which to set the message payload.



Note

Prior to JBossESB 4.2.1GA, there was no default message payload exchange pattern in place. Subsequent releases can be configured to be backwards compatible by setting the use.legacy.message.payload.exchange.patterns property to **true** in the core section of the **jbossesb-properties.xml** file in the **jbossesb.sar**.

3.4. Extensions to Body

In addition to letting you manipulate the contents of a Message Body directly in terms of bytes or name/value pairs, there are also a number of interfaces available that simplify the process. They do so by providing predefined message structures and methods with which to manipulate them.

These interfaces are extensions on the basic Body interface and can be used in conjunction with existing clients and services. Message consumers do not need to be aware of these new types because the underlying data structure of the message remains unchanged.

These extensions are complimentary to the original base Body interface. As such, they can be used in conjunction with existing clients and services. Message consumers can remain unaware of these new types because the underlying data structure within the Message remains unchanged. However, It is important to realise that these extensions do not store their data in the default location; data should be retrieved using the corresponding methods of the extension class.

Extensions Types

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.TextBody

The content of the Body is an arbitrary String and can be manipulated using the getText and setText methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.ObjectBody

The content of the Body is a Serialized Object and can be manipulated using the getObject and setObject methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.MapBody

The content of the Body is a Map(String, Serialized) and can be manipulated using the setMap and other methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.TextBody

The content of the Body is an arbitrary String and can be manipulated using the getText and setText methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.ObjectBody

The content of the Body is a Serialized Object and can be manipulated using the getObject and setObject methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.MapBody

The content of the Body is a Map(String, Serialized) and can be manipulated using the setMap and other methods.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.body.content.BytesBody

The content of the Body is a byte stream that contains an arbitrary Java data-type. It can be manipulated using the methods for the data-type being . Once created, the BytesMessage should be placed into either a read-only or write-only mode, depending upon how it needs to be manipulated. You can change between these modes by using the readMode() and writeMode() methods but each time the mode is changed the buffer pointer will be reset. It is necessary to call the flush() method to ensure that all of your updates have been applied to the Body.

You can create Messages that have Body implementations based on one of these specific interfaces by using the XMLMessageFactory or SerializedMessageFactory classes. The XMLMessageFactory and SerializedMessageFactory classes are more convenient to use when working with Messages than MessageFactory and its associated classes.

For each of the various Body types, you will find an associated "create" method, such as createTextBody, which allows you to create and initialize a Message of the specific type. Once created, the Message can be manipulated directly through the raw Body or by using its interface methods. The Body structure is maintained even after transmission so it can be manipulated by the message recipient using the methods of the interface that created it.

The XMLMessageFactory and SerializedMessageFactory are more convenient ways with which to work with Messages than the MessageFactory and associated classes. These later classes are described in the following sections.

3.5. The Message Header

The content of the Message Header is contained in an instance of the org.jboss.soa.esb.addressing.Call class.

```
public class Call
 public Call ();
 public Call (EPR epr);
 public void setTo (EPR epr);
 public EPR getTo () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setFrom (EPR from);
 public EPR getFrom () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setReplyTo (EPR replyTo);
 public EPR getReplyTo () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setFaultTo (EPR uri);
 public EPR getFaultTo () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setRelatesTo (URI uri);
 public URI getRelatesTo () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setAction (URI uri);
 public URI getAction () throws URISyntaxException;
 public void setMessageID (URI uri);
 public URI getMessageID () throws URISyntaxException;
public void copy (Call from);
```

Example 3.11. org.jboss.soa.esb.addressing.Call

org.jboss.soa.esb.addressing.Call supports both one-way and request-reply interaction patterns.

Property	Туре	Required	Description
То	EPR	Yes	The address of the intended receiver of this message.
From	EPR	No	Reference of the endpoint where the message originated.
ReplyTo	EPR	No	An EPR that identifies the intended receiver for replies to this message.
FaultTo	EPR	No	An endpoint reference that identifies the intended receiver for faults related to this message.
Action	URI	Yes	An identifier that uniquely and opaquely identifies the semantics implied by this message.
MessageID	URI	Depends	A URI that uniquely identifies this message.

Table 3.1. org.jboss.soa.esb.addressing.Call Properties

The relationship between the Header and the various EPRs can be illustrated in UML as:




Figure 3.3. Relationship between the Header and ERPs in UML

The role of the header must be considered when developing and using your services. For example, if you require a synchronous interaction pattern based on request and response, you will be expected to set the **ReplyTo** field or a default EPR will be used. Even with request/response, the response need not go back to the original sender, if you so choose. Likewise, when sending one-way (no response) messages, you should not set the **ReplyTo** field because it will be ignored. Please see the following section on the LogicalEPR for more details.



Note

The Message Header is formed in conjunction with the Message by the creator. It is immutable once transmitted between endpoints. Although the interfaces allow the recipient to modify the individual values, JBossESB will ignore such modifications. In future releases it is likely that such modifications will be disallowed by the API as well for improved clarity. These rules are laid down in the WS-Addressing standards.

3.6. LogicalEPR

Your ReplyTo or FaultTo EPRs should always use the LogicalEPR, as opposed to one of the Physical EPRs (JMS-EPR etc). A LogicalEPR is an EPR that simply specifies the name and category of an ESB Service/Endpoint. It contains no physical addressing information.

The LogicalEPR is the preferred option because it makes no assumptions about the capabilities of the user of the EPR (which is typically, but not necessarily, the ESB itself.) The client of the LogicalEPR

can use the Service name and category details supplied in the EPR to look up the physical endpoint details for that Service/Endpoint at the point in time when they intend making the invocation (that is, they will receive relevant addressing information.) The client will also be able to select a physical endpoint type that suits it.

Default FaultTo

The FaultTo is an EPR that identifies the intended receiver for Faults related to this message.

The JBossESB will route any Fault to the EPR in the FaultTo property of the incoming message. If FaultTo is not set, JBossESB will check the ReplyTo and From properties in turn. If no valid EPR is obtained as a result of checking all of these fields, the error will be output to the console.

This property can be absent if the sender cannot receive fault messages or if you do not want any response at all. However it is recommended in such scenarios to use the DeadLetter Queue Service EPR as your FaultTo or any faults that do occur will be saved for later processing.

Default ReplyTo

The ReplyTo property is an EPR that identifies the intended receiver for replies to this message. The message header must contain a ReplyTo if a reply is expected.

JBossESB supports default ReplyTo values for each type of transport. This is used in situations where a response is required but the ReplyTo property has not been supplied. Some of these defaults require system administrators to configure JBossESB correctly.

Transport	ReplyTo
JMS	A queue with the same name as the one used to deliver the original request with the suffix of _reply.
JDBC	A table in the same database with the same name as the one used to deliver the original request with the suffix of _reply_table. The reply table needs the same column definitions as the request table.
files	For both local and remote files, no administration changes are required. Responses are written into the same directory as the request but with a unique suffix to ensure that only the original sender will pick up the response.

Table 3.2. Default ReplyTo by transport

3.7. The Message Payload

From an application/service perspective, the message payload is a combination of the Body, Attachments and Properties.



Warning

At this time it is recommended that developers do not use Properties or Attachments.

The general concepts they embody are currently being re-evaluated and may change significantly in future releases.

It is recommended that the data for your Properties and Attachments be included as part of the Message Body.

The UML representation of the payload is shown below:




Figure 3.4. UML representation of the message payload

You can add more complex content through the "add" method, which supports named Objects. Using name, Object pairs allows for a finer granularity of data access. Any type of Objects can be added to the Body. If you add objects that are not Java Serialisable you must provide JBossESB with the ability to marshal and unmarshal the Message. See the section on ""Message Formats" for more details.

If no name is supplied to set or get, then the name defined by **DEFAULT_LOCATION** will be used.



Note

Be careful when using Serialized Java objects in messages because it constrains the service implementations.

It is easiest to work with the Message Body through the named Object approach. You can add, remove and inspect individual data items within the Message payload without having to decode the entire Body. Furthermore, you can combine named Objects within the payload with the byte array.



Note

In the current release of JBossESB, only Java Serialized objects may be attachments. This restriction will be removed in a subsequent release.

3.8. The MessageFactory

Although each ESB component deals with ESB Messages as a collection of Java objects, it is often necessary to serialize these messages. Situations where this might be done include saving to a datastore, sending the message between different JBossESB processes and debugging.

JBossESB does not impose a single specific normalized format for message serialization because the requirements of the format will be influenced by the unique characteristics of each ESB deployment. All implementations of the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message interface are obtained from the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessageFactory class.

```
public abstract class MessageFactory
{
  public abstract Message getMessage ();
  public abstract Message getMessage (URI type);

  public static MessageFactory getInstance ();
}
```

Example 3.12. org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessageFactory

Message serialization implementations are uniquely identified by a URI. You can either specify the implementation when creating a new instance, or use the configured default.

Currently, JBossESB provides two implementations, **JBOSS_XML** and **JBOSS_SERIALIZED**. These implementations are defined in the **org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessageType** class.



Important

You should be wary about using the JAVA_SERIALIZED version of the Message format because it can easily tie your applications to specific service implementations.

Additional Message implementations may be provided at runtime through the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessagePlugin.

```
public interface MessagePlugin
{
  public static final String MESSAGE_PLUGIN =
  "org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.plugin";

  public Message getMessage ();
  public URI getType ();
}
```

Example 3.13. org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessagePlugin

Each plug-in must uniquely identify the type of Message implementation it provides using the getType() method. Plug-in implementations must be identified to the system in the jbossesb-properties.xml file using property names with the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.plugin extension.



Note

The default Message type is **JBOSS_XML**. However, this can be changed by setting the property **org.jboss.soa.esb.message.default.uri** to the desired URI.

3.9. Message Formats

We shall now examine the two serialised message formats, **JBOSS_XML** and **JBOSS_SERIALIZED**, in more detail.

3.9.1. MessageType.JAVA_SERIALIZED

This implementation requires that all components of a Message are Serialisable. It requires that recipients of this type of Message is able to de-serialise the Message. In other words it must be able to instantiate the Java classes contained in the Message.

This implementation requires that all contents are Java Serialisable. Any attempt to add a non-Serialisable object to the Message will result in a IllegalParameterException being thrown.

The URI is urn: jboss/esb/message/type/JAVA_SERIALIZED.

3.9.2. MessageType. JBOSS_XML

This uses an XML representation of the Message. The schema for the message is defined in **message.xsd** within the schemas directory.

The URI is urn: jboss/esb/message/type/JBOSS_XML.

If you add non Java Serialisable objects to the Message you will have to provide a mechanism for marshaling those objects to and from XML. This can be done by creating a plugin using the org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.xml.marshal.MarshalUnmarshalPlugin interface.

```
public interface MarshalUnmarshalPlugin
{
  public static final String MARSHAL_UNMARSHAL_PLUGIN =
  "org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.xml.plugin";

  public boolean marshal (Element doc, Object param)
  throws MarshalException;

  public Object unmarshal (Element doc) throws UnmarshalException;

  public URI type ();
}
```

Example 3.14. public interface MarshalUnmarshalPlugin

Marshaling plug-ins must be registered with the system through the jbossesb-properties.xml configuration file. They must have attribute names that start with the MARSHAL_UNMARSHAL_PLUGIN.

When packing objects in XML, JBossESB runs through the list of registered plug-ins until it finds one that can deal with the object type. If it does not find a suitable plugin, it returns a Fault message. The name of the plug-in that packed the object is also attached. This facilitates unpacking at the Message receiver.

Now that we have looked at the concepts behind services and Messages, we shall examine how to construct services using the framework provided by **Rosetta**.

updated Building and Using Services

4.1. updated Listeners, Routers/Notifiers and Actions

4.1.1. Listeners

Listeners encapsulate the endpoints for ESB-aware message reception. Upon receipt of a message, a Listener feeds that message into a "pipeline" of message processors. These process the message before routing the result to the "replyTo" endpoint. The action processing that takes place in the pipeline may consist of steps wherein the message gets transformed in one processor, some business logic is applied in the next processor and so on, before the result gets routed to the next step in the pipeline, or to another endpoint.



Note

You can configure various parameters for listeners. An example of such a parameter is the number of active worker threads. See the chapter on "Defining Service Configurations" for a full range of these options.

4.1.2. updated Routers

Routers are used to direct either the ESB Message itself or its payload to an endpoint destination. Some routers support the 'unwrap' property. If this property is set to true, then the ESB Message payload will be extracted and sent to the ESB-unaware endpoint by itself. Setting the unwrap option to false will pass the ESB Message in its entirety, without extracting the payload. In this case, the receiving endpoint must be ESB-aware so that it can handle the message.

No further processing of the action pipeline will occur after the router operation, even if there are further actions waiting in the configuration. If you require this type of splitting, you should use the **StaticWiretap** action.

Tools like **StaticWiretap** and **StaticRouter** can only be used for routing to other services. There are also programs available that can dynamically route based on message content. Please see the section entitled "What is Content Based Routing?" in the Services Guide for more information on content-based routers.

For information about the usage of different routers please see the "Routers" section of Chapter 11, which is entitled "Out-of-the-Box Actions".

4.1.3. Notifiers

Notifiers are used to propagate success or error information to ESB-unaware endpoints. You should not use Notifiers for communicating with ESB-aware endpoints. This may mean that you cannot have ESB-aware and ESB-unaware endpoints listening on the same channel. Consider using Couriers or the ServiceInvoker within your Actions if you want to communicate with ESB-aware endpoints.

Not all ESB-aware transports are supported by Notifiers, and, by the same token, not all transports support Notifiers. Notifiers are deliberately simple in what they allow to be transported: either a byte[] or a String (obtained by calling toString() on the payload).



JMSNotifier was previously sending the type of JMS message (TextMessage or ObjectMessage) depending upon the type of ESB Message (XML or Serializable, respectively). This was wrong, as the type of ESB Message should not affect the way in which the Notifier sends responses. As of JBossESB 4.2.1CP02, the message type to be used by the Notifier can be set as a property (org.jboss.soa.esb.message.transport.jms.nativeMessageType) on the ESB message. Possible values are NotifyJMS.NativeMessage.text or NotifyJMS.NativeMessage.object. For backward compatibility with previous releases, the default value depends upon the ESB Message type: "object" for Serializable and "text" for XML. However, we encourage you not to rely on defaults.

As outlined above, it is the responsibility of a listener to act as a message delivery endpoint and to deliver messages to an "Action Processing Pipeline". Each listener configuration needs to supply information for:

- The Registry (see service-category, service-name, service-description and EPR-description tag names). If you set the optional remove-old-service tag name to "true", then the ESB will remove any existing service entry from the Registry, prior to adding this new instance. However, this should be used with care, because the entire service will be removed, including all EPRs.
- Instantiation of the listener class (see listenerClass tag name).
- The EPR that the listener will be servicing. This is transport specific. The following example
 corresponds to a JMS EPR (see connection-factory, destination-type, destination-name, jndi-type,
 jndi-URL and message-selector tag names).
- The "action processing pipeline". One or more <action> elements that each must contain at least the "class" tag name. These will determine which action class will be instantiated for that step in the processing chain.

```
<?xml version = "1.0" encoding = "UTF-8"?>
<jbossesb xmlns="http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/</pre>
etc/schemas/xml/jbossesb-1.0.1.xsd" parameterReloadSecs="5">
oviders>
  <jms-provider name="JBossMQ"</pre>
    connection-factory="ConnectionFactory"
    jndi-URL="jnp://127.0.0.1:1099"
    jndi-context-factory="org.jnp.interfaces.NamingContextFactory"
    jndi-pkg-prefix="org.jboss.naming:org.jnp.interfaces">
    <jms-bus busid="quickstartGwChannel">
      <jms-message-filter dest-type="QUEUE"</pre>
        dest-name="queue/quickstart_helloworld_Request_qw"/>
    </jms-bus>
    <jms-bus busid="quickstartEsbChannel">
      <jms-message-filter dest-type="QUEUE"</pre>
        dest-name="queue/quickstart_helloworld_Request_esb"/>
    </jms-bus>
  </jms-provider>
</providers>
<services>
  <service category="FirstServiceESB"</pre>
    name="SimpleListener" description="Hello World">
    <listeners>
      <jms-listener name="JMS-Gateway"</pre>
        busidref="quickstartGwChannel" maxThreads="1"
        is-gateway="true"/>
      <jms-listener name="helloWorld"</pre>
        busidref="quickstartEsbChannel" maxThreads="1"/>
    </listeners>
    <actions>
      <action name="action1" class="org.jboss.soa.esb.samples.</pre>
quickstart.helloworld.MyJMSListenerAction"
        process="displayMessage" />
      <action name="notificationAction"
        class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.Notifier">
        cyroperty name="okMethod" value="notifyOK" />
        property name="notification-details">
          <NotificationList type="ok">
            <target class="NotifyConsole"/>
          </NotificationList>
          <NotificationList type="err">
            <target class="NotifyConsole"/>
          </NotificationList>
        </property>
      </action>
                   </actions> </service> </services>
</jbossesb>
```

Example 4.1. HelloWorld Quickstart service configuration

This example configuration will instantiate a listener object (jms-listener attribute). This listener object will wait for incoming ESB Messages that are serialized within a <code>javax.jms.ObjectMessage</code>. It will deliver each incoming message to an ActionProcessingPipeline consisting of two steps (<action> elements):

action1.

MyJMSListenerAction (a trivial example follows)

notificationAction.

An org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.SystemPrintln

The following action class will prove useful for debugging your XML action configuration

```
public class MyJMSListenerAction
{
   ConfigTree _config;

public MyJMSListenerAction(ConfigTree config) { _config = config; }

public Message process (Message message) throws Exception
{
   System.out.println(message.getBody().get());
   return message;
}
```

Example 4.2. a JMS Gateway listener added to the above ShoeStore Service example

Action classes are the main way for ESB users to tailor the framework to their specific needs. The **ActionProcessingPipeline** class will expect any action class to provide at least the following:

- A public constructor that takes a single argument of type ConfigTree
- One or more public methods that take a Message argument and return a Message result

Optional public callback methods that take a Message argument will be used for notification of the result of the specific step of the processing pipeline (see items 5 and 6 below).

The org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.message.ActionProcessingPipeline class will perform the following steps for all steps configured using <action> elements

- 1. Instantiate an object of the class specified in the 'class' attribute with a constructor that takes a single argument of type **ConfigTree**
- 2. Analyze contents of the 'process' attribute.

Contents can be a comma separated list of public method names of the instantiated class (step 1), each of which must take a single argument of type **Message**, and return a **Message** object that will be passed to the next step in the pipeline

If the 'process' attribute is not present, the pipeline will assume a single processing method called process

Using a list of method names in a single <action> element has some advantages compared to using successive <action> elements, as the action class is instantiated once, and methods will be invoked on the same instance of the class. This reduces overhead and allows for state information to be kept in the instance objects.

This approach is useful for user supplied (new) action classes but the other alternative, (list of <action> elements), continues to be a way of reusing other existing action classes.

- 3. Sequentially invoke each method in the list using the Message returned by the previous step
- 4. If the value returned by any step is null, the pipeline will stop processing immediately.
- 5. Callback method for success in each <action> element: If the list of methods in the 'process' attribute was executed successfully, the pipeline will analyze contents of the **okMethod** attribute. If none is specified, processing will continue with the next <action> element. If a method name is provided in the **okMethod** attribute, it will be invoked using the **Message** returned by the last method in step 3. If the pipeline succeeds then the **okMethod** notification will be called on all handlers from the last one back to the initial one.
- 6. Callback method for failure in each <action> element: If an Exception occurs then the exceptionMethod notification will be called on all handlers from the current (failing) handler back to the initial handler. At the present time, if no exceptionMethod was specified, the only output will be the logged error. If an ActionProcessingFaultException is thrown from any process method then an error message will be returned as per the rules defined in the next section. The contents of the error message will either be whatever is returned from the getFaultMessage of the exception, or a default Fault containing the information within the original exception.

Action classes supplied by users to tailor behavior of the ESB to their specific needs, might need extra run time configuration (for example the Notifier class in the XML above needs the <NotificationList> child element). Each <action> element will utilize the attributes mentioned above and will ignore any other attributes and optional child elements. These will be however passed through to the action class constructor in the require ConfigTree argument. Each action class will be instantiated with it's corresponding <action> element and thus does not see (in fact must not see) sibling action elements.



Note

In JBoss ESB 5.0 the name of the property used to enclose NotificationList elements in the <action> target is not validated.

4.1.4. Actions and Messages

Actions are triggered by the arrival of a Message. The specific Action implementation is expected to know where the data resides within a Message. Because a Service may be implemented using an arbitrary number of Actions, it is possible that a single input Message could contain information on behalf of more than one Action. In this case, it is incumbent on the Action developer to choose one or more unique locations within the Message Body in which to place its data and then to communicate this to the Service consumers.

Furthermore, because Actions may be chained together it is possible that an Action earlier in the chain modifies the original input Message, or replaces it entirely.



From a security perspective, you should be careful about using unknown Actions within your Service chain. We recommend encrypting information.

If Actions share data within an input Message, and if each one modifies the information as it flows through the chain, by default we recommend retaining the original information. This is so that Actions further down the chain still have access to it. Obviously, there may be situations where this is either impossible or would be unwise. Within JBossESB, Actions that modify the input data can place it within the **org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.post** named Body location. This means that if there are N Actions in the chain, Action N can find the original data where it would normally look, or if Action N-1 modified the data then N will find it within the other specified location. To further facilitate Action chaining, Action N can see if Action N-2 modified the data by looking in the org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.pre named Body location.



Note

As mentioned earlier, you should use the default named Body location with care when chaining Actions. This is in case chained Actions use it in a conflicting manner.

4.1.5. Handling responses

There are two processing mechanisms supported for handling responses in the action pipeline, implicit processing (based on the response of the actions) and explicit processing.

If the processing is implicit then responses will be processed as follows: -

- If any action in the pipeline returns a null message, then no response will be sent.
- If the final action in the pipeline returned a non-error response, then a reply will be sent to the "ReplyTo EPR" of the request message or, if not set, to the "From EPR" of the request message. In the event that there is no way to route responses, an error message will be logged by the system.

If the processing is explicit, then responses will be processed as follows:

- If the action pipeline is specified as "OneWay", then the pipeline will never send a response.
- If the pipeline is specified as "RequestResponse", then a reply will be sent to the "ReplyTo EPR"
 of the request message or, if not set, to the "From EPR" of the request message. If no EPR is
 specified, then no error message will be logged by the system.

We recommend that all action pipelines should use the explicit processing mechanism. This can be enabled by simply adding the 'mep' attribute to the 'actions' element in the <code>jboss-esb.xml</code> file. The value of this attribute should be either <code>OneWay</code> or <code>RequestResponse</code>.

4.1.6. Error handling when processing actions

When processing an action chain, errors may occur. Such errors should be thrown as exceptions from the Action pipeline and, hence, the processing of the pipeline. As mentioned earlier, a Fault Message may be returned within an ActionProcessingFaultException. If it is important for information about errors to be returned to the sender (or some intermediary), then the FaultTo EPR should be set. If it is not set, then JBossESB will attempt to deliver error messages based on the ReplyTo EPR and,

if that, too, is not set, then based on the From EPR. If none of these EPRs has been set, then the error information will be logged locally.

Error messages of various types can be returned from the Action implementations. However, JBossESB supports the following "system" error messages. In the case that an exception is thrown and no application specific Fault Message is present, all of these may be identified by the mentioned URI in the message Fault:

urn:action/error/actionprocessingerror

This means that an action in the chain threw an ActionProcessingFaultException but did not include a fault message to return. The exception details will be contained within the reasonString of the **Fault**.

urn:action/error/unexpectederror

This means that an unexpected exception was caught during the processing. Details about the exception can be found in the reasonString of the **Fault**.

urn:action/error/disabled

This means that action processing is disabled.

If an exception is thrown within your **Action** chain, then it will be propagated back to the client within a FaultMessageException. It is then is re-thrown from the **Courier** or **ServiceInvoker** classes. This exception, which is also thrown whenever a **Fault** message is received, will contain the **Fault** code and reason, as well as any propagated exception.

4.2. Meta-Data and Filters

As a message flows through the ESB, it may be useful to attach meta-data to it. This could include such information as the time it entered the ESB and the time it left. Furthermore, it may be necessary to dynamically augment the message by, for example, adding transaction or security information. Both of these capabilities are supported in JBossESB, for both gateway and ESB nodes, through the filter mechanism.



Note

The filter property name, the package for the InputOutputFilter and its signature all changed in JBossESB 4.2 MR3 from earlier milestone releases.

The class org.jboss.soa.esb.filter.InputOutputFilter has two methods:

- public Message onOutput (Message msg, Map<String, Object> params) throws
 CourierException. This is called as a message flows to the transport. An implementation may modify the message and return a new version. Additional information may be provided by the caller in the form of extra parameters.
- public Message onInput (Message msg, Map<String, Object> params) throws
 CourierException. This is called as a message flows from the transport. An implementation may modify the message and return a new version. Additional information may be provided by the caller in the form of extra parameters.

Filters are defined in the filters section of the **jbossesb-properties.xm1** file (typically located in the *jbossesb.sar* archive) using the property org.jboss.soa.esb.filter.<number>, where <number>

can be any value and is used to indicate the order in which multiple filters are to be called (lowest to highest).

JBossESB ships with org.jboss.internal.soa.esb.message.filter.MetaDataFilter and org.jboss.internal.soa.message.filter.GatewayFilter which add the following meta-data to the Message as Properties with the indicated property names and the returned String values:

Gateway-related Message Properties

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.transport.type

File, FTP, JMS, SQL, or Hibernate.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.source

The name of the file from which the message was read.

org.jboss.soa.esb.message.time.dob

The time the message entered the ESB. This could be the time it was sent or the time it arrived at a gateway.

org.jboss.soa.esb.mesage.time.dod

The time the message left the ESB (in other words, the time it was received.)

org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.original.file.name

If the message was received via a file-related gateway node, then this element will contain the name of the original file from which the message was sourced.

org.jboss.soa.esb.gatway.original.queue.name

If the message was received via a JMS gateway node, then this element will contain the name of the queue from which it was received.

org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.original.url

If the message was received via a SQL gateway node, then this element will contain the original database URL.



Note

Although it is safe to deploy the **GatewayFilter** on all ESB nodes, it will only add information to a **Message** if it is deployed on a gateway node.

More meta-data can be added to the message by creating and registering suitable filters. Your filter can determine whether or not it is running within a gateway node through the presence (or absence) of the following named entries within the additional parameters:

Gateway-generated Message Parameters

org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.file

The file from which the Message was sourced. This will only be present if this gateway is file-based.

org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.config

The ConfigTree that was used to initialize the gateway instance.



Only file based, JMS and SQL gateways have support for the **GatewayFilter** in JBoss ESB 5.0.

4.3. *updated* What is a Service?

JBossESB does not impose restrictions on what constitutes a service. As we discussed earlier in this document, the ideal SOA infrastructure encourages a loosely coupled interaction pattern between clients and services, where the message is of critical importance and implementation specific details are hidden behind an abstract interface. This allows for the implementations to change without requiring clients/users to change. Only changes to the message definitions necessitate updates to the clients.

As such, and as we have seen, JBossESB uses a message-driven pattern for service definitions and structures: clients send Messages to services and the basic service interface is essentially a single process method that operates on the Message received. Internally a service is structured from one or more Actions, that can be chained together to process the incoming Message. What an Action does is implementation-dependent, examples being to update a database table entry, or call an EJB.

When developing your services, you first need to determine the conceptual interface/contract that it exposes to users/consumers. This contract should be defined in terms of Messages, (for example, what the payload looks like, what type of response Message, if any, will be generated and so forth.)



Note

Once defined, the contract information should be published within the registry. At present, JBossESB does not have any automatic way of doing this.

Clients can then use the service, as long as they do so in accordance with the published contract. How the service processes the Message and performs the work necessary is an implementation choice. It could be done within a single Action or within multiple Actions. You will have the usual trade-offs to bear in mind with this decision (manageability versus re-usability.)



Note

In subsequent releases, we will be improving tool support to facilitate the development of services.

4.3.1. ServiceInvoker

From a client's perspective, the Courier interface and its various implementations can be used to interact with services. However, this is still a relatively low-level approach, requiring developer code to contact the registry and deal with failures. Furthermore, since JBossESB has fail-over capabilities for stateless services, this would again have to be managed by the application. See the Advanced chapter for more details on fail-over.

In JBossESB 4.2, the ServiceInvoker was introduced to help simplify the development effort. The ServiceInvoker hides many of the lower level details. It works works opaquely with the stateless

service fail-over mechanisms. Because of this, ServiceInvoker is the recommended client-side interface for using services within JBossESB.

```
public class ServiceInvoker
{
  public ServiceInvoker(Service service) throws MessageDeliverException;
  public ServiceInvoker(String serviceCategory, String serviceName) throws
  MessageDeliverException;

public Message deliverSync(Message message, long timeoutMillis) throws
  MessageDeliverException, RegistryException, FaultMessageException;
  public void deliverAsync(Message message) throws MessageDeliverException;
}
```

Example 4.3. Enabling **GLOBAL** inVM scope for a service

An instance of **ServiceInvoker** can be created for each service with which the client needs to interact. Once created, and where appropriate, the instance contacts the registry in order to determine the primary EPR (and, in the case of fail-overs, any alternative EPRs.)

Then, the client can determine how to send Messages to the service: synchronously (via deliverSync) or asynchronously (via deliverAsync). When it is synchronous, a timeout must be specified. This timeout represents how long the client will wait for a response. If no response is received within this period, a MessageDeliverException is thrown.



Note

From JBossESB 4.5 onwards the ResponseTimeoutException is thrown. This is derived from MessageDeliverException.

Failures either to contact the Registry or to successfully look up the service are indicated by throwing a **RegistryException** from **deliverSync**. Timeout values may indicate one of three possibilities: 1.) A service failure, 2.) An indication that it is simply overloaded and cannot respond in time, or 3.) The work requested is taking longer than the timeout has allowed. In some cases the problem will be transient and, therefore, it may work on the next try.



Note

Any other type of failure during communication with the service cause a FaultMessageException to be thrown.

As mentioned earlier in this document, when sending a Message it is possible to specify values for **To**, **ReplyTo**, **FaultTo** and so forth, within the **Message** header. When using the **ServiceInvoker**, the **To** field is unnecessary. This is because it has already contacted the registry at construction time. In fact, when sending a Message through ServiceInvoker, the To field will be ignored in both the synchronous and asynchronous delivery modes. In a future release of JBossESB it may be possible to use any supplied To field as an alternate delivery destination, should the EPRs returned by the registry fail to resolve to an active service.

We will discuss the fail-over properties of JBossESB in the Advanced section. In that section, we will also discuss how **ServiceInvoker** can opaquely mask failures of individual service instances if multiple copies appear in the registry. However, you may find that, in some cases, you desire to prevent automatic fail-over and, instead, inform the application immediately that a failure occurs. This can be set at the global level by configuring the **org.jboss.soa.esb.exceptionOnDeliverFailure** property to "true" in the JBossESB property file. Alternatively, this can be configured on a per-message basis. You do this by setting that same property in the specific Message property to "true." In both cases, the default value is "false."

4.3.2. updated Transactions

Some Couriers, such as InVM and JMS, support transactional delivery semantics. The current delivery semantics for such a Courier are based on JMS transacted sessions. In other words, the message is placed in a queue within the scope of a transaction. However, it is not actually delivered until the enclosing transaction has been committed. It is then pulled by the receiver in the scope of a separate transaction.

In the case of synchronous request/response interactions, this can result in a time-out waiting for the response. This is because the sender blocks while waiting for the response and terminates the delivery transaction. JBossESB 4.5 onwards attempts to detect these blocking situations and will throw an IncompatibleTransactionScopeException. The application should catch this and act accordingly.

4.3.3. Services and ServiceInvoker

In a client-service environment, the terms "client" and "service" are used to represent roles. A single entity can be both a client and a service simultaneously. As such, you should not consider ServiceInvoker to be the domain of "pure" clients; it can be used within your Services and specifically within Actions. For example, rather than using the built-in Content Based Routing, an Action may wish to re-route an incoming Message to a different Service based on evaluation of certain business logic. Or an Action could decide to route specific types of fault Messages to the Dead Letter Queue for later administration.

The advantage of using **ServiceInvoker** in this way is that your Services will be able to benefit from the opaque fail-over mechanism (described in the Advanced chapter.) This means that one-way requests to other Services, faults and so on can be routed in a more robust manner without imposing more complexity on the developer.

4.3.4. updated InVM Transport

The InVM transport is a feature that provides communication between services running on the same JVM. This means that instances of **ServiceInvoker** can invoke a service from within the same JVM without any networking or message serialization overhead.



Note

It is important to realize that InVM achieves its performance benefits by optimizing those internal data structures that are used to facilitate inter-service communication. For example, the queue used to store messages is not persistent (durable). This means that Messages may be lost in the event of a failure. Furthermore, if a service is shutdown before the queue is emptied, those Messages will not be delivered. Other limitations are mentioned throughout this section (within corresponding Notes.)

Because JBossESB allows services to be invoked concurrently across multiple different transports, you should be able to design your services in such a way that you can achieve high performance and reliability. Do this by making a suitable choice of transport for each specific Message type.

The JBossESB currently supports 2 scopes:

NONE

The Service cannot be invoked over the InVM transport. JBossESB is configured with this as the default value.

GLOBAL

The Service can be invoked over the InVM transport from within the same Classloader scope.

A **LOCAL** scope will be added in a future release, which will restrict invocation to within the same deployed **.esb** archive.

Each service can specify their own InVM scope in the *invmScope* attribute on the <service> element of their services configuration.

Example 4.4. Enabling GLOBAL inVM scope for a service

The default InVM Scope for an ESB deployment is specified within the <code>jbossesb-properties.xml</code> file via the core:jboss.esb.invm.scope.default property. The supplied configured value is <code>NONE</code>. However, if this property is undefined, the default scope is actually <code>GLOBAL</code>.

InVM Transacted

The InVM listener can execute within either a transacted or a non-transacted scope. It does so in the same manner as the other transports which support transactions. This behaviour can be controlled through either explicit or implicit configuration.

The explicit configuration of the transacted scope is controlled through the definition of the "invmTransacted" attribute on the service element and will always take precedence over the implicit configuration.

The ImVM listener will be transacted implicitly if there is another transacted transport configured on the service. At present, these additional transports can be any one of JMS, Scheduled or SQL.

Transaction Semantics

The InVM transport in JBossESB is not transactional and the message queue is held only in volatile memory. This means that the Message Queue for this transport will be lost in the case of system failure or shutdown.



Note

You may not be able to achieve all of the **ACID** semantics, particularly when used in conjunction with other transactional resources such as databases, because of the volatility of the InVM queue. However, the performance benefits of InVM should outweigh this downside in the majority of cases. In situations where full ACID semantics are required, we recommend that you use one of the other transactional transports, such as JMS or a database.

When using InVM within a transaction, the message will not appear on the receiver's queue until the transaction commits. However, the sender will receive an immediate acknowledgment that the message has been accepted for later queuing. If a receiver attempts to pull a message within the scope of a transaction from the queue, then the message will automatically be placed back in the queue in the case that the transaction subsequently rolls back. If either a sender or a receiver of a message needs to know the transaction outcome, then they should either monitor it directly, or register a **Synchronization** with the transaction.

When a message is placed back in the queue by the transaction manager, it may not go back into the same location. This is a deliberate design choice intended to maximize performance. If your application needs specific ordering of messages then you should either consider a different transport or you could group related messages into a single "wrapper" message.

Threading

In order to change the number of listener threads associated with an InVM transport:

Example 4.5. Threading

Lock-Step Delivery

The InVM Transport delivers low-overhead messages to an in-memory message queue. This occurs very quickly and, as a result, the message queue can become overwhelmed if delivery is happening too rapidly for the Service "consuming" the messages. To mitigate this situation the InVM transport provides a "Lock-Step" delivery mechanism.

The "Lock-Step" delivery method attempts to ensure that messages are not delivered to a service faster than the service is able to retrieve them. It does this by blocking delivery until either the receiving Service picks up the message or a timeout period expires.

This is not a synchronous delivery method. It does not wait for a response or for the service to process the message. It only blocks until the message is removed from the queue by the service.

Lock-Step delivery is disabled by default, but can be enabled for a service using its cproperty>
settings on the <service>:

inVMLockStep

A boolean value controlling whether LockStep delivery is enabled.

inVMLockStepTimeout

The maximum number of milliseconds that message delivery will be blocked whilst waiting for a message to be retrieved.

```
<service category="ServiceCat" name="Service2"
  description="Test Service">
    <property name="inVMLockStep" value="true" />
    <property name="inVMLockStepTimeout" value="4000" />
    <actions mep="RequestResponse">
        <action name="action" class="org.jboss.soa.esb.mock.MockAction" />
        </actions>
    </service>
```

Example 4.6. Enabling "Lock-Step" delivery



Note

If using InVM within the scope of a transaction, lock-step delivery is disabled. This is because the insertion of a message into the queue is contingent on the commit of the enclosing transaction. This commit may occur at an arbitrary time before or after the expected lock-step wait period.

Load Balancing

When you use the **ServiceInvoker**, if a service is available, it is always invoked in preference to its InVM transport. Other load balancing strategies are only applied in the absence of an InVM endpoint for the target Service.

Pass-by-Value/Pass-by-Reference

By default, the InVM transport passes Messages "by reference". In some situations, this can cause data integrity issues. It can also result in class cast issues, whereby messages are exchanged across ClassLoader boundaries.

In order to avoid these issues, you can set message passing to work "by value." This can be turned on by setting the **"inVMPassByValue"** property on the service in question to "true":

Example 4.7. Message Passing by Value

Temporary InVM queues

There are two types of InVM queue, the difference between them being that one type represents a service endpoint and the other, a temporary endpoint. Queues for service endpoints are registered through a *registry interceptor*. All messages delivered onto these queues will will remain on there until consumed by the service. Temporary endpoints, by contrast, are created on demand, usually to handle responses for synchronous delivery through the **ServiceInvoker**. Messages delivered to these queues will automatically expire after a defined period. The default time for this expiration is five minutes but this can be altered by modifying the 'org.jboss.soa.esb.invm.expiryTime' property within the jbossesb-propertiues.xml file.

4.4. *Updated.* Service Contract Definition

A contract definition can be specified on a service by the inclusion of XML schema definitions. These schema definitions represent the incoming request, outgoing response and fault detail messages which are supported by the corresponding service. The schemas representing the request and response messages are used to define the format of the contents for the main body section of the message and can enforce validation of that content.

The schemas are declared by specifying the following attributes on the <actions> element associated with the service:

Name	Description	Туре			
inXsd	The resource containing the schema for the request message, representing a single element.				
outXsd	The resource containing the schema for the response message, representing a single element.				
faultXsd	A comma separated list of schemas, each representing one or more fault elements.	xsd:string			
requestLocation	The location of the request contents within the body, if not the default location.	xsd:string			
responseLocation	The location of the response contents within the body, if not the default location.	xsd:string			

Table 4.1. Service Contact Attributes

Message validation

The contents of the request and response messages can be automatically validated. This is provided that the associated schema has been declared on the <actions> element. The validation can be enabled by specifying the 'validate' attribute on the <actions> element with a value of 'true'.

Validation is disabled by default.

Exposing an ESB service as a web service

Declaration of the contract schemas will automatically enable the exposure of the ESB service through a web service endpoint, the contract for which can be located through the contract web application. This functionality can modified by specifying the 'webservice' attribute, the values for which are as follows:

false

No web service endpoint will be published.

true

A web service endpoint is published (default).

By default, the webservice endpoint does not support **WS-Addressing** but this can be enabled through use of the **addressing** attribute.

Value	Description
false	No support for WS-Addressing (default).
true	Require WS-Addressing support.

Table 4.2. WS-Addressing Values

When support for addressing is enabled, the **WS-Addressing Message Id**, **Relates To URIs** and Relationship Types will be added as properties to the incoming messages.

Property	Description
org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.ebws.messageID	The WS-Addressing message id.
org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.ebws.relatesTo	A String array containing the WS-Addressing RelatesTo URIs.
org.jboss.soa.esb.gateway.ebws.relationshipType	A String array containing the WS-Addressing Relationship Types corresponding to the RelatesTo URIs.

Table 4.3. WS-Addressing Properties

The following example illustrates the declaration of a service which, without being exposed through a web service endpoint, wishes to validate the request/response messages.

Example 4.8. Enabling GLOBAL inVM scope for a service

The following example illustrates the declaration of a service which wishes to validate the request/ response messages and be exposed through a web service endpoint. In addition, the service expects the request to be provided in the named body location 'REQUEST'. It will return its response in the named body location 'RESPONSE'.

Example 4.9. Enabling GLOBAL inVM scope for a service

Other Components

In this chapter, we shall look at other infrastructural components and services within JBossESB. Several of these services have their own documentation which you should also read; the aim of this chapter is to simply give you an overview of what else is available for developers.

5.1. *Updated*. The Message Store

The message store mechanism in JBossESB is designed with audit tracking purposes in mind. As with other ESB services, it is a pluggable service, which allows for you, the developer, to plug in your own persistence mechanism should you have the special need to do so. The implementation supplied with JBossESB is a database persistence mechanism. If you require, for instance, a file persistence mechanism, then it is simply a matter of you writing your own service, and then overriding the default behavior with a configuration change, to do this.

One thing to point out with the Message Store is that is is a base implementation. We will be working with the community and partners in the future to drive the feature functionality set of the message store to support advanced audit and management requirements. This is meant to be a starting point.

5.2. Data Transformation

Often clients and services will communicate using the same vocabulary. However, there are situations where this is not the case and on-the-fly transformation from one data format to another will be required. It is unrealistic to assume that a single data format will be suitable for all business objects, particularly in a large scale or long running deployment. Therefore, it is necessary to provide a mechanism for transforming from one data format to another.

In JBossESB, this is the role of the Transformation Service. This version of the ESB is shipped with an out-of-the-box Transformation Service based on **Milyn Smooks**. **Smooks** is a Transformation Implementation and Management framework. It allows you to implement your transformation logic in XSLT, Java and so forth. It also provides a management framework through which you can centrally manage the transformation logic for your message-set.

For more details see the "Message Transformations" chapter in the Services Guide.

5.3. Content-Based Routing

Sometimes it is necessary for the ESB to dynamically route messages to their sources. Some scenarios whereby this might be the case are when the original destination is no longer available, the service has moved, or the application simply wants to have more control over where messages go based on content, time-of-day or other similar factors. The Content-Based Routing mechanism within JBossESB can be used to route Messages based on arbitrarily complex rules. These rules can be defined in **XPath** or **JBoss Rules (Drools)** notation.

5.4. The Registry

In the context of SOA, a registry provides applications and businesses with a central point to store information about their services. It is expected to provide the same level of information and the same breadth of services to its clients as that of a conventional market place. Ideally, a registry should also facilitate the automated discovery and execution of e-commerce transactions and it would enable a dynamic environment for business transactions. Therefore, a registry is more than an "e-business directory". It is an inherent component of the SOA infrastructure.

In many ways, the Registry Service is at the heart of JBossESB: Services can self-publish their endpoint references (EPRs) into the Registry when they are activated and remove them when they are taken out of service. Consumers can consult the Registry to determine the EPR for the right service for the work at hand.

Example

6.1. How to use the Message

The Message is a critical component in the SOA development approach and contains application specific data. This data is sent between clients and services. The data that goes into a Message represents an important aspect of the contract between a service and its clients. In this section we shall describe some best practices around how to use the Message component.

Let us consider the following example which uses a Flight Reservation service. This service supports the following operations:

reserveSeat

This takes a flight and seat number and returns a success or failure indication.

querySeat

This takes a flight and seat number and returns an indication of whether or not the seat is currently reserved.

upgradeSeat

This takes a flight number and two seat numbers (the currently reserved seat and the one to which to move).

When developing this service, it will likely use technologies such as EJB3, Hibernate and so forth in order to implement the business logic. In this example we shall ignore how the business logic is implemented. Instead, we shall concentrate on the service.

The role of the service is to plug the logic into the bus. In order to do this, we must determine how the service is exposed to the bus, (in other words, what contract it defines for clients.) In the current version of JBossESB, this contract takes the form of the Messages that clients and services can exchange. There is no formal specification for this contract within the ESB. In other words, at present, it is something that the developer defines and must communicate to clients out-of-band from the ESB. This will be rectified in a subsequent release.

6.1.1. The Message Structure

From a service perspective, of all the components within a Message, the Body is probably the most important, since it is used to convey information specific to the business logic. In order to interact, both client and service must understand each other. This takes the form of agreeing on the transport (such as JMS or HTTP), as well as agreeing on the dialect (for example, where and in what form data will appear in the Message.)

If we take the simple case of a client sending a Message directly to our Flight Reservation service, then we need to decide how the service determine which of the operations is concerned by the Message. In this case, the developer decides that the opcode (operation code) will appear within the Body as a String ("reserve", "query", "upgrade") at the location "org.example.flight.opcode". Any other String value (or the absence of any value) will be considered an illegal Message.



It is important that all values within a Message are given unique names. This is to avoid clashes with other clients or services.

The Message Body is the primary way in which data should be exchanged between clients and services. It is flexible enough to contain any number of arbitrary data type. The other parameters necessary for carrying out the business logic associated with each operation should also be suitably encoded.

- org.example.flight.seatnumber for the seat number, which will be an integer.
- org.example.flight.flightnumber for the flight number, which will be a String.
- org.example.flight.upgradenumber for the upgraded seat number, which will be an integer.

Operation	opcode	seatnumber	flightnumber	upgradenumber
reserveSeat	String: reserve	integer	String	N/A
querySeat	String: query	integer	String	N/A
upgradeSeat	String: upgrade	integer	String	integer

Table 6.1. Operation Parameters

As we have mentioned, all of these operations return information to the client. Such information will, likewise, be encapsulated within a Message. Response Messages will go through the same processes as we are currently describing to determine their format. For the purpose of simplification, we shall not consider the response Messages further.

From a JBossESB Action perspective, the service may be built using one or more Actions. For example, one Action may pre-process the incoming Message and transform the content in some way, before passing it on to the Action which is responsible for the main business logic. Each of these Actions may have been written in isolation (possibly by different groups within the same organisation or by completely different organisations.) It is important that each Action have a unique view of the Message data upon which it acts. If this is not the case, it is possible that chained Actions may either overwrite or otherwise interfere with each other.

6.1.2. The Service

At this point, we have enough information to construct the service. For simplicity, we shall assume that the business logic is encapsulated within the following pseudo-object:

```
class AirlineReservationSystem
{
  public void reserveSeat (...);
  public void querySeat (...);
  public void upgradeSeat (...);
}
```

Example 6.1. class Airline Reservation System



You could develop your business logic from POJOs, EJBs, Spring and so on. JBossESB provides out-of-the-box support for many of these approaches. You should examine the relevant documentation and examples.

Assuming that there is no chaining of Actions and ignoring error checking, the process method of the service Action then becomes the following:

```
public Message process (Message message) throws Exception
{
   String opcode = message.getBody().get("org.example.flight.opcode");
   if (opcode.equals("reserve"))
     reserveSeat(message);
   else if (opcode.equals("query"))
     querySeat(message);
   else if (opcode.equals("upgrade"))
     upgradeSeat(message);
   else
     throw new InvalidOpcode();
   return null;
}
```

Example 6.2. public Message process (Message message) throws Exception



Note

As with WS-Addressing, you could use the Action field of the Message Header, rather than embed the opcode within the Message Body. This has the drawback that it does not work if multiple JBossESB Actions are chained together and each needs a different opcode.

6.1.3. Unpacking the payload

As you can see, the process method is only the start. Now we must provide methods to decode the incoming Message payload (the Body):

Example 6.3. public void reserveSeat (Message message) throws Exception

This method illustrates how the information within the Body is extracted and then used to invoke a method on some business logic. In the case of reserveSeat, a response is expected by the client. This response Message is constructed using any information returned by the business logic as well as delivery information obtained from the original received Message. In this example, we need the "To" address for the response, which we take from the ReplyTo field of the incoming Message. We also need to relate the response to the original request. We accomplish this through the RelatesTo field of the response and the MessageID of the request.

All of the other operations supported by the service will be similarly coded.

6.1.4. The Client

As soon as we have the Message definitions supported by the service, we can construct the client code. The business logic used to support the service is never exposed directly by the service (that would break one of the important principles of SOA: namely, encapsulation). The client code is essentially the inverse of the service code:

```
ServiceInvoker flightService = new ServiceInvoker(...);
Message request = // create new Message of desired type
request.getBody().add("org.example.flight.seatnumber", 1);
request.getBody().add(" org.example.flight.flightnumber", "BA1234");
request.getHeader().getCall().setMessageID(1234);
request.getHeader().getCall().setReplyTo(myEPR);
Message response = null;
do
 response = flightService.deliverSync(request, 1000);
 if (response.getHeader().getCall().getRelatesTo() == 1234)
 // it's out response!
 break;
 }
 else
 response = null; // and keep looping
} while maximumRetriesNotExceeded;
```

Example 6.4. ServiceInvoker flightService = new ServiceInvoker



Much of what we have outlined above may seem similar to those readers who have worked with traditional client/server stub generators. In those systems, the low-level details (such as opcodes and parameters), would be hidden behind higher level stub abstractions. In future releases of JBossESB we intend to support such abstractions to simplify the development approach. When this happens, working with the raw Message components, such as Body and Header, will be hidden from the majority of developers.

6.1.5. *Updated*. Configuration for a Remote Service Invoker

Using the **ServiceInvoker** from within actions in the **ESB** will work "out-of-the-box, without any additional configuration required. However, using the **ServiceInvoker** from a remote **JVM**, such as a stand-alone Java application, a servlet, an **EJB** and so on, will require the following **JARS** to be available:

- · jbossesb-rosetta.jar
- jbossesb-config-model-1.0.1.jar
- · jbossts-common.jar

- log4j-1.2.14.jar
- stax-1.2.0.jar
- · stax-api-1.0.1.jar
- · jbossall-client.jar
- scout-1.0rc2.aop.jar
- xbean-2.2.0.jar
- · commons-logging-1.1.jar
- jboss-aop-jdk50-1.5.6.GA.jar
- javassist-3.6.0.GA.jar
- · trove.jar
- juddi-client-2.0rc5.jar
- · jboss-messaging-client.jar
- · jboss-remoting.jar
- · commons-codec-1.3.jar
- wstx-asl-3.2.0.jar
- xercesImpl-2.8.0.jar

It is also required that the following configuration file is on the classpath:

• jbossesb-properties.xml

6.1.6. Sample Client

The following Java program can be used to verify that the configuration of a remote client is working. It assumes that the "helloworld" quickstart has been deployed and that the ESB server is running.

```
package org.jboss.esb.client;
import org.jboss.soa.esb.client.ServiceInvoker;
import org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.message.MessageDeliverException;
import org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message;
import org.jboss.soa.esb.message.format.MessageFactory;
public class EsbClient
    public static void main(String[] args)
        System.setProperty("javax.xml.registry.ConnectionFactoryClass",
                "org.apache.ws.scout.registry.ConnectionFactoryImpl");
        try
        {
            Message message = MessageFactory.getInstance().getMessage();
            message.getBody().add("Sample payload");
            ServiceInvoker invoker = new ServiceInvoker("FirstServiceESB",
 "SimpleListener");
            invoker.deliverAsync(message);
        }
        catch (final MessageDeliverException e)
        {
            e.printStackTrace();
    }
}
```

Example 6.5. Verify the Configuration of a Remote Client

6.1.7. Hints and Tips

You may find the following hints useful when developing your clients and services:

- When developing your Actions, make sure that any payload information specific to an Action is maintained in unique locations within the Message Body.
- Try not to expose any back-end service implementation details within your Message, because this
 will make it difficult to change the implementation without affecting clients. Message definitions
 (contents, formats and so on) which are implementation-agnostic help to maintain loose coupling.
- For stateless services, use the ServiceInvoker as it will opaquely handle fail-over.
- When building request/response applications, use the correlation information (MessageID and RelatesTo) within the Message Header.
- Consider using the Header Action field for your main service opcode.
- If you use asynchronous interactions for which there is no delivery address for responses, consider sending any errors to the MessageStore. This is so that they can be monitored later.

 Until JBossESB provides more automatic support for service contract definition and publication, consider maintaining a separate repository of these definitions that is available to developers and users.

Advanced Topics

In this chapter we shall look at some of the more advanced concepts within JBossESB.

7.1. Fail-over and Load-Balancing Support

It is important to design mission-critical systems with redundancy in mind. The **JBoss** ESB includes built-in fail-over, load balancing and delayed message redelivery to help you build a robust architecture. When you use SOA, it is implied that the Service has become the building unit. **JBossESB** allows you to replicate identical services across many nodes, whereby each node can be a virtual or physical machine running an instance of **JBossESB**. The collective of all these **JBossESB** instances is called "The Bus". Services within the bus use different delivery channels to exchange messages. In ESB terminology, such a channel may be any one of JMS, FTP, HTTP or so on. These different "protocols" are provided by systems external to the ESB; the JMS-provider, the FTP server and so forth. Services can be configured to listen to one or more protocols. For each protocol to which it is configured to listen, it creates an *End Point Reference* (EPR) in the Registry.

7.1.1. Services, EPRs, Listeners and Actions

As previously discussed, within the <code>jboss-esb.xml</code>, each service element consists of one or more listeners and one or more actions. The configuration fragment below is loosely based on the configuration of the <code>JBossESBHelloworld</code> example. When the service initializes, it registers the category, name and description in theUDDI registry. Also, for each listener element, it will register a ServiceBinding to UDDI, in which it stores an <code>EPR</code>. In this case, it will register a <code>JMSEPR</code> for this service, as it is a <code>jms-listener</code>. The jms specifics like "queue name" are not shown but appear at the top of the <code>jboss-esb.xml</code> file, which you can find the 'provider' section. In the <code>jms-listener</code>, you can simply reference the "quickstartEsbChannel" in the <code>busidref</code> attribute.




Figure 7.1. "helloworld" Quick Start example, one service instance on one node.

Example 7.1. helloworld Quick Start example, configuration fragment

Given the category and service name, another service can send a message to your "Hello World" Service by looking it up in the Registry. By doing so, it will receive the JMSEPR and it can use that to send the message. All of this "heavy lifting" is done in the ServiceInvoker class. When your Hello World Service receives a message over the quickstartEsbChannel, it will hand it to the first action's process method in the ActionPipeline. This is the SystemPrintln action.



Note

Because **ServiceInvoker** hides much of the fail-over complexity from users, it, by necessity, only works with native ESB Messages. Additionally, not all gateways have been modified to use the ServiceInvoker. Therefore, incoming ESB-unaware messages to those gateway implementations may sometimes be unable to take advantage of service fail-over.

7.1.2. Replicated Services

In the example, the this service is running on Node1. What happens if you simply take the **helloworld.esb** and deploy it to Node2 as well (see figure 7-2)? If you are using **jUDDI** for the Registry and you have configured all of your nodes to access one central jUDDI database (which is recommended for clustered databases), then Node2 will find that the FirstServiceESB - SimpleListener Service is already registered! It will simply add a second **ServiceBinding** to this service. You now have your first replicated Service. If Node1 goes down, Node2 will keep on working.




Figure 7.2. Two service instances each on a different node.

You will have load balancing because both service instances listen to the same queue. However, this means that you still have a single point of failure in your setup. This is where Protocol Clustering may be an option. This will be described in the next section.


This type of replication can be used to increase the availability of a service or to provide load balancing. To further illustrate, consider the diagram below, which has a logical service (Application Service). This logical service is actually comprised of four individual services, each of which provides the same capabilities and conforms to the same service contract. They differ only in that they do not need to share the same transport protocol. However, as far as the users of Application Service are concerned, they see only a single service, which is identified by the service name and category. The ServiceInvoker hides the fact that the Application Service is actually composed of 4 other services

from the clients by masking failures of the individual services. It will allow clients to make forward progress as long as at least one instance of the replicated service group remains available.



Note

This type of replication should only be used for stateless services.



Although service providers can replicate services independently of their consumers, in some circumstances the sender of a message will not want silent fail-over to occur. You need to set the

message property org.jboss.soa.esb.exceptionOnDeliverFailure to **true** to prevent automatic silent fail-over. When you set this property, the ServiceInvoker throws an **MessageDeliverException** instead of attempting to resend the message. This property can be specified for all Messages by setting it in the Core section of the JBossESB property file.

7.1.3. Protocol Clustering

Some JMS providers can be clustered. JBossMessaging is one of these, which is why it was chosen as the JMS provider for JBossESB. When you cluster JMS, you remove a single point of failure from your architecture (see Figure 7-3.)





Figure 7.3. Protocol Clustering example using JMS

Please read the documentation on Clustering for JBossMessaging, if you want to enable JMS clustering. Both JBossESB replication and JMS clustering can be used together, as illustrated in the following figure. In this example, Service A is identified in the registry by a single JMSEpr. However, the JMSEpr points to a clustered JMS queue, which has been separately configured to support 3 services. The client cannot see this. This is a "federated" approach to availability and load balancing. In fact, masking the replication of services from users is in line with SOA principles: hide the implementation details behind the service endpoint and do not expose them at the contract level.

(This masking of replication is done to the client in the case of the JBossESB replication approach, and JBossESB in the case of the JMS clustering.)





If using JMS clustering in this way, you will obviously need to ensure that your configuration is correct. For instance, if you place all of your ESB services within a JMS cluster, then you cannot expect to benefit from ESB replication.

Another examples of Protocol Clustering is a NAS for the **FileSystem** protocol, but what if your provider simply cannot provide any clustering? In that case, you can add multiple listeners to your service and use multiple (JMS) providers. However, this will require fail-over and load-balancing across providers. This is discussed in the next section.

7.1.4. Clustering

If you would like to run the same service on more than one node in a cluster, you have to wait for service registry cache re-validation. This must occur before the service is fully working in the clustered environment. You can setup this cache re-validation timeout in **deploy/jbossesb.sar/jbossesb-properties.xml**:

```
<properties name="core">
<property name="org.jboss.soa.esb.registry.cache.life" value="60000"/>
</properties>
```

60 seconds is the default timeout.

7.1.5. Channel Fail-over and Load Balancing

The **HelloWorld** Service can listen to more than one protocol. An extra JMS channel has been added here:

Now your Service is simultaneously listening to two JMS queues. These queues can be provided by JMS providers on different physical boxes. Therefore, you now have a redundant JMS connection between two services. You can even mix protocols in this setup, so you can also add an ftp-listener to the mix.




Figure 7.4. Adding two FTP servers to the mix.

When the **ServiceInvoker** tries to deliver a message to our Service, it will receive a choice of eight EPRs now (four each from Node1 and Node2.) How will it decide which one to use? For that, you can configure a Policy. In the **jbossesb-properties.xml**, you can set the 'org.jboss.soa.esb.loadbalancer.policy'. Right now three Policies are provided. You can also create your own. Here are the three provided policies:

- First Available. If a healthy ServiceBinding is found, then it will be used unless it dies. It will move to
 the next EPR in the list. This Policy does not provide any load balancing between the two service
 instances.
- Round Robin. This is typical Load Balance Policy where each EPR is hit in order of the list.
- Random Robin. Like the Round Robin but then random.

The EPR list with which the Policy works may become smaller over time as dead EPRs are removed from the (cached) list. When the list is emptied or the "time-to-live" of the cache is exceeded, the ServiceInvoker will obtain a fresh list of EPRs from the Registry. The org.jboss.soa.esb.registry.cache.life can be set in the jbossesb-properties file. Its default is 60,000 milliseconds. If you find that none of the EPRs work, you may use the Message Redelivery Service.

7.1.6. **Updated** Message Redelivery

If the list contains nothing but dead EPRs, the ServiceInvoker can do one of two things:

- If you are trying to deliver the message synchronously, it will be sent to the DeadLetterService, which, by default, will store to the DLQ MessageStore. It will then send a failure back to the caller and processing will stop. Note that you can configure the DeadLetterService in the jbossesb.esb if, for instance, you want it to go to a JMS queue or if you want to receive a notification.
- If you are trying to deliver the message asynchronously (as is recommended), it, too, will send the
 message to the DeadLetterService but the message will be stored in the RDLVR MessageStore.
 The Redeliver Service (jbossesb.esb) will keep retrying to send the message until the maximum
 number of redelivery attempts is exceeded. In that case, the message will be stored in the DLQ
 MessageStore and processing will stop.




Figure 7.5. Message Re-delivery



Note

The <code>DeadLetterService</code> is turned on by default. However in the <code>jbossesb-properties.xml</code>, you can set <code>org.jboss.soa.esb.dls.redeliver</code> to "false" to turn it off. If you want to control this on a per-message basis, set the <code>org.jboss.soa.esb.dls.redeliver</code> property in the specific Message's properties accordingly. The Message property will be used in preference to any global setting. The default is to use the value set in the configuration file.

7.2. Scheduling of Services

JBoss ESB 5.0 supports two types of providers:

- 1. Bus Providers, which supply messages to action processing pipelines via messaging protocols such as JMS and HTTP. This type is "triggered" by the underlying messaging provider.
- Schedule Providers, which supply messages to action processing pipelines based on a scheduledriven model. (In other words, where the underlying message delivery mechanism, such as the file system, offers no support for triggering the ESB when messages are available for processing, a scheduler periodically triggers the listener to check for new messages.)

Scheduling is new to the JBoss ESB and not all of the listeners have been migrated over to this model yet.

JBoss ESB 5.0 offers a <schedule-listener> as well as 2 <schedule-provider> types: <simple-schedule> and <cron-schedule>. The <schedule-listener> is configured with a "composer" class, which is an implementation of the org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.ScheduledEventMessageComposer interface.

7.2.1. Simple Schedule

This schedule type provides a simple capability based on the following attributes:

scheduleid

A unique identifier string for the schedule. Used to reference a schedule from a listener.

frequency

The frequency (in seconds) with which all schedule listeners should be triggered.

execCount

The number of times the schedule should be executed.

startDate

The schedule start date and time. The format of this attribute value is that of the XML Schema type "dateTime". See dateTime.

endDate

The schedule end date and time. The format of this attribute value is that of the XML Schema type "dateTime". See dateTime.

Example:

7.2.2. Cron Schedule

This schedule type provides scheduling capability based on a CRON expression. The attributes for this schedule type are as follows:

scheduleid

A unique identifier string for the schedule. Used to reference a schedule from a listener

cronExpression

CRON expression

startDate

The schedule start date and time. The format of this attribute value is that of the XML Schema type "dateTime". See dateTime.

endDate

The schedule end date and time. The format of this attribute value is that of the XML Schema type "dateTime". See dateTime.

Example:

7.2.3. Scheduled Listener

The <scheduled-listener> can be used to perform scheduled tasks based on a <simple-schedule> or <cron-schedule> configuration.

It's configured with an **event-processor** class, which can be an implementation of one of **org.jboss.soa.esb.schedule.ScheduledEventListener** or **org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.ScheduledEventMessageComposer**.

ScheduledEventListener

Event Processors that implement this interface are simply triggered through the "onSchedule" method. No action processing pipeline is executed.

ScheduledEventMessageComposer

Event Processors that implement this interface are capable of "composing" a message for the action processing pipeline associated with the listener.

The attributes of this listener are:

1. name

The name of the listener instance

2. event-processor

The event processor class that is called on every schedule trigger. See above for implementation details.

3. One of:

scheduleidref

The scheduleid of the schedule to use for triggering this listener.

schedule-frequency

Schedule frequency (in seconds). A convenient way of specifying a simple schedule directly on the listener.

7.2.4. Example Configurations

The following is an example configuration involving the <scheduled-listener> and the <cron-schedule>.

```
<?xml version = "1.0" encoding = "UTF-8"?>
<jbossesb xmlns="http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/</pre>
etc/schemas/xml/jbossesb-1.0.1.xsd">
    oviders>
        <schedule-provider name="schedule">
            <cron-schedule scheduleid="cron-trigger" cronExpression="0/1 *</pre>
 * * * ?" />
        </schedule-provider>
    </providers>
    <services>
        <service category="ServiceCat" name="ServiceName" description="Test</pre>
 Service">
            steners>
                <scheduled-listener name="cron-schedule-listener"</pre>
 scheduleidref="cron-trigger"
                     event-
processor="org.jboss.soa.esb.schedule.MockScheduledEventMessageComposer" />
            </listeners>
            <actions>
                <action name="action"
 class="org.jboss.soa.esb.mock.MockAction" />
```

```
</actions>
</service>
</services>
</jbossesb>
```

7.2.5. *Updated.* Quartz Scheduler Property Configuration

The Scheduling functionality in **JBossESB** is built on top of the Quartz Scheduler. The default **Quartz** Scheduler instance configuration used by **JBossESB** is as follows:

```
org.quartz.scheduler.instanceName = DefaultQuartzScheduler
org.quartz.scheduler.rmi.export = false
org.quartz.scheduler.rmi.proxy = false
org.quartz.scheduler.wrapJobExecutionInUserTransaction = false
org.quartz.threadPool.class = org.quartz.simpl.SimpleThreadPool
org.quartz.threadPool.threadCount = 2
org.quartz.threadPool.threadPriority = 5
org.quartz.threadPool.threadsInheritContextClassLoaderOfInitializingThread
= true
org.quartz.jobStore.misfireThreshold = 60000
org.quartz.jobStore.class = org.quartz.simpl.RAMJobStore
```

Any of these Scheduler configurations can be overridden and/or new ones can be added. You can do this simply by specifying the configuration directly on the <schedule-provider> configuration as a cproperty> element. For example, if you wish to increase the thread pool size to five:

Fault-Tolerance and Reliability

The reliability characteristics of JBossESB will be looked at in this chapter. We shall examine what failure modes you should expect to be tolerated with this release and give advice on how to improve the fault tolerance of your applications. However, in order to proceed, we need to define some important terms. If you wish to skip the following sections because you understand this topic already, you may skip ahead to *Section 8.2*, "*Reliability Guarantees*".

Dependability is defined as "the trustworthiness of a component such that reliance can be justifiably placed on the service (the behavior as perceived by a user) it delivers." The reliability of a component is a measure of its continuous correct service delivery. A failure occurs when the service provided by the system no longer complies with its specification. An error is ""that part of a system state which is liable to lead to failure" and a fault is defined as "the cause of an error."

A *fault-tolerant* system is one "which is designed to fulfill its specified purpose despite the occurrence of component failures." Techniques for providing fault-tolerance usually require mechanisms for consistent state recovery mechanisms, and detecting errors produced by faulty components. A number of fault-tolerance techniques exist, including replication and transactions.

8.1. Failure classification

It is necessary to formally describe the behavior of a system before the correctness of applications running on it can be demonstrated. This process establishes behavioral restrictions for applications. It also clarifies the implications of weakening or strengthening these restrictions.

Categorizing system components according to the types of faults they are assumed to exhibit is a recommended method of building such a formal description with respect to fault-tolerance.

Each component in the system has a specification of its correct behavior for a given set of inputs. A correctly working component will produce an output that is in accordance with this specification. The response from a faulty component need not be as specific. The response from a component for a given input will be considered correct if the output value is both correct and produced within a specified time limit.

Four possible classifications of failures are: Omission, value, timing, and arbitrary.

Omission fault/failure

A component that does not respond to an input from another component and, thereby, fails by not producing the expected output is exhibiting an *omission fault*. The corresponding failure is an *omission failure*. A communication link which occasionally loses messages is an example of a component suffering from an omission fault.

Value fault/failure

A fault that causes a component to respond within the correct time interval but with an incorrect value is termed a *value fault* (with the corresponding failure called a *value failure*). A communication link which delivers corrupted messages on time suffers from a value fault.

Timing fault/failure

A timing fault causes the component to respond with the correct value but outside the specified interval (either too soon or too late). The corresponding failure is a *timing failure*. An overloaded processor which produces correct values but with an excessive delay suffers from a timing failure. Timing failures can only occur in systems which impose timing constraints on computations.

Arbitrary fault/failure

The previous failure classes have specified how a component can be considered to fail in either the value or time domains. It is possible for a component to fail in both of these domains in a manner which is not covered by one of the previous classes. A failed component which produces such an output will be said to be exhibiting an *arbitrary failure* (*Byzantine failure*).

An arbitrary fault causes any violation of a component's specified behavior. All other fault types preclude certain types of fault behavior, the omission fault type being the most restrictive. Thus the omission and arbitrary faults represent two ends of a fault classification spectrum, with the other fault types placed in between. The latter failure classifications thus subsume the characteristics of those classes before them, e.g., omission faults (failures) can be treated as a special case of value, and timing faults (failures). Such ordering can be represented as a hierarchy:




Figure 8.1. Fault classification hierarchy

8.1.1. JBossESB and the Fault Models

Within JBossESB there is nothing that will allow it to tolerate arbitrary failures. As you can probably imagine, these are extremely difficult failures to detect due to their nature. Protocols do exist to allow systems to tolerate arbitrary failures, but they often require multi-phase coordination or digital signatures. Future releases of JBossESB may incorporate support for some of these approaches.

Because value, timing and omission failures often require semantic information concerning the application (or specific operations), there is only so much that JBossESB can do directly to assist with these types of faults. However, by correct use of JBossESB capabilities (such as RelatesTo and MessageID within the Message header), it is possible for applications to determine, for example, whether or not a received Message is either the one for which they are waiting or a delayed Message. Unfortunately, Messages that are provided too soon by a service, (such as asynchronous one-way responses to one-way requests), may be lost due to the underlying transport implementation. For instance, if you are using a protocol such as HTTP there is a finite buffer (set at the operating system level) within which responses can be held before they are passed on to the application. If this buffer is exceeded, then information within it may be lost in favor of new Messages. Transports such as FTP or SQL do not necessarily suffer from this specific limitation but may exhibit other resource restrictions that can result in the same behavior.

Tolerating Messages that are delayed is sometimes easier than tolerating those that arrive too early. However, from an application perspective, if an early Message is lost (by a buffer overflow

for example), it is not possible to distinguish it from one that is infinitely delayed. Therefore, if you construct your applications (both consumers and services) to use a retry mechanism in the case of lost Messages, timing and omission failures should then be tolerated. However, there is following exception: When your consumer picks up an early response out of order and incorrectly processes it, resulting in a value failure. Fortunately, if you use RelatesTo and MessageID within the Message header, you can spot incorrect Message sequences without having to process the entire payload (which is obviously another option available to you).

If a response has not been received within a finite period of time within a synchronous request-response interaction pattern, many systems built upon RPC will automatically resend the request. Unfortunately, at present, JBossESB does not do this and you will have to used the timeout mechanism within Couriers or ServiceInvoker to determine when (and whether) to send the Message again. As described in the Advanced Chapter, it will retransmit the Message if it suspects a failure of the service that would affect Message delivery has occurred.



Note

You should use care when retransmitting Messages to services. JBossESB currently has no notion of retained results and cannot detect retransmissions within the service, so any duplicate Messages will be delivered to automatically. This may mean that your service receives the same Message multiple times (if, for example, it was the initial service response that became lost rather than the initial request. As such, your service may attempt to perform the same work.) If using re-transmission (either explicitly or through the ServiceInvoker fail-over mechanisms), you will have to deal with multiple requests within your service to ensure it is idempotent.

The use of transactions, (such as those provided by JBossTS), and replication protocols (as provided by systems like JGroups), can help with regard to failure toleration. Furthermore, (in the case where forward progress is not possible because of a failure), by using transactions the application can roll back. The underlying transaction system will then guarantee data consistency such that it will appear as though the work was never attempted. At present, when deployed within the JBoss Application Server, JBossESB offers transactional support through JBossTS.

8.1.2. Failure Detectors and Failure Suspectors

An ideal failure detector is one which can allow for the unambiguous determination of the liveliness of an "entity" within a distributed system (where an entity may be a process, machine and so on). However, guaranteed detection of failures in a finite period of time is not possible because one cannot differentiate between a failed system and one which is simply slow in responding.

Current failure detectors use timeout values to determine the availability of entities. For example, if a machine does not respond to an "Are-you-alive?" message within a specified time period, it is assumed to have failed. If the values assigned to such timeouts are wrong (because of a cause such as network congestion), incorrect failures may be assumed. This may potentially lead to inconsistencies, whereby some machines "detect" the failure of another, whilst others do not. Therefore, such timeouts are typically assigned based upon an assumption of the worst case scenario within the distributed environment in which they are to be used (for example, worst case network congestion and machine load.) However, distributed systems and applications rarely perform exactly as expected from one execution to another. Therefore, fluctuations from the worst case assumptions are possible, and there is always a finite probability of making an incorrect failure detection decision.

Guaranteed failure detection is not possible. However, known active entities can communicate with each other and agree that an unreachable entity may have failed. This is the work of a *failure suspector*. If one entity assumes another has failed, a protocol is executed between the remaining entities to decide tor agree that it has failed or not. If it is agreed that the entity has failed, then it is excluded from the system and no further work by it will be accepted. The fact that one entity thinks it has failed does not mean that all entities will reach the same decision. If the entity has not failed and is excluded, then it must execute another protocol to be recognized as alive.

The advantage of the **failure suspector** is that all correctly functioning entities within the distributed environment will agree upon the state of an entity suspected to have failed. The disadvantage is that such failure-suspecting protocols are heavy-weight, and typically require several rounds to reach agreement. In addition, since suspected failure is still based upon timeout values, it is possible for entities which have not actually failed to be excluded, thus reducing (possibly critical) resource utilization and availability.

Some applications can tolerate the fact that failure detection mechanisms may occasionally return an incorrect answer. However, for others, the incorrect determination of the liveliness of an entity may lead to problems such as data corruption, or in the case of mission-critical applications (such as aircraft control systems or nuclear reactor monitoring) could result in the loss of life.

At present, JBossESB does not support failure detectors or failure suspectors. This shortcoming will hopefully be addressed in a future release. For now, you should develop your consumers and services using the techniques previously mentioned (such as MessageID and time-out/retry) in order to attempt to determine whether or not a given service has failed. In some situations it is actually better and more efficient for the application to detect and deal with suspected failures.

8.2. Reliability Guarantees

As you have seen, there are a range of ways in which failures can happen within a distributed system. In this section, you will see concrete examples of how failures could affect JBossESB and applications deployed on it. In the section on Recommendations ways in which you can configure JBossESB to better tolerate these faults will be covered, along with advice on how you should approach your application development.

There are many components and services within JBossESB. The failure of some of them may go unnoticed to some or all of your applications depending upon when the failure occurs. For example, if the Registry Service crashes after your consumer has successfully obtained all necessary EPR information for the services it needs in order to function, then the crash will have no adverse affect on your application. However, if it fails before this point, your application will not be able to make forward progress. Therefore, in any determination of reliability guarantees, it is necessary to consider when failures occur as well as the types of those failures.

It is never possible to guarantee 100% reliability and fault tolerance. Hardware failure and human error is inevitable. However, you can ensure, with a high degree of probability, that a system will tolerate failures, maintain data consistency and make forward progress. Fault-tolerance techniques, such as transactions or replication, always comes at a cost to performance. This trade-off between performance and fault-tolerance is best achieved with knowledge of the application. Attempting to uniformly impose a specific approach to all applications inevitably leads to poorer performance in situations where it was not necessary. As such, you will find that many of the fault-tolerance techniques supported by JBossESB are disabled by default. You should enable them only when it makes sense to do so.

8.2.1. Message Loss

You have previously read how message loss or delay may adversely affect applications. You have also seen some examples of how messages could be lost within JBossESB. In this section message loss will be discussed in more detail.

Many distributed systems support reliable message delivery, either point-to-point (one consumer and one provider) or group-based (many consumers and one provider). Even in the presence of failures, the semantics imposed on reliability are typically such that the message will be delivered or the sender will be able to know with certainty that it failed to reach the receiver. It is frequently the case that systems which employ reliable messaging implementations distinguish between a message being delivered to the recipient and it subsequently being processed by the recipient. For instance, simply sending the message to a service does not mean much if a subsequent crash of that same service occurs before it has had time to work on the contents of the message.

Within JBossESB, the only transport you can use which gives the aforementioned failure semantics on Message delivery and processing, is JMS. If you use transacted sessions, (an optional part of the **JMSEpr**), it is possible to guarantee that Messages are received and processed in the presence of failures. If a failure occurs during processing by the service, the Message will be placed back on the JMS queue for later re-processing. However, transacted sessions can be significantly slower than non-transacted sessions and so should be used with caution.

Because none of the other transports supported by JBossESB come with transactional or reliable delivery guarantees, it is possible for Messages to be lost. However, in most situations, the likelihood of this occurring is small. Unless there is a simultaneous failure of both sender and receiver (possible but not probable), the sender will be informed by JBossESB about any failure to deliver the Message. If a failure of the receiver occurs whilst processing and a response was expected, then the receiver will eventually time-out and can retry.



Note

Using asynchronous message delivery can make failure detection/suspicion difficult (indeed, theoretically impossible to achieve). You should consider this aspect when developing your applications.

For these reasons, the Message fail-over and re-delivery protocol that was described in the Advanced Chapter is a good best-effort approach. If a failure of the service is suspected, then it will select an alternative EPR (assuming one is available,) and use it. However, if this suspicion of failure is wrong, then it is possible that multiple services will operate on the same Message concurrently. Therefore, although it offers a more robust approach to fail-over, it should be used with care. It works best where your services are stateless and idempotent, (in other words, the execution of the same message multiple times is the same as executing it once.)

For many services and applications, this type of re-delivery mechanism is fine. The robustness it provides over a single EPR can be a significant advantage. The failure modes where it does not work, (in other words, where the client and service fails or the service is incorrectly assumed to have failed), are relatively uncommon. If your services cannot be idempotent, then until such a time as JBossESB supports transactional delivery of messages or some form of retained results, you should either use JMS or code your services to be able to detect re-transmissions and cope with multiple services performing the same work concurrently.

8.2.2. Suspecting Endpoint Failures

It was described earlier how failure detection/suspicion is difficult to achieve. In fact, until a failed machine recovers, it is not possible to determine the difference between a crashed machine or one that is simply running extremely slowly. Networks can also become partitioned: This is a situation where the network becomes divided, and effectively acts as two or more separate networks. When this happens, consumers on different parts of the network can only see the services available in their own part. This is sometimes called "split-brain syndrome".

8.2.3. Supported Crash Failure Modes

JBossESB is able to recover from a catastrophic failure that shuts down the entire system when you use either transactions or a reliable message delivery protocol such as JMS.

Without these, JBossESB can only tolerate failures when the availability of the endpoints involved is quaranteed.

8.2.4. Component Specifics

In this section we shall look at specific components and services within JBossESB.

8.2.5. Gateways

Once a message is accepted by a Gateway it will not be lost unless sent within the ESB using an unreliable transport. JMS, FTP and SQL are JBossESB transports can be configured to either reliably deliver the Message or ensure it is not removed from the system. Unfortunately, HTTP cannot be configured in this way.

8.2.6. ServiceInvoker

The ServiceInvoker will place undeliverable Messages in the Re-delivery Queue if they have been sent asynchronously. Synchronous Message delivery that fails will be indicated to the sender immediately. In order for the ServiceInvoker to function correctly, the transport must indicate a failure to deliver to the sender unambiguously. A simultaneous failure of the sender and receiver may result in the Message being lost.

8.2.7. JMS Broker

Messages that cannot be delivered to the JMS broker will be placed within the Redelivery Queue. For enterprise deployments, a clustered JMS broker is recommended.

8.2.8. Action Pipelining

It is important to differentiate between a Message being received by the container within which services reside and it being processed by the ultimate destination. It is possible for Messages to be delivered successfully, only for an error or crash during processing within the Action pipeline to cause its loss. As mentioned previously, it is possible to configure some of the JBossESB transports so that they do not delete received Messages when they are processed. This is in order that they will not be lost in the event of an error or crash.

8.3. Recommendations

Given the previous overview of failure models and the capabilities within JBossESB to tolerate them, the following recommendations can be made:

- Try to develop stateless and idempotent services. If this is not possible, use MessageID to identify
 Messages so that your application can detect re-transmission attempts. If retrying Message
 transmission, use the same MessageID. Services that are not idempotent (and would suffer from
 re-doing the same work if they receive a re-transmitted Message), should record state transitions
 against the MessageID, preferably using transactions. Furthermore, applications based around
 stateless services tend to scale better.
- If developing stateful services, use transactions and a (preferably clustered) JMS implementation.
- Cluster your Registry and use a clustered/fault-tolerant back-end database, in order to remove any single points of failure.
- Ensure that the Message Store is backed by a highly-available database.
- Clearly identify which services and which operations on these services need higher reliability and
 fault tolerance capabilities than others. This will allow you to target transports other than JMS at
 those services, and thereby potentially improve the overall performance of applications. Because
 JBossESB allows services to be used through different EPRs concurrently, it is also possible to offer
 these different qualities of service (QoS) to different consumers, based upon application-specific
 requirements.
- Because network partitions can make services appear as though they have failed, avoid transports
 that are more prone to this for services that cannot cope with being mis-identified as having
 crashed.
- In some situations (for example, when using HTTP) the crash of a server after it has dealt with a message but prior to responding, could result in another server doing the same work because it is not possible to differentiate between a machine that fails after the service receives the message and process it, and one where it receives the message and does not process it.
- Using asynchronous (one-way) delivery patterns will make it difficult to detect failures of services; there is typically no notion of a lost or delayed Message if responses to requests can come at arbitrary times. If there are no responses at all, then it obviously makes failure-detection more problematic and you may have to rely upon application semantics to determine that Messages did not arrive. An example of such a semantic might be a case where the amount of money in the bank account does not match expectations.) When using either the ServiceInvoker or Couriers to deliver asynchronous Messages, a return from the respective operation (such as deliverAsync) does not mean the Message has been acted upon by the service.
- The Message Store is used by the re-delivery protocol. However, as mentioned previously, this is a best-effort protocol for improved robustness and does not use transactions or reliable message delivery. This means that certain failures may result in Messages being lost entirely (if they are not written to the store before a crash) or delivered multiple times (if the re-delivery mechanism pulls a Message from the store, delivers it successfully but there is then a crash that prevents the Message from being removed from the store; upon recovery, the Message will be delivered again).
- Some transports, such as FTP, can be configured to retain Messages that have been processed, although they will be uniquely marked to differentiate them from unprocessed Messages. The default approach is often to delete Messages once they have been processed, but you may want to

change this default to allow your applications to determine which Messages have been dealt with upon recovery from failures.

Despite the impression that you may have gained from this Chapter, failures are uncommon. Over the years, hardware reliability has improved significantly and good software development practices (including the use of formal verification tools) have reduced the chances of software problems. We have given the information within this Chapter to assist you when you determine the right development and deployment strategies for your services and applications. Not all of them will require high levels of reliability and fault tolerance, with associated reducing in performance. However, some of them undoubtedly will.

Defining Service Configurations

9.1. Overview

JBoss ESB 5.0 configuration is based on the *jbossesb-1.1.0 XSD*¹. This XSD is always the definitive reference for the ESB configuration.




Figure 9.1. JBoss ESB Configuration Model

<providers>

This part of the model centrally defines all the message **<bus>** providers used by the message **stener>**s, defined within the **<services>** section of the model.

2. <services>

This part of the model centrally defines all of the services under the control of a single instance of JBoss ESB. Each **<service>** instance contains either a "Gateway" or "Message Aware" listener definition.

Using JBoss Developer Studio is, by far, the easiest way to create configurations based on this model but you can also use an XSD-aware XML Editor such as the one found in the **Eclipse** IDE. This provides the author with auto-completion features for use when editing the configuration. Right mouse-click on the file -> **Open With** -> **XML Editor**.

 $^{^1\} http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/etc/schemas/xml/jbossesb-1.0.1.xsd$

9.2. Providers




Figure 9.2. Providers Configuration Model

The roviders> part of the configuration defines all of the message provider> instances for a single instance of the ESB. Two types of providers are currently supported:

Bus Providers

These specify provider details for "Event Driven" providers, that is, for listeners that are "pushed" messages. Examples of this provider type would be the <jms-provider>.

· Schedule Provider

Provider configurations for schedule-driven listeners (that is, listeners that "pull" messages.)

As an example, a provider configuration for JMS would be as follows:

The above example uses the "base" cprovider> and cbus> types. This is perfectly legal, but we recommend use of the specialized extensions of these types for creating real configurations, namely <jms-provider> and cjms-bus> for JMS. The most important part of the above configuration is the busid attribute defined on the cbus> instance. This is a required attribute on the cbus> element/ type (including all of its specializations - cjms-bus> etc). This attribute is used within the clistener> configurations to refer to the cbus> instance, on which the listener receives its messages. There will be more discussion of this later.

9.3. Services




Figure 9.3. Services Configuration Model

The <services> part of the configuration defines each of the Services under the management of this instance of the ESB. It defines them as a series of <service> configurations. A <service> can also be decorated with the following attributes.

Name	Description	Туре	Required
name	The Service Name under which the Service is Registered in the Service Registry.	xsd:string	true

Name	Description	Туре	Required
category	The Service Category under which the Service is Registered in the Service Registry.	xsd:string	true
description	Human readable description of the Service. Stored in the Registry.	xsd:string	true

Table 9.1. Service Attributes

A <service> may define a set of set of <actions>. The configuration model defines a "base" <listener> type, as well as specializations for each of the main supported transports (<jms-listener>, <sql-listener> etc.)

The "base" defines the following attribute. These attribute definitions are inherited by all listener> extensions. As such, they can be set for all of the listeners and gateways supported by JBossESB, such as InVM.

Name	Description	Туре	Required
name	The name of the listener. This attribute is required primarily for logging purposes.	xsd:string	true
busrefid	Reference to the busid of the <bus></bus> through which the listener instance receives messages.	xsd:string	true
maxThreads	The max number of concurrent message processing threads that the listener can have active.	xsd:int	True
is-gateway	Whether or not the listener instance is a "Gateway". 1	xsd:boolean	true

¹ A message bus defines the details of a specific message channel/transport.

Table 9.2. Listener Attributes

Listeners can define a set of zero or more cproperty> elements (just like the cprovider> and <bus> elements/types). These are used to define listener specific properties.



Note

For each gateway listener defined in a service, an ESB-aware (or "native") listener must also be defined. This is because gateway listeners do not define bidirectional endpoints but, rather, "startpoints" into the ESB. You cannot send a message to a Gateway from within the ESB. Also, note that, since a gateway is not an endpoint, it does not have an Endpoint Reference (EPR) persisted in the registry.

An example of a stener> reference to a <bus> can be seen in the following illustration (using "base" types only).

```
?xml version = '1.0' encoding = "UTF-8"?>
 2<jbossesb xmlns='http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/etc/schemas/xml/jbossesb-1.0.xsd">
 4
      oviders>
 5
         orovider name="JBossMO">
             property name="connection-factory" value="ConnectionFactory" />
 6
             property name="jndi-URL" value="jnp://localhost:1099" />
             roperty name="protocol" value="jms" />
 В
             <bus busid="local-jms">
10
               11
                cproperty name="destination-name" value="queue/B" />
12
                13
             </hus>
15
         </provider>
16
      </providers>
17
      <services>
18
         <service category="Bank" name="Reconciliation"</pre>
                 description="Bank Reconciliation Service" is-gateway="false">
19
             listener name="Bank-Listener"
                        __busidref="local-jms
                           maxThreads="2">
                </listener>
             </listeners>
             <actions>
29
30
             </actions>
31
32
         </service>
33
      </services>
34 </jbossesb>
```

A Service will do little without a list of one or more <action>>. <action>> typically contain the logic for processing the payload of the messages received by the service (through it's listeners). Alternatively, it may contain the transformation or routing logic for messages to be consumed by an external Service/ entity.

The <action> element/type defines the following attributes.

Name	Description	Туре	Required
name	The name of the action. This attribute is required primarily for logging purposes.	xsd:string	true
class	The org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.ActionProcessor implementation class name.	xsd:string	true
process	The name of the "process" method that will be reflectively called for message processing.(Default is the "process" method as defined on the ActionProcessor class).	xsd:int	false

Table 9.3. Action Attributes

In a list of <action> instances within an <actions> set, the actions are called (that is, their "process" method is called) in the order in which the <action> instances appear in the <action> set. The message returned from each <action> is used as the input message to the next <action> in the list.

Like a number of other elements/types in this model, the <action> type can also contain zero or more cproperty> element instances. The cproperty> element/type can define a standard name-valuepair, or contain free form content (xsd:any). According to the XSD, this free form content is valid as

child content for the configuration (on any of child content is in the configuration (on any of child content is only on <action>- defined child content is used.

As stated in the <action> definition above, actions are implemented through implementing the org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.ActionProcessor class. All implementations of this interface must contain a public constructor of the following form:

```
public ActionZ(org.jboss.soa.esb.helpers.ConfigTree configuration);
```

It is the Constructor that supplies an instance of a ConfigTree with the action attributes. The free-form content from the action property instances is also included in this.

So an example of an <actions> configuration might be as follows:

9.4. Transport Specific Type Implementations

The JBoss ESB configuration model defines transport specific specializations of the "base" types cprovider>, <busy and stener> (JMS, SQL and so forth.) This allows you to have stronger validation on the configuration. It also makes configuration easier if you use an XSD-aware XML Editor (such as the Eclipse XML Editor). These specializations explicitly define the configuration requirements for each of the transports supported by JBoss ESB out-of-the-box. It is recommended that you use these specialized types instead of the "base" types when creating JBoss ESB configurations. The only alternative is whereby a new transport is being supported outside an official JBoss ESB release.

The same basic principals that apply when creating configurations from the "base" types also apply when creating configurations from the transport-specific alternatives:

- 1. Define the provider configuration e.g. jms-provider>.
- 2. Add the bus configurations to the new provider (for example, <jms-bus>), and assign a unique busid attribute value.
- 3. Define your <services> as "normal," adding transport-specific listener configurations (such as <jms-listener>) that reference (using busidref) the new bus configurations you just made. (For example, <jms-listener> referencing a <jms-bus>.)

The only rule that applies when using these transport-specific types is that you cannot cross-reference from a listener of one type, to a bus of another. In other words, you can only reference a <jms-bus> from a <jms-listener>. A runtime error will result where cross-references are made.

The transport specific implementations that are in place in this release are:

JMS

<jms-provider>, <jms-bus>, <jms-listener> and <jms-message-filter>: The <jmsmessage-filter> can be added to either the <jms-bus> or <jms-listener> elements. Where
the <jms-provider> and <jms-bus> specify the JMS connection properties, the <jms-messagefilter> specifies the actual message QUEUE/TOPIC and selector details.

SQL

<sql-provider>, <sql-bus>, <sql-listener> and <sql-message-filter>: The <sqlmessage-filter> can be added to either the <sql-bus> or <sql-listener> elements.
Where the <sql-provider> and <ftp-bus> specify the JDBC connection properties, the <sqlmessage-filter> specifies the message/row selection and processing properties.

FTP

<ftp-provider>, <ftp-bus>, <ftp-listener> and <ftp-message-filter>: The <ftpmessage-filter> can be added to either the <ftp-bus> or <ftp-listener> elements. Where
the <ftp-provider> and <ftp-bus> specify the FTP access properties, the <ftp-messagefilter> specifies the message/file selection and processing properties

Hibernate

<hibernate-provider>, <hibernate-bus>, <hibernate-listener> : The <hibernatemessage-filter> can be added to either the <hibernate-bus> or <hibernate-listener>
elements. Where the <hibernate-provider> specifies file system access properties like the
location of the hibernate configuration property, the <hibernate-message-filter> specifies what
classnames and events should be listened to.

File System

<fs-provider>, <fs-bus>, <fs-listener> and <fs-message-filter> The <fs-messagefilter> can be added to either the <fs-bus> or <fs-listener> elements. Where the <fsprovider> and <sql-bus> specify the File System access properties, the <fs-message-filter>
specifies the message/file selection and processing properties.

Schedule

<schedule-provider>. This is a special type of provider and differs from the bus based providers listed above. See Scheduling for more.

JMS/JCA Integration

<jms-jca-provider>: This provider can be used in place of the <jms-provider> to enable delivery of incoming messages using JCA inflow. This introduces a transacted flow to the action pipeline, and thereby encompasses actions within a JTA transaction.

As you will notice, all of the currently implemented transport-specific types include an additional type not present in the "base", that being <*-message-filter>. This element/type can be added inside either the <*-bus> or <*-listener>. Allowing this type to be specified in both places means that you can specify message-filtering globally for the bus (for all listeners using that bus) or locally on a listener-by-listener basis.



Note

In order to list and describe the attributes for each transport specific type, you can use the jbossesb-1.1.0 XSD. Using an XSD-aware XML Editor such as the **Eclipse** XML Editor makes working with these types far easier.

Property Name	Description	Required
dest-type	The type of destination, either QUEUE or TOPIC	Yes
dest-name	The name of the Queue or Topic	Yes
selector	Allows multiple listeners to register with the same queue/topic but they will filter on this message-selector.	No
persistent	Indicates if the delivery mode for JMS should be persistent or not. True or false. Default is true	No
acknowledge- mode	The JMS Session acknowledge mode. Can be one of AUTO_ACKNOWLEDGE, CLIENT_ACKNOWLEDGE, DUPS_OK_ACKNOWLEDGE. Default is AUTO_ACKNOWLEDGE	No
jms-security- principal	JMS destination user name. This will be used when creating a connection to the destination.	No
jms-security- credential	JMS destination password. This will be used when creating a connection to the destination.	No

Table 9.4. JMS Message Filter Configuration

Example configuration:

9.5. FTP Provider Configuration

Property	Description	Required
hostname	Can be a combination of <host:port> of just <host> which will use port 21.</host></host:port>	Yes
username	Username that will be used for the FTP connection.	Yes
password	Password for the above user	Yes

Property	Description	Required
directory	The FTP directory that is monitored for incoming new files	Yes
input-suffix	The file suffix used to filter files targeted for consumption by the ESB (note: add the dot, so something like '.esbln'). This can also be specified as an empty string to specify that all files should be retrieved.	Yes
work-suffix	The file suffix used while the file is being process, so that another thread or process won't pick it up too. Defaults to .esbInProcess.	No
post-delete	If true, the file will be deleted after it is processed. Note that in that case post-directory and post-suffix have no effect. Defaults to true.	No
post-directory	The FTP directory to which the file will be moved after it is processed by the ESB. Defaults to the value of directory above.	No
post-suffix	The file suffix which will be added to the file name after it is processed. Defaults to .esbDone .	No
error-delete	If true, the file will be deleted if an error occurs during processing. Note that in that case error-directory and error-suffix have no effect. This defaults to "true."	No
error-directory	The FTP directory to which the file will be moved after when an error occurs during processing. This defaults to the value of directory above.	No
error-suffix	The suffix which will be added to the file name after an error occurs during processing. Defaults to .esbError.	No
protocol	The protocol, can be one of: sftp (SSH File Transfer Protocol) ftps (FTP over SSL) ftp (default).	No
passive	Indicates that the FTP connection is in passive mode. Setting this to "true" means the FTP client will establish two connections to the ftpserver. Defaults to false, meaning that the client will tell the FTP Server the port to which it should connect. The FTP Server then establishes the connection to the client.	No
read-only	If true, the FTP Server does not permit write operations on files. Note that, in this case, the following properties have no effect: work-suffix, post-delete, post-directory, post-suffix, error-delete, error-directory, and error-suffix. Defaults to false. See section "Read-only FTP Listener for more information.	No
certificate-url	The URL to a public server certificate for FTPS server verification or to a private certificate for SFTP client verification. An SFTP certificate can be located as a resource embedded within a deployment artifact	No
certificate-name	The common name for a certificate for FTPS server verification	No

Property	Description	Required
certificate-	The pass-phrase of the private key for SFTP client verification.	No
passphrase		

Table 9.5. FTP Provider Configuration

9.6. FTP Listener Configuration

Schedule Listener that polls for remote files based on the configured schedule (scheduleidref). See Service Scheduling.

9.6.1. Read-Only FTP Listener

Setting the ftp-provider property "read-only" to "true" will tell the system that the remote file system does not allow write operations. This is often the case when the FTP server is running on a mainframe computer where permissions are given to a specific file.

The read-only implementation uses JBoss TreeCache to hold a list of the file names that have been retrieved. It is also used to fetch only those that have not previously been retrieved. The cache should be configured to use a cacheloader in order to persist to stable storage.

Please note that a strategy must exist for removing the file names from the cache. This might take the form of an archiving process on the mainframe that moves the files to a different location on a regular basis. The removal of file names from the cache could be undertaken by having a database procedure that removes them from the cache every few days. Another strategy would be to specify a TreeCacheListener that, upon evicting file names from the cache, also removes them from the cacheloader. The eviction period would then be configurable. This can be set via a property (removeFilesystemStrategy-cacheListener) in the ftp-listener configuration.

Name	Description
scheduleidref	Schedule used by the FTP listener. See Service Scheduling.
remoteFilesystemStrategy- class	Override the remote file system strategy with a class that implements: org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.remotestrategies.RemoteFileSystemStrategy.Defaults to org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.remotestrategies.ReadOnlyRemoteFileSystemStrategy
remoteFilesystemStrategy-configFile	Specify a JBoss TreeCache configuration file on the local file system or one that exists on the classpath. Defaults to looking for a file named /ftpfile-cache-config.xml which it expects to find in the root of the classpath
removeFilesystemStrategy-cacheListener	Specifies an JBoss TreeCacheListener implementation to be used with the TreeCache. Default is no TreeCacheListener.
maxNodes	The maximum number of files that will be stored in the cache. 0 denotes no limit
timeToLiveSeconds	Time to idle (in seconds) before the node is swept away. O denotes no limit
maxAgeSeconds	Time an object should exist in TreeCache (in seconds) regardless of idle time before the node is swept away. 0 denotes no limit

Table 9.6. Read-only FTP Listener Configuration

Example configuration:

Example snippet from JBoss cache configuration:

```
<region name="/ftp/cache">
  <attribute name="maxNodes">5000</attribute>
  <attribute name="timeToLiveSeconds">1000</attribute>
  <attribute name="maxAgeSeconds">86400</attribute>
  </region>
```

The read-only configuration is demonstrated by helloworld_ftp_action Quick Start. Run 'ant help' in the helloworld_ftp_action quickstart directory for instructions on running the Quick Start. Please refer to the JBoss Cache documentation for more information about the configuration options available (http://labs.jboss.com/jbosscache/docs/index.html).

9.7. *Updated.* UDP Gateway

The **UDP Gateway** is an implementation for receiving ESB-unaware messages sent via the **UDP** protocol. The payload will be passed along to the action chain in the default ESB Message object location. Actions can call **esbMessage.getBody().get()** to retrieve the byte array payload.

Property	Description	Comments
Host	The hostname/ip to which to listen.	Mandatory.
Port	The port to which to listen.	Mandatory.
handlerClass	A concrete implemenation of org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.mina.MessageHandler.	Optional. Default is org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.mina.DefaultMessageHandler.
is-gateway	UDPGatewayListener can only act as a gateway.	Mandatory.

Table 9.7. UDP Gateway Configuration

Here is an example configuration:

```
<udp-listener
  name="udp-listener"
  host="localhost"
  port="9999"

handlerClass="org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.mina.DefaultMessageHandler"
  is-gateway="true"
<udp-listener/>
```

Example 9.1. **UDP** Gateway Configuration

9.8. *Updated* JBoss Remoting (JBR) Configuration

The JBoss Remoting Gateway hooks JBoss Remoting (JBR) into JBoss ESB as a transport option. It leverages support for HTTP(S) and Socket (+SSL) via JBR.

The basic configuration of the JBR Provider is as follows:

As you can see, the basic **jbr-provider** and **jbr-bus** configuration is very simple. The **jbr-bus** can then be referenced from a service configuration through the **jbr-listener**:

```
<listeners>
     <jbr-listener name="soc" busidref="socket_bus" is-gateway="true"/>
</listeners>
```

The **jbr-listener** is only supported as a gateway (in other words, setting **is-gateway** to "false" will result in a Service deployment error.)

9.9. Transitioning from the Old Configuration Model

This section is aimed at developers who are familiar with the old JBoss ESB non-XSD based configuration model.

The old model used free-form XML, with ESB components receiving their configurations via an instance of **org.jboss.soa.esb.helpers.ConfigTree**. The new configuration model is XSD-based. However, the underlying component configuration pattern is still via an instance of org.jboss.soa.esb.helpers.ConfigTree. This means that, at the moment, the XSD-based configurations are mapped/transformed into ConfigTree-style configurations.

Developers who have been accustomed to the old model now need to keep the following in mind:

- 1. Do not assume you can infer the new configurations based on your knowledge of the old. Read all of the documentation on the new configuration model.
- 3. You must ensure that the ConfigTree-based configuration upon which these components depend can be mapped from the new XSD-based configurations, when developing new Listener/Action components. For example, in the ConfigTree configuration model, you could decide to supply the settings to a listener component via either the attributes on the listener node or the child nodes within the listener configuration. This type of free-form configuration on listener components is not supported on the XSD-to-ConfigTree mapping. In other words, the child content in the above example would not be mapped from the XSD to the ConfigTree-style configuration. In fact, the XSD configuration simply would not accept the arbitrary content, unless it was in a property> and, even in that case, if it were on a listener>), it would simply be ignored by the mapping code.

9.10. Configuration

All components within the core receive their configuration parameters as XML. How these parameters are provided to the system is hidden by the org.jboss.soa.esb.parameters.ParamRepositoryFactory:

```
public abstract class ParamRepositoryFactory
{
  public static ParamRepository getInstance();
}
```

Example 9.2. Enabling **GLOBAL**public abstract class ParamRepositoryFactory

This returns implementations of the **org.jboss.soa.esb.parameters.ParamRepository** interface (which allows for different implementations):

```
public interface ParamRepository
{
  public void add(String name, String value) throws
  ParamRepositoryException;
  public String get(String name) throws ParamRepositoryException;
  public void remove(String name) throws ParamRepositoryException;
}
```

Example 9.3. Enabling **GLOBAL**public interface ParamRepository

Within this version of the JBossESB, there is only a single implementation, (the org.jboss.soa.esb.parameters.ParamFileRepository,) which expects to be able to

load the parameters from a file. The implementation to use this may be over-ridden using the org.jboss.soa.esb.paramsRepository.class property.



Note

It is recommended that you construct your ESB configuration file using **Eclipse** or some other XML editor. The JBossESB configuration information is supported by an annotated XSD, which should help if you are using a more basic editor.

Web Services Support

10.1. JBossWS

JBossESB has several components that are used for exposing and invoking Webservice endpoints.

SOAPProcessor

The **SOAPProcessor** action allows you to expose JBossWS 2.x and higher *Webservice Endpoints* through "endpoints" (listeners) running on the ESB. This can be done even if they do not provide web-service interfaces of their own. The JBossWS Webservice Endpoints exposed using this JBossESB action are *ESB Message Aware*. Therefore, they can be used to invoke Webservice Endpoints over any transport channel supported by the ESB.

The **SOAPProcessor** action is also referred to as *SOAP* on the bus.

SOAPClient

The **SOAPClient** action allows you to make invocations on Webservice endpoints.

The **SOAPClient** action is also referred to as *SOAP* off the bus.

You should refer to the Services Guide for more details on these components, as it explains how to configure them.

You can also find more information about this topic within the "wiki" pages that have been shipped with the JBossESB documentation.

Updated Out-of-the-Box Actions

This section provides a catalog of all of the Actions that are included by default in the **JBoss** ESB.

11.1. *Updated.* Transformers & Converters

Converters/Transformers are a classification of Action Processor which are responsible for transforming a message payload from one type to another.

Note that, unless stated otherwise, all of these Actions use the **MessagePayloadProxy** for getting and setting the message payload.

ByteArrayToString

Takes a **byte**[]-based message payload and converts it into a **java.lang.String** object instance.

Input Type	byte[]
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ByteArrayToString
Properties	1. "encoding": The binary data encoding on the message byte array. Defaults to "UTF-8" when not specified.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ByteArrayToString" name="transform"> <pre>cproperty name="encoding" value="UTF-8" /> </pre></action></pre>

LongToDateConverter

Takes a long based message payload and converts it into a java.util.Date object instance.

Input Type	java.lang.Long/long
Output Type	java.util.Date
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.LongToDateConverter
Properties	None
Sample Configuration	<pre></pre>

ObjectInvoke

Takes the Object bound as the message payload and supplies it to a configured "processor" for processing. The processing result is bound back in the message as the new payload.

Input Type	User Object
Output Type	User Object
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectInvoke

Properties	 "class-processor": The runtime-classname of the processor class used on the message payload. "class-method": The name of the method on the processor class used.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectInvoke" name="invoke"> <pre><pre>cproperty name="class-processor" value="org.jboss.MyXXXProcessor"> <pre><pre>cproperty name="class-method" value="processXXX"> <action></action></pre></pre></pre></pre></action></pre>

ObjectToCSVString

Takes the Object bound as the message payload and converts it into a Comma-Separated Value (CSV) String (based on the supplied message object) and a comma-separated "bean-properties" list.

Input Type	User Object
Output Type	java.lang.String
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToCSVString
Properties	 "bean-properties": List of Object bean property names used to obtain CSV values for the output CSV String. The Object should support a getter method for each listed properties. "fail-on-missing-property": Flag indicating whether or not the action should fail if a property is missing from the Object (in other words, if the Object does not support a getter method for the property.) The default value is "false".
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToCSVString" name="transform"> <pre>cproperty name="bean-properties" value="name, address, phoneNumber"> <pre>cproperty name="fail-on-missing-property" value="true" /> <action></action></pre></pre></action></pre>

ObjectToXStream

Takes the Object bound as the Message payload and converts it into XML using the $XStream^1$ processor.

Input Type	User Object
Output Type	java.lang.String
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToXStream
Properties	 "class-alias": Class alias used in call to XStream.alias(String, Class)² prior to serialisation. Defaults to the input Object's class name.

¹ http://xstream.codehaus.org/

- 2. "exclude-package": Exclude the package name from the generated XML. Default is "true". Not applicable if a "class-alias" is specified.
- 3. "aliases": Optional. Specify additional aliases in order to help XStream to convert the XML elements into Objects.
- 4. "namespaces": Optional. Specify namespaces that should be added to the XML generated by XStream. Each namespace-uri is associated with a local-part which is the element that this namespace should appear on.
- "xstream-mode": Optional. Specify the XStream mode to use.
 Possible values are XPATH_RELATIVE_REFERENCES (the default),
 XPATH_ABSOLUTE_REFERENCES, ID_REFERENCES or NO_REFERENCES.

Sample Configuration

```
<action name="transform"
```

```
class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToXStream">
property name="class-alias" value="MyAlias" />
property name="exclude-package" value="true" />
property name="aliases">
<alias name="alias1" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass1/>
<alias name="alias2" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass2/>
<alias name="xyz" value="com.acme.XyzValueObject"/>
<alias name="x" value="com.acme.XValueObject"/>
</property>
property name="namespaces">
<namespace namespace-uri="http://www.xyz.com" local-</pre>
part="xyz"/>
<namespace namespace-uri="http://www.xyz.com/x" local-</pre>
part="x"/>
</property>
</action>
```

Input Type

User Object

Output Type

java.lang.String

Class

org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToXStream

Properties

- 1. "class-alias": Class alias used in call to *XStream.alias(String, Class)*³ prior to serialization. Defaults to the input Object's class name.
- "exclude-package": Exclude the package name from the generated XML. Default is "true". Not applicable if a "class-alias" is specified.

Sample Configuration

<action name="transform"
class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.ObjectToXStream">
cproperty name="class-alias" value="MyAlias" />
cproperty name="exclude-package" value="true" />
</action>

XStreamToObject

Takes the XML bound as the Message payload and converts it into an Object using the **XStream** processor.

java.lang.String
User Object (specified by "incoming-type" property)
org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.XStreamToObject
 "class-alias": Class alias used during serialisation. Defaults to the input Object's class name. "exclude-package": Flag indicating whether or not the XML includes a package name. "incoming-type": Class type. "root-node": Optional. Specify a different root node then the actual root node in the XML. Takes an XPath expression. "aliases": Optional. Specify additional aliases to help Xstream to convert the xml elements to Objects "attribute-aliases": Optional. Specify additional attribute aliases to help Xstream to convert the xml attributes to Objects "converters": Optional. Specify converters to help Xstream to convert the xml
elements and attributes to Objects. For more information about converters see http://xstream.codehaus.org/converters.html
<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.XStreamToObject" name="transform"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="class-alias" value="MyAlias" /> <pre><pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="exclude-package" value="true" /> <pre><pre>cproperty name="incoming-type" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass" /> <pre>cproperty name="root-node" value="/rootNode/MyAlias" /> <pre>cproperty name="aliases"> <alias alias2"="" attribute-aliases"="" name="alias1" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass2/> </pre> </pre> <pre> <pre>cproperty name="> <attribute-alias name="alias1" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass1"></attribute-alias> <attribute-alias name="alias2" value="com.acme.MyXXXClass2"></attribute-alias> </alias></pre> <pre> <pre>cproperty</pre> <pre>cproperty name="converters"> <converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter1"></converter> <converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"></converter> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> converter class="com.acme.MyXXXConverter2"/> </pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></action></pre>

</action>

XsltAction

Preforms transformation on entire documents. If you need per-fragment transformations, please refer to the **SmooksAction**.

Example 11.1. **XsltAction**Configuration

get- payload- location	Message Body location containing the message payload.	Default Payload Location
set- payload- location	Message Body location where result payload is to be placed.	Default Payload Location
resultType	The type of Result to be set as the result Message payload. This property controls the output result of the transformation. The following values are currently available: STRING - will produce a string. BYTES - will produce a byte[]. DOM - will produce a DOMResult. SAX - will produce a SAXResult. If the above does not suit your needs, then you have the option of specifying both the Source and Result by creating a SourceResult object instance. This is a simple object that holds both a Source and a Result. You need to create this object prior to calling this action. The type of Result returned will be that which was used to create the SourceResult.	STRING
failOnWarn	ingrue", this will make a transformation warning cause an exception to be thrown. If "false', the failure will be logged.	True
uriResolve	rFully qualified class name of a class that implements URIResolver. This will be set on the "transformation factory."	Not applicable

factory.fo	The feature names, which are fully qualified URIs, should be specified after the 'factory. feature.' prefix. For example: factory.feature.http://javax.xml.XMLConstants/feature/secure-processing	Not applicable
Factory.a	traidbortyeattributes that will be set for the tranformation factory. The attribute name should be specified after the 'factory.attribute.' prefix. For example: factory.attribute.someVendorAttributename	Not applicable.

SmooksTransformer

Message Transformation on the **JBossESB** is supported by the **SmooksTransformer** component. This is an ESB Action component that allows the **Smooks** Data Transformation/Processing Framework to be plugged into an ESB Action Processing Pipeline.

A wide range of source (XML, CSV, EDI) and target (XML, Java, CSV, EDI) data formats are supported by the **SmooksTransformer** component. Various Transformation Technologies are also supported, all within a single framework.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.SmooksTransformer
Properties	Smooks Resource Configuration:
	1. "resource-config": The Smooks resource configuration file.
	Message Profile Properties (Optional):
	1. "from": Message Exchange Participant name. Message Producer.
	"from-type": Message type/format produced by the "from" message exchange participant.
	3. "to": Message Exchange Participant name. Message Consumer.
	 "to-type": Message type/format consumed by the "to" message exchange participant.
	Note: All the above properties can be overridden by supplying them as "properties to the message" (Message.Properties).
Sample Configuration	Default Input/Output: <action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.converters.SmooksTransformer" name="transform"> <pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></action>

Java Objects are bound to the Message. Body under their beanId.

SmooksAction

The **SmooksAction** class (**org.jboss.soa.esb.smooks.SmooksAction**) is the second-generation ESB action class for executing **Smooks** "processes" (it can do more than just transform messages, such as splitting.) The **SmooksTransformer** action will be deprecated in a future release of the ESB (and it will eventually be removed).

The **SmooksAction** class can, using **Smooks** PayloadProcessor, process a wider range of ESB Message payloads such as Strings, byte arrays, InputStreams, Readers, POJOs and more. As such, it can perform a wide range of transformations including **Java**-to-**Java** transforms. It can also perform other types of operations on a Source message stream, including content-based payload Splitting and Routing (though not ESB Message routing). The **SmooksAction** enables the full range of **Smooks** capabilities from within **JBossESB**.



Note

Users should be aware that **Smooks** does not detect (nor report errors on) certain types of configuration errors for resource settings made through the base resource-config. If, for example, the resource is a **Smooks** Visitor implementation, and you misspell the name of the Visitor class, **Smooks** will not raise this as an error simply because it does not know that the misspelling was supposed to be a class. Remember, Smooks supports many different types of resources, not just **Java** Visitor implementations.

The easiest way to avoid this issue (in **JBoss** ESB 4.5.GA+) is to use the extended **Smooks** configuration namespaces for all "out-of-the-box" functionality. For example, instead of defining **Java** binding configurations by defining **org.milyn.javabean.BeanPopulator** resource-config configurations, you should use the http://www.milyn.org/xsd/smooks/javabean-1.2.xsd configuration namespace. (This is the jb:bean configuration.)

If you have implemented new **Smooks Visitor** functionality, the easiest way to avoid this issue is to define an extended configuration namespace for this new resource type. This also has the advantage of making this new resource easier to configure, because

you are able to leverage the schema support built into your Integrated Development Environment.

See the Smooks v1.1+ documentation for examples of these extended namespace configurations.

SmooksAction Configuration

The following illustrates the basic **SmooksAction** configuration:

The optional configuration properties are:

Name	Description	Default
get-payload-location	Message Body location containing the message payload.	Default Payload Location
set-payload-location	Message Body location where result payload is to be placed.	Default Payload Location
excludeNonSerializables	Exclude non-Serializable Objects when mapping the contents of the Smooks <i>ExecutionContext</i> ⁴ back onto the ESB Message.	true
resultType	The type of Result to be set as the result Message payload.	STRING
javaResultBeanId	Note: Only relevant when resultType=JAVA The Smooks bean context beanId to be mapped when the resultType is "JAVA." If not specified, the whole context bean Map is mapped as the JAVA result.	
reportPath	The path and file name for generating a <i>Smooks Execution Report</i> ⁵ . This is a development aid i.e. not to be used in production.	

Message Input Payload

The SmooksAction uses the ESB MessagePayloadProxy class for getting and setting the message payload on the ESB Message. Therefore, unless otherwise configured via the "get-payload-location" and "set-payload-location" action properties, the SmooksAction gets and sets the Message payload on the default message location (by using Message.getBody().get() and Message.getBody().set(Object)).

As stated above, the **SmooksAction** automatically supports a wide range of Message payload types. This means that the SmooksAction itself can handle most payload types without requiring "fixup" actions before it in the action chain.

XML, EDI and CSV Input Payloads

To process these message types using the **SmooksAction**, simply supply the Source message as a:

- 1. String
- 2. InputStream⁶
- 3. Reader⁷
- 4. byte array

Apart from that, you just need to perform the standard **Smooks** configurations (in the **Smooks** configuration, not the ESB configuration) for processing the message type in question to, for example, configure a parser if it's not an XML Source (such as EDI or CSV.)

Java Input Payload

If the supplied Message payload is not one of type String, **InputStream**, Reader or **byte[]**, the **SmooksAction** processes the payload as a **JavaSource**, allowing you to perform **Java**-to-XML or **Java**-to-**Java** transformations.

Specifying the Result Type

Because the **Smooks** Action can produce a number of different Result types, you need to be able to specify which type of Result you want. This effects the result that is bound back in the ESB Message payload location.

By default, the **ResultType** is "**STRING**", but it can also be "**BYTES**", "**JAVA**" or "**NORESULT**" by setting the "**resultType**" configuration property.

Specifying a **resultType** of "**JAVA**" allows you to select one or more **Java** Objects from the **Smooks ExecutionContext** (specifically, the bean context). The **javaResultBeanId** configuration property complements the **resultType** property by allowing you to specify a specific bean to be bound from the bean context to the ESB Message payload location. The following is an example that binds the "order" bean from the **Smooks** bean context onto the ESB Message as the Message payload.

```
<action name="transform" class="org.jboss.soa.esb.smooks.SmooksAction">
cproperty name="smooksConfig" value="/smooks/order-to-java.xml" />
cproperty name="resultType" value="JAVA" />
cproperty name="javaResultBeanId" value="order" />
</action>
```

Example 11.2. Specifying the Result Type

PersistAction

This is used to interact with the **MessageStore**, where necessary.

Input Type	Message	
Output Type	The input Message	
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.MessagePersister	
Properties	 classification: used to classify where the Message will be stored. If the Message Property org.jboss.soa.esb.messagestore.classification is defined on the Message then that will be used instead. Otherwise, a default may be provided at instantiation time. message-store-class: the implementation of the MessageStore. terminal: if the Action is to be used to terminate a pipeline then this should be "true" (the default). If not, then set this to "false" and the input message will be returned from processing. 	
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.MessagePersister" name="PersistAction"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="classification" value="test"/> <pre><pre>cproperty name="message-store-class" value="org.jboss.internal.soa.esb.persistence.format.db.DBMessageStore) </pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></action></pre>	eIm

11.2. Updated. Business Process Management

jBPM BpmProcessor

JBosTjBPM. The **BpmProcessor** action uses the jBPM command API to make calls into jBPM. The following jBPM commands have been implemented:

- NewProcessInstanceCommand
- StartProcessCommand
- CancelProcessInstanceCommand

Input Type	<pre>org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message generated by AbstractCommandVehicle.toCommandMessage()</pre>
Output Type	Message – same as the input message
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.services.jbpm.actions.BpmProcessor
Properties	 command - required property. Needs to be one of: NewProcessInstance- Command, StartProcessInstanceCommand, SignalCommand or Cancel- ProcessInstanceCommand
	 processdefinition – required property for the New- and Start- ProcessInstanceCommands if the process-definition-id property is not used. The value of this property should reference a process definition that is already deployed to jBPM and of which you want to create a new instance. This property does not apply to the Signal- and CancelProcessInstance-Commands.

- process-definition-id required property for the New- and Start-ProcessInstanceCommands if the processdefinition property is not used. The value of this property should reference a processdefinition id in jBPM of which you want to create a new instance. This property does not apply to the Signaland CancelProcessInstanceCommands.
- 2. actor optional property to specify the jBPM actor id. This applies to the Newand StartProcessInstanceCommands only.

Properties

- 1. key optional property to specify the value of the jBPM key. For example one can pass a unique invoice id as the value for this key. On the jBPM side this key is as the "business" key id field. The key is a string based business key property on the process instance. The combination of business key + process definition must be unique if a business key is supplied. The key value can hold an MVEL expression to extract the desired value from the EsbMessage. For example, if you have a named parameter called "businessKey" in the body of your message you would use "body.businessKey". Note that this property is used for the Newand StartProcessInstanceCommands only.
- 2. transition-name optional property. This property only applies to the StartProcessInstance- and Signal Commands, and is of use only if there are more then one transition out of the current node. If this property is not specified the default transition out of the node is taken. The default transition is the first transition in the list of transition defined for that node in the jBPM processdefinition.xml.
- esbToBpmVars optional property for the New- and StartProcessInstanceCommands and the SignalCommand. This property defines a list of variables that need to be extracted from the EsbMessage and set into jBPM context for the particular process instance. The list consists of mapping elements. Each mapping element can have the following attributes:
- 2. esb required attribute which can contain an MVEL expression to extract a value anywhere from the EsbMessage.
- 3. bpm optional attribute containing the name which be used on the jBPM side. If omitted the esb name is used.
- 4. default optional attribute which can hold a default value if the esbMVEL expression does not find a value set in the **EsbMessage**.

Message variables

Finally, some variables can be set on the body of the **EsbMessage**:

- jbpmProcessInstId required parameter which applies to the Cancel-ProcessInstanceCommand only. It is up to the user make sure this value is set as a named parameter on the EsbMessage body.
- 2. jbpmTokenId or jbpmProcessInstId either one is a required parameter and applies to the SignalCommand only. The SignalCommand first looks for the value of the token id to which it will send a signal. If this is not set, it will try to obtain the process instance identification and obtain the root token. It is up to the user make sure either the jbpmTokenId or the jbpmProcessInstId is set on the EsbMessage body.

11.3. *Updated.* Scripting

Scripting Action Processors support definition of action processing logic via Scripting languages.

GroovyActionProcessor

Executes a *Groovy*⁸ action processing script, receiving the message, **payloadProxy**, action configuration and logger as variable input.

Script Variable	1. "message": The message.
Bindings	2. "payloadProxy": Utility for message payload (MessagePayloadProxy).
	3. "config": The action configuration (ConfigTree.)
Class	4. "logger": The GroovyActionProcessor's static Log4J Logger (Logger). org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.scripting.GroovyActionProcessor
Properties	1. "script": Path (on classpath) to <i>Groovy</i> ⁹ script.
	2. "supportMessageBasedScripting": Allow scripts within the message.
	3. "cacheScript": Should the script be cached. Default is "true."
Sample Configurattion	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.scripting.GroovyActionProcessor" name="process"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="script" value="/scripts/myscript.groovy"/> </pre></pre></pre></action></pre>

⁸ http://groovy.codehaus.org/

ScriptingAction

Executes a script using the Bean Scripting Framework (*BSF*¹⁰), receiving the message, **payloadProxy**, action configuration and logger as variable input. Some notes:

- 1. JBoss ESB 4.4 contains BSF^{11} 2.3.0, which has less language support than BSF^{12} 2.4.0 (for example: no $Groovy^{13}$, and non-functioning $Rhino^{14}$). A future version will contain BSF^{15} 2.4.0, which will support $Groovy^{16}$ and $Rhino^{17}$.
- 2. *BSF*¹⁸ does not provide an API to pre-compile, cache and reuse scripts. Because of this, each execution of the **ScriptingAction** will go through the compile step again. Please keep this in mind while evaluating your performance requirements.
- 3. When including <code>BeanShell¹⁹</code> scripts in your application, it is advised to use a .beanshell extension instead of .bsh, otherwise the <code>JBoss</code> <code>BSHDeployer²⁰</code> might pick it up.

Script Variable Bindings	 "message": The message. "payloadProxy": Utility for message payload (MessagePayloadProxy).
Class	 "config": The action configuration (ConfigTree). "logger": The ScriptingAction's static Log4J Logger (Logger). org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.scripting.ScriptingAction
Properties	 "script": Path (on classpath) to script. "supportMessageBasedScripting": Allow scripts within the message. "language": Optional script language (overrides extension deduction).
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.scripting.GroovyActionProcessor" name="process"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="script" value="/scripts/myscript.groovy"/> </pre></pre></pre></action></pre>

11.4. Services

Actions defined within the ESB Services.

EJBProcessor

Takes an input Message and uses the contents to invoke a Stateless Session Bean. This action support EJB2.x and EJB3.x.

Input Type	EJB method name and parameters.
Output Type	EJB Specific Object.
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.EJBProcessor
Properties	1. "ejb3": if this is a call to an EJB3.x Session Bean
	2. "ejb-name": The identity of the EJB. Optional when "ejb3" is true.

¹⁰ http://jakarta.apache.org/bsf/

111

- 3. "jndi-name": Relevant JNDI lookup.
- 4. "initial-context-factory": JNDI look-up mechanism.
- 5. "provider-url": Relevant provider.
- 6. "method": EJB method name to call.
- 7. "ejb-params": list of parameters to use when calling the method and where in the input Message they reside.
- 8. "esb-out-var": the location of the output (default value is DEFAULT_EJB_OUT).

Sample Configuration EJB2.x

```
<action name="EJBTest"
class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.EJBProcessor">
property name="ejb-name" value="MyBean" />
operty name="jndi-name" value="ejb/MyBean" />
value="org.jnp.interfaces.NamingContextFactory" />
cproperty name="provider-url" value="localhost:1099" />
property name="method" value="login" />
<!-- Optional output location, defaults to "DEFAULT_EJB_OUT"
coperty name="esb-out-var" value="MY_OUT_LOCATION"/> -->
property name="ejb-params">
<!-- arguments of the operation and where to find them in the
message -->
<arg0 type="java.lang.String">username</arg0>
<arg1 type="java.lang.String">password</arg1>
</property>
</action>
```

Sample Configuration EJB3.x

```
<property name="ejb3" value="true" />
<property name="jndi-name" value="ejb/MyBean" />
<property name="initial-context-factory"
value="org.jnp.interfaces.NamingContextFactory" />
<property name="provider-url" value="localhost:1099" />
<property name="method" value="login" />
<!-- Optional output location, defaults to "DEFAULT_EJB_OUT"
<property name="esb-out-var" value="MY_OUT_LOCATION"/> -->
<property name="ejb-params">
<!-- arguments of the operation and where to find them in the message -->
<arg0 type="java.lang.String">username</arg0>
<arg1 type="java.lang.String">password</arg1>
</property>
</action>
```

11.5. *Updated*. Routing

Routing Actions support "conditional routing" of messages between two or more message exchange participants.

Aggregator

Message aggregation action. An implementation of the *Aggregator Enterprise Integration Pattern*²¹.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.Aggregator
Properties	1. "timeoutInMillies": This is optional. The timeout time in milliseconds before the aggregation process times out.
Sample Configuration	<action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.Aggregator" name="Aggregator"> <pre></pre></action>

This action relies on all messages having the correct correlation data. This data is set on the message as a property called "aggregatorTag" (Message.Properties.) See the ContentBasedRouter and StaticRouter actions.

The data has the following format:

[UUID] ":" [message-number] ":" [message-count]

If all the messages have been received by the aggregator, it returns a new Message containing all the messages as part of the Message. Attachment list (unnamed), otherwise the action returns null.

EchoRouter

Simply echos the incoming message payload to the info log stream and returns the input Message from the process method

HttpRouter

Currently, there are two **HttpRouter** actions in the code base, one that uses JBoss Remoting to perform the HTTP invocation and other that uses **Apache Commons HttpClient**. This section will describe both. Please note that the **JBoss Remoting HttpRouter** is now deprecated, in order to avoid the confusion that having two could potentially cause.

JBoss Remoting HttpRouter (Deprecated)

This instance will forward the incoming message to a Uniform Resource Locator for further processing.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.HttpRouter
Properties	 "routeUrl" the endpoint to forward the message. If not set, then localhost: 5400 will be used.

 $^{^{\}rm 21}$ http://www.enterpriseintegrationpatterns.com/Aggregator.html

Apache Commons HttpRouter

This action allows you to invoke external (ESB unaware) HTTP endpoints from an ESB action pipeline. This action uses **Apache CommonsHttpClient** under the bonnet.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.http.HttpRouter
Properties	"endpointUrl" the endpoint to forward the message. Required.
	"http-client-property" Optional. The HttpRouter uses the HttpClientFactory to create and configure the HttpClient instance. You can specify the configuration of the factory by using the file property which will point to a properties file on the local file system, classpath or URI based. See example below to see how this is done. For more information about the factory properties please refer to: http://www.jboss.org/community/docs/DOC-9969
	"method" Currently only supports GET and POST. Required.
	"responseType" Specifies in what form the response should be sent back. Either STRING(default) of BYTES.
	"headers" To be added to the request. Supports multiple header name="test" value="testvalue" elements. Optional.
	"http-client-property" The HttpRouter uses the HttpClientFactory to create and configure the HttpClient instance. You can specify the configuration of the factory by this setting.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.http.HttpRouter" name="httprouter"> <pre></pre></action></pre>

JMSRouter

Routes the incoming message on to JMS.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.JMSRouter
Properties	 "unwrap": Setting this to "True" will extract the message payload from the Message object before sending. "False" (the default) will send the serialised Message object.
	 jndi-context-factory: The JNDI context factory to use. The default is "org.jnp.interfaces.NamingContextFactory".
	3. jndi-URL: The JNDIURL to use. The default is 127.0.0.1:1099.

- 4. jndi-pkg-prefix: The JNDI naming package prefixes to use. The default is org.jboss.naming:org.jnp.interfaces
- 5. **connection-factory**: The name of the **ConnectionFactory** to use. Default is "**ConnectionFactory**".
- 6. **persistent**: The JMS**DeliveryMode** which can be set to "true" (the default) or "false."
- 7. **priority**: The JMS priority to be used. Default is **javax.jms.Message.DEFAULT_PRIORITY**.
- 8. **time-to-live**: The JMS Time-To-Live to be used. The default is **javax.jms.Message.DEFAULT_TIME_TO_LIVE**.
- 9. **security-principal**: The security principal to use when creating the JMS connection.
- 10. **security-credentials**: The security credentials to use when creating the JMS connection.
- 11. **property-strategy**: The implementation of the **JMSPropertiesSetter** interface, if over-riding the default.
- 12. **message-prop**: Properties to be set on the message are prefixed with "**message-prop**."

EmailRouter

Routes the incoming message to a configured e.-mail account.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.email.EmailRouter
Properties	"unwrap": Setting to this to "true" will extract the message payload from the Message object before sending, whilst "false" (the default) will send the serialised Message object.
	"host": The host name of the SMTP server. If not specified will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.host" in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.
	<pre>"port": The port for the SMTP server. If not specified will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.port" in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.</pre>
	"username": The username for the SMTP server. If not specified will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.user" in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.
	"password": The password for the above username on the SMTP server. If not specified will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.password" in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.

```
"auth": If set to "true," this will attempt to authenticate the user using
             the AUTH command. If not specified will default to the property
             "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.auth" in the jbossesb-properties.xml
             "from": The "from" e.-mail address.
             "sendTo": The destination e.-mail account.
             "subject": The subject of the e.-mail.
             "messageAttachmentName": This is the filename of an attachment containing the
             message payload (optional). If not specified the message payload will be included in
             the message body.
             "message": A string to be prepended to the ESB message contents of which the e-
             mail message consists (optional).
             "ccTo": Comma-separated list of e.-mail addresses (optional)
             "attachment": Child elements that contain file that will be added as attachments to
             the e.-mail sent.
Sample
             <action name="send-email"
Configuration
              class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.email.EmailRouter">
                  roperty name="unwrap" value="true" />
                  cproperty name="host" value="smtpHost" />
                  cproperty name="port" value="25" />
                  cproperty name="username" value="smtpUser" />
                   cproperty name="password" value="smtpPassword" />
                  cproperty name="from" value="jbossesb@xyz.com" />
                  cproperty name="subject" value="Message Subject" />
             </action>
```

ContentBasedRouter

This is a content (and rules)-based message routing action.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.ContentBasedRouter
Properties	1. "ruleSet": JBoss Rules ruleset.
	2. "ruleLanguage": CBR-evaluation Domain Specific Language (DSL) file.
	3. "ruleReload": Flag indicating whether or not the rules file should be reloaded each time. The default is "false".
	4. "destinations": Container property for the <route-to> configurations.</route-to>
	 <route-to <br="" destination-name="express" service-category="ExpressShipping">service-name="ExpressShippingService"/></route-to>

"process" methods	 "process": Do not append aggregation data to the message. "split": Append aggregation data to the message. See the Aggregator action.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.ContentBasedRouter" name="ContentBasedRouter" process="split"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="ruleSet" value="MyESBRules-XPath.drl"/> <pre><pre>cproperty name="ruleLanguage" value="XPathLanguage.dsl"/> <pre>cproperty name="ruleReload" value="true"/> <pre>cproperty name="destinations"> <route-to destination-name="express" service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to> <route-to destination-name="normal" service-category="NormalShipping" service-name="NormalShippingService"></route-to> </pre></pre> <pre> </pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></action></pre>
	See the "What is Content-Based Routing?" chapter in the <i>Services Guide</i> for more details on Content-Based Routing.

StaticRouter

Static message routing action. This is basically a simplified version of the Content-Based Router, except it does not support content based routing rules.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.StaticRouter
Properties	 "destinations": Container property for the <route-to> configurations.</route-to> <route-to destination-name="express" service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to>
"process" methods	 "process": Don't append aggregation data to message. "split": Append aggregation data to message. See the Aggregator action.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.StaticRouter" name="routeAction"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="destinations"> <route-to service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to> <route-to service-category="NormalShipping" service-name="NormalShippingService"></route-to> </pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> </action></pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <p< td=""></p<></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>

StaticWiretap

The **StaticWiretap** action differs from the **StaticRouter** in that the **StaticWiretap** "listens in" on the action chain and allows actions below it to be executed. By contrast, the **StaticRouter** action terminates the action chain at the point it is used. A **StaticRouter** should, therefore, be the last action in a chain.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.StaticWiretap
Properties	 "destinations": Container property for the <route-to> configurations.</route-to> <route-to destination-name="express" service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to>
"process" methods	 "process": Do not append aggregation data to message. See the Aggregator action.
Sample Configuration	<action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.StaticWiretap" name="routeAction"> <property name="destinations"> <route-to service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to> <route-to service-category="NormalShipping" service-name="NormalShippingService"></route-to> </property> </action>

EmailWiretap

The **EmailWiretap** will publish the ESB Message payload to a configured e.-mail account.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.routing.email.EmailWiretap
Properties	"host": The host name of the SMTP server. If not specified, it will default to the property 'org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.host' in jbossesb-properties.xml.
	"port": The port for the SMTP server. If not specified, it will default to the property 'org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.port' in jbossesb-properties.xml.
	"username": The username for the SMTP server. If not specified, it will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.user" in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.
	"password": The password for the above username on the SMTP server. If not specified will default to the property "org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.password" in the jbossesb- properties.xml file.

"auth": If true will attempt to authenticate the user using the AUTH command. If not specified, it will default to the property 'org.jboss.soa.esb.mail.smtp.auth' in the jbossesb-properties.xml file.

"from": The "from" e.-mail address.

"sendTo": The destination e.-mail account.

"subject": The subject of the e.-mail.

"messageAttachmentName": This is the filename of an attachment containing the message payload (optional). If not specified, the message payload will be included in the message body.

"message": A string to be pre-pended to the ESB message contents which make up the e.-mail message (optional)

"ccTo": Comma-separated list of email addresses (optional)

"attachment": Child elements that contain file that will be added as attachments to the email sent.

Sample Configuration

11.6. Notifier

Sends a notification to a list of notification targets specified in the configuration, based on the result of action pipeline processing.

The action pipeline works in two stages, these being normal processing, and, following on from it, outcome processing. In the first stage, the pipeline calls the process method(s) on each action (by default it is called process) in sequence until the end of the pipeline has been reached or an error occurs. At this point, the pipeline reverses (the second stage) and calls the outcome method on each preceding action (by default it is **processException** or **processSuccess**.) It starts with the current action (the final one on success or the one which raised the exception) and travels backwards until it has reached the start of the pipeline. The Notifier is an action which does no processing of the message during the first stage (it is a "no-op") but sends the specified notifications during the second stage.

The Notifier class configuration is used to define **NotificationList** elements, which can be used to specify a list of **NotificationTargets**. A **NotificationList** of type "**ok**" specifies targets which should receive notification upon successful action pipeline processing; a **NotificationList** of type "**err**" specifies targets to receive notifications upon exceptional action pipeline processing,

according to the action pipeline processing semantics mentioned earlier. Both "err" and "ok" are case insensitive.

The notification sent to the **NotificationTarget** is target-specific but essentially consists of a copy of the ESB message undergoing action pipeline processing. A list of notification target types and their parameters appears at the end of this section.

If you wish to be notified of success or failure at each step of the action processing pipeline, use the "**okMethod**" and "**exceptionMethod**" attributes in each <action> element instead of having an <action> that uses the Notifier class.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.Notifier
Properties	NotificationList sub-tree indicating targets
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.StaticWiretap" name="routeAction"> <pre><pre><pre>cproperty name="destinations"> <route-to service-category="ExpressShipping" service-name="ExpressShippingService"></route-to> <route-to service-category="NormalShipping" service-name="NormalShippingService"></route-to> </pre></pre></pre></action></pre>

Notifications can be sent to targets of various types. The table below provides a list of the NotificationTarget types and their parameters.

NotifyConsole
Performs a notification by printing out the contents of the ESB message on the console.
none
none
none
<target class="NotifyConsole"></target>
NotifyFiles
Performs a notification by writing the contents of the ESB message to a specified set of files.

Purpose	Performs a notification by writing the contents of the ESB message to a specified set of files.
Attributes	none
Child	file
Child Attributes	 append – if value is true, append the notification to an existing file URI – any valid URI specifying a file
Sample Configuration	<target class="NotifyFiles"></target>



Class	NotifySQLTable
Purpose	Performs a notification by inserting a record into an existing database table. The database record contains the ESB message contents and,
	optionally, other values specified using nested <column> elements.</column>
Attributes	1. driver-class
	2. connection-url
	3. user-name
	4. password
	5. table – table in which notification record is stored
	6. dataColumn – name of table column in which ESB message contents are stored
Child	column
Child Attributes	1. name – name of table column in which to store an additional value
Attributes	2. value – value to be stored
Sample Configuration	<pre><target class="NotifySQLTable" connection-url="jdbc:mysql://localhost/db" datacolumn="messageData" driver-class="com.mysql.jdbc.Driver" password="password" table="table" user-name="user"> <column name="aColumnlName" value="aColumnValue"></column> </target></pre>

Class	NotifyFTP
Purpose	Performs a notification by creating a file containing the ESB message content and transferring it via FTP to a remote file system.
Attributes	none
Child	FTP
Child Attributes	 URL – a valid FTP URL filename – the name of the file to contain the ESB message content on the remote system
Sample Configuration	<target class="NotifyFTP"></target>

<ftp URL="ftp://username:pwd@server.com/remote/dir"
filename="someFile.txt" />
</target>

Class	NotifyQueues
Purpose	Performs a notification by translating the ESB message (including its attached properties) into a JMS message and sending the JMS message to a list of Queues. Additional properties may be attached using the <messageprop> element.</messageprop>
Attributes	none
Child	queue
Child Attributes	 jndiName – the JNDI name of the Queue jndi-URL – the JNDI provider URL (optional) jndi-context-factory – the JNDI initial context factory (optional) jndi-pkg-prefix – the JNDI package prefixes (optional) connection-factory – the JNDI name of the JMS connection factory (by default, "ConnectionFactory")
Child	messageProp
Child Attributes	 name – name of the new property to be added value – value of the new property
Sample Configuration	<target class="NotifyQueues"> <messageprop name="aNewProperty" value="theValue"></messageprop> <queue jndiname="queue/quickstarts_notifications_queue"></queue> </target>

Class	NotifyTopics
Purpose	Performs a notification by translating the ESB message (including its attached properties) into a JMS message and publishing the JMS message to a list of Topics. Additional properties may be attached using the <messageprop> element.</messageprop>
Attributes	none
Child	topic
Child Attributes	 jndiName – the JNDI name of the Queue jndi-URL – the JNDI provider URL (optional)
	3. jndi-context-factory – the JNDI initial context factory (optional)
	4. jndi-pkg-prefix – the JNDI package prefixes (optional)
	5. connection-factory – the JNDI name of the JMS connection factory (by default, "ConnectionFactory")

Child	messageProp
Child Attributes	 name – name of the new property to be added value – value of the new property
Sample Configuration	<target class="NotifyTopics"> <messageprop name="aNewProperty" value="theValue"></messageprop> <queue jndiname="topic/quickstarts_notifications_topic"></queue> </target>

Class	NotifyEmail
Purpose	Performs a notification by sending an email containing the ESB message content and, optionally, any file attachments.
Attributes	 from – email address (javax.email.InternetAddress) sendTo – comma-separated list of email addresses ccTo – comma-separated list of email addresses (optional) subject – email subject message – a string to be prepended to the ESB message contents which make up the email message (optional) msgAttachmentName - filename of an attachment containing the message payload (optional). If not specified the message payload will be included in the message body.
Child	Attachment (optional)
Child Text	the name of the file to be attached
Sample Configuration	<pre><target class="NotifyEmail" from="person@somewhere.com" sendto="person@elsewhere.com" subject="theSubject"> <attachment>attachThisFile.txt</attachment> </target></pre>

Class	NotifyFTP
Purpose	Performs a notification by creating a file containing the ESB message content and transferring it via FTP to a remote file system.
Attributes	none
Child	FTP
Child Attributes	 URL – a valid FTP URL filename – the name of the file to contain the ESB message content on the remote system

```
Sample
Configuration

<target class="NotifyFTP" >
<ftp URL="ftp://username:pwd@server.com/remote/dir"
filename="someFile.txt" />
</target>
```

11.7. Webservices/SOA-P

Updated. SOAPProcessor

JBoss Webservices SOA-P Processor.

This action supports invocation of a **JBossWS**-hosted webservice endpoint through any **JBossESB**-hosted listener. This means that the ESB can be used to expose Webservice endpoints for Services that do not already do so. You can do this by writing a thin Service Wrapper Webservice, (such as a JSR 181 implementation), that wraps calls to the target Service (that does not have a Webservice endpoint), exposing that Service via endpoints (listeners) running on the ESB. This also means that these Services are invocable over any transport channel supported by the ESB (such as HTTP, FTP or JMS.)

Dependencies

- JBoss Application Server
- 2. The **soap.esb** Service. This is available in the **lib** folder of the distribution.

"ESB Message Aware" Webservice Endpoints

Please note that a Webservice endpoint exposed via this action will have direct access to the current <code>JBossESB</code> Message instance used to invoke this action's process (Message) method. It can access the current Message instance via the <code>SOAPProcessor.getMessage()</code> method and can change the Message instance via the <code>SOAPProcessor.setMessage(Message)</code> method. This means that Webservice endpoints exposed via this action are "ESB Message Aware".

Webservice Endpoint Deployment

Any **JBossWS** Webservice endpoint can be exposed via ESB listeners using this action. That includes endpoints that are deployed from inside (like the Webservice .war is bundled inside the .esb) and outside (such as standalone Webservice .war deployments, Webservice .war deployments bundled inside an .ear) a .esb deployment. This, however, means that this action can only be used when your .esb deployment is installed on the **JBoss** Application Server. In other words, it is not supported on the **JBossESB** Server.

SOAPClient

The **SOAPClient** action uses the **Wise** Client Service to generate a JAXWS client class and call the target service.

Example configuration:

Example 11.3. **Wise** Client Service

Optional Properties

Property Name	Description
wsdl	The WSDL to be used.
operationName	The name of the operation as specified in the webservice WSDL .
SOAPAction	The endpoint operation. This is now superceded by operationName.
EndPointName	The EndPoint invoked. Webservices can have multiple endpoints. If this is not specified, then the first designated in WSDL will be used.
SmooksRequestMapper	Specifies a Smooks configuration file to define the Java -to- Java mapping for the request.
SmooksResponseMapper	Specifies a Smooks configuration file to define the Java -to- Java mapping defined for the response
ServiceName	A symbolic service name used by Wise to cache object generation and/or use an already-generated object. If it is not provided, Wise uses the "servlet" name of WSDL.
UserName	This is the user name used if the webservice is protected by BASIC Authentication HTTP.
Password	Password used if the webservice is protected by BASIC Authentication HTTP.
smooks-handler-config	It is often necessary to be able to transform the SOA-P request or response, especially in header. This may be to simply add some standard SOA-P handlers. Wise supports two JAXWS SOA-P Handlers, both custom and predefined ones based upon Smooks .
	Transformation of the SOA-P request (before sending) is supported by configuring the SOAPClient action with a Smooks transformation configuration property.
custom-handlers	It is also possible to provide a set of custom standard JAX- WS Soap Handler. The parameter accept a list of classes implementing SoapHandler interface. Classes have to provide full qualified name and be separated by semi-columns.
LoggingMessages	It is useful for debugging purposes to view SOA-P Message sent and response received. Wise achieve this goal using a JAX-WS handler printing all messages exchanged on System.out . This is a Boolean value.

SOA-P Operation Parameters

The SOA-P operation parameters are supplied in one of two ways:

- As a Map instance set on the default body location (Message.getBody().add(Map))
- As a Map instance set on the named body location (Message.getBody().add(String, Map)),
 whereby the name of that body location is specified as the value of the "paramsLocation" action
 property.

The parameter Map itself can also be populated in one of two ways:

- With a set of Objects of any type. In this case, a Smooks configuration has to be specified in action attribute "SmooksRequestMapper" and Smooks is used to make the Java-to-java conversion.
- 2. With a set of String based key-value pairs(<String, Object>), where the key is the name of the SOA-P parameter as specified in wsdls (or in generated class) to be populated with the key's value. SOA-P Response Message Consumption.

The SOA-P response object instance can be attached to the ESB Message instance in one of the following ways:

- 1. On the default body location (Message.getBody().add(Map))
- 2. On a named body location (Message.getBody().add(String, Map)), where the name of that body location is specified as the value of the "responseLocation" action property.

The response object instance can also be populated (from the SOA-P response) in one of two ways:

- With a set of Objects of any type. In this case, a Smooks configuration has to be specified in action attribute SmooksResponseMapper and Smooks is used to make the Java-to-Java conversion
- 2. With a set of String based key-value pairs(<String, Object>), where the key is the name of the SOA-P answer as specified in wsdls (or in generated class) to be populated with the key's value. JAX-WS is the handler for the SOA-P request/response.

For examples of using the **SOAPClient**, please refer to the following Quick Starts:

- 1. webservice_consumer_wise, shows basic usage.
- webservice_consumer_wise2, shows how to use'SmooksRequestMapper' and 'SmooksResponseMapper.'
- 3. webservice_consumer_wise3, shows how to use 'smooks-handler-config.'
- 4. webservice_consomer_wise4, shows usage of 'custom-handlers.'

More information about **Wise** can be found on this website: http://www.javalinuxlabs.org/wise²².

JAXB Annotation Introductions

The native **JBossWS** SOA-P stack uses JAXB to bind with SOA-P. This means that an unannotated typeset cannot be used to build a **JBossWS** endpoint. To overcome this, there is a **JBossESB**

²² http://www.javalinuxlabs.org/wise/index.html

and **JBossWS** feature called "JAXB Annotation Introductions" which allows you to define an XML configuration to "Introduce" the JAXB Annotations. For details on how to enable this feature in JBossWS 2.0.0, see the Appendix.

This XML configuration must be packaged in a file called "jaxb-intros.xml" in the "META-INF" directory of the endpoint deployment.

For details on how to write a JAXB Annotation Introductions configuration, see the Appendix.

Quick Starts

A number of Quick Starts demonstrating how to use this action are available in the **JBossESB** distribution (samples/quickstarts). See the "**Webservice_bpe1**" Quick Start for more information.

SOAPClient

SOA-P Client action processor.

Uses the *soapUl*²³ Client Service to construct and populate a message for the target service. This action then routes that message to that service.

Endpoint Operation Specification

Specifying the endpoint operation is a straightforward task. Simply specify the "wsdl" and "operation" properties on the **SOAPClient** action as follows:

Example 11.4. Endpoint Operation Specification

SOA-P Request Message Construction

The SOA-P operation parameters are supplied in one of two ways:

- 1. As a Map instance set on the default body location (Message.getBody().add(Map))
- 2. As a Map instance set on in a named body location (Message.getBody().add(String, Map)), where the name of that body location is specified as the value of the "get-payload-location" action property.

The parameter Map itself can also be populated in one of two ways:

1. Option 1: With a set of Objects that are accessed (for SOA-P message parameters) using the OGNL²⁴ framework. There is more information about the use of OGNL below.

²³ http://www.soapui.org/

Option 2: With a set of String based key-value pairs(<String, Object>), where the key is an OGNL
expression identifying the SOA-P parameter to be populated with the key's value. There is more
information about the use of OGNL below.

As stated above, $OGNL^{25}$ is the mechanism we use for selecting the SOA-P parameter values to be injected into the SOA-P message from the supplied parameter Map. The OGNL expression for a specific parameter within the SOA-P message depends on the position of that parameter within the SOA-P body. In the following message:

Example 11.5. OGNL

The OGNL expression representing the **customerNumber** parameter is "customerOrder.header.customerNumber."

Once the OGNL expression has been calculated for a parameter, this class will check the supplied parameter map for an Object keyed off the full OGNL expression (Option 1 above). If no such parameter Object is present on the map, this class will then attempt to load the parameter by supplying the map and OGNL expression instances to the OGNL toolkit (Option 2 above). If this does not yield a value, this parameter location within the SOA-P message will remain blank.

Taking the sample message above and using the "Option 1" approach to populating the "customerNumber" requires an object instance (such as an "Order" object instance) to be set on the parameters map under the key "customerOrder". The "customerOrder" object instance needs to contain a "header" property (in other words, a "Header" object instance). The object instance behind the "header" property (via a "Header" object instance) should have a "customerNumber" property.

OGNL expressions associated with Collections are constructed in a slightly different way. This is easiest explained through an example:

²⁵ http://www.ognl.org/

```
<soapenv:Envelope xmlns:soapenv="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"</pre>
     xmlns:cus="http://schemas.active-endpoints.com/sample/
customerorder/2006/04/CustomerOrder.xsd"
     xmlns:stan="http://schemas.active-endpoints.com/sample/
standardtypes/2006/04/StandardTypes.xsd">
  <soapenv:Header/>
    <soapenv:Body>
      <cus:customerOrder>
        <cus:items>
          <cus:item>
            <cus:partNumber>FLT16100</cus:partNumber>
            <cus:description>Flat 16 feet 100 count</cus:description>
            <cus:guantity>50</cus:guantity>
            <cus:price>490.00</cus:price>
            <cus:extensionAmount>24500.00</cus:extensionAmount>
        </cus:item>
        <cus:item>
          <cus:partNumber>RND08065/cus:partNumber>
          <cus:description>Round 8 feet 65 count</cus:description>
          <cus:quantity>9</cus:quantity>
          <cus:price>178.00</cus:price>
          <cus:extensionAmount>7852.00</cus:extensionAmount>
        </cus:item>
      </cus:items>
    </cus:customerOrder>
  </soapenv:Body>
</soapenv:Envelope>
```

Example 11.6. OGNL Associated with a Collection

The above order message contains a collection of order "items". Each entry in the collection is represented by an "item" element. The OGNL expressions for the order item "partNumber" is constructed as "customerOrder.items[0].partnumber" and "customerOrder.items[1].partnumber". As you can see from this, the collection entry element (the "item" element) makes no explicit appearance in the OGNL expression. It is represented implicitly by the indexing notation. In terms of an Object Graph (Option 1 above), this could be represented by an Order object instance (keyed on the map as "customerOrder") containing an "items" list (List or array), with the list entries being "OrderItem" instances, which in turn contains "partNumber" etc properties.

Option Two (above) provides a "quick-and-dirty" way to populate a SOA-P message without having to create an Object model as per Option One. The OGNL expressions that correspond with the SOA-P operation parameters are exactly the same as for Option One, with the exception that there is no Object Graph Navigation involved. The OGNL expression is simply used as the key into the Map, with the corresponding key-value being the parameter.

To see the SOA-P message template as it is being constructed and populated, add the "dumpSOAP" parameter to the parameter Map. This can be a very useful developer aid, but should not be left switched on outside of development usage.

SOA-P Response Message Consumption

The SOA-P response object instance can be attached to the ESB Message instance in one of the following ways:

- 1. On the default body location (Message.getBody().add(Map))
- 2. On in a named body location (Message.getBody().add(String, Map)), where the name of that body location is specified as the value of the "set-payload-location" action property.

The response object instance can also be populated (from the SOA-P response) in one of three ways:

- 1. Option One: As an Object Graph created and populated by the XStream²⁶ toolkit²⁷.
- 2. Option Two: As a set of String based key-value pairs(<String, String>), where the key is an OGNL expression identifying the SOA-P response element and the value is a String representing the value from the SOA-P message.
- 3. Option Three: If Options One or Two are not specified in the action configuration, the raw SOA-P response message (String) is attached to the message.

Using *XStream*²⁸ as a mechanism for populating an Object Graph (Option One above) is straightforward and works well, as long as the XML and **Java** object models are in line with each other.

The **XStream** approach (Option One) is configured on the action as follows:

```
<action name="soapui-client-action"
 class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.soap.SOAPClient">
  RetailerService?wsdl"/>
  cproperty name="operation" value="GetOrder"/>
  cproperty name="get-payload-location" value="get-order-params" />
  roperty name="set-payload-location" value="get-order-response" />
  cproperty name="responseXStreamConfig">
     <alias name="customerOrder" class="com.acme.order.Order"</pre>
         namespace="http://schemas.acme.com/services/CustomerOrder.xsd" />
     <alias name="orderheader" class="com.acme.order.Header"</pre>
         namespace="http://schemas.acme.com/services/CustomerOrder.xsd" />
     <alias name="item" class="com.acme.order.OrderItem"</pre>
          namespace="http://schemas.acme.com/services/CustomerOrder.xsd" /
   </property>
</action>
```

Example 11.7. XStream

In the above example, there is also a demonstration of how to specify non-default named locations for the request parameters Map and response object instance.

²⁸ http://xstream.codehaus.org/

You will also find provided, in addition to the above **XStream** configuration options, the ability to specify field name mappings and **XStream** annotated classes.

Example 11.8. XStream Annotated

Field mappings can be used to map XML elements onto **Java** fields on those occasions when the local name of the element does not correspond to the field name in the **Java** class.

To have the SOA-P response data extracted into an OGNL keyed map (Option Two above) and attached to the ESB Message, simply replace the "responseXStreamConfig" property with the "responseAsOgnlMap" property having a value of "true" as follows:

Example 11.9. SOA-P Response Data Extract

To return the raw SOA-P message as a String (Option Three), simply omit both the "responseXStreamConfig" and "responseAsOgnlMap" properties.

11.8. Updated. HttpClient Configuration

The **SOAPClient** uses Apache Commons **HttpClient** to execute **SOAP** requests. It uses the **HttpClientFactory** to create and configure the **HttpClient** instance. Specifying the **HttpClientFactory** configuration on the **SOAPClient** is very easy. Just add an additional property to the "wsdl" property as follows:

```
<property name="wsdl"
  value="https://localhost:18443/active-bpel/services/RetailerCallback?
wsdl">
  <http-client-property name="file" value="/localhost-
https-18443.properties" ></http-client-property>
</property>
```

Example 11.10. Adding a "wsdl" Property

The "file" property value will be evaluated as a filesystem, classpath or URI-based resource (in that order).

The following is an example of this property set:

```
# Configurators
configurators=HttpProtocol, AuthBASIC

# HttpProtocol config...
protocol-socket-
factory=org.apache.commons.httpclient.contrib.ssl.EasySSLProtocolSocketFactory
keystore=/packages/jakarta-tomcat-5.0.28/conf/chap8.keystore
keystore-passw=xxxxxx
https.proxyHost=localhost
https.proxyPort=443

# AuthBASIC config...
auth-username=tomcat
auth-password=tomcat
authscope-host=localhost
authscope-port=18443
authscope-realm=ActiveBPEL security realm
```

Example 11.11. Configuring a "file" Property

Properties may also be set directly on the action configuration like so:

Example 11.12. Setting Properties on the Action Configuration

For more information about the configuration options available, please refer to the wiki page.

11.9. Updated. SOAPProxy

The intention of the WS Proxy is to analyse the consumption of an external Web Service endpoint, (whether it be one that is hosted on .NET, another external Java-based Application Server or LAMP), and re-publication of such an endpoint via the ESB. The ESB sits between the ultimate consumer/client (for instance, a .NET WinForm application) and the ultimate producer (which could be a RoR-hosted WS). The purpose of this intermediary is to provide an abstraction layer that provides a number of advantages:

- 1. It results in looser coupling between the client and service, making them mutually unaware of each other.
- 2. The client no longer has a direct connection to the remote service's hostname/IP address.

- The client will see modified WSDL which changes the inbound/outbound parameters. At a
 minimum, the WSDL must be tweaked so that the client is pointed to the ESB's exposed endpoint,
 instead of the original, now proxied, endpoint.
- 4. A transformation of the **SOA-P** envelope/body can be introduced via the **ESB** action chain both for the inbound reguest and outbound response (see **XsltAction** or **SmooksAction**.)
- 5. Service versioning is possible, since clients can connect to two or more proxy endpoints on the ESB, each of which can have its own WSDL and/or transformations and routing requirements. The ESB will be able to send the appropriate message to the appropriate endpoint and provide an ultimate response.

Other mechanisms for doing this are inappropriate or inadequate:

- 1. **SOAPClient** is used to invoke external web services, not to mirror them.
- 2. **SOAPProducer** only executes internally-deployed **JBoss WS** services.
- 3. **HttpRouter** requires too much manual configuration for easy proxying.
- 4. "message": A message prefix.
- 5. **EBWS** strips out the **SOA-P** Envelope and only passes along the body.

A SOAPProxy action:

- 1. Is both a producer and consumer of web services.
- 2. Only requires a property pointing to the external wsdl.
- 3. wsdl can be automatically transformed via the optional wsdlTransform property.
- 4. Understands that **SOA-P** is not tied to **http**. The **wsd1** is read, and if an **http** transport is defined, that will be used. Other transports (**jms**) will need future consideration.
- 5. If using **http**, any of the HttpRouter properties can also be applied optionally as overrides.

Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.soap.proxy.SOAPProxy
Properties	"wsd1" (required): The uniform resource locator of the target web service's wsdl.
	"wsdlTransform" (optional): A smooks-resource-list XML configuration file, allowing for flexible wsdl transformation.
	* (optional): Any of the HttpRouter properties can be applied.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.soap.proxy.SOAPProxy" name="wsproxy"> <pre></pre></action></pre>

11.10. Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous Action Processors.

SystemPrintIn

Simple action for printing out the contents of a message (ala System.out.println).

Will attempt to format the message contents as XML.

Input Type	java.lang.String
Class	org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.SystemPrintln
Properties	 "message": A message prefix. "printfull": If true then the entire message is printed, otherwise just the byte array and attachments. "outputstream": if true then System.out is used, otherwise System.err.
Sample Configuration	<pre><action class="org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.SystemPrintln" name="print-before"> <pre><pre>cproperty name="message" value="Message before action XXX" /> </pre></pre></action></pre>

Developing Custom Actions

To implement a custom Action Processor, simply use the org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.ActionPipelineProcessor interface.

This interface supports implementation of stateless actions that have a managed life-cycle. A single instance of a class implementing this interface is instantiated on a per-pipeline basis (in other words, per action configuration.) This means that you can cache the resources needed by the action in the initialise method, and clean them up in the destroy method.

The implementing class should process the message from within the process method implementation.

As a convenience, you should simple extend the

org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.AbstractActionPipelineProcessor:

Example 12.1. public class ActionXXXProcessor extends AbstractActionPipelineProcessor

12.1. Configuring Actions Using Properties

Actions generally act as templates that require external configuration to perform their tasks. For example, a **PrintMessage** action might take a property named 'message' to indicate what to print and a property repeatCount to indicate the number of times to print it. The action configuration in the **jboss-esb.xml** file might look like this:

```
<action name="PrintAMessage" class="test.PrintMessage">
  cproperty name="information" value="Hello World!" />
  </action>
```

Example 12.2. Hello World Example

The default method for loading property values in an action implementation is the use of a **ConfigTree** instance. The ConfigTree provides a DOM-like view of the action XML. By default, actions are expected to have a public constructor that takes a **ConfigTree** as a parameter. For example:

```
public class PrintMessage extends AbstractActionPipelineProcessor {
  private String information;
  private Integer repeatCount;
  public PrintMessage(ConfigTree config) {
    information = config.getAttribute("information");
    repeatCount = new Integer(config.getAttribute("repeatCount"));
  }
  public Message process(Message message) throws
  ActionProcessingException {
    for (int i=0; i < repeatCount; i++) {
        System.out.println(information);
    }
  }
}</pre>
```

Example 12.3. ConfigTree Example

Another approach to setting action properties is to add setters on the action that correspond to the property names and allow the framework to populate them automatically. In order to have the action bean auto-populated, the action class must implement the **org.jboss.soa.esb.actions.BeanConfiguredAction** marker interface. For example, the following class has the same behavior as the one above:

```
public class PrintMessage extends AbstractActionPipelineProcessor
  implements BeanConfiguredAction {
  private String information;
  private Integer repeatCount;
  public setInformation(String information) {
    this.information = information;
  }
  public setRepeatCount(Integer repeatCount) {
    this.repeatCount = repeatCount;
  }
  public Message process(Message message) {
    for (int i=0; i < repeatCount; i++) {
        System.out.println(information);
    }
  }
}</pre>
```

Example 12.4. Action Bean Auto-Populate



Note

The Integer parameter in setRepeatCount() is automatically converted from the String representation specified in the XML.

The BeanConfiguredAction method of loading properties is a good choice for actions that take simple arguments, while the ConfigTree method is a better option when you need to deal with the XML representation directly.

Connectors and Adapters

13.1. Introduction

Not all clients and services of JBossESB will be able to understand the protocols and Message formats it uses natively. As such, there is a need to be able to bridge between ESB-aware endpoints (those that understand JBossESB) and ESB-unaware endpoints (those that do not understand JBossESB). Such bridging technologies have existed for many years in a variety of distributed systems and are often referred to as Connectors, Gateways or Adapters.

One of the aims of JBossESB is to allow a wide variety of clients and services to interact. JBossESB does not require that all such clients and services be written using JBossESB or any ESB for that matter. There is an abstract notion of an Interoperability Bus within JBossESB, such that endpoints that may not be JBossESB-aware can still be "plugged into" the bus.



Note

In what follows, the terms "within the ESB" or "inside the ESB" refer to ESB-aware endpoints.

All JBossESB-aware clients and services communicate with one another using Messages, (these will be described later.) A Message is simply a standardized format for information exchange, containing a header, body (payload), attachments and other data. Additionally, all JBossESB-aware services are identified using Endpoint References (EPRs).

It is important for legacy interoperability scenarios that a SOA infrastructure such as JBossESB allow ESB-unaware clients to use ESB-aware services, or ESB-aware clients to use ESB-unaware services. The concept that JBossESB uses to facilitate this interoperability is through Gateways. A gateway is a service that can bridge between the ESB-aware and ESB-unaware worlds and translate to/from Message formats and to/from EPRs.

JBossESB currently supports Gateways and Connectors. In the following sections we shall examine both concepts and illustrate how they can be used.

13.2. The Gateway

Not all users of JBossESB will be ESB-aware. In order to facilitate those users interacting with services provided by the ESB, JBossESB has the concept of a "Gateway," which is a specialized server that can accept messages from non-ESB clients and services and route them to the required destination.

A Gateway is a specialized listener process, that behaves very similarly to an ESB-aware listener. There are some important differences however:

- Gateway classes can pick up arbitrary objects contained in files, JMS messages, SQL tables and so forth (each 'gateway class' is specialized for a specific transport), whereas JBossESB listeners can only process JBossESB normalized Messages as described in "The Message" section of this document. However, those Messages can contain arbitrary data.
- Only one action class is invoked to perform the 'message composing' action. ESB listeners are able to execute an action processing pipeline.

• Objects that are 'picked up' will be used to invoke a single 'composer class' (the action) that will return an ESB Message object. This will then be delivered to a target service that must be ESB-aware. The target service defined at configuration time, will be translated at runtime into an EPR (or a list of EPRs) by the Registry. The underlying concept is that the EPR returned by the Registry is analogous to the 'toEPR' contained in the header of ESB Messages but because incoming objects are 'ESB-unaware' and there is, thus, no dynamic way to determine the toEPR, this value is provided to the gateway at configuration time and included in all outgoing messages.

There are a few off-the-shelf composer classes; the default 'file' composer class will just package the file contents into the Message body; with the same process occurring for JMS messages. The default message composing class for an SQL table row is to package contents of all columns specified in the configuration, into a java.util.Map.

Although these default composer classes will be adequate for most uses, it is relatively straightforward for users to provide their own. The only requirements are that, firstly, they must have a constructor that takes a single ConfigTree argument, and, secondly, they must provide a 'Message composing' method (whereby the default name is 'process' but this can be configured differently in the 'process' attribute of the <action> element within the ConfigTree provided at constructor time.) The processing method must take a single argument of type Object, and return a Message value.

From JBossESB 4.5 onwards, the **FileGateway** accepts the **file-filter-class** configuration attribute which allows you to define a FileFilter implementation that may be used to select the files used by the gateway in question. Initialisation of user-defined **FileFilter** instances is performed by the gateway if the instance is also of type **org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.gateway.FileGatewayListener.FileFilterInit**, in which case the **init** method will be called and passed to the gateway **ConfigTree** instance.

By default, the following **FileFilter** implementations are defined and used by the **FileGateway**: if an input suffix is defined in the configuration then files matching that suffix will be returned; alternatively if there is no input suffix then any file is accepted as long as it does not match the work suffix, error suffix and post suffix.

13.2.1. This section has changed. Gateway Data Mappings

When a non-JBossESB message is received by a Gateway, it must be converted to a Message. How this is done and where in the Message the received data resides, depends upon the type of Gateway. How this conversion occurs depends upon the type of Gateway; the default conversion approach is described below:

JMS Gateway

If the input message is a JMS**TextMessage**, then the associated String will be placed in the default named Body location; if it is an ObjectMessage or a BytesMessage then the contents are placed within the *BytesBody.BYTES_LOCATION* named Body location.

Local File Gateway

The contents are placed within the <code>BytesBody.BYTES_LOCATION</code> named Body location.

Hibernate Gateway

The contents are placed within the *ListenerTagNames.HIBERNATE_OBJECT_DATA_TAG* named Body location.

Remote File Gateway

The contents are placed within the BytesBody. BYTES_LOCATION named Body location.



Note

With the introduction of the InVM transport, it is now possible to deploy services within the same address space (VM) as a gateway, improving the efficiency of gateway-to-listener interactions.

13.2.2. How to change the Gateway Data Mappings

If you want to change how this mapping occurs then it will depend upon the type of Gateway:

File Gateways

Instances of the org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.message.MessageComposer interface are responsible for performing the conversion. To change the default behavior, provide an appropriate implementation that defines your own compose and decompose methods. The new MessageComposer implementation should be provided in the configuration file using the composer-class attribute name.

JMS and Hibernate Gateways

These implementations use a reflective approach for defining composition classes. Provide your own Message composer class and use the composer-class attribute name in the configuration file to inform the Gateway which instance to use. You can use the composer-process attribute to inform the Gateway which operation of the class to call when it needs a Message; this method must take an Object and return a Message. If not specified, a default name of process is assumed.



Note

Whichever of the methods you use to redefine the Message composition, it is worth noting that you have complete control over what is in the Message and not just the Body. For example, if you want to define ReplyTo or FaultTo EPRs for the newly created Message, based on the original content, sender etc., then you should consider modifying the header too.

13.3. Connecting via JCA

You can use JCA Message Inflow as an ESB Gateway. This integration does not use MDBs, but rather ESB's lightweight inflow integration. To enable a gateway for a service, you must first implement an endpoint class. This class is a Java class that must implement the org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.jca.InflowGateway class:

```
public interface InflowGateway
{
   public void setServiceInvoker(ServiceInvoker invoker);
}
```

Example 13.1. public interface InflowGateway

The endpoint class must either have a default constructor, or a constructor that takes a ConfigTree parameter. This Java class must also implement the messaging type of the JCA adapter you are binding to. Here's a simple endpoint class example that hooks up to a JMS adapter:

```
public class JmsEndpoint implements InflowGateway, MessageListener
{
  private ServiceInvoker service;
  private PackageJmsMessageContents transformer = new
  PackageJmsMessageContents();
  public void setServiceInvoker(ServiceInvoker invoker)
    this.service = invoker;
  }
  public void onMessage(Message message)
  {
    try
    {
      org.jboss.soa.esb.message.Message esbMessage =
  transformer.process(message);
      service.postMessage(esbMessage);
   catch (Exception e)
      throw new RuntimeException(e);
    }
  }
```

Example 13.2. public class JmsEndpoint implements InflowGateway

One instance of the JmsEndpoint class will be created per gateway defined for this class. This is not like an MDB that is pooled. Only one instance of the class will service each and every incoming message, so you must write thread safe code.

At configuration time, the ESB creates a **ServiceInvoker** and invokes the setServiceInvoker method on the endpoint class. The ESB then activates the JCA endpoint and the endpoint class instance is ready to receive messages. In the JmsEndpoint example, the instance receives a JMS message and converts it to an ESB message type. It then uses the ServiceInvoker instance to invoke on the target service.



Note

The JMS Endpoint class is provided for you with the ESB distribution under org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.jca.JmsEndpoint It is quite possible that this class would be used over and over again with any JMS JCA inflow adapters.

13.3.1. Configuration

A JCA inflow gateway is configured in a jboss-esb.xml file. Here's an example:

```
<service category="HelloWorld_ActionESB"
   name="SimpleListener"
   description="Hello World">
   listeners>
        <jca-gateway name="JMS-JCA-Gateway"
        adapter="jms-ra.rar"
        endpointClass="org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.jca.JmsEndpoint">
        <activation-config>
        <property name="destinationType" value="javax.jms.Queue"/>
              <property name="destination" value="queue/esb_gateway_channel"/>
        </activation-config>
        </jca-gateway>
        ...
        </service>
```

Example 13.3. JCA InflowGateway

```
<service category="HelloWorld_ActionESB"
   name="SimpleListener"
   description="Hello World">
   listeners>
        <jca-gateway name="JMS-JCA-Gateway"
        adapter="jms-ra.rar"
        endpointClass="org.jboss.soa.esb.listeners.jca.JmsEndpoint">
        <activation-config>
        <property name="destinationType" value="javax.jms.Queue"/>
              <property name="destination" value="queue/esb_gateway_channel"/>
              </activation-config>
        </jca-gateway>
        ...
        </service>
```

Example 13.4. HellowWorld Action ESB

JCA gateways are defined in <jca-gateway> elements. These are the configurable attributes of this XML element.

Attribute	Required	Description
name	yes	The name of the gateway
adapter	yes	The name of the adapter you are using. In JBoss it is the file name of the RAR you deployed, e.g., jms-ra.rar
endpointClass	yes	The name of your endpoint class
messagingType	no	The message interface for the adapter. If you do not specify

Attribute	Required	Description
		one, ESB will guess based on the endpoint class.
transacted	no	Default to true. Whether or not you want to invoke the message within a JTA transaction.

Table 13.1. jca-gateway Configuration Attributes

You may also have as many cproperty> elements as you want within cjca-gateway>. This option is provided so that you can pass additional configuration to your endpoint class. You can read these through the ConfigTree passed to your constructor.

13.3.2. *Updated*. Mapping Standard Activation Properties

A number of ESB properties are automatically mapped onto the activation configuration using an **ActivationMapper**. The properties, their location and their purpose are described in the following table:

Attribute	Location	Description
maxThreads	jms-listener	The maximum number of messages which can be processed concurrently
dest-name	jms-message-filter	The JMS destination name.
dest-type	jms-message-filter	The JMS destination type, QUEUE or TOPIC
selector	jms-message-filter	The JMS message selector
providerAdapterJNDI	jms-jca-provider	The JNDI location of a Provider Adapter which can be used by the JCA inflow to access a remote JMS provider. This is a JBoss-specific interface, supported by the default JCA inflow adapter and may be used, if necessary, by other in-flow adapters.

Table 13.2. Mapping Standard Activation Properties

The mapping of these properties onto an activation specification can be overridden by specifying a class which implements the ActivationMapper interface and can be declared globally or within each ESB deployment configuration.

Specifying the **ActivationMapper** globally is done through the **jbossesb-properties.xml** file. It defines the default mapper used for the specified JCA adapter The name of the property to be configured is **org.jboss.soa.esb.jca.activation.mapper."adapter name"** and the value is the classname of the ActivationMapper.

The following snippet the configuration of the default ActivationMapper used to map the properties on the the activation specification for the JBoss JCA adapter, jms-ra.rar:

Example 13.5. Default Activation Manager

Specifying the **ActivationMapper** within the deployment will over-ride any global setting. The mapper can be specified within the listener, the bus or the provider with the precedence being the same order.

The following snippet shows an example specifying the mapper configuration within the listener configuration:

Example 13.6. Mapping Configurations

The following snippet shows an example specifying the mapper configuration within the bus configuration:

Example 13.7. Bus Configurations

The following snippet shows an example specifying the mapper configuration within the provider configuration.

Example 13.8. Provider Configurations

Appendix A. Writing JAXB Annotation Introduction Configurations

JAXB Annotation Introduction configurations are very easy to write. If you're already familiar with the JAXB Annotations, you'll have no problem writing a JAXB Annotation Introduction configuration.

The XSD for the configuration is available online at http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/ product/extras/jaxbintros/src/main/resources/jaxb-intros.xsd¹. In your IDE, register this XSD against the http://www.jboss.org/xsd/jaxb/intros namespace.

Only 3 annotations are currently supported:

@XmlType²

On the Class element.

@XmlElement³

On the Field and Method elements.

@XmlAttribute⁴

On the Field and Method elements.

The basic structure of the configuration file follows that of a Java class. In other words, a "Class" contains "Fields" and "Methods". The <Class>, <Field> and <Method> elements all require a "name" attribute for the name of the Class, Field or Method. The value of this name attribute supports regular expressions. This allows a single Annotation Introduction configuration to be targeted at more than one Class, Field or Member (for if you aresetting the namespace for all the fields in a Class or for all Classes in a package and so on.)

The Annotation Introduction configurations match exactly with the Annotation definitions themselves, with each annotation "element-value pair" represented by an attribute on the annotations introduction configuration. Use the XSD and your IDE to editing the configuration.

Here is an example:

¹ http://anonsvn.labs.jboss.com/labs/jbossesb/trunk/product/extras/jaxbintros/src/main/resources/jaxb-intros.xsd

² https://jaxb.dev.java.net/nonav/2.1.3/docs/api/javax/xml/bind/annotation/XmlType.html

³ https://jaxb.dev.java.net/nonav/2.1.3/docs/api/javax/xml/bind/annotation/XmlElement.html

⁴ https://jaxb.dev.java.net/nonav/2.1.3/docs/api/javax/xml/bind/annotation/XmlAttribute.html

Appendix B. Service Orientated Architecture Overview

JBossESB is a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) infrastructure. SOA represents a popular architectural paradigm for applications development. While the principles behind SOA have existed for many years and it does not necessarily require the use of web services, it is these that popularised it.

Web services implement capabilities that are available to other applications (or even other web services) via industry standard network and application interfaces and protocols. SOA advocates an approach in which one software component provides its functionality as a service that can be leveraged by others. Components (or services) thus represent reusable software building blocks.

SOA allows the integration of existing systems, applications and users into a flexible architecture that can easily accommodate changing needs. Integrated design, reuse of existing IT investments and, above all, industry standards are the elements needed to create a robust SOA.

As enterprises slowly emerge from the mad rush of cost reduction into a more stable period of cost management, many of them find themselves in unfamiliar territory. Prior to the economic slow down, most firms understood the options they had for IT investment. Many embarked on major package implementations (installing products such as Siebel, PeopleSoft and so on), while others built on the legacy systems they had trusted for years. Either way, most firms recognized the return promised and made the investment. Today, the appetite for such large investment is gone.

However, enterprises still need to make progress and keep ahead of the competition. SOA (and Web Services as a concrete implementation of those principles) make this possible. The result is dramatic improvements in collaboration between users, applications and technology components, generating significant value for any business creating competitive advantage.

Imagine a company that has existing software from a variety of different vendors, such as SAP and PeopleSoft. Some of these software packages may be used to conduct business with other companies (customers, suppliers, etc.) and therefore what the company would like to do is to take those existing systems and make them available to other firms, by exposing them as services. A service here is some software component with a stable, published interface that can be invoked by "clients" (which are other software components). So, requesting and executing services involves software components owned by one company talking to components owned by another company, in other words, business-to-business (B2B) transactions.

Conventional distributed system infrastructures (middleware) are not sufficient for these crossorganizational exchanges. For instance

- · You would need agreement between the parties involved on the middleware platform.
- · There is an implicit (and sometimes explicit) lack of trust between the parties involved.
- Business data is confidential and should only to be seen by the intended recipient.
- Many assumptions of conventional middleware are invalid in cross-organizational interactions.
 Transactions, for instance, last longer, possibly for hours or days, so conventional transaction protocols such as "two phase commit" are not applicable.

So, in B2B exchanges, the lack of standardization across middleware platforms makes point-to-point solutions costly to realize in practice. The Internet alleviated some of these problems by providing

standard interaction protocols (HTTP) and data formats (XML) but, by themselves, these standards are not enough to support application integration. They do not define interface definition languages, name and directory services, transaction protocols and so forth. It is the gap between that which the Web provides and what application integration requires that Web services are trying to fill.

However, whilst the ultimate goal of SOA is inter-company interactions, services do not need to be accessed using the Internet. They can be easily made available to clients residing on a local network. It is common for web services to be used in this context to provide integration between systems operating within a single company.

As demonstration of how web services can connect applications to each other both within and between companies, consider a stand-alone inventory system. If you do not connect it to anything else, it is not as valuable as it could otherwise be. The system can track inventory but not do much more. Inventory information may have to be entered separately in the accounting and customer relationship management systems. The inventory system may be unable to automatically place orders to suppliers. The benefits of such an inventory system are diminished by high overhead costs.

However, if you connect your inventory system to your accounting software with XML, it becomes more interesting. Now, whenever you buy or sell something, the implications for your inventory and your cash flow can be tracked in one step. If you go further, and connect your warehouse management system, customer ordering system, supplier ordering systems, and your shipping company with XML, suddenly that inventory management system is worth a lot. You can do end-to-end management of your business while dealing with each transaction only once, instead of over and over for every system it affects. This results in a lot less work and opportunities for errors. These connections can be made easily using Web services.

Businesses are "waking up" to the benefits of SOA. These include:

- opening the door to new business opportunities by making it easy to connect with partners;
- saving time and money by cutting software development time and consuming a service created by others:
- increasing revenue streams by easily making your own services available.

B.1. Why SOA?

The problem space can be categorized by past IT investments in the area of eProcurement, eSourcing, Supply Chain Management, Customer Relationship Management (CRM) and, indeed, Internet computing in general. All of these investments were made in a "silo." The decisions made in this space, (along with the incremental growth of these systems to meet short-term tactical requirements), hurt the long-term viability of the applications and infrastructure.

The three key drivers for implementing an SOA approach are:

Cost Reduction

Achieved by the ways in which services talk to each other. The direct cost effect is delivered through enhanced operations productivity, effective sourcing options and a significantly improved ability to shift ongoing costs to a variable model.

Delivering IT solutions faster and smarter

A standards-based approach will allow organizations to connect and share information and business processes much faster and easier than before. IT delivery productivity is markedly

improved through simplification of the developer's role by providing standard frameworks and interfaces. Delivery timescales have been drastically reduced by easing the integration load of individual functionality, and applying accelerated delivery techniques within the environment.

Maximizing return on investment

Implementation of Web Services opens the way for new business opportunities by enabling new organisational models. Web Services present the ability to measure value and discrete return much differently than traditional functional-benefit methods. Typical Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) models do not take into account the lifetime value generated by historical investment. This cost-centric view destroys many opportunities to exploit these past investments and most enterprises end up building redundancy into their architecture, not out of necessity but of perceived need. These same organizations focus the value proposition of their IT investment on a portfolio of applications, balanced by the overhead of infrastructure. An approach based on Web Services takes into account the lifetime contribution of legacy IT investment and promotes an evolution of these systems rather than a planned replacement.

SOA/Web Services fundamentally changes the way enterprise software is developed and deployed. SOA has evolved to the point where new applications will not be developed using monolithic approaches but, instead, become a virtualized on-demand execution model that breaks the current economic and technological bottleneck that has been caused by traditional approaches.

Software-as-a-service has become a pervasive model for forward-looking enterprises wishing to streamline operations, as it leads to lower cost of ownership and provides competitive differentiation in the marketplace. Using Web Services gives enterprises a viable opportunity to drive significant costs out of software acquisitions, react to rapidly changing market conditions and conduct transactions with business partners at will. Loosely coupled, standards-based architectures are one approach to distributed computing. They allow software resources available on the network to be leveraged. Applications that provide separate business processes, presentation rules, business rules and data access in separate, loosely-coupled layers will not only assist in the construction of better software but also make it more adaptable to future change.

SOA allows you to combining existing functions with new development efforts, resulting in composite applications. The re-use of existing functionality in this way reduces the overall project risk and delivery time-frame. It also improves the overall quality of the software.

Loose coupling helps preserve the future by allowing parts to be changed at their own pace without the risks linked to the costly which occur with monolithic approaches. SOA allows business users to focus on business problems at hand without worrying about technical constraints. SOA helps the individuals who develop the solutions, in the following manner:

- Business analysts can focus on higher-order responsibilities in the development life-cycle while increasing their own knowledge of the business domain.
- Parallel development is enabled by separating functionality into component-based services that can be tackled by multiple teams.
- Quality assurance and unit testing become more efficient; errors can be detected earlier in the development life-cycle.
- Development teams can deviate from initial requirements without incurring additional risk.
- Components within architecture can become reusable assets so that the business can avoid reinventing the wheel.

- The flexibility, future maintainability and ease of integration efforts is preserved by functional decomposition of services and their underlying components with respect to the business process
- Security rules are implemented at the service level. They can, therefore, solve many security considerations within the enterprise

B.2. Basics of SOA

Traditional distributed computing environments have been tightly coupled, in the sense that they do not deal with a changing environment at all well. For instance, if one application is interacting with another, how do they handle data types or data encoding if data formats in one system change? How are incompatible data-types handled?

The service-oriented architecture (SOA) consists of three roles: requester, provider, and broker.

Service Provider

A service provider allows access to services, creates a description of a service and publishes it to the service broker.

Service Requestor

A service requester is responsible for discovering a service by searching through the service descriptions given by the service broker. A requester is also responsible for binding to services provided by the service provider.

Service Broker

A service broker hosts a registry of service descriptions. It is responsible for linking a requestor to a service provider.

B.3. Advantages of SOA

SOA provide several significant benefits for distributed enterprise systems. Some of the most notable benefits include: interoperability, efficiency, and standardization. We will briefly explore each of these in this section.

B.3.1. Interoperability

Interoperability is the ability of software on different systems to communicate by sharing data and functionality. SOA and web services are as much about interoperability as they are about the Web and Internet scale computing. Most companies will have numerous business partners throughout the life of the company. Instead of writing a new addition to your applications every time you gain a new partner, you can write one interface using web service technologies like SOAP. So now your partners can dynamically find the services they need using UDDI and bind to them using SOAP. You can also extend the interoperability of your systems by implementing web services within your own network. With the addition of web services to your own systems, you can reduce the cost integration, increase communication and increase your customer base.

It is also important to note that the industry has even established the Web Services Interoperability Organization.

"The Web Services Interoperability Organization is an open industry effort chartered to promote Web Services interoperability across platforms, applications, and programming languages. The organization brings together a diverse community of Web services leaders to respond to customer needs by

providing guidance, recommended practices, and supporting resources for developing interoperable Web services." (www.ws-i.org)

The WS-I will actually determine whether a Web service conforms to WS-I standards as well as industry standards. In order to establish integrity and acceptance, companies will seek to build their Web services in compliance with the WS-I standards.

B.3.2. Efficiency

SOA will enable you to reuse your existing applications. Instead of creating totally new applications, you can create them using various combinations of services exposed by your existing applications. Developers can be more efficient because they can focus on learning industry standard technology. They will not have to spend a lot of time learning every new technology that arises. For a manager this means a reduction in the cost of buying new software and having to hire new developers with new skill sets. This approach will allow developers to meet changing business requirements and reduce the length of development cycles for projects. Overall, SOA provides for an increase in efficiency by allowing applications to be reused, decreasing the learning curve for developers and speeding up the total development process.

B.3.3. Standardization

For something to be a true standard, it must be accepted and used by the majority of the industry. One vendor or small group of vendors must not control the evolution of the technology or specification. Most if not all of the industry leaders are involved in the development of Web service specifications. Almost all businesses use the Internet and World Wide Web in one form or another. The underlying protocol for the WWW is of course HTTP. The foundation of Web services is built upon HTTP and XML. Although SOA does not mandate a particular implementation framework, interoperability is important and SOAP is one of the few protocols that all good SOA implementations can agree on.

B.3.4. Stateful and Stateless Services

Most proponents of Web Services agree that it is important that its architecture is as scalable and flexible as the Web. As a result, the current interaction pattern for Web Services is based on coarse-grained services or components. The architecture is deliberately not prescriptive about what happens behind service endpoints: Web Services are ultimately only concerned with the transfer of structured data between parties, plus any meta-level information to safeguard such transfers (e.g., by encrypting or digitally signing messages). This gives flexibility of implementation, allowing systems to adapt to changes in requirements, technology etc. without directly affecting users. Furthermore, most businesses will not want to expose their back-end implementation decisions and strategies to users for a variety of reasons.

In distributed systems such as CORBA, J2EE and DCOM, interactions are typically between stateful objects that reside within containers. In these architectures, objects are exposed as individually referenced entities, tied to specific containers and therefore often to specific machines. Because most web services applications are written using object-oriented languages, it is natural to think about extending that architecture to Web Services. Therefore a service exposes web services resources that represent specific states. The result is that such architectures produce tight coupling between clients and services, making it difficult for them to scale to the level of the World Wide Web.

Right now, there are two primary models for the session concept that are being defined by companies participating in defining Web services: the WS-Addressing EndpointReferences with ReferenceProperties/ReferenceParameters and the WS-Context explicit context structure. Both of

these models are supported within JBossESB. The WS-Addressing session model provides coupling between the web service endpoint information and the session data, which is analogous to object references in distributed object systems.

WS-Context provides a session model that is an evolution of the session models found in HTTP servers, transaction, and MOM systems. On the other hand, WS-Context allows a service client to more naturally bind the relationship to the service dynamically and temporarily. The client's communication channel to the service is not impacted by a specific session relationship.

This has important implications as we consider scaling Web services from internal deployments to general services offered on the Internet. The current interaction pattern for web services is based on "coarse-grained" services or components. The architecture is deliberately not prescriptive about what happens behind service endpoints: web services are ultimately only concerned with the transfer of structured data between parties, plus any meta-level information to safeguard such transfers (e.g., by encrypting or digitally signing messages). This gives flexibility of implementation, allowing systems to adapt to changes in requirements, technology etc. without directly affecting users. It also means that issues such as whether or not a service maintains state on behalf of users or their (temporally bounded) interactions, has been an implementation choice not typically exposed to users.

If a session-like model based on WS-Addressing were to be used when interacting with stateful services, then the tight coupling between state and service would impact on clients. As in other distribution environments where this model is used (e.g., CORBA or J2EE), the remote reference (address) that the client has to the service endpoint must be remembered by the client for subsequent invocations. If the client application interacts with multiple services within the same logical session, then it is often the case that the state of a service has relevance to the client only when used in conjunction with the associated states of the other services. This necessarily means that the client must remember each service reference and somehow associate them with a specific interaction; multiple interactions will obviously result in different reference sets that may be combined to represent each sessions.

For example, if there are N services used within the same application session, each maintaining m different states, the client application will have to maintain N*m reference endpoints. It is worth remembering that the initial service endpoint references will often be obtained from some bootstrap process such as UDDI. But in this model, these references are stateless and of no use beyond starting the application interactions. Subsequent visits to these sites that require access to specific states must use different references in the WS-Addressing model.

This obviously does not scale to an environment the size of the Web. However, an alternative approach is to use WS-Context and continue to embrace the inherently loosely-coupled nature of Web Services. As we have shown, each interaction with a set of services can be modeled as a session, and this in turn can be modeled as a WS-Context activity with an associated context. Whenever a client application interacts with a set of services within the same session, the context is propagated to the services and they map this context to the necessary states that the client interaction requires.

How this mapping occurs is an implementation specific choice that need not be exposed to the client. Furthermore, since each service within a specific session gets the same context, upon later revisiting these services and providing the same context again, the client application can be sure to return to a consistent set of states. So for the N services and m states in our previous example, the client need only maintain N endpoint references and as we mentioned earlier, typically these will be obtained from the bootstrap process anyway. Thus, this model scales much better.

B.4. JBossESB and its Relationship with SOA

SOA is more than technology; it does not come in a shrink-wrapped box and it requires changes to the way in which people work and interact as much as it does assistance from underlying infrastructures, such as JBossESB. With JBossESB 4.3 GA, Red Hat is providing a base SOA infrastructure upon which SOA applications can be developed. With the 4.2.1 release, most of the necessary hooks for SOA development are in place and Red Hat is working with its partners to ensure that their higher level platforms leverage these hooks appropriately. However, the baseline platform (JBossESB) will continue to evolve, with out-of-the-box improvements around tooling, runtime management, service life-cycle etc. In JBossESB 4.3 GA, it may be necessary for developers to leverage these hooks themselves, using low-level API and patterns.

Appendix C. Revision History

Revision 3.0 Fri 29 June 2009

David Le Sage dlesage@redhat.com

Revised for JBoss SOA Platform Release 5.0

Revision 1.0

Tue 9 Sep 2008

Darrin Mison dmison@redhat.com

Created