

Solutions to practice final.

1 a)

Calories: $400x_1 + 300x_2 + 500x_3 \leq 2000.$

Total # of calories

Calories from fat: 80 for Special L
 90 for " M
 200 for " N

so $80x_1 + 90x_2 + 200x_3 \leq$

$.35(400x_1 + 300x_2 + 500x_3)$

(can simplify to

$-60x_1 - 15x_2 + 25x_3 \leq 0.)$

b) Add dummy machine. Assigning job to dummy means not processed:

	Machines				Supplies
	1	2	3	4=dummy	
1	1	2	3	5	1
2	2	4	6	10	1
3	3	6	9	15	1
4	4	8	12	20	1
Demands	1	1	1	1	

2

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{a) } \quad \min \quad & 3y_1 + 6y_2 + 4y_3 \\
 & y_1 + 3y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 30 \\
 & -y_1 - 2y_2 \geq +1 \quad \leftarrow \\
 & 4y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \geq 20 \\
 & y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider market constr. For nonneg y_i ,
 LHS ≤ 0 , but RHS > 0 , so can't be
 satisfied. Infeas dual means primal infeas
 or unbded.

$$\text{b) Find } B^{-1}b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow x_4 \\ \leftarrow x_5 \\ \leftarrow x_1 \end{matrix}$$

So bf sol'n is $x = (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)^T$.
↑
basic

$$\text{c) Dual sol'n is } y = c_B B^{-1} =$$

$$[0, 0, 30] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} = (0, 0, 15).$$

d) Coeffs of x_1, x_2, x_3 (know that of $x_1 = 0$, so just a check) from $y A_j - c_j$:

$$j=1: (0, 0, 15) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - 30 = 30 - 30 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$j=2: (0, 0, 15) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 1 = 0 - 1 = -1.$$

$$j=3: (0, 0, 15) \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - 20 = 15 - 20 = -5.$$

So usual rule: most neg. ^{row} 0 coeff, so x_3 entering b.v.

$$\text{Compute pivot col: } B^{-1} A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix};$$

with RHS $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ min ratio test gives min $\frac{1}{3/2}$ in

row 1, so first basic var or x_4 leaving b.v.

(Note: if make pivot, next bf soln nondeg.)

e) Bland's rule: first neg row 0 coeff, so x_2 enters.

$$\text{Compute pivot col. } B^{-1} A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

All ≤ 0 , so no leaving variable. Indication

of unboundedness. (if want obj fn value > 1000 ,

have current value of 60 ($c_B B^{-1} b$), so want > 940

more; since -1 row 0 coeff indicates improvement per unit of entering x_2 , want $x_2 > 940$.

Say we set $x_2 = 1000$. Then from entries in

pivot col, x_4 becomes $1 + 1 \cdot 1000 = 1001$,

x_5 becomes $0 + 2 \cdot 1000 = 2000$, and x_1

becomes $2 + 0 \cdot 1000 = 2$. Get (not basic)

feasible sol'n $x = (2, 1000, 0, 1001, 2000, 0)^T$,

with obj fn value $60 + 1 \cdot 1000 = 1060$.)

(f) x_3 enters instead of x_4 , so new basic

vars x_3, x_5, x_1 in that order. Get elem

matrix $E = \begin{pmatrix} 2/7 & 0 & 0 \\ +1/7 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/7 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ from pivot col,

and

$$(B^{-1})_{\text{new}} = EB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/7 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/7 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/7 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cancel{2/7} & \cancel{0} & \cancel{1/3} \\ \cancel{1} & \cancel{1} & \cancel{-2} \\ \cancel{1/3} & \cancel{0} & \cancel{2/3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2/7 & 0 & -1/7 \\ 1/7 & 1 & -4/7 \\ -1/7 & 0 & 4/7 \end{pmatrix}$$

3.

	.		
a)	.		.
		.	.

From 1st supply

$$\text{Constr.}, x_{11} = 20$$

 demand

$$\text{---}, x_{21} = 30 - x_{11} = 10$$

From 2nd supply

$$\text{---}, x_{23} = 10 - x_{21} = 0$$

From 3rd demand

$$\text{---}, x_{33} = 30 - x_{23} = 30$$

From 3rd supply constraint,

$$x_{32} = 40 - x_{33} = 10$$

b) $u_3 = 0$. From x_{32} basic, $u_3 + v_2 = c_{32} = 4$, $v_2 = 4$

$$\text{--- } x_{33} \text{ basic, } v_3 = c_{33} - u_3 = 3$$

$$\text{--- } x_{23} \text{ basic, } u_2 = c_{23} - v_3 = 2$$

$$\text{--- } x_{21} \text{ ---, } v_1 = c_{21} - u_2 = 5$$

$$\text{--- } x_{11} \text{ ---, } u_1 = c_{11} - v_1 = -1.$$

c)

4) $-\theta$ 20	1) $+\theta$ -2	6) $+\theta$ +4
7) $+\theta$ 10	6) 0	5) $-\theta$ 0
6) $+\theta$ +1	4) $-\theta$ 10	3) $+\theta$ 30

$S_i \rightarrow u_i$'s

20 -1

10 2

40 0

①
basic
flows

$c_{ij} - u_i - v_j$

d_j 's 30 10 30

v_j 's 5 4 3

So x_{12} entering bv.

Flows change as indicated. So max $\theta = 0$,
 x_{12} becomes basic instead of x_{23} , but
 at level 0. New bf sol'n

20	0	
10		
	10	30

Since entering variable entered at level 0, cost
 did not change

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{a) } \max \quad & 5x_1 + 2x_2 + \mu \ln(3-2x_1) \\
 & + \mu \ln(2-x_2) + \mu \ln(7-3x_1-2x_2) \\
 & + \mu \ln x_1 + \mu \ln x_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) Gradient is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 5 - \frac{2\mu}{3-2x_1} - \frac{3\mu}{7-3x_1-2x_2} + \frac{\mu}{x_1} \\
 2 - \frac{\mu}{2-x_2} - \frac{2\mu}{7-3x_1-2x_2} + \frac{\mu}{x_2}
 \end{pmatrix}$$

With $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, gradient is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 5 - 2\mu - \frac{3\mu}{2} + \mu \\
 2 - \mu - \frac{2\mu}{2} + \mu
 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 5 - \frac{5}{2}\mu \\
 2 - \mu
 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\mu=2$. So have stationary pt at $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ when $\mu=2$. Since composite fn is (strictly) concave, have global maximizer.

c) From (b), have $x(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\mu=2$.

Then $s(\mu)$ is vector of slacks, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $y_i s_i = \mu + x_j t_j = \mu$ (all i, j) when on central path, get

$$y(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } t(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Can check this is feasible for dual problem.

$$d) \quad c^T x(\mu) = (5, 2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 7.$$

$$b^T y(\mu) = (3, 2, 7) \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 17, \text{ so}$$

$$\text{duality gap is } b^T y(\mu) - c^T x(\mu) = 17 - 7 = 10.$$

For points on central path, gap is $(m+n)\mu$.

$$\text{Here } (3+2) \cdot 2 = 10.$$

5.

a) Need to solve an $m \times m$ system of equations, $M \Delta y = v$, with M a nonsingular matrix (in fact symmetric, positive definite). Seems like systems $yB = c_B$ and $Bv = A_j$ to get dual sol'n + pivot column in simplex method, but in that case B changes by just one column each iter, so can easily update B^{-1} . Here M changes completely from one iteration to next, so need to solve from scratch.

b) y_i is a tentative price associated to the i th resource. (Can interpret revised simplex method as using these prices to determine entering variable. If $y_i < 0$, want to use less resource i , so can increase slack var. for res i ; if $y A_j < c_j$, then product j generates more profit than it costs in resources, so increase x_j .)

c) Obj fn value decreases or stays the same at each iter of the dual simplex method. It can stay the same if there is a zero row 0 coeff for a nonbasic var (dual degeneracy), + will stay the same if there is a neg coeff in the pivot row corres to such a 0 coeff in row 0.