Bugzilla ID: 1025095

Bugzilla Summary: OpenTrust: add new root CA certificates

CAs wishing to have their certificates included in Mozilla products must

- 1) Comply with the requirements of the Mozilla CA certificate policy (http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/)
- 2) Supply all of the information listed in http://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist.
 - a. Review the Recommended Practices at https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices
 - b. Review the Potentially Problematic Practices at https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices

General information about the CA's associated organization

CA Company Name	OpenTrust / Keynectis	
Website URL	http://www.opentrust.com/en/	
Organizational type	Private corporation.	
	The company is known as Keynectis, with a new branding OpenTrust. Also own Certplus brand.	
Primark Market /	Any type of customer (public or private corporations, associations).	
Customer Base	Focus is mainly in EMEA even if certificates can be used worldwide. This may be different in the future depending on	
	sales representatives that can be geographically based in other regions.	
	Types of certificates issued: Signature and authentication for users (S/MIME, signature of documents, SSL	
	authentication), SSL certificates for servers, VPN certificate, OCSP certificate, timestamping certificate, code signing	
	cert	
	See § 1.4.1.4 of the CP.	
Impact to Mozilla Users	Renew already registered root certificate (Certplus Class 2 expiring in 2019) with five different CA certificates for the	
	next 24 years based upon different characteristics:	
	- Two brandings: existing one "Certplus" and the new one "OpenTrust"	
	- Different technologies about keys and algorithms: RSA/ECC, SHA 256 / 512 / ECC	
Inclusion in other major	http://social.technet.microsoft.com/wiki/contents/articles/14218.windows-and-windows-phone-8-ssl-root-certificate-	
browsers	program-april-2012-h-t.aspx (Keynectis and CertPlus)	
	In the process of registering the new roots with other major browsers.	
CA Primary Point of	Erwann Abalea – erwann.abalea@opentrust.com - +33 1 55 64 22 07	
Contact (POC)	Remi Pifaut – remi.pifaut@opentrust.com - +33 1 55 64 22 18	
	CA Email Alias – rcprogram@opentrust.com	
	Switchboard phone number: +33 1 55 64 22 00	
	Customer service phone number: +33 1 55 64 22 33	
	Title / Department: ask for the customer service	

Technical information about each root certificate - Certplus Brand

Cert Name	Certplus Root CA G1	Certplus Root CA G2
Cert Issuer	CN = Certplus Root CA G1	CN = Certplus Root CA G2
Field	O = Certplus	0 = Certplus

	C = FR	C = FR
Certificate	This root certificate will replace the already included "Certplus	This root certificate will replace the already included "Certplus
Summary	Class 2", with our old brand name, and different crypto parameters	Class 2", with our old brand name, and different crypto
ounning y	(SHA512, RSA4096); certificates to be produced are TLS, Email,	parameters (SHA384, ECC); certificates to be produced are TLS,
	Code Signing.	Email, Code Signing.
Root Cert	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=8446784	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=8446790
URL	inteps.// bugamamoama.org/ utualiment.egi.nu or 10701	incepsity bug amainto amaior sy actual mentic sprint of 10770
SHA1	22:FD:D0:B7:FD:A2:4E:0D:AC:49:2C:A0:AC:A6:7B:6A:1F:E3:F7:66	4F:65:8E:1F:E9:06:D8:28:02:E9:54:47:41:C9:54:25:5D:69:CC:1A
Fingerprint		
Valid From	2014-05-26	2014-05-26
Valid To	2038-01-15	2038-01-15
Certificate	3	3
Version		
Certificate	SHA-512	ecdsa-with-SHA384
Signature		
Algorithm		
Signing key	4096	ECC, NIST P-384
parameters		
Test Website	https://certplusrootcag1-test.opentrust.com	https://certplusrootcag2-test.opentrust.com
URL	Error: Firefox can't find the server at certplusrootcag1-	Firefox can't find the server at certplusrootcag2-
	test.opentrust.com.	test.opentrust.com.
CRL URL	http://get-crl.certificat.com/public/certplusrootcag1.crl	http://get-crl.certificat.com/public/certplusrootcag2.crl
OCSP URL	http://get-ocsp.certificat.com/certplusrootcag1	http://get-ocsp.certificat.com/certplusrootcag2
	Maximum expiration time of OCSP responses	Maximum expiration time of OCSP responses
Requested	Websites (SSL/TLS)	Websites (SSL/TLS)
Trust Bits	Email (S/MIME)	Email (S/MIME)
	Code Signing	Code Signing
SSL	DV, OV, and EV	DV, OV, and EV
Validation		
Type		
EV Policy	1.3.6.1.4.1.22234.2.5.2.3.1	1.3.6.1.4.1.22234.2.5.2.3.1
OID(s)		
	If requesting EV:	If requesting EV:
	https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_Easy_Version	https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_Easy_Version
Non-	http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/Maintena	http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/Mainte
<mark>sequential</mark>	ncePolicy.html	nancePolicy.html
<mark>serial</mark>	"9. We expect CAs to maintain current best practices to prevent	"9. We expect CAs to maintain current best practices to prevent
numbers and	algorithm attacks against certificates. As such, the following steps	algorithm attacks against certificates. As such, the following
<mark>entropy in</mark>	will be taken:	steps will be taken:
<mark>cert</mark>	- all new end-entity certificates must contain at least 20 bits of	- all new end-entity certificates must contain at least 20 bits of

unpredictable r	andom data (preferably in the serial number)."	unpredictable random data (preferably in the serial number)."

Technical information about each root certificate - OpenTrust Brand

Technical inic	ormation about each root certificate - Open	i rust Brand	
Cert Name	OpenTrust Root CA G1	OpenTrust Root CA G2	OpenTrust Root CA G3
Cert Issuer	CN = OpenTrust Root CA G1	CN = OpenTrust Root CA G2	CN = OpenTrust Root CA G3
Field	0 = OpenTrust	0 = OpenTrust	0 = OpenTrust
	$C = F\hat{R}$	$C = F\hat{R}$	$C = F\hat{R}$
Certificate	This root certificate will replace the already	This root certificate will replace the	This root certificate will replace the
Summary	included "Certplus Class 2", with our new	already included "Certplus Class 2", with	already included "Certplus Class 2", with
	company name, and different crypto	our new company name, and different	our new company name, and different
	parameters (SHA256, RSA4096);	crypto parameters (SHA512, RSA4096);	crypto parameters (SHA384, ECC);
	certificates to be produced are TLS, Email,	certificates to be produced are TLS, Email,	certificates to be produced are TLS, Email,
	Code Signing.	Code Signing.	Code Signing.
Root Cert	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.c	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.c
URL	?id=8446791	gi?id=8446792	gi?id=8446793
SHA1	79:91:E8:34:F7:E2:EE:DD:08:95:01:52:E9:5	79:5F:88:60:C5:AB:7C:3D:92:E6:CB:F4:8D:	6E:26:64:F3:56:BF:34:55:BF:D1:93:3F:7C:
Fingerprint	5:2D:14:E9:58:D5:7E	E1:45:CD:11:EF:60:0B	01:DE:D8:13:DA:8A:A6
Valid From	2014-05-26	2014-05-26	2014-05-26
Valid To	2038-01-15	2038-01-15	2038-01-15
Certificate	3	3	3
Version			
Certificate	SHA-256	SHA-512	ecdsa-with-SHA384
Signature			
Algorithm			
Signing key	4096	4096	ECC, NIST P-384
parameters			
Test Website	https://opentrustrootcag1-	https://opentrustrootcag2-	https://opentrustrootcag3-
<mark>URL</mark>	test.opentrust.com	test.opentrust.com	test.opentrust.com
	Firefox can't find the server at	Firefox can't find the server at	Firefox can't find the server at
	opentrustrootcag1-test.opentrust.com.	opentrustrootcag2-test.opentrust.com.	opentrustrootcag3-test.opentrust.com.
CRL URL	http://get-	http://get-	http://get-
	crl.certificat.com/public/opentrustrootcag	<pre>crl.certificat.com/public/opentrustrootcag</pre>	<pre>crl.certificat.com/public/opentrustrootcag</pre>
	<u>1.crl</u>	<u>2.crl</u>	<u>3.crl</u>
OCSP URL	http://get-	http://get-	http://get-
	ocsp.certificat.com/opentrustrootcag1	ocsp.certificat.com/opentrustrootcag2	ocsp.certificat.com/opentrustrootcag3
	Maximum expiration time of OCSP	Maximum expiration time of OCSP	Maximum expiration time of OCSP
	responses	responses	responses established
Requested	Websites (SSL/TLS)	Websites (SSL/TLS)	Websites (SSL/TLS)

Trust Bits	Email (S/MIME)	Email (S/MIME)	Email (S/MIME)
	Code Signing	Code Signing	Code Signing
SSL	DV, OV, and EV	DV, OV, and EV	DV, OV, and EV
Validation			
Type			
EV Policy	1.3.6.1.4.1.22234.2.5.2.3.1	1.3.6.1.4.1.22234.2.5.2.3.1	1.3.6.1.4.1.22234.2.5.2.3.1
OID(s)			
	If requesting EV:	If requesting EV:	If requesting EV:
	https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_E	https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_	https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_
	asy_Version	Easy_Version	Easy_Version
Non-	http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/	http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security	http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security
<mark>sequential</mark>	certs/policy/MaintenancePolicy.html	/certs/policy/MaintenancePolicy.html	/certs/policy/MaintenancePolicy.html
<mark>serial</mark>	"9. We expect CAs to maintain current best	"9. We expect CAs to maintain current best	"9. We expect CAs to maintain current best
numbers and	practices to prevent algorithm attacks	practices to prevent algorithm attacks	practices to prevent algorithm attacks
<mark>entropy in</mark>	against certificates. As such, the following	against certificates. As such, the following	against certificates. As such, the following
<mark>cert</mark>	steps will be taken:	steps will be taken:	steps will be taken:
	- all new end-entity certificates must	- all new end-entity certificates must	 all new end-entity certificates must
	contain at least 20 bits of unpredictable	contain at least 20 bits of unpredictable	contain at least 20 bits of unpredictable
	random data (preferably in the serial	random data (preferably in the serial	random data (preferably in the serial
	number)."	number)."	number)."

CA Hierarchy information for each root certificate

CA Hierarchy	CA Hierarchy
	For each root CA, there have been one or two CAs created during key ceremony dated 26th of May 2014:
	• One existing EV SSL CA that has been cross certified with this new root CA (for EV SSL issuance). This CA is the one used to issue EV SSL certificates under the Certplus Class 2 already included within major browsers and OS
	For some of the root CAs, one CA dedicated to Adobe AATL program (for Adobe compliant PDF signing certificates)
	OpenTrust Root CA G1 issued:
	• EV CA: KEYNECTIS Extended Validation CA
	AATL CA: OpenTrust CA for AATL G1
	OpenTrust Root CA G2 issued:
	• EV CA: KEYNECTIS Extended Validation CA
	AATL CA: OpenTrust CA for AATL G2
	OpenTrust Root CA G3 issued:
	• EV CA: KEYNECTIS Extended Validation CA
	• AATL CA: OpenTrust CA for AATL G3

	Certplus Root CA G1 issued: • EV CA: KEYNECTIS Extended Validation CA Certplus Root CA G2 issued: • EV CA: KEYNECTIS Extended Validation CA
Externally	None.
Operated SubCAs	The CP does allow for external CAs.
Cross-Signing	One existing EV SSL CA that has been cross-certified with this new root CA (for EV SSL issuance).
	This CA is the one used to issue EV SSL certificates under the Certplus Class 2 already included within major browsers and OS.
Technical	CP section 1.1: "CAs signed by a RCA or an ICA shall be audited against ETSI standards (102 042 and/or 101 456) or WebTrust
Constraints on	(http://www.webtrust.org/item64428.aspx) or according to rules defined by [Adobe] for all types of Subscriber certificates it
Third-party Issuers	issues and in the certification path of the RCA. In case the CA issues SSL and / or email certificates, as an alternative to the above
	audits, this CA may be technically constrained in the CA certificate and audited by Opentrust."

Verification Policies and Practices

Policy Documentation	Document Repository: http://www.opentrust.com/en/certification-policy
	CP (English): http://www.opentrust.com/images/stories/DMS_RCA_Program_OpenTrust_CP_v_1_0s.pdf
	This CP applies to the new Certplus roots as well as the new OpenTrust roots.
	Publicly Disclosed intermediate certs for currently included root:
	https://www.opentrust.com/ca-certificate-program-opentrust/ca-certificate-program-opentrust.html
Audits	Audit Type: ETSI TS 102 042 OVCP
	Auditor: LSTI
	Audit Statement: http://www.lsti-certification.fr/images/liste_entreprise/ETSI.pdf
	Would you please ask LSTI to add an indicator for when the BR audit criteria is also used? E.g. DVCP-BR, OVCP-BR
	or such?
	Audit Type: WebTrust for EV
	Auditor: LSTI
	Audit Statement:
	https://www.opentrust.com/ca-certificate-program-opentrust/Ind_Aud_rep_LSTI_S.pdf (2014.01.23)
	Audit Type: Key Ceremony (2014.05.26)
	Auditor: LSTI
	Audit Statement: https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=8446826
	Our existing audit covering our current CA used for EV certificate issuance.

	This audit will include new CAs in its next version to be done by January 2015.
Baseline Requirements (SSL)	URL to BR audit statement: see above.
SSL Verification Procedures	CP section 1.1: [CAB Forum]: https://cabforum.org/ CP section 1.3.1: The CP and CPS shall be consistent with: and [ETSI 102 042] and [CAB Forum] according the level of trust selected for each type of Subscriber Certificate. It's still not clear to me exactly what steps are taken to verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the
35E vermeation i roccaures	domain name to be included in the certificate. I think there should be a description of this (in addition to referencing the various documents) in the CP/CPS, because there are different ways you can meet the requirements of the various documents.
	CP section 1.4.1.3: Subscriber Certificates for machine that are SSL/TLS certificates (Organization Validated, Domain Validated and EV SSL) shall be issued in accordance with the requirements of the [CAB Forum] requirements and according to requirements defined in [ETSI 102 042] (OV, DV and EV certificate level).
	As described in RCA CP section; 1.4, 4.1.2.3 and 8.1, CA signed under ICA or RCA SHALL be compliant [ETSI 102 042 DVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla, https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ and https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist]. PMA has a established an audit program as described in
	section 8.1 in order to check the compliance of CA against [ETSI 102 042 DVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla]. These CA will be checked by PMA as compliant in its CP and CPS and practice for the requirements mentioned above.
	As described in RCA CP section; 1.4, 4.1.2.3 and 8.1, CA signed under ICA or RCA SHALL be compliant [ETSI 102 042 EVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla, https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ and
	https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist]. PMA has a established an audit program as described in section 8.1 in order to chek the compliance of CA against [ETSI 102 042 EVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla]. These CA will be checked by PMA as compliant in its CP and CPS and practice for the requirements mentioned above.
Organization Verification Procedures	It's still not clear to me exactly what steps are taken to verify the organization and identity of the certificate subscriber. I think there should be a description of this (in addition to referencing the various documents) in the CP/CPS, because there are different ways you can meet the requirements of the various documents. As described in RCA CP section; 1.4, 4.1.2.3 and 8.1, CA signed under ICA or RCA SHALL be compliant [ETSI 102 042 OVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla, https://www.mozilla.org/en-
	US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ and https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist]. PMA has a established an audit program as described in section 8.1 in order to chek the compliance of CA against [ETSI 102 042 OVCP] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla]. These CA will be checked by PMA as compliant in its CP and CPS and practice for the requirements mentioned above.
Email Address Verification	It's still not clear to me exactly what steps are taken to verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the

Procedures	email address to be included in the certificate. I think there should be a description of this (in addition to referencing the various documents) in the CP/CPS, because there are different ways you can meet the requirements of the various documents. As described in RCA CP section; 1.4, 4.1.2.3 and 8.1, CA signed under ICA or RCA SHALL be compliant [ETSI 102 042] or [101 456] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla, https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ and https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist]. PMA has a established an audit program as described in
	section 8.1 in order to chek the compliance of CA against [ETSI 102 042] or [ETSI 101 456] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla]. These CA will be checked by PMA as compliant in its CP and CPS and practice
	for the requirements mentioned above.
Code Signing Subscriber Verification Procedures	It's still not clear to me exactly what steps are taken to verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. I think there should be a description of this (in addition to referencing the various documents) in the CP/CPS, because there are different ways you can meet the requirements of the various documents. As described in RCA CP section; 1.4, 4.1.2.3 and 8.1, CA signed under ICA or RCA SHALL be compliant [ETSI 102 042] or [101 456] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla, https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ and https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist]. PMA has a established an audit program as described in section 8.1 in order to chek the compliance of CA against [ETSI 102 042] or [ETSI 101 456] and all "CA:Information checklist" as described in [Mozilla]. These CA will be checked by PMA as compliant in its CP and CPS and practice
	for the requirements mentioned above.
Multi-factor Authentication	CP section 6.5.1
Network Security	CP section 6.7

Response to Mozilla's CA Recommended Practices (https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices)

response to Figure 9 of Recommended Tractices (recommended Tractices)		
Publicly Available CP and CPS	Yes. See above.	
<u>CA Hierarchy</u>	Yes. See above.	
Audit Criteria	See above	
Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS	<mark>???</mark>	
Revocation of Compromised Certificates	CP section 4.9.1.3	
Verifying Domain Name Ownership	??? – See above	
Verifying Email Address Control	??? – See above	
Verifying Identity of Code Signing Certificate	??? – See above	
<u>Subscriber</u>		
DNS names go in SAN	<mark>???</mark>	
Domain owned by a Natural Person	<mark>???</mark>	
<u>OCSP</u>	See above	

Response to Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices (https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices)

		 (<u> </u>)	
L	ong-lived DV certificates				

Wildcard DV SSL certificates	
Email Address Prefixes for DV Certs	If DV SSL certs, then list the acceptable email addresses that are used for verification.
Delegation of Domain / Email validation to	
third parties	
Issuing end entity certificates directly from	
<u>roots</u>	
Allowing external entities to operate	
subordinate CAs	
Distributing generated private keys in	
PKCS#12 files	
Certificates referencing hostnames or	
private IP addresses	
Issuing SSL Certificates for Internal Domains	
OCSP Responses signed by a certificate	
under a different root	
CRL with critical CIDP Extension	
Generic names for CAs	
Lack of Communication With End Users	
Backdating the notBefore date	