

# Mozilla - CA Program

Case Information			
Case Number	00000054	Case Record Type	CA Owner/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owners/Certificate Name	LAWtrust	Request Status	Need Information from CA

Additional Case Information	
Subject	LAWtrust Root Inclusion Request
Case Reason	

Bugzilla Information	
Link to Bugzilla Bug	<a href="https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1023726">https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1023726</a>

General information about CA's associated organization			
Company Website	<a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za">https://www.lawtrust.co.za</a>	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type		Verified?	Need Response From CA
Organizational Type (Others)	What type of organization is LAWtrust? e.g. a Private Corporation, Public Corporation, Government Agency?	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Primary Market / Customer Base	South Africa LAWtrust already provides end user certificates to the business banking customers of 3 of the four major banks in the country as well as the South African government employees. LAWtrust issues certificates to the general public and businesses.	Verified?	Verified
Impact to Mozilla Users	LAWtrust is the largest security integrator in South Africa with 13 years' experience in running a local trust centre and complying with the audit requirements and chaining requirements from VeriSign and Entrust. LAWtrust is implementing in-house and managed CA's for higher assurance and audited client certificates for digital signature and non-repudiation.	Verified?	Verified

Response to Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices		
Recommended Practices	<a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices</a>	Recommended Practices Statement
		I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.

**CA's Response to Recommended Practices**

LAWtrust has a Certificate Policy (internal document) and CPS's for the various CA's published in their repository accessible to relying parties and certificate users. LAWtrust revokes all compromised certificates as set out in their CPS's and RA Charters.

**Verified?** Need Clarification From CA

I don't understand this statement: "LAWtrust is verifying end user applicant's email addresses before the issuance of a certificate as per the CPS's and RA Charters."  
WHO verifies end-user certificate requests?

OCSP URI not in the AIA of the certificates.

**Response to Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices**

**Potentially Problematic Practices**

[https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic\\_Practices#Potentially\\_problematic\\_CA\\_practices](https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices)

**Problematic Practices Statement**

I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.

**CA's Response to Problematic Practices**

I don't understand what this means: "LAWtrust do not external entities to operate their own CAs as subordinate CAs under the LAWtrust Root Certification Authority 2048."  
My impression based on reading the CPS is that LAWtrust only issues intermediate certs to third parties, and it is the third parties who issue end-entity certs.

**Verified?** Need Clarification From CA

LAWtrust do not issue SSL certificates. When end users request SMIME certificates via the Entrust Entelligence Security Provider (ESP) software, the LAWtrust CA receives via secure channel and P12 a copy of the decryption private key for backup purposes. These keys are securely stored in the CA database and are recovered under the control of the end user. All other end user certificate key pairs are generated at the end user and are solely under the control of the certificate user.

**Root Case Record # 1**

**Root Case Information**

<b>Root Case No</b>	R00000071	<b>Case Number</b>	00000054
<b>Request Status</b>	Need Information from CA	<b>Root Certificate Name</b>	LAWtrust Root Certification Authority 2048

**Additional Root Case Information**

<b>Subject</b>	Include LAWtrust Root Certification Authority 2048 certificate
----------------	--

**Technical Information about Root Certificate**

<b>O From Issuer Field</b>	O = LAWtrust	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
----------------------------	--------------	------------------	----------

<b>OU From Issuer Field</b>	LAW Trusted Third Party Services PTY Ltd.	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Summary</b>	According to the CPS, it appears that LAWtrust does not issue end-entity certs. Rather, LAWtrust issues intermediate certs to external third-parties who then issue end-entity certs.  Please clarify CA hierarchy information below.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Clarification From CA
<b>Root Certificate Download URL</b>	<a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-ROOT-CA-CERTIFICATE.cer">https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-ROOT-CA-CERTIFICATE.cer</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Valid From</b>	2012 May 16	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Valid To</b>	2032 May 16	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Version</b>	3	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Signature Algorithm</b>	SHA-1	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Signing Key Parameters</b>	2048	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Test Website URL (SSL) or Example Cert</b>	Not requesting Websites trust bit, so do not need a test website. Please provide an example cert.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Clarification From CA
<b>CRL URL(s)</b>	<a href="http://aesigncrl.lawtrust.co.za/CRL/lawtrust_ca_root_za_crifile.crl">http://aesigncrl.lawtrust.co.za/CRL/lawtrust_ca_root_za_crifile.crl</a> <a href="http://aesigncrl.lawtrust.co.za/CRL/lawtrust_aesign_ca_crifile.crl">http://aesigncrl.lawtrust.co.za/CRL/lawtrust_aesign_ca_crifile.crl</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>OCSP URL(s)</b>	Not requesting Websites trust bit. So OK. No OCSP URI in the AIA of the intermediate cert. No OCSP URI in the AIA of the end-entity cert.	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Trust Bits</b>	Email	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>SSL Validation Type</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>EV Policy OID(s)</b>	Not requesting Websites trust bit.	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>EV Tested</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Root Stores Included In</b>	Microsoft	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Mozilla Applied Constraints</b>	None. Only requesting Email trust bit.	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified

### Digital Fingerprint Information

<b>SHA-1 Fingerprint</b>	33:5A:7F:F0:09:27:CF:2D:F2:78:E2:C9:19:2F:7A:4D:55:34:F8:0C	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>SHA-256 Fingerprint</b>	9B:14:E8:F5:F6:EA:16:76:66:E7:6D:CD:6B:EC:C1:90:86:1D:5E:89:70:B9:9A:94:70:F0:23:12:36:04:97:04	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified

### CA Hierarchy Information

<b>CA Hierarchy</b>	This root currently has two intermediate	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Clarification From CA
---------------------	--	------------------	----------------------------

certificates:  
 1) LAWtrust Certification Authority 2048  
 2) LAWtrust AeSign Certification Authority 2048

QUESTION: Are these owned and operated by LAWtrust?  
 Or does a third-party own and operate these subordinate CAs?

<b>Externally Operated SubCAs</b>	It appears that the LAWtrust CPS only explains the procedures for verifying and provisioning subordinate CA certificates. So, it appears that LAWtrust provisions subordinate CA certificates that are owned and operated by external third parties.	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
<b>Cross Signing</b>	Has this root been involved in cross-signing with any other root certificates?	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
<b>Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer</b>	What contractual and/or technical controls are in place for external subCAs and external RAs? What rules must external subCAs and RAs follow in regards to verifying and issuing end-entity certificates?	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA

### Verification Policies and Practices

<b>Policy Documentation</b>	Documents are in English	Verified?	Verified
<b>CA Document Repository</b>	<a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za/repository/">https://www.lawtrust.co.za/repository/</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CP</b>	CP Not Published	Verified?	Verified
<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CPS</b>	<a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-ROOT-CPS.pdf">https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-ROOT-CPS.pdf</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>Other Relevant Documents</b>	<a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-END-USER-LICENSE-AGREEMENT.pdf">https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-END-USER-LICENSE-AGREEMENT.pdf</a> <a href="https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-RELYING-PARTY-AGREEMENT.pdf">https://www.lawtrust.co.za/documents/LAWTRUST-RELYING-PARTY-AGREEMENT.pdf</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>Auditor Name</b>	KPMG	Verified?	Verified
<b>Auditor Website</b>	<a href="http://www.kpmg.com/ZA/en/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.kpmg.com/ZA/en/Pages/default.aspx</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>Auditor Qualifications</b>	<a href="http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitioners-international/item64419.aspx">http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitioners-international/item64419.aspx</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>Standard Audit</b>	<a href="https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1664&amp;file=pdf">https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1664&amp;file=pdf</a>	Verified?	Verified
<b>Standard Audit Type</b>	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
<b>Standard Audit Statement Date</b>	4/29/2014	Verified?	Verified
<b>BR Audit</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>BR Audit Type</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable

<b>BR Audit Statement Date</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>EV Audit</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>EV Audit Type</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>EV Audit Statement Date</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>BR Commitment to Comply</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>SSL Verification Procedures</b>	Not requesting the Websites trust bit.	Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>EV SSL Verification Procedures</b>		Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>Organization Verification Procedures</b>	<p>QUESTION: It appears that the CPS does not state how the identity/authority of the end-entity certificate subscriber must be verified. Where is this documented? What rules must the subCAs follow when verifying and issuing end-entity certificates?</p> <p>CPS section 3: "Before issuing a LAWtrust Subordinate CA Certificate the LAWtrust OA verify the information, purpose and/or attributes of an Applicant to be published in a LAWtrust Subordinate CA Certificate. This section of the CPS establishes the criteria for an acceptable application for a LAWtrust Subordinate CA Certificate.</p> <p>CPS section 4: LAWtrust will perform the certificate lifecycle operations and management for all LAWtrust Subordinate CA's...</p> <p>CPS section 4.2.1: If the verification of the information submitted to the LAWtrust OA is successful, then</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The LAWtrust OA shall schedule a key ceremony at the LAWtrust vault in the hosting facilities to establish the Subordinate CA;</li> <li>- Submit, during the key ceremony, a CSR from the Subordinate CA to the LAWtrust Root CA2048;</li> <li>- The LAWtrust Root CA will validate and sign the Subordinate CA CSR and issue the Subordinate CA Certificate;</li> <li>- Provide the Subordinate CA Certificate back to the Applicant for installation.</li> </ul> <p>After the issue of the LAWtrust Subordinate CA Certificate the LAWtrust Root CA 2048 will have no further obligation to perform any ongoing monitoring, investigation or verification of the information provided in the certificate application.</p>	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
<b>Email Address Verification Procedures</b>	<p>The LAWtrust CPS only describes verification procedures for the subordinate CA certificates. It does not state verification procedures for end-entity certificates.</p> <p>Please provide the information listed here:  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist#CA_Policies_about_Third-Party_Subordinate_CAs">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist#CA_Policies_about_Third-Party_Subordinate_CAs</a></p> <p>and please note:  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control</a>  The CA's public documentation needs to provide sufficient information describing how the email address is verified to be owned/controlled by the certificate subscriber.</p>	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA

**Code Signing  
Subscriber  
Verification Pro**

Not requesting the Code Signing trust bit.

**Verified?**

Not Applicable

**Multi-Factor  
Authentication**

All registration authority administrators (natural persons or systems) require a username, in combination with a digital certificate and password to unlock the private key of the certificate required to access the Entrust Registration Authority components to perform certificate lifecycle processes.

**Verified?**

Need Clarification From CA

QUESTION: How does the Entrust RA components impact this CA Hierarchy?

**Network Security**

CPS section 5.

**Verified?**

Need Clarification From CA

QUESTION: What about the externally-operated subCAs -- what requirements are placed on how they operate their intermediate certs?

### **Link to Publicly Disclosed and Audited subordinate CA Certificates**

**Publicly Disclosed  
& Audited subCAs**

Item #4 of [https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently\\_Asked\\_Questions](https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions)

**Verified?**

Verified