OBJECTIVE

- Provide more detailed information to editors
- Allow editors to receive stats on their individual performance.
- This could have the benefit of allowing editors to determine where they're contributing the most and if they can expand their help into other areas.
- Bug Report: https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=483833

FEATURES

| #	Feature	Description
1.1	Editor Performance Reports	Create reports that allow editors to receive stats on their individual performance
1.2	Graphs	Show chart-based data representation of editor activity

DETAILS

**1.1** Editor Report 1: Editor Performance Reports
- Available to a specific editor only
- Integrated into the AMO Editors tools menu
- Details which add-ons they've reviewed during the specified timeframe
  - o Allow them to enter a date range and see performance results for that timeframe
  - o Display add-on name, review status, date & time, category, type (Firefox, Thunderbird, etc.)
  - o Allow sorting by:
    - Add-on name
    - Date/time of review
    - Type of add-on reviewed (Firefox, Thunderbird, etc.)
    - Category of add-on
- Roll-up weekly totals at the bottom and compare to an average of the whole editorial team during that week
- Roll-up of MTD reviews and compare to an average of the whole editorial team during that month
- Roll-up of YTD reviews and compare to an average of the whole editorial team during that year
- The report should be available to AMO managers for review and allow for the selection of anyone on the editorial team. This could be done via a dropdown that lists all of the editors and is only available to a select number of people.

1.2 Editor Report 2: Graphs
- Graphs showing when they've been most active over a period of time
- Would like to see the following graphs:
  - o Graph 1:
    - Activity by months based the number of add-ons reviewed (line chart)
    - Activity average of the rest of the editors based on the same time period to allow the editor to compare against the team (line chart)

- o Category reviewed breakdown. For example, in Feb 09, 50% of the reviews were Appearance, 20% were Security, 5% were Toolbars, 25% were Music. Allow graph to be filtered down by month/year or year. (pie chart -2D)

- o Category reviewed breakdown based on average for the whole team. Same as above but based on team stats as a whole to allow editor to compare against team results. (pie chart -2D)

All Editors - March 2009

Legend:
- Alerts & Updates
- Bookmarks
- Download Management
- Feeds, News & Blogging
- Privacy & Security
- Photos, Music & Videos
- Search Tools
- Social & Communication
- Tabs

Pie chart values: 14%, 9%, 4%, 6%, 7%, 11%, 6%, 20%, 16%, 3%, 3%, 1%

- The report should be available to AMO managers for review and allow for the selection of anyone on the editorial team. This could be done via a dropdown that lists all of the editors and is only available to a select number of people.