Bugzilla ID: 474706 **Bugzilla Summary:** Root Inclusion for Japanese Government Application CA

CAs wishing to have their certificates included in Mozilla products must comply with the requirements of the Mozilla CA certificate policy (http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/) and must supply the information necessary to determine whether or not the policy's requirements have been satisfied, as per http://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist.

General Information	Data
CA Name	Japanese Government Public Key Infrastructure (GPKI)
Website URL (English version)	http://www.gpki.go.jp
	http://www.gpki.go.jp/apca/
	(Japanese only)
Organizational type. (E.g., whether the CA is	National Government
operated by a private or public corporation,	
government agency, academic institution or	
consortium, NGO, etc.)	
Primary market / customer base. (Which types of	In Japan, there are two root CAs, one is GPKI and the other one is LGPKI (Local
customers does the CA serve? Are there particular	government public Key Infrastructure). GPKI is controlled by the Ministry of
vertical market segments in which it operates? Does	Internal Affairs/Communications and National Information Security Center, and it
it focus its activities on a particular country or other	is separate from Local government sectors. The Japanese government decided to
geographic region?)	centralize to GPKI from each of the ministry's certification system and it has
	finished migration on Oct, 2008.

For Each Root CA whose certificate is to be included in Mozilla (or whose metadata is to be modified)

Info Needed	Data	Status / Notes
Certificate Name	ApplicationCA - Japanese Government	COMPLETE
	Note: No Common Name (CN) in certificate.	
	OU = ApplicationCA	
	O = Japanese Government	
Cert summary / comments	This root is operated by the national government of Japan. It issues server	COMPLETE
	certificates and code signing certificates to national government agencies. This root	
	issues end-entity certificates directly, and does not have any subordinate CAs.	
The root CA certificate URL	http://www.gpki.go.jp/apcaself/APCAroot.der	COMPLETE
Download into FireFox and verify		

SHA-1 fingerprint.	7F:8A:B0:CF:D0:51:87:6A:66:F3:36:0F:47:C8:8D:8C:D3:35:FC:74	COMPLETE
Valid from	2007-12-12	COMPLETE
Valid to	2017-12-12	COMPLETE
Cert Version	3	COMPLETE
Modulus length / key length	2048	COMPLETE
CRL	http://dir.gpki.go.jp/ApplicationCA.crl	COMPLETE
• URL		
• update frequency for end-entity certificates	CPS Section 4.9.7:	
	The CRL of 48-hour validity period is issued at intervals of 24 hours. However, if an	
	event such as occurrence of a CA private key compromise state, the CRL is issued	
	immediately.	
OCSP (if applicable)	None	COMPLETE
OCSP Responder URL		
• Max time until OCSP responders updated to		
reflect end-entity revocation		
List or description of subordinate CAs operated	No subordinate CAs	COMPLETE
by the CA organization associated with the root		
CA. (For example, this might include subordinate		This root CA issues end-entity certs
CAs created to issue different classes or types of		directly.
end entity certificates: Class 1 vs. class 2		
certificates, qualified vs. non-qualified		
certificates, EV certificates vs. non-EV		
certificates, SSL certificates vs. email certificates,		
and so on.)		
For internally-operated subordinate CAs the key		
is to confirm that their operation is addressed by		
the relevant CPS, and that any audit covers them		
as well as the root.		
For subordinate CAs operated by third parties, if	None	COMPLETE
any:		
General description of the types of		
third-party subordinates that exist, and what the		
general legal/technical arrangements are by which		
those subordinates are authorized, controlled, and		

audited.		
List any other root CAs that have issued cross-	None	COMPLETE
signing certificates for this root CA		
Requested Trust Bits	Websites	COMPLETE
One or more of:		
Websites (SSL/TLS)		
• Email (S/MIME)	From email on 1/22/09	
Code (Code Signing)	>> Does GPKI also want its certificates to be recognized by Mozilla for	
	>> code signing? Code signing is mentioned in the CPS/CP document.	
	> My understanding is "No, it doesn't ". The team expects just implementation	
	> of the application root into next Firefox version. But I'll ask it to the	
	> counterpart again.	
	OK. Kathleen, for now let's mark this request as for SSL only,	
	until/unless we hear something different.	
If SSL certificates are issued within the hierarchy	OV	COMPLETE
rooted at this root CA certificate:		
• Whether or not the domain name referenced	CPS 3.2.2 Authentication of organization identity	
in the certificate is verified to be	As for the application procedure of a Server certificate and code-signing certificate,	
owned/controlled by the certificate	the LRA shall confirm the authenticity of the organization to which the subscriber	
subscriber. (This is commonly referred to as	belongs according to a prescribed procedure.	
a DV certificate.)		
• Whether or not the value of the Organization	CPS 3.2.3 Authentication of individual identity	
attribute is verified to be that associated with	As for the application procedure of a Server certificate and code-signing certificate,	
the certificate subscriber in addition to	the LRA shall confirm the authenticity of the subscriber according to a prescribed	
verifying the domain name. (This is	procedure.	
commonly referred to as an OV certificate.)		
Example certificate(s) issued within the hierarchy	https://www.gpki.go.jp/selfcert/finger_print.html	COMPLETE
rooted at this root, including the full certificate		
chain(s) where applicable.		
• For SSL certificates this should also include		
URLs of one or more web servers using the		
certificate(s).		
• There should be at least one example		
certificate for each of the major types of		
certificates issued, e.g., email vs. SSL vs.		
code signing, or EV vs. OS vs. DV.		

• Note: mainly interested in SSL, so OK if no email example.		
CP/CPS	CP/CPS for Japanese Government Public Key Infrastructure (GPKI)	COMPLETE
Certificate Policy URL		
• Certificate Practice Statement(s) (CPS) URL	In Japanese: <u>http://www.gpki.go.jp/apca/cpcps/index.html</u>	
(English or available in English translation)	In English: <u>https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=358078</u>	
AUDIT: The published document(s) relating to	Audit Type: WebTrust for CA	COMPLETE
independent audit(s) of the root CA and any CAs	Auditor: Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu	
within the hierarchy rooted at the root. (For	Auditor Website URL: <u>http://www.deloitte.com/jp</u>	
example, for WebTrust for CAs audits this	Audit Document URL(s): <u>https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=812&file=pdf</u>	
would be the "audit report and management		
assertions" document available from the	Japanese only, but the report follows the standard WebTrust format. Reviewed using	
webtrust.org site or elsewhere.)	Google Translate. No issues noted in report.	
	Audit Report Date: 10/22/2008	

Review CPS sections dealing with subscriber verification

(section 7 of http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/)

- Verify domain check for SSL
 - As per section 7 of http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/ I need to find text in the CP/CPS that demonstrates that reasonable measures are taken to verify that the domain name is owned/controlled by the subscriber. I was not able to find this.
- Verify the email account associated with the email address in the cert is owned by the subscriber. In addition to verification of subscriber's legal identity.
 - Not applicable, not enabling email trust bit.
- Verify identity info in code signing certs is that of subscriber
 - Not applicable, not enabling code signing trust bit.
- Make sure it's clear which checks are done for which context (cert usage)

Flag Problematic Practices

Please review the potentially problematic practices described at http://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices. If any of these are relevant, provide further information.

- <u>1.1</u> Long-lived DV certificates
 - The SSL certs are OV.

- Note: CPS section 1.4.1: "Server certificates shall remain valid for three years from the date on which they take effect."
- <u>1.2</u> Wildcard DV SSL certificates
 - The SSL certs are OV.
- <u>1.3</u> Issuing end entity certificates directly from roots
 - Yes. This root issues end entity certificates directly, and not through a subordinate CA.
- <u>1.4</u> Allowing external entities to operate unconstrained subordinate CAs
 - No sub-CAs
- <u>1.5</u> Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files
 - No, CPS section 6.1.1: "certificate key pairs shall be generated by a subscriber."
 - CPS section 6.1.2: "The IA and RA do not deliver a private key to the subscriber."
- <u>1.6</u> Certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses

 Not found.
- <u>1.7</u> OCSP Responses signed by a certificate under a different root
 - o No OCSP
- <u>1.8</u> CRL with critical CIDP Extension
 - CRL downloaded into Firefox successfully.

Verify Audits (COMPLETE)

(Sections 8, 9, and 10 of http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/certs/policy/)

- Validate contact info in report, call to verify that they did indeed issue this report.
 O Posted on cert.webtrust.org
- For EV CA's, verify current WebTrust EV Audit done.
 - o Not EV

•

- Review Audit to flag any issues noted in the report
 - o No issues noted in report.