

# WISeKey SA

---

*OISTE WISeKey Global Root GB*

**Important Notice:** *WISeKey SA is already included in Mozilla program for CAs for two Root CAs, named as “OISTE WISeKey Global Root GA CA” and “OISTE WISeKey Global Root GB CA”. The object of this request is the inclusion of a new “Generation C” root CA, named as “OISTE WISeKey Global Root GC CA”, being the only representative differences the use of ECC algorithms in the full certification chain. Thus, previous compliance with “Mozilla CA Certificate Policy” is maintained or improved.*

**CONTENTS:**

General information about the CA’s associated organization .....2

Technical information about each root certificate.....3

CA Hierarchy information for each root certificate .....4

Verification Policies and Practices .....6

Response to Mozilla's CA Recommended Practices .....8

Response to Mozilla’s list of Potentially Problematic Practices ..... 10

## General information about the CA's associated organization

<b>CA Company Name</b>	WIS@key SA
<b>Website URL</b>	<a href="https://www.wisekey.com">https://www.wisekey.com</a>
<b>Organizational type</b>	Private organization
<b>Primary Market / Customer Base</b>	WIS@key provides worldwide eSecurity services based or related to electronic identities and digital certificates. There's no focus on a particular region or customer profile.
<b>Impact to Mozilla users</b>	<p>WIS@key's portfolio includes the commercialization of SSL and personal certificates. Our previous Root CAs (referred to as GA and GB, for "Generation A" and "Generation B" respectively), already included in Mozilla's product, allows Mozilla's users to benefit the typical uses of trusted certificates (secure web browsing, secure eMail, better authentication...).</p> <p>The new Root CA (referred to as GC for "Generation C"), and the object of this request, aims specifically to its use in "Internet of Things" (IoT) environments, that require the use of ECC algorithms for the full certification chain.</p> <p>Thanks to the inclusion of this new Root, WIS@key will be able to deploy trusted digital identities to connected devices, enhancing the security of IoT projects. The main uses of these certificates would be for client authentication, digital signature and data encryption. We need to enable also the SSL and S/MIME trust bits for this root to ensure that, when needed, a browser can also securely connect to a device featuring a web interface (i.e. management console of a device), and also to enable secure email messages sent by these intelligent objects (i.e. sending authenticated critical messages).</p> <p>The reason of requesting the inclusion of this new root is to enable a full certification chain using only ECC algorithms. Most IoT deployments, due to the computing and memory size constraints, only support these algorithms, which allow higher security levels with smaller key lengths.</p> <p>Please note that WIS@key doesn't aim to issue commercial "general purpose" SSL certificates under this Root. The main focus for this Root is currently IoT.</p>
<b>Inclusion in other major browsers</b>	<p>The existing Root for the GA and GB of our PKI (OISTE WIS@key Global Root Gx CA) are already included by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mozilla (<a href="https://ccadb-public.secure.force.com/mozilla/IncludedCACertificateReport">https://ccadb-public.secure.force.com/mozilla/IncludedCACertificateReport</a>)</li> <li>• Microsoft (<a href="https://social.technet.microsoft.com/wiki/contents/articles/31634.microsoft-trusted-root-certificate-program-participants.aspx#Participants_list">https://social.technet.microsoft.com/wiki/contents/articles/31634.microsoft-trusted-root-certificate-program-participants.aspx#Participants_list</a>)</li> <li>• Apple (<a href="https://support.apple.com/es-es/HT208125">https://support.apple.com/es-es/HT208125</a>)</li> <li>• ... and others which rely directly in Mozilla's or Microsoft programs-</li> </ul>

<b>CA Primary Point of Contact (POC)</b>	WIS@key SA – Pedro Fuentes (CSO) POC Email address – Preferred: <a href="mailto:cps@wisekey.com">cps@wisekey.com</a> ; Direct: pfuentes@wisekey.com Phone: +41 22 594 30 00 Post Address: WTC II, 29 route de Pré-Bois, CP 853, CH-1215 Geneva 15, Switzerland
--	--

## Technical information about each root certificate

*Important Notice: only specifying here the new root certificate object of this request. Information already registered for the “Generation A” and “Generation B” root CAs (OISTE WIS@key Global Root GA/GB CA) must be kept as already recorded by Mozilla.*

<b>Certificate Name</b>	OISTE WIS@key Global Root GC CA
<b>Certificate Issuer Field</b>	CN = OISTE WIS@key Global Root GC CA OU = OISTE Foundation Endorsed O = WIS@key C = CH
<b>Certificate Summary</b>	Root Certification Authority. This is the first level Certification Authority; its role is to establish the Root of the Trust Model, or <b>OWGTM</b> , as often referred by WIS@key in its CPS. This Certification Authority does not issue certificates for end entities, but only for the Intermediary Certification Authorities (as described in the CPS). The certificates of WIS@key’s Root Certification Authorities are self-signed and currently the <b>OWGTM</b> maintains three Root Certification Authorities, in order to provide support for three parallel hierarchies: The already included “Generation A” (SHA-1) and “Generation B” (SHA-256), and the new “Generation C”, which implements ECC algorithms. Under the Root CAs, WIS@key deploys the “Issuing CAs” and the required OCSP/CRL services.
<b>Root Cert URL</b>	<a href="http://public.wisekey.com/crt/owgrgc.crt">http://public.wisekey.com/crt/owgrgc.crt</a>
<b>SHA-1 Fingerprint</b>	E0 11 84 5E 34 DE BE 88 81 B9 9C F6 16 26 D1 96 1F C3 B9 31
<b>Valid from</b>	9-May-2017
<b>Valid to</b>	9-May-2042
<b>Certificate version</b>	3
<b>Certificate signature algorithm</b>	ECDSA with SHA-384
<b>Signing key parameters</b>	ECC NIST P-384, 384 bits

<b>Test Website URL (SSL)</b>	Valid: <a href="https://gcvalidssl.hightrusted.com">https://gcvalidssl.hightrusted.com</a> Expired: <a href="https://gcexpiredssl.hightrusted.com">https://gcexpiredssl.hightrusted.com</a> Revoked: <a href="https://gcrevokedssl.hightrusted.com">https://gcrevokedssl.hightrusted.com</a>
<b>Example Certificate (non-SSL)</b>	Not available
<b>CRL URL</b>	Root CA: <a href="http://public.wisekey.com/crl/owgrgc.crl">http://public.wisekey.com/crl/owgrgc.crl</a> Issuing CA: <a href="http://public.wisekey.com/crl/wcidgcas1.crl">http://public.wisekey.com/crl/wcidgcas1.crl</a> Issuance frequencies as specified in the CPS
<b>OCSP URL</b>	<a href="http://ocsp.wisekey.com">http://ocsp.wisekey.com</a>
<b>Request Trust Bits</b>	Websites (SSL/TLS) Email (S/MIME)
<b>SSL Validation Type</b>	DV (Not yet issued, but supported by the CPS) OV (As currently done in the “Generation A” and “Generation B” hierarchies)
<b>EV Policy OID(s)</b>	N/A

### CA Hierarchy information for each root certificate

<b>CA Hierarchy</b>	<p>The following list represents the current hierarchy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CN=OISTE WIS@key Global Root GC CA, OU=OISTE Foundation Endorsed, O=WIS@key, C=CH Thumbprint: e8 11 84 5 e34 de be88 81 b9 9c f6 16 16 26 d1 96 1f c3 b9 31 Valid From :9 th May2017 Valid To :9 th May2042</li> <li>• Issuing CAs             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ WIS@key CertifyID Advanced GC CA 1 Thumbprint: bb f9 b6 91 8b 63 e4 b4 13 36 69 0f d6 92 21 3c 19 5f 39 40 Valid From: June 19, 2017 Valid To :May 9 ,2042</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Complete description of all the WIS@key hierarchies is available in our CPS (“<b>1.3.1. Certification authorities</b>”).</p>
<b>Externally Operated SubCAs</b>	At this moment, there aren’t externally operated SubCAs under the new “Generation C” root, but this is supported as stipulated in our CPS, using a “Name constraint” and/or “EKU constraint” approach.
<b>Cross-Signing</b>	Not supported

<b>Technical Constraints on Third-party Issuers</b>	As stipulated in our CPS... <b>7.1.5 Name constraints</b> <i>Issuing Certification Authorities not operated by WIS@key will be constrained for the issuance of certificates under a set of predefined and agreed names (domain names, e-mail suffixes or other name components). For exceptional cases where these constraints aren't applied, these CAs will be included in the external audit for compliance assurance against any applicable requirement (including Baseline and Extended Validation Requirements from the CA/Browser Forum). Domain name constraints can be also applied when using the MPKI RA Interface for Certificate Requests for corporations having access to a dedicated Registration Authority.</i>
---	--

### Verification Policies and Practices

<p><b>Policy Documentation</b></p>	<p>Language(s) that the documents are in: ENGLISH          All documents available at <a href="http://www.wisekey.com/repository">http://www.wisekey.com/repository</a>          Direct links:          CP &amp; CPS: <a href="https://cdn.wisekey.com/uploads/images/WKPKI.DE001-OWGTM-PKI-CPS.v2.8-CLEAN1.pdf">https://cdn.wisekey.com/uploads/images/WKPKI.DE001-OWGTM-PKI-CPS.v2.8-CLEAN1.pdf</a>          Relying Party Agreement: <a href="https://www.wisekey.com/Repository/Documents/Relying-Party-Agreement-1.0-wk-signed.pdf">https://www.wisekey.com/Repository/Documents/Relying-Party-Agreement-1.0-wk-signed.pdf</a></p>
<p><b>Audits</b></p>	<p><b>Audit Type:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities 2.0</li> <li>• WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities – SSL Baseline with Network Security</li> </ul> <p><b>Auditor:</b> Auren  <b>Auditor Website:</b> <a href="http://www.auren.com/en-ES">http://www.auren.com/en-ES</a>  <b>URL to Audit Report and Management’s Assertions:</b> available at <a href="http://www.wisekey.com/repository">http://www.wisekey.com/repository</a> (also attached separately to this inclusion request)</p>
<p><b>Baseline Requirements (SSL)</b></p>	<p>Compliance with Baseline Requirements is stated explicitly in several sections of our CPS, and it’s been reviewed and validated by the auditor, as part of their report linked in the above row of this table.          In particular, a first statement can be found in section 1.7 of WIS@Key’s CPS (<b>1.7. Statement Compliance with CA/Browser Forum requirements</b>).</p>
<p><b>SSL Verification Procedures</b></p>	<p>This information is available in our CPS. Relevant sections are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>3. Identification and Authentication</b> (pages 19 to 21)</li> <li>• <b>12. Annex C: Identity Validation Policies</b> (pages 71 to 75)</li> </ul> <p>The verification procedures for SSL certificates have been audited, as included in the reports linked above.</p>
<p><b>Organization Verification Procedures</b></p>	<p>In particular to the above-said, please refer to section “<b>12.2.2. Corporate and Server Certificates</b>” in our CPS.          Please note that currently all SSL certificates issued by WIS@Key include the verification of the organization. Our CPS supports the future issuance of Domain-validated certificates, although this is not practiced yet.</p>

<p><b>Email Address Verification Procedures</b></p>	<p>WIS@key CertifyID Personal certificates enforce the validation of Email addresses using different procedures, as stipulated in section “<b>12.2.1. Personal Certificates</b>”. In particular, any enrollment for a CertifyID Account requires a bounce-back Email verification before entitling the subscriber to send a remote (non face-to-face) certificate request. The process can be experienced at <a href="https://www.certifyid.com">https://www.certifyid.com</a></p> <p>The verification procedures for S/MIME-capable certificates have been audited, as included in the reports linked above.</p>
<p><b>Code Signing Subscriber Verification Procedures</b></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><b>Multi-Factor Authentication</b></p>	<p>Enrollment officers must log-in in the RA interface using strong authentication based on a digital certificate with the profile “CertifyID URA Admin Certificate”. For this certificate profile, WIS@key makes mandatory the use of a cryptographic hardware device (USB Token or Smartcard) to generate and use the private keys linked to the administrator certificate, except if the administrator is only entitled to generate certificates for a set of pre-authorized domains, being admissible in these cases the use of a software-based client certificate.</p>
<p><b>Network Security</b></p>	<p>The Audit reports covering both the existing hierarchy and the new “Generation C” object of this request include the Maintain network security controls published by the CA/Browser forum and considered as part of the “Webtrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities – SSL Baseline with Network Security”</p>

## Response to Mozilla’s CA Recommended Practices

<b>Publicly Available CP and CPS</b>	WIS@key’s CPS integrates the CP-related information and it’s publicly available in English language at <a href="http://www.wisekey.com/repository">http://www.wisekey.com/repository</a> The CPS is redacted following the RFC3647 and any required information can be found at the corresponding section.
<b>CA Hierarchy</b>	Please refer to the previous section, which includes a graphic and a pointer to the textual description of the hierarchy in the CPS.
<b>Audit Criteria</b>	As described in the above sections, WIS@key conducts annual external audits according to the different WebTrust Principles and Criteria. The results of the audits are made public at <a href="http://www.wisekey.com/repository">http://www.wisekey.com/repository</a> For this new Root, we are attaching to this inclusion request the “Point in time” audit reports corresponding to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Webtrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities 2.0</li> <li>• Webtrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities – SSL Baseline with Network Security</li> </ul>
<b>Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS</b>	Currently WIS@key doesn’t support IDNs, thus we only admit conventional domain names and we apply the identity validation policies for the domain as specified in the certificate request
<b>Revocation of Compromised Certificates</b>	As stipulated in the CPS (section “ <b>4.9.1. Circumstances for revocation</b> ”), WIS@key revokes any certificate which is known or suspect to be compromised.
<b>Verifying Domain Name Ownership</b>	WIS@key applies techniques and procedures to verify domain names, which are compliant with the applicable requirements from the CA/Browser Forum. This information is made public in the CPS (Section “ <b>12. Annex C: Identity Validation Policies</b> ”). This, as expected, has been subject to the latest audits to verify adhesion to Baseline and Extended Validation requirements.
<b>Verifying Email Address Control</b>	We reproduce the same answer stated in a previous section... WIS@key CertifyID Personal certificates enforce the validation of Email addresses using different procedures, as stipulated in section “ <b>12.2.1. Personal Certificates</b> ”. In particular, any enrollment for a CertifyID Account requires a bounce-back Email verification before entitling the subscriber to send a remote (non face-to-face) certificate request. The process can be experienced at <a href="https://account.wisekey.com">https://account.wisekey.com</a> The verification procedures for S/MIME-capable certificates have been audited, as included in the reports linked above.



<b>Verifying Identity of Code Signing Certificate Subscriber</b>	N/A
<b>DNS names go in SAN</b>	WIS@key makes mandatory to appear the DNS names in the SAN attributes of the certificates, as stipulated in the certificate profiles described in our CPS (Section “ <b>12.2.2. Corporate and Server Certificates</b> ”).
<b>Domain owned by a Natural Person</b>	Currently WIS@key doesn’t issue SSL certificates to domains owned by Natural Persons, but our internal procedures take in account Mozilla’s requirement in this respect.
<b>OCSP</b>	The requirements for OCSP have been validated as part of the Webtrust Principles and Criteria related to the Baseline and Extended Validation Requirements. A test with Firefox has been performed against the sites, resulting in a satisfactory behavior.
<b>Baseline Requirements Self-Assessment</b>	The latest self-assessment document is attached separately to this inclusion request.

## Response to Mozilla’s list of Potentially Problematic Practices

<b>Long-lived DV certificates</b>	WIS@key issues SSL certificates with a maximum lifespan of 3 years (stipulated at section “ <b>11.3. Corporate and Server Certificates</b> ” of the CPS). This will be reduced according to the new requirements.
<b>Wildcard DV SSL certificates</b>	All current SSL certificates, including Wildcard, enforce the validation of the organization. WIS@key will support in the future the issuance of SSL certificates not requiring organization validation, but Wildcard certificates won’t be supported for those future “domain validation only” certificates.
<b>Email Address prefixes for DV certs</b>	WIS@key observes the Baselines Requirements in its section “ <b>3.2.2.4. Authorization by Domain Name Registrant</b> ”, in what respects to the use of common Email prefixes.
<b>Delegation of Domain / Email validation to third parties</b>	WIS@key currently doesn’t delegate any activity related to the validation of SSL certificate requests.
<b>Issuing end-entity certificates directly from roots</b>	As describes in the CPS and in the previous sections (“CA Hierarchy”), WIS@key roots never can’t issue end-entity certificates, but through Issuing CAs.
<b>Allowing external entities to operate subordinate CA</b>	As described in the previous section “ <b>Externally Operated SubCAs</b> ”, <b>WIS@key only allows SubCAs operated by external entities if these CAs apply name and policy constraints</b> , in such a way that the entity can only issue certificates for a closed list of pre-authorized domains.
<b>Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files</b>	For personal certificates of classes “Standard” and “Advanced”, WIS@key supports the generation of the key pair by the Registration Authority, and distribute it as a PKCS#12 file to the end user, and always communicating the password to decrypt the file using an out-of-band message (i.e. SMS). For “Qualified” personal certificates the key generation must necessarily occur inside a cryptographic hardware device under sole control of the subscriber. For SSL Certificates, subscribers must generate by their means the key pair and send to WIS@key a certificate request using PKCS#10, using the certificate management platform.
<b>Certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses</b>	WIS@key doesn’t not issue a certificate with an Expiry Date later than 1 November 2015 with a SAN or Subject Common Name field containing a Reserved IP Address or Internal Server Name. WIS@key made an internal audit in this respect, having revoked already any incompliant certificate. This has been verified as part of our last external audit covering the Baseline Requirements.
<b>Issuing SSL certificates for internal domains</b>	As expressed above, WIS@key doesn’t allow the issuance of certificates of internal domains. We never considered a “*.int” name as an internal domain. This has been internally verified.
<b>OCSP Responses signed by a certificate under a different root</b>	Reproducing the answer for a similar question in a former section... The requirements for OCSP have been validated as part of the WebTrust Principles and Criteria

	<p>related to the Baseline and Extended Validation Requirements.</p> <p>A test with Firefox has been performed against the site: <a href="https://goodssl.wisekey.com/">https://goodssl.wisekey.com/</a>, resulting in a satisfactory behavior.</p>
<b>SHA-1 Certificates</b>	WIS@key doesn't issue SSL certificates using SHA-1.
<b>Generic Names for CAs</b>	<p>We make mandatory the inclusion of meaningful information in the CN of any CA in our hierarchies. In particular, the new root CA object of this request is named "<b>OISTE WIS@key Global Root GC CA</b>".</p>
<b>Lack of Communication with end-users</b>	<p>WIS@key ensures the availability of commercially reasonable resources to attend any request from our subscribers. In particular, any communication related to the revocation status of our certificates is attended as per the Baseline and EV requirements of the CA/Browser forum. Main points of contacts are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="mailto:support@wisekey.com">support@wisekey.com</a>, for any issue related to our certification services</li> <li>• <a href="mailto:cps@wisekey.com">cps@wisekey.com</a>, for issues related to our certification policies and practices</li> </ul>
<b>Backdating the notBefore date</b>	WIS@key maintains all reasonable controls to ensure the reliability of the time reference used by the Certification Authority.