

Mozilla - CA Program

Case Information

Case Number	00000084	Case Record Type	CA Owner/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owner/Certificate Name	SECOM Trust Systems Co. Ltd.	Request Status	Initial Request Received

Additional Case Information

Subject	Add two new root certificates	Case Reason	New Owner/Root inclusion requested
----------------	-------------------------------	--------------------	------------------------------------

Bugzilla Information

Link to Bugzilla Bug	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1313982
-----------------------------	---

General information about CA's associated organization

CA Email Alias 1	h-kamo@secom.co.jp		
CA Email Alias 2			
Company Website	http://www.secomtrust.net/english/outline.html	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type	Private Corporation	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type (Others)		Verified?	Not Applicable
Geographic Focus	Japan	Verified?	Verified
Primary Market / Customer Base	Japan	Verified?	Verified
Impact to Mozilla Users	base on the geographic focus, it impacts mozilla user in japan	Verified?	Verified

Response to Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices

Recommended Practices	https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices	Recommended Practices Statement	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
CA's Response to Recommended Practices	1. NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices 1) Publicly Available CP and CPS: CP https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCP.docx CPS https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCPS.docx 2) CA Hierarchy: (please provide which sections in CP/CPS) 3) Audit Criteria: WebTrust audit report is available at: https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=2105&file=pdf 4) Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS: CA uses Japanese HIRAGANA,	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA

KATAKANA, KANZI as well as ASCII alpha numeric characters, which are used very often in Japanese language that their customers use.

CA authenticates the identity of domains based on investigations conducted or databases owned by third parties such as WHOIS registry service that SECOM Trust Systems trusts, and other methods determined to be equally trustworthy by the Certification Services Improvement Committee.

5) Revocation of Compromised Certificates: CA revokes a certificate in the event of the followings:

- The reliability of the certificate may have been lost due to reasons such as the theft, loss, unauthorized disclosure or unauthorized use of the relevant private key.
- The relevant private key has been or may be compromised, resulting in loss of confidentiality.

6) Verifying Domain Name Ownership: CA authenticates the identity of domains based on investigations conducted or databases owned by third parties such as WHOIS registry service that SECOM Trust Systems trusts, and other methods determined to be equally trustworthy by the Certification Services Improvement Committee.

7) Verifying Email Address Control: For a certificate to be used for digitally signing and/or encrypting email messages, CA takes measures to verify that the entity submitting the request controls the email account associated with the email address referenced in the certificate.

8) Verifying Identity of Code Signing Certificate Subscriber: CA authenticates the identity of organizations based on official documents issued by national and municipal governments, investigations conducted or databases owned by third parties that SECOM Trust Systems trusts, and other methods determined to be equally trustworthy by the Certification Services Improvement Committee.

9) DNS names go in SAN: CA uses CN as well as SAN for DNS names.

10) Domain owned by a Natural Person: For SSL/TLS server certificates, CA accept subscription application only from organizations and no natural persons.

11) OCSP: For OCSP services for end-entity certificates, CA all request subordinate CAs to update OCSP statuses at least every four days, and request OCSP responses from subordinates CAs to have a maximum expiration time of ten days.

12) Network Security Controls: A CA system is not connected to other internal or external systems. The repository system is protected from unauthorized access by such means as fire walls and intrusion detection systems.

Response to Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices

Potentially Problematic Practices	https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices	Problematic Practices Statement	
		I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.	
CA's Response to Problematic Practices	2. NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
	<p>1) Long-lived DV certificates: CA issues 24months certificates. Upon renewal, each certificates are verify that all of the information that is included in SSL certificates remains current and correct.</p> <p>2) Wildcard DV SSL certificates: Currently, wildcard DV SSL certificates are issued, and CA will take consideration to identify to validate the organizaion.</p> <p>3) Email Address Prefixes for DV Certs: Email addresses described acceptable are used for verification.</p> <p>4) Delegation of Domain / Email validation to third parties: No delegation of domain/email validation to third parties.</p> <p>5) Issuing end entity certificates directly from roots: No issuing end entity certificates directly from roots.</p> <p>6) Allowing external entities to operate subordinate CAs: No external entities to operate subordinate CAs.</p> <p>7) Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files: No Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files.</p> <p>8) Certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses: CA does not issue certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses.</p> <p>9) Issuing SSL Certificates for Internal Domains: CA does not issue certificates for internal domains.</p>		

Root Case Record # 1

Root Case Information			
Root Certificate Name	Security Communication RootCA3	Root Case No	R00000125
Request Status	Information Verification In Process	Case Number	00000084

Certificate Data	
Certificate Issuer Common Name	Security Communication RootCA3
O From Issuer Field	SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD.
OU From Issuer Field	
Valid From	2016 Jun 16
Valid To	2038 Jan 18
Certificate Serial Number	00e17c3740fd1bfe67
Subject	CN=Security Communication RootCA3, OU=null, O=SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD., C=JP
Signature Hash Algorithm	sha384WithRSAEncryption
Public Key Algorithm	RSA 4096 bits
SHA-1 Fingerprint	C3:03:C8:22:74:92:E5:61:A2:9C:5F:79:91:2B:1E:44:13:91:30:3A
SHA-256 Fingerprint	24:A5:5C:2A:B0:51:44:2D:06:17:76:65:41:23:9A:4A:D0:32:D7:C5:51:75:AA:34:FF:DE:2F:BC:4F:5C:52:94
Certificate Fingerprint	07:3B:A6:90:09:66:50:29:D9:FC:C2:41:33:33:EB:FD:5C:B7:D2:4D:85:96:3B:42:3A:3A:B0:ED:D4:ED:7C:E5
Certificate Version	3

Technical Information about Root Certificate			
Certificate Summary	Root certificate, Security Communication RootCA3 is operated by SECOM Trust Systems Co., Ltd. (hereinafter "SECOM").	Verified?	Verified
Root Certificate Download URL	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root3/SCRoot3ca.cer	Verified?	Verified
CRL URL(s)	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root3/SCRoot3CRL.crl	Verified?	Verified
OCSP URL(s)	http://scrootca3.ocsp.secomtrust.net/	Verified?	Verified
Trust Bits	Websites	Verified?	Verified
SSL Validation Type	OV	Verified?	Verified
EV Policy OID(s)		Verified?	Not Applicable
Root Stores	Microsoft	Verified?	Verified

Included In**Mozilla Applied Constraints**

NEED: Mozilla has the ability to name constrain root certs; e.g. to *.gov or *.mil. CAs should consider if such constraints may be applied to their root certs.
<https://dxr.mozilla.org/mozilla-central/source/security/nss/lib/certdb/genname.c#1551>

Verified?

Need Response From CA

Test Websites or Example Cert**Test Website - Valid**<https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/>**Verified?**

Verified

Test Website - Expired<https://sr4e.secomtrust.net/>**Test Website - Revoked**<https://sr4r.secomtrust.net/>**Example Cert****Test Notes****Test Results (When Requesting the SSL/TLS Trust Bit)****Revocation Tested**

No errors

Verified?

Verified

CA/Browser Forum Lint Test

Certificate not found

Verified?

Verified

Test Website Lint Test

Test not currently available.

Verified?

Not Applicable

EV Tested

NO EV request

Verified?

Not Applicable

CA Hierarchy Information**CA Hierarchy**

NEED: A description of the PKI hierarchy rooted at or otherwise associated with this root CA certificate.

- List and/or describe all of the subordinate CAs that are signed by this root.
- Identify which of the subordinate CAs are internally-operated; e.g. list the subordinate CAs that operated by the CA organization associated with the root CA. For example, this might include subordinate CAs created to issue different classes or types of end entity certificates to the general public: Class 1 vs. class 2 certificates, qualified vs. non-qualified certificates, EV certificates vs. non-EV certificates, SSL certificates vs. email certificates, and so on.
- It might also include subordinate CAs operated for the benefit of specific third parties. In this case note that we do not require that the CA submit a complete customer list; rather we are interested in the general type and nature of the third-party arrangements

Verified?

Need Response From CA

Externally Operated SubCAs

NEED:

- If this root has any subordinate CA certificates that are operated by external third parties, then provide the information listed in the Subordinate CA Checklist, https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist
- If the CA functions as a super CA such their CA policies and auditing don't apply to the subordinate CAs, then those CAs must apply for inclusion themselves as separate trust anchors.

Verified?

Need Response From CA

Cross Signing

NEED:

- List all other root certificates for which this root certificate has issued cross-signing certificates.
- List all other root certificates that have issued cross-signing certificates for this root certificate.
- If any such cross-signing relationships exist, it is important to note whether the cross-signing CAs' certificates are already included in the Mozilla root store or not.

Verified?

Need Response From CA

Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer

NEED: CP/CPS documentation describing the technical and contractual controls over any 3rd party who may issue certs in this CA Hierarchy. This includes external RAs as well as External subCAs.

References:

- section 7.1.5 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements
- <https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security->

Verified?

Need Response From CA

[group/certs/policy/inclusion/](#)

https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions

Verification Policies and Practices

Policy Documentation	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root/SCRootCP1.pdf	Verified?	Verified
CA Document Repository	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root/SCRootCPS.pdf	Verified?	Verified
CP Doc Language	English		
CP	https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCP.docx	Verified?	Verified
CP Doc Language	English		
CPS	https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCPS.docx	Verified?	Verified
Other Relevant Documents	N/A	Verified?	Not Applicable
Auditor Name	Pricewaterhouse Coopers Aarata LLC	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Website	http://www.pwc.com/jp/en/assurance.html	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Qualifications	https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=2105&file=pdf	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit	NEED: for all root inclusion/change requests. Reference section 2 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Standard Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit	NEED: If requesting Websites trust bit, then also need a BR audit as described here: https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements	Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit Type		Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Need Response From CA
EV Audit	N/A	Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Type		Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Not Applicable
BR Commitment to Comply	NEED section in the CP/CPS that has the commitment to comply with the BRs as described in section 2.2 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
SSL Verification Procedures	NEED: if Websites trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the domain name to be included in the SSL/TLS cert. As per section 3 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs It is not sufficient to simply reference the section of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements (BR) that lists the ways in which the CA may confirm that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. The CA's CP/CPS must specify which of those options the CA uses, and must include a reasonable description of the ways the CA can verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the	Verified?	Need Response From CA

2017/3/31https://c.na17.visual.force.com/apex/Print_View_For_Case?scontrolCaching=1&id=500o000000MPEI6

domain name(s) to be included in the certificate.			
https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership			
EV SSL Verification Procedures	No EV request	Verified?	Not Applicable
Organization Verification Procedures	NEED: CP/CPS sections that describe identity and organization verification procedures for cert issuance.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Email Address Verification Procedures	NEED if Email trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the email address to be included in the cert. As per section 4 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro	Mozilla is no longer accepting requests to enable the Code Signing trust bit.	Verified?	Not Applicable
Multi-Factor Authentication	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 6 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Network Security	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 7 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA

Root Case Record # 2

Root Case Information			
Root Certificate Name	Security Communication ECC RootCA1	Root Case No	R00000126
Request Status	Initial Request Received	Case Number	00000084

Certificate Data	
Certificate Issuer Common Name	Security Communication ECC RootCA1
O From Issuer Field	SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD.
OU From Issuer Field	
Valid From	2016 Jun 16
Valid To	2038 Jan 18
Certificate Serial Number	00d65d9bb378812eeb
Subject	CN=Security Communication ECC RootCA1, OU=null, O=SECOM Trust Systems CO.,LTD., C=JP
Signature Hash Algorithm	ecdsaWithSHA384
Public Key Algorithm	EC secp384r1
SHA-1 Fingerprint	B8:0E:26:A9:BF:D2:B2:3B:C0:EF:46:C9:BA:C7:BB:F6:1D:0D:41:41
SHA-256 Fingerprint	E7:4F:BD:A5:5B:D5:64:C4:73:A3:6B:44:1A:A7:99:C8:A6:8E:07:74:40:E8:28:8B:9F:A1:E5:0E:4B:BA:CA:11
Certificate Fingerprint	F7:43:46:8A:C3:9C:FF:6B:E0:02:74:60:13:19:C0:11:05:75:16:50:97:3D:15:1F:DB:E4:AB:26:38:4E:A6:70
Certificate Version	3

Technical Information about Root Certificate

Certificate Summary	Root certificate, Security Communication ECC RootCA1 is operated by SECOM Trust Systems Co., Ltd. (hereinafter "SECOM").	Verified?	Verified
Root Certificate Download URL	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-ECC-Root1/SCECCRoot1ca.cer	Verified?	Verified
CRL URL(s)	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-ECC-Root1/SCECCRoot1CRL.crl	Verified?	Verified
OCSP URL(s)	http://sceccrootca1.ocsp.secomtrust.net/	Verified?	Verified
Trust Bits	Websites	Verified?	Verified
SSL Validation Type	OV	Verified?	Verified
EV Policy OID(s)	N/A	Verified?	Not Applicable
Root Stores Included In	Microsoft	Verified?	Verified
Mozilla Applied Constraints	NEED: Mozilla has the ability to name constrain root certs; e.g. to *.gov or *.mil. CAs should consider if such constraints may be applied to their root certs. https://dxr.mozilla.org/mozilla-central/source/security/nss/lib/certdb/genname.c#1551	Verified?	Need Response From CA

Test Websites or Example Cert

Test Website - Valid	https://sr5v.secomtrust.net/	Verified?	Verified
Test Website - Expired	https://sr5e.secomtrust.net/		
Test Website - Revoked	https://sr5r.secomtrust.net/		
Example Cert			
Test Notes			

Test Results (When Requesting the SSL/TLS Trust Bit)

Revocation Tested	No errors	Verified?	Verified
CA/Browser Forum Lint Test	Certificate not found.	Verified?	Verified
Test Website Lint Test	Test not currently available.	Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Tested	No EV request	Verified?	Not Applicable

CA Hierarchy Information

CA Hierarchy	NEED: A description of the PKI hierarchy rooted at or otherwise associated with this root CA certificate. - List and/or describe all of the subordinate CAs that are signed by this root. - Identify which of the subordinate CAs are internally-operated; e.g. list the subordinate CAs that operated by the CA organization associated with the root CA. For example, this might include subordinate CAs created to issue different classes or types of end entity certificates to the general public: Class 1 vs. class 2 certificates, qualified vs. non-qualified certificates, EV certificates vs. non-EV certificates, SSL certificates vs. email certificates, and so on. - It might also include subordinate CAs operated for the benefit of specific third parties. In this case note that we do not require that the CA submit a complete customer list; rather we are interested in the general type and nature of the third-party arrangements	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Externally Operated	NEED: - If this root has any subordinate CA certificates that are operated by external	Verified?	Need Response From CA

SubCAs	third parties, then provide the information listed in the Subordinate CA Checklist, https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist - If the CA functions as a super CA such their CA policies and auditing don't apply to the subordinate CAs, then those CAs must apply for inclusion themselves as separate trust anchors.		
Cross Signing	NEED: - List all other root certificates for which this root certificate has issued cross-signing certificates. - List all other root certificates that have issued cross-signing certificates for this root certificate. - If any such cross-signing relationships exist, it is important to note whether the cross-signing CAs' certificates are already included in the Mozilla root store or not.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer	NEED: CP/CPS documentation describing the technical and contractual controls over any 3rd party who may issue certs in this CA Hierarchy. This includes external RAs as well as External subCAs. References: - section 7.1.5 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements - https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/ - https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions	Verified?	Need Response From CA

Verification Policies and Practices

Policy Documentation	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root/SCRootCP1.pdf	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
CA Document Repository	https://repository.secomtrust.net/SC-Root/SCRootCPS.pdf	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
CP Doc Language	English		
CP	https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCP.docx	Verified?	Verified
CP Doc Language	English		
CPS	https://sr4v.secomtrust.net/secom/SecomCPS.docx	Verified?	Verified
Other Relevant Documents	N/A	Verified?	Not Applicable
Auditor Name	Pricewaterhouse Coopers Aarata LLC.	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Website	http://www.pwc.com/jp/en/assurance.html	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Qualifications	https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=2105&file=pdf	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit	NEED: for all root inclusion/change requests. Reference section 2 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Standard Audit Type		Verified?	Need Response From CA
Standard Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit	NEED: If requesting Websites trust bit, then also need a BR audit as described here: https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements	Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit Type		Verified?	Need Response From CA
BR Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Need Response From CA

EV Audit	N/A	Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Type		Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Not Applicable
BR Commitment to Comply	NEED section in the CP/CPS that has the commitment to comply with the BRs as described in section 2.2 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
SSL Verification Procedures	<p>NEED: if Websites trust bit requested...</p> <p>Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the domain name to be included in the SSL/TLS cert. As per section 3 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</p> <p>https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs It is not sufficient to simply reference the section of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements (BR) that lists the ways in which the CA may confirm that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. The CA's CP/CPS must specify which of those options the CA uses, and must include a reasonable description of the ways the CA can verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name(s) to be included in the certificate.</p> <p>https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership</p>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
EV SSL Verification Procedures	No EV request	Verified?	Not Applicable
Organization Verification Procedures	NEED: CP/CPS sections that describe identity and organization verification procedures for cert issuance.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Email Address Verification Procedures	<p>NEED if Email trust bit requested...</p> <p>Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the email address to be included in the cert. As per section 4 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</p> <p>https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control</p>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro	Mozilla is no longer accepting requests to enable the Code Signing trust bit.	Verified?	Not Applicable
Multi-Factor Authentication	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 6 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Network Security	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 7 of https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices	Verified?	Need Response From CA