Mozilla - CA Program

Case Information			
Case Number	00000077	Case Record Type	CA Owner/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owner/Certificate Name	EDICOM	Request Status	Need Information from CA

Additional Case Information	
Subject	Case Reason

Bugzilla Information Link to Bugzilla Bug

General information	about CA's associated organization		
CA Email Alias 1	acedicom@edicomgroup.com		
CA Email Alias 2			
Company Website	http://acedicom.edicomgroup.com /en/index.htm	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type	Commercial Organization	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type (Others)		Verified?	Not Applicable
Geographic Focus	European Union	Verified?	Verified
Primary Market / Customer Base	NEED: - Which types of customers does the CA serve? - Are there particular vertical market segments in which it operates? - Does the CA focus its activities on a particular country or other geographic region?	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Impact to Mozilla Users	This root will eventually replace the currently included ACEDICOM Root.	Verified?	Verified

Recommended Practices	https://wiki.mozilla.org /CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices	Recommended Practices Statement	I have reviewed Mozilla's list o Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
CA's Response to Recommended Practices	1) Publicly Available CP and CPS: Yes 2) CA Hierarchy: Yes 3) Audit Criteria: Yes 4) Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS: No internationalized domain names are allowed at certificates issued by CAEDICOM. 5) Revocation of Compromised Certificates: CPS section 4.8	Verified?	Verified

- 6) Verifying Domain Name Ownership: CP section 3.1, 3.2,
- 7) Verifying Email Address Control: Challenge-response mechanism
- 8) Verifying Identity of Code Signing Certificate Subscriber: Not applicable.
- 9) DNS names go in SAN: Sample certificates have been validated by auditors to check that this requirements are satisfied
- 10) Domain owned by a Natural Person: Proposal is compatible with actual certificate profile.
- 11) OCSP: OCSP Server has been checked at the audit
- 12) Network Security Controls: Network Security Controls have been audited against "WebTrust Principles and Criteria SSL Baseline with Network Security - Version 2."

Potentially Problematic https://wiki.mozilla.org I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Problematic **Practices** /CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices Potentially Problematic Practices Statement Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below. CA's Response to Verified? Verified 1) Long-lived DV certificates: 2 years, according to CP section Problematic **Practices**

- 2) Wildcard DV SSL certificates: CP section 3.1.7 Not allowed,
- with one exception: the certificates issued for domains under the control of the organization administering Edicom it may contain wildcards and Edicom always have control of the domains and subdomains for which the organization
- 3) Email Address Prefixes for DV Certs: Edicom own operators are in charge of domain/e-mail validation requirements described in sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of the Policy
- 4) Delegation of Domain / Email validation to third parties:
- 5) Issuing end entity certificates directly from roots: This is not allowed. "CAEDICOM Root" just issue subCA certificates.
- 6) Allowing external entities to operate subordinate CAs: No third party management is considered
- 7) Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files User keys are generated by the user and then the user sends the certificate sign request, as specified on the following sections of the policy
- 8) Certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses: Special IP addresses (RFC 3330) are not allowed as a domain name on server certificates, as described on the section 3.1.1 of the policy.
- 9) Issuing SSL Certificates for Internal Domains: Internal Domains are not valid for CAEDICOM certificates, as described on the section 3.1.1 of the policy.
- 10) OCSP Responses signed by a certificate under a different root: OCSP responses are signed by Root certificate
- 11) SHA-1 Certificates: All certificates under this CA tree "CAEDICOM ROOT" -> "CAEDICOM01 " -> "End entity Certificate" use SHA256
- 12) Generic names for CAs: Subject of "CAEDICOM Root" describes perfectly the company
- 13) Lack of Communication With End Users: Different communication channels (website, e-mail, phone) are open for end-users or third party.
- 14) Backdating the notBefore date: No

Root Case Record # 1

Root Case Information

2 of 5

Root Certificate Name	CAEDICOM Root	Root Case No	R00000107
Request Status	Need Information from CA	Case Number	0000077

Additional Root Case Information

Subject Include SHA256 CAEDICOM Root

O From Issuer Field	EDICOM	Verified?	Verified
OU From Issuer Field		Verified?	Verified
Certificate Summary	This SHA256 CAEDICOM Root cert will eventually replace the ACEDICOM Root cert that was included via Bugzilla Bug #471045. It will have internally-operated subordinate CAs.	Verified?	Verified
Root Certificate Download URL	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com /archivos/certificados /CAEDICOMRoot.cer	Verified?	Verified
Valid From	2014 May 21	Verified?	Verified
Valid To	2034 May 21	Verified?	Verified
Certificate Version	3	Verified?	Verified
Certificate Signature Algorithm	SHA-256	Verified?	Verified
Signing Key Parameters	4096	Verified?	Verified
Test Website URL SSL) or Example Cert	https://rootcertificateprograms.edicom.es/	Verified?	Verified
CRL URL(s)	http://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/caedicomroot.crl http://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/caedicom01.crl CPS section 4.9.9: CAEDICOM shall publish a new CRL in its repository at maximum intervals of 24 hours for subordinate CAs	Verified?	Verified
OCSP URL(s)	http://ocsp.acedicom.edicomgroup.com /caedicomroot http://ocsp.acedicom.edicomgroup.com /caedicom01	Verified?	Verified
Revocation Tested	NEED to resolve all errors listed here: https://certificate.revocationcheck.com/ /rootcertificateprograms.edicom.es	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Trust Bits	Email; Websites	Verified?	Verified
SSL Validation Type	OV	Verified?	Verified
EV Policy OID(s)	Not EV	Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Tested	Not requesting EV treatment	Verified?	Verified
Root Stores Included In	Microsoft	Verified?	Verified
Mozilla Applied	None	Verified?	Verified

Digital Fin	Digital Fingerprint Information			
SHA-1 Fingerprint	55:9B:BA:7B:0F:FE:80:D6:D3:82:9B:1F:D0:7A:A4:D3:22:19:47:90	Verified		
SHA-256 Fingerprint	15:01:F8:9C:5C:4D:CF:36:CF:58:8A:17:C9:FD:7C:FC:EB:9E:E0:1E:87:29:BE:35:5E:25:DE:80:EB:62:84:B4	Verified		

CA Hierarchy Information				
CA Hierarchy	This root will only issue internally- operated subordinate CAs. Currently one subCA exists, CAEDICOM01.	Verified?	Verified	
Externally Operated SubCAs	None, and none allowed according to CPS.	Verified?	Verified	
Cross Signing	None.	Verified?	Verified	
Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer	Edicom own operators are in charge of domain/e-mail validation requirements described in sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of the Policy.	Verified?	Verified	

rification Polic	cies and Practices		
Policy	Documents are in Spanish.	Verified?	Verified
Documentation	The CPS is in English		
	CAEDICOM Certification Practices Statement:		
	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/archivos/politicas caedicom		
	/1 0/CAEDICOM01%20-%20CertificationPractices.pdf		
	Certification Policies for TLS Server and Client certificate:		
	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/archivos/politicas_caedicom		
	/1 0/CAEDICOM%20-%20TLS%20Certificates%20Policy.pdf		
	Certification Policies for Qualified certificates of signature for physical		
	person with limited use:		
	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/es/archivos/politicas_caedicom		
	/1_0/CAEDICOM01%20-%20PoliticaCertificacionFirmaPersFisica.pdf		
	Certification Policies for Qualified certificates of signature for legal		
	person with limited use:		
	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/es/archivos/politicas_caedicom/ /1_0/CAEDICOM01%20-%20PoliticaCertificacionFirmaPersJuridica.pdf		
CA Document Repository	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/contenidos/practicasyPoliticas/practicasyPoliticas.htm	Verified?	Verified
P Doc Language	Spanish		
СР	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/archivos/politicas_caedicom/1_0/CAEDICOM%20-%20TLS%20Certificates%20Policy.pdf	Verified?	Verified
P Doc Language	Spanish		
CPS	https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/archivos/politicas_caedicom/1_0/CAEDICOM01%20-%20CertificationPractices.pdf	Verified?	Verified
Other Relevant Documents		Verified?	Not Applicable
Auditor Name	Auren	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Website	http://www.auren.com/	Verified?	Verified
Auditor	http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitions-international	Verified?	Verified
Qualifications	/item64419.aspx		

Standard Audit	https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1958&file=pdf	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit Statement Date	11/3/2015	Verified?	Verified
BR Audit	https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1958&file=pdf	Verified?	Verified
BR Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
BR Audit Statement Date	11/3/2015	Verified?	Verified
EV Audit	Not EV	Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Type		Verified?	Not Applicable
EV Audit Statement Date		Verified?	Not Applicable
BR Commitment to Comply	TLS CP section 1.6	Verified?	Verified
SSL Verification Procedures	NEED: Translate TLS CP section 3.2 into English.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
EV SSL Verification Procedures	Not requesting EV treatment	Verified?	Not Applicable
Organization Verification Procedures	Section 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of the Policy specifies the control process followed by Edicom Registration Authority operators to ensure identity accreditation as one of the steps of the issue process.	Verified?	Not Verified
Email Address Verification Procedures	NEED if Email trust bit requested Sections of CP/CPS (translated into English) that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the email address to be included in the cert. As per section 4 of https://wiki.mozilla.org /CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices https://wiki.mozilla.org /CA:Recommended Practices#Verifying Email Address Control	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro	Mozilla is no longer accepting requests to enable the Code Signing trust bit, because we plan to remove the Code Signing trust bit in the next version of Mozilla's CA Certificate Policy.	Verified?	Not Applicable
Multi-Factor Authentication	CPS section 5.2.	Verified?	Verified
Network Security	CPS section 6.7	Verified?	Verified

Publicly Disclosed & Audited subCAs

https://acedicom.edicomgroup.com/en/contenidos/certificadosAcedicom/descargaCertificados.htm

Verified? Verified