

# Mozilla - CA Program

Case Information			
Case Number	00000072	Case Record Type	CA Owner/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owner/Certificate Name	Government of Korea	Request Status	Initial Request Received

Additional Case Information			
Subject	Include Korea Government Root Cert	Case Reason	New Owner/Root inclusion requested

Bugzilla Information	
Link to Bugzilla Bug	<a href="https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1226100">https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1226100</a>

General information about CA's associated organization			
CA Email Alias 1			
CA Email Alias 2			
Company Website	<a href="https://www.gpki.go.kr/">https://www.gpki.go.kr/</a>	Verified?	Not verified
Organizational Type	Government Agency	Verified?	Verified
Organizational Type (Others)		Verified?	Not Applicable
Geographic Focus	Korea	Verified?	Verified
Primary Market / Customer Base	NEED: - Which types of customers does the CA serve? - Are there particular vertical market segments in which it operates? - Does the CA focus its activities on a particular country or other geographic region?	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Impact to Mozilla Users	NEED: Why does the CA need to have their root certificate directly included in Mozilla's products, rather than being signed by another CA's root certificate that is already included in NSS? Mozilla CA certificate policy: We require that all CAs whose certificates are distributed with our software product ... provide some service relevant to typical users of our software products	Verified?	Need Response From CA

Response to Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices			
Recommended Practices	<a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices</a>	Recommended Practices Statement	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text

box below.

**CA's Response to Recommended Practices**

NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in [https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended\\_Practices#CA\\_Recommended\\_Practices](https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices)

- 1) Publicly Available CP and CPS:
- 2) CA Hierarchy:
- 3) Audit Criteria:
- 4) Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS:
- 5) Revocation of Compromised Certificates:
- 6) Verifying Domain Name Ownership:
- 7) Verifying Email Address Control:
- 8) Verifying Identity of Code Signing Certificate Subscriber:  
Not applicable. Mozilla is no longer enabling the Code Signing trust bit for root certificates.
- 9) DNS names go in SAN:
- 10) Domain owned by a Natural Person:
- 11) OCSP:
- 12) Network Security Controls:

**Verified?** Need Response From CA

### Response to Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices

**Potentially Problematic Practices**

[https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic\\_Practices#Potentially\\_problematic\\_CA\\_practices](https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices)

**Problematic Practices Statement**

I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.

**CA's Response to Problematic Practices**

NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in [https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic\\_Practices#Potentially\\_problematic\\_CA\\_practices](https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices)

- 1) Long-lived DV certificates:
- 2) Wildcard DV SSL certificates:
- 3) Email Address Prefixes for DV Certs:
- 4) Delegation of Domain / Email validation to third parties:
- 5) Issuing end entity certificates directly from roots:
- 6) Allowing external entities to operate subordinate CAs:
- 7) Distributing generated private keys in PKCS#12 files:
- 8) Certificates referencing hostnames or private IP addresses:
- 9) Issuing SSL Certificates for Internal Domains:
- 10) OCSP Responses signed by a certificate under a different root:
- 11) SHA-1 Certificates:
- 12) Generic names for CAs:
- 13) Lack of Communication With End Users:
- 14) Backdating the notBefore date:

**Verified?** Need Response From CA

## Root Case Record # 1

### Root Case Information

<b>Root Certificate Name</b>	GPKIRootCA1	<b>Root Case No</b>	R00000112
<b>Request Status</b>	Initial Request Received	<b>Case Number</b>	00000072

### Additional Root Case Information

**Subject** Include GPKIRootCA1 root certificate

### Technical Information about Root Certificate

<b>O From Issuer Field</b>	Government of Korea	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>OU From Issuer Field</b>	GPKI	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Summary</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Not Verified
<b>Root Certificate Download URL</b>	<a href="https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=8719465">https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/attachment.cgi?id=8719465</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Valid From</b>	2011 Aug 03	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Valid To</b>	2031 Aug 03	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Version</b>	3	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Certificate Signature Algorithm</b>	SHA-256	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Signing Key Parameters</b>	2048	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Test Website URL (SSL) or Example Cert</b>	NEED: - If requesting Websites trust bit: URL to a website whose SSL cert chains up to this root. Note that this can be a test site. - If requesting Email trust bit: attach an example cert to the bug.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>CRL URL(s)</b>	NEED CRL URLs and CRL issuing frequency for subscriber certs, with reference to where this is documented in the CP/CPS	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>OCSP URL(s)</b>	NEED OCSP URL and maximum OCSP expiration time, with reference to where this is documented in the CP/CPS	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Trust Bits</b>	Websites	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>SSL Validation Type</b>	DV	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>EV Policy OID(s)</b>	Not EV	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Root Stores Included In</b>	Microsoft	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Verified
<b>Mozilla Applied Constraints</b>	NEED: Mozilla has the ability to name constrain root certs; e.g. to *.gov or *.mil. CAs should consider if such constraints may be applied to their root certs. <a href="https://dxr.mozilla.org/mozilla-central/source/security/nss/lib/certdb/genname.c#1551">https://dxr.mozilla.org/mozilla-central/source/security/nss/lib/certdb/genname.c#1551</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

### Test Results (When Requesting the SSL/TLS Trust Bit)

<b>Revocation Tested</b>	NEED: Test with <a href="http://certificate.revocationcheck.com/">http://certificate.revocationcheck.com/</a> make sure there aren't any errors.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>CA/Browser Forum Lint Test</b>	Tested with cablint in <a href="https://crt.sh/">https://crt.sh/</a> no errors found	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Test Website Lint Test</b>	NEED: Browse to <a href="https://cert-checker.allizom.org/">https://cert-checker.allizom.org/</a> and enter the test website and click on the 'Browse' button to provide the PEM file for the root certificate. Then click on 'run certlint'. All errors must be resolved/fixd.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>EV Tested</b>	Not requesting EV treatment	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable

### Digital Fingerprint Information

SHA-1 Fingerprint	76:12:ED:9E:49:B3:65:B4:DA:D3:12:0C:01:E6:03:74:8D:AE:8C:F0	Verified?	Verified
SHA-256 Fingerprint	40:7C:27:6B:EA:D2:E4:AF:06:61:EF:66:97:34:1D:EC:0A:1F:94:34:E4:EA:FB:2D:3D:32:A9:05:49:D9:DE:4A	Verified?	Verified

## CA Hierarchy Information

CA Hierarchy	<p>NEED: A description of the PKI hierarchy rooted at or otherwise associated with this root CA certificate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List and/or describe all of the subordinate CAs that are signed by this root.</li> <li>- Identify which of the subordinate CAs are internally-operated; e.g. list the subordinate CAs that operated by the CA organization associated with the root CA. For example, this might include subordinate CAs created to issue different classes or types of end entity certificates to the general public: Class 1 vs. class 2 certificates, qualified vs. non-qualified certificates, EV certificates vs. non-EV certificates, SSL certificates vs. email certificates, and so on.</li> <li>- It might also include subordinate CAs operated for the benefit of specific third parties. In this case note that we do not require that the CA submit a complete customer list; rather we are interested in the general type and nature of the third-party arrangements</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Externally Operated SubCAs	<p>NEED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- If this root has any subordinate CA certificates that are operated by external third parties, then provide the information listed in the Subordinate CA Checklist. <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist</a></li> <li>- If the CA functions as a super CA such their CA policies and auditing don't apply to the subordinate CAs, then those CAs must apply for inclusion themselves as separate trust anchors.</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Cross Signing	<p>NEED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List all other root certificates for which this root certificate has issued cross-signing certificates.</li> <li>- List all other root certificates that have issued cross-signing certificates for this root certificate.</li> <li>- If any such cross-signing relationships exist, it is important to note whether the cross-signing CAs' certificates are already included in the Mozilla root store or not.</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer	<p>NEED: CP/CPS documentation describing the technical and contractual controls over any 3rd party who may issue certs in this CA Hierarchy. This includes external RAs as well as External subCAs.</p> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- section 7.1.5 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements</li> <li>- <a href="https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/">https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/</a></li> <li>- <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions</a></li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA

## Verification Policies and Practices

Policy Documentation	<p>NEED: CP/CPS translated into English</p> <p>CPS (Korean): <a href="https://www.gpki.go.kr/upload/download/1.1-GPKI_RootCA%20CPS.pdf">https://www.gpki.go.kr/upload/download/1.1-GPKI_RootCA%20CPS.pdf</a></p>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
CA Document Repository		Verified?	Need Response From CA

<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CP</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CPS</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Other Relevant Documents</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Auditor Name</b>	Deloitte Anjin LLC	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Auditor Website</b>	<a href="http://www2.deloitte.com/kr/ko.html">http://www2.deloitte.com/kr/ko.html</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Auditor Qualifications</b>	<a href="http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitions-international/item64419.aspx">http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitions-international/item64419.aspx</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Standard Audit</b>	<a href="https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1923&amp;file=pdf">https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1923&amp;file=pdf</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Standard Audit Type</b>	WebTrust	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>Standard Audit Statement Date</b>	9/23/2015	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>BR Audit</b>	<a href="https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1924&amp;file=pdf">https://cert.webtrust.org/SealFile?seal=1924&amp;file=pdf</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>BR Audit Type</b>	WebTrust	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>BR Audit Statement Date</b>	9/23/2015	<b>Verified?</b>	Verified
<b>EV Audit</b>	Not EV	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>EV Audit Type</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>EV Audit Statement Date</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>BR Commitment to Comply</b>	NEED section in the CP/CPS that has the commitment to comply with the BRs as described in section 2.2 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>SSL Verification Procedures</b>	NEED: if Websites trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the domain name to be included in the SSL/TLS cert. As per section 3 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs</a> It is not sufficient to simply reference the section of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements (BR) that lists the ways in which the CA may confirm that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. The CA's CP/CPS must specify which of those options the CA uses, and must include a reasonable description of the ways the CA can verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name(s) to be included in the certificate.  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>EV SSL Verification Procedures</b>	Not requesting EV treatment	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Organization Verification Procedures</b>	NEED: CP/CPS sections that describe identity and organization verification procedures for cert issuance.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Email Address Verification Procedures</b>	NEED if Email trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the email address to be included in the cert. As per section 4 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org">https://wiki.mozilla.org</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

[/CA:Information\\_checklist#Verification\\_Policies\\_and\\_Practices](#)

<https://wiki.mozilla.org>

[/CA:Recommended\\_Practices#Verifying\\_Email\\_Address\\_Control](#)

<b>Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro</b>	Mozilla is no longer accepting requests to enable the Code Signing trust bit.	Verified?	Not Applicable
<b>Multi-Factor Authentication</b>	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 6 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org">https://wiki.mozilla.org</a> <a href="#">/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Network Security</b>	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 7 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org">https://wiki.mozilla.org</a> <a href="#">/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>	Verified?	Need Response From CA

### Link to Publicly Disclosed and Audited subordinate CA Certificates

<b>Publicly Disclosed &amp; Audited subCAs</b>	NEED URL to publicly disclosed subordinate CA certificates that chain up to certificates in Mozilla's CA program, as per Items #8, 9, and 10 of Mozilla's CA Certificate Inclusion Policy.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
--	--	-----------	-----------------------