## Mozilla - CA Program

ase Information				
Case Number	00000064	Case Record Type	CA Owne	r/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owner/Certificate Name		Request Status	Need Info	rmation from CA
dditional Case In	formation			
Subject	Include renewed root	Case Reason		
ugzilla Informatio	n			
Link to Bugzilla Bug	https://bugzilla.mozilla.org /show_bug.cgi?id=1172819			
eneral informatio	n about CA's associated organization			
CA Email Alias 1	cps@wisekey.com			
CA Email Alias 2				
Company Website	https://www.wisekey.com	Verified?	Verified	
Organizational Type	Private Corporation	Verified?	Verified	
Organizational Type (Others)		Verified?	Not Applic	cable
Geographic Focus	Global	Verified?	Verified	
Primary Market / Customer Base		Verified?	Verified	
mpact to Mozilla Users	Root renewal request. The renewed root cert is SHA-256 and compliant with EV guidelines.	Verified?	Verified	
esponse to Mozil	la's list of Recommended Practices			
Recommended Practices	https://wiki.mozilla.org /CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommende		mmended Statement	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
CA's Response to Recommended Practices	* Document Handling of IDNs in CP/CPS: Curren doesn't support IDNs, thus we only admit conven domain names and we apply the identity validatio for the domain as specified in the certificate reque * Revocation of Compromised Certificates: As stij the CPS (section "4.9.1. Circumstances for revoc WISeKey revokes any certificate which is known	tional n policies est pulated in ation"),	Verified?	Verified

to be compromised.

\* DNS names go in SAN" WISeKey makes mandatory to appear the DNS names in the SAN attributes of the certificates, as stipulated in the certificate profiles described in our CPS (Section "12.2.2. Corporate and Server Certificates").

Potentially Problematic Practices	https://wiki.mozilla.org /CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices	Problematic Practices Statement	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
A's Response to Problematic Practices	<ul> <li>* WISeKey issues SSL certificates with a maximum lifespan of 3 years; except for EV certificates, which are valid for a maximum of one year.</li> <li>* All current SSL certificates, including Wildcard, enforce the validation of the organization. WISeKey will support in the future the issuance of SSL certificates not requiring organization validation, but Wildcard certificates won't be supported for those future 'domain validation only' certificates.</li> <li>* WISeKey currently doesn't delegate any activity related to the validation of SSL certificate requests.</li> <li>* WISeKey only allows SubCAs operated by external entities if these CAs apply name and policy contraints, in such a way that the entity can only issue certificates for a closed list of pre-authorized domains.</li> <li>* For "Qualified" personal certificates the key generation must necessarily occur inside a cryptographic hardware device under sole control of the subscriber. For SSL Certificates, subscribers must generate by their means the key pair and send to WISeKey a certificate request using PKCS#10. This procedure is implemented by the SSL selling platform (https://reseller.wisekey.com).</li> <li>* WISeKey does not issue a certificate with an Expiry Date later than 1 November 2015 with a SAN or Subject Common Name field containing a Reserved IP Address or Internal Server Name. WISeKey made an internal audit in this respect, having revoked already any incompliant certificate. This has been verified as part of our last external audit covering the Baseline Requirements.</li> <li>* WISeKey doesn't allow the issuance of certificates of internal domains. We never considered a "*.int" name as an internal domain. This has been internally verified.</li> <li>* WISeKey ensures the availability of commercially reasonable resources to attend any request from our subscribers. Main points of contacts are: <u>support@wisekey.com</u> for any issue related to our certification policies and practices</li> </ul>	Verified?	Verified

## Root Case Record # 1

Root Certificate Name	OISTE WISeKey Global Root GB CA	Root Case No	R0000087
<b>Request Status</b>	Need Information from CA	Case Number	0000064
dditional Root Ca	se Information		
dditional Root Ca	se Information		

O From	WISeKey	Verified?	Verified
Issuer Field OU From	OISTE Foundation Endorsed	Verified?	Verified
Issuer Field Certificate	This SHA-256 root cert will eventually replace WISeKey's SHA-1 root cert that was included	Verified?	Verified
Summary Root	in NSS via Bugzilla Bug #371362.	Verified?	Varified
Certificate Download URL	http://public.wisekey.com/crt/owgrgbca.crt	vermed?	Verified
Valid From	2014 Dec 01	Verified?	Verified
Valid To	2039 Dec 01	Verified?	Verified
Certificate Version	3	Verified?	Verified
Certificate Signature Algorithm	SHA-256	Verified?	Verified
Signing Key Parameters	2048	Verified?	Verified
est Website RL (SSL) or Example Cert	https://goodevssl.wisekey.com	Verified?	Verified
CRL URL(s)	http://public.wisekey.com/crl/owgrgbca.crl http://public.wisekey.com/crl/wcidpgbca1.crl http://public.wisekey.com/crl/wcidagbca2.crl	Verified?	Verified
OCSP URL(s)	http://ocsp.wisekey.com/ http://ocsp2.wisekey.com	Verified?	Verified
Revocation Tested	Need to test with <u>http://certificate.revocationcheck.com</u> / and resolve all resulting errors. However <u>http://certificate.revocationcheck.com/goodevssl.wisekey.com</u> results in Error parsing OCSP response: asn1: syntax error: sequence truncated So, checking with site owner to see if there is a problem with the script.	Verified?	Not Verifie
Trust Bits	Code; Email; Websites	Verified?	Verified
SSL Validation Type	DV; OV; EV	Verified?	Verified
EV Policy OID(s)	2.16.756.5.14.7.4.8	Verified?	Verified
EV Tested	<pre>// CN=OISTE WISeKey Global Root GB CA,OU=OISTE Foundation Endorsed,O=WISeKey,C=CH "2.16.756.5.14.7.4.8", "WISeKey EV OID", SEC_OID_UNKNOWN, { 0x6B, 0x9C, 0x08, 0xE8, 0x6E, 0xB0, 0xF7, 0x67, 0xCF, 0xAD, 0x65, 0xCD, 0x98, 0xB6, 0x21, 0x49, 0xE5, 0x49, 0x4A, 0x67, 0xF5, 0x84, 0x5E, 0x7B, 0xD1, 0xED, 0x01, 0x9F, 0x27, 0xB8, 0x6B, 0xD6 }, "MG0xCzAJBgNVBAYTAkNIMRAwDgYDVQQKEwdXSVNIS2V5MSIwIAYDVQQLExIPSVNU" "RSBGb3VuZGF0aW9uIEVuZG9yc2VkMSgwJgYDVQQDEx9PSVNURSBXSVNIS2V5IEds" "b2JhbCBSb290IEdCIENB", "drEgUnTwhYdGs/gjGvbCwA==", Success!</pre>	Verified?	Verified
Root Stores Included In		Verified?	Verified

## **Digital Fingerprint Information**

SHA-1 Fingerprint 0F:F9:40:76:18:D3:D7:6A:4B:98:F0:A8:35:9E:0C:FD:27:AC:CC:ED

Verified? Verified

SHA-256 6B:9C:08:E8:6E:B0:F7:67:CF:AD:65:CD:98:B6:21:49:E5:49:4A:67:F5:84:5E:7B:D1:ED:01:9F:27:B8:6B:D6 Verified? Verified Fingerprint

CA Hierarchy	There is currently one Policy CA signed by this SHA-256 root cert, and the one Policy CA currently has three active issuing CAs.	Verified?	Verified
	CA hierarchy diagrams for both the SHA-1 and SHA-256 root certs are provided in section 1.3.1 of the CPS. The root certs sign intermediate certs that sign intermediate certs that sign end-entity certs.		
	CPS section 1.3.1.2: "OWGTM Policy CA G1" is a Certification Authority subordinated to the "OWGTM Root CA GB". This CA issue certificates for "Issuing CAs" (Certification Authorities that issue certificates for End Entities) dedicated to specific entities and/or purposes, but this CA itself does not issue certificates to end entities.		
	"OWGTM Policy CA G1" can sign: - WISeKey Qualified Issuing CAs - Partner's Qualified Issuing CAs - WISeKey Advanced Issuing CAs - Partner's Advanced Issuing CAs - WISeKey Standard Issuing CAs - Partner's Standard Issuing CAs		
Externally Operated SubCAs	At this moment, there aren't externally operated SubCAs under the new SHA-256 root, but this is supported as stipulated in WISeKey's CPS. For the existing SHA-1 root cert's hierarchy, there's a limited number of CAs operated by external companies, which enforce name constraints. In particular, the currently active SubCAs are: Government of Seychelles (constrained to *. <u>gov.sc</u> ), and The Bancorp Inc. (constrained to *. <u>wise-corp.co</u> , *. <u>thebancorp.com</u> , *. <u>wisecorp.us</u> and *.wise <u>corp.us</u> )	Verified?	Verified
Cross Signing	Not supported	Verified?	Verified
echnical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer	Registration Authorities: CPS sections 1.3.2 and 9.6.2 CPS section 7.1.5: Name constraints Issuing Certification Authorities not operated by WISeKey will be constrained for the issuance of certificates under a set of predefined and agreed names (domain names, email suffixes or other name components). For exceptional cases where these constraints aren't applied,	Verified?	Verified

audit for compliance assurance against any applicable requirement (including Baseline and Extended Validation Requirements from the CA/Browser Forum). Domain name constraints can be also applied when using the MPKI RA Interface for Certificate Requests for corporations having access to a dedicated Registration Authority.

Also see CPS section 12.1.

## **Verification Policies and Practices**

Policy Documentation	CPS section 1.1: The main information disclosed by the OWGTM in order to expose its practices and policies in the issuance and usages of digital certificates are: - The Certification Practices Statement (CPS) –The CPS is a statement of the practices that every Certification Authority operating under the OWGTM Trust Model employs in issuing, managing, revoking, and renewing or re-keying certificates. The currently approved CPs are incorporated in this version of the CPS, as summarized in Annex B: Approved Certificate Policies and Profiles. Any explicit mention to a CP document must be understood as referring to this document; OWGTM does not maintain separate CP documents.	Verified?	Verified
CA Document Repository	http://www.wisekey.com/repository	Verified?	Verified
CP Doc Language	English		
СР	https://d3o11irj9639cz.cloudfront.net/uploads/images /WKPKI.DE001-OWGTM-PKI-CPS.v2.2-CLEAN.pdf	Verified?	Verified
CP Doc Language	English		
CPS	https://d3o11irj9639cz.cloudfront.net/uploads/images /WKPKI.DE001-OWGTM-PKI-CPS.v2.2-CLEAN.pdf	Verified?	Verified
Other Relevant Documents	Relying Party Agreement: <u>http://www.wisekey.com</u> / <u>Repository/Documents/Relying-Party-Agreement-1.0-wk-</u> signed.pdf?6ecb07	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Name	Auren	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Website	http://www.auren.com	Verified?	Verified
Auditor Qualifications	http://www.webtrust.org/licensed-webtrust-practitions- international/item64419.aspx	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit	https://cdn.wisekey.com/uploads/documents/WISeKey- WebTrust-Audit-Report-2015.pdf?6ecb07	Verified?	Not Verified
Standard Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
Standard Audit Statement Date	6/8/2015	Verified?	Verified
BR Audit	https://cdn.wisekey.com/uploads/documents/WISeKey- WebTrust-Audit-Report-2015.pdf?6ecb07	Verified?	Not Verified
BR Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified
BR Audit Statement Date	6/8/2015	Verified?	Verified
EV Audit	https://cdn.wisekey.com/uploads/documents/WISeKey- WebTrust-Audit-Report-2015.pdf?6ecb07	Verified?	Not Verified
EV Audit Type	WebTrust	Verified?	Verified

EV Audit Statement Date	6/8/2015	Verified?	Verified
BR Commitment to Comply	CPS section 1.7	Verified?	Verified
SSL Verification Procedures	<ul> <li>NEED: Please see <u>https://wiki.mozilla.org</u></li> <li><u>/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA Conformance to the BRs</u></li> <li>"It is not sufficient to simply reference section 11 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements (BR). BR</li> <li>#11.1.1 lists several ways in which the CA may confirm that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. Simply referencing section 11 of the BRs does not specify which of those options the CA uses, and is insufficient for describing how the CA conforms to the BRs. The CA's CP/CPS must include a reasonable description of the ways the CA can verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name(s) to be included in the certificate."</li> <li>CPS section 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.5: This information is specified in Annex C: Identity Validation Policies CPS section 12 == Annex C</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
	CPS section 12.2.2: ID Data Verified: Identification data of the Server, as defined by the Baseline Requirements of the CA/Browser Forum for SSL Certificates. Method of Verification: The identification data included in the certificate are verified according to the Baseline Requirements made public by the CA/Browser Forum. Entities authorized to verify: Authorised internal entity (Registration Authority.) or external entity that is legally bound to comply with the verification procedures. The entity purchasing and managing the e-ID system under contract with WISeKey.		
EV SSL Verification Procedures	CPS section 12.2.2: ID Data Verified: Equivalent to the Advanced OV Certificates, adapted to be compliant to the requirements for EV certificates, as defined by the CA/Browser Forum. Method of Verification: The identification data included in the certificate are verified according to the Extended Validation Requirements made public by the CA/Browser Forum. Entities authorized to verify: Authorised internal entity (Registration Authority.) or external entity that is legally bound to comply with the verification procedures. The entity purchasing and managing the e-ID system under contract with WISeKey.	Verified?	Verified
Organization Verification Procedures	NEED CLARIFICATION: The CPS should indicate which forms of verification are acceptable to verify identity, organization, and authority. CPS section 12.2.2: In addition to the methods indicated for Standard SSL certificates, the identity of the organization is validated according to the Baseline Requirements published by the CA/Browser forum, in what concerns to Organization validation.	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
Email Address Verification Procedures	NEED CLARIFICATION: The CPS is not clear to me that the "bounce back email verification" is also required for Advanced and Qualified Personal certs. CPS section 12.2.1 Personal Certificates Standard ID Data Verified: Basic data is verified such as the email address; or in the case of an organisation ownership of the domain names in the certificate, with responsibility to verify the individual entities to which certificates are issued. Method of Verification: - Bounce back email verification procedure proving access	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA

Network Security			
No	CPS section 6.7	Verified?	Verified
Multi-Factor Authentication	CPS section 6.5	Verified?	Verified
Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro	NEED CLARIFICATION: I didn't find in the CPS which forms of verification are used for code signing certificates.	Verified?	Need Clarification From CA
	to the email account is accepted. - Database (such as existing HR, or IDM) of organisation, with details of organisation's users. - Commonly accepted business methods of identity verification. Advanced May be done through database of identity data that is well maintained and was created based on face to face or direct verification using official ID documents. Qualified Face to face or direct verification but may be done through database of identity data that is well-maintained and was created based on face to face or direct verification using primary ID documents.		