

## 1 looking at font styles in equations

For eample, in

$$\mathbf{n}(t+1) = A\mathbf{n}(t)Q$$

both the Q and the bold font **n** should not be italicized in the .rtf output. LaTeX never italicizes bold font in math mode (as this is never needed/wanted).

## 2 testing align environment

First align without an asterisk.

$$\begin{aligned} zw &= (3+2i)(2-i) \\ &= 6-3i+4i-2i^2 \\ &= 8+i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} zw &= (3+2i)(2-i) \\ &= 6-3i+4i-2i^2 \\ &= 8+i \end{aligned}$$

Now align with an asterisk.

$$\begin{aligned} zw &= (3+2i)(2-i) \\ &= 6-3i+4i-2i^2 \\ &= 8+i \end{aligned}$$

## 3 testing equation references

$$x = y \tag{1}$$

The equation before this is equation 1. Alternatively one might use (1).

## 4 reported (non-) problems in 1.9.15

First commas in equations

for  $x, y$  in  $A$

as an inline  $\forall x, y \in Z$ . Yet another comma example  $R_1, R_2$

Now for the problem associated with `\sum`

$$\sum = 1$$

## 5 Inline equations

First, test an inline equation in a paragraph of its own

$$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$$

Lets test baselines of equations. First compare these ..... as well as .....  
Now descenders like y  $y$  and finally  $x^2$  vs  $x^2$  or  $x_2$  vs  $x_2$

Parsing the tricky  $\varepsilon_o$  as an example.

First begin with simple  $\$$  delimited equation such as  $x+y = w$  as an example.  
All the equations in this section should look identical.

Next how about a simple  $\begin{math}$  delimited equation such as  $x+y = w$  as an example. All the equations in this section should look identical.

Now consider  $($  and  $)$  delimited equation such as  $x+y = w$  as an example.  
All the equations in this section should look identical.

## 6 Unnumbered equations

I will start with a simple  $\$$  wave equation that will have no number

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Bug that caused crash when equation began with  $\ldots$

$$\ldots \nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

another reported crash with  $\ldots$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \ldots & = & b \\ c & = & d \end{array}$$

Note: Delimiting an equation by  $\$$  is a plain $\TeX$  command which causes inconsistent vertical distances and does not obey the class option `fleqn`. Therefore it should *not* be used in  $\LaTeX$  documents. Use  $\backslash[ \ldots \backslash ]$  instead:

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

This is followed by a `displaymath` environment

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Here is an example of the  $\backslash[$  environment

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Here we check indentation

$$a = b$$

`displaymath`

$$a = b$$

`enddisplaymath`

## 7 Numbered equations

Next comes an `equation` environment

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Note that `\nonumber` in an `equation` environment still gets an equation number

$$\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

## 8 Testing equation array

Here the equation array is being tested. This equation has no equation number and is about as simple as an equation array can get.

$$z = w + x + 5w - 8c$$

Here the equation array is being tested. This equation has equation numbers and is almost as simple as an equation array can get.

$$z = w + x + \quad (3)$$

$$5w - 8c \quad (4)$$

Here the equation array is being tested. This equation the first and third equations numbered

$$z = w + x \quad (5)$$

$$z = w + x$$

$$z = w + x \quad (6)$$

Here the equation array is being tested. This checks for a bug when `\nonumber` is present in an `\begin{equarray*}` environment. No equations should be numbered.

$$z = w + x$$

$$z = w + x$$

$$z = w + x$$

## 9 Equation numbering test

This equation needs a number

$$\varphi = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{vmatrix}; \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{vmatrix}; \quad \text{or} \quad \eta = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ \tilde{\psi}_2 \end{vmatrix}; \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_3 \\ \tilde{\psi}_4 \end{vmatrix}; \quad (7)$$

more text following

## 10 Testing math environment closing

For a while, getting `latex2rtf` to contain all the math elements to the enclosing was a major headache. It is working for now. Here are a few test cases.

Case 1 Here a math environment is found within an italic environment  $s_c + 1$  or  $s_c$

Case 2 The odd construction `$a+\bf R$` follows  $a + \mathbf{R}$  which should make “a” italic and “R” bold

Case 3 Same as above but using `\(` to enter a math environment  $a + \mathbf{R}$  roman type follows

Case 4 Same as above but using `\[` to enter a math environment

$$a + \mathbf{R}$$

roman type follows

Case 5 Same as above but using `\begin{math}` to enter a math environment  $a + \mathbf{R}$  roman type follows

Case 6 Same as above but using `\begin{equation}` to enter a math environment

$$a + \mathbf{R} \tag{8}$$

roman type follows

Case 7 Same as above but using `\begin{eqnarray}` to enter a math environment

$$a + \mathbf{R} \tag{9}$$

roman type follows

Case 8 Same as above but using `\begin{equation*}` to enter a math environment

Note: `\begin{equation*}` produces LaTeX error.

Use `\begin{displaymath}` instead.

Case 8a Same as above but using `\begin{displaymath}` to enter a math environment

$$a + \mathbf{R}$$

roman type follows

Case 9 Same as above but using `$$` to enter a math environment

$$a + \mathbf{R}$$

roman type follows

Note: Delimiting an equation by `$$` is a plain $\mathrm{T}_\mathrm{E}\mathrm{X}$  command and should *not* be used in  $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{T}_\mathrm{E}\mathrm{X}$  documents.

## 11 Large Delimiters

### 11.1 Determinant

$$\det A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{vmatrix}$$

### 11.2 Mixed Delimiters

$$w = \left| 4x^3 + \left( (x - y) + \frac{42}{1 + x^4} \right) \right|.$$

### 11.3 Submatrices

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|ccc} b_{11} & b_{12} & & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & & & & \\ & a_{21} & & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & a_{m1} & & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{array} \right]$$

### 11.4 Fractions

Simple

$$\frac{x}{y}$$

More complicated

$$\frac{x+1}{\frac{x+2}{y+z+w}}$$

Missing braces

$$\frac{x}{y}$$

Including braces

$$\frac{\{x+y\}}{w}$$

Including left

$$\frac{\{\sqrt{y+z}\}}{w}$$

## 12 fields

Problem with mbox containing \$ in a field

$$x[l] \leftarrow x[(l+m) \bmod n] \oplus \text{shiftright}(x[l]) \oplus \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if LSB of } x[l]=0 \\ b & \text{if LSB of } x[l]=1, \end{cases}$$

problem with correctly delimiting the above equation  $x[l] \leftarrow x[(l+m) \bmod n] \oplus \text{shiftright}(x[l]) \oplus \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if LSB of } x[l]=0 \\ b & \text{if LSB of } x[l]=1, \end{cases}$

Look at the position of the superscript in this:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

or the superscript and subscript superposition:

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

or embedded sub/superscripts:

$$s_n = s^{n^2}$$

and

$$s_n = s^{n^2}$$